

Supreme Court Case No.

26 - 5597

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

AUG 24 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

# The Supreme Court of the United States

Roman Vladimirovich Serpik

Petitioner

v.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Respondents

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the

Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals Corporation

Okla. Sup. Case No. PC-2026-000414 ("PC-26-414")

Post Conviction taken from Beckham Co.No. cm-23-31, State v. Roman Serpik LLC

## PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

August 31<sup>st</sup>, 2026

[SEAL in Red Ink]

*Roman Vladimirovich Serpik*

Not to be construed as : ROMAN SERPIK, ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK, SERPIK ROMAN: SERPIK, ROMAN.

Company Trade Name for Roman Serpik LLC.

Address: c/o: 105 Janets Way, Ste. C-228

Elk City, Oklahoma 00000 (zip-exempt)

P#1.888.699.0272 | Email: sampleexample@protonmail.com

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether an INFORMATION filed on January 25, 2023 (CM-2023-31, Beckham County) is constitutionally valid when signed by Assistant District Attorney Gina Farris Webb whose statutory oaths of office were not on file, and whether such an INFORMATION may lawfully designate the defendant under the *res judicata* entity name "ROMAN SERPIK" from TR-2020-1163 (Beckham Co. Okla 12/08/2020-12/09/2020) rather than the certified identity "ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK" (See prosecutor oath requirements; Trade name for LLC)
2. Whether structural defects arising from identity variance, intentional caption conversion, and record obstruction violated Petitioner's federal constitutional rights and rendered the state post-conviction process fundamentally unfair. (See structural defects)
3. Whether the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals violated due process and its own binding mandate (M-2023-910, Footnote 1) by issuing the August 14, 2026, Order and Mandate under the defective entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK," despite the jury verdict identifying the defendant as "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik" and requiring all versions of the name to be included in subsequent proceedings. (See caption defects)
4. Whether due process is violated when a state appellate court issues a mandate under a defective entity caption ("ROMAN SERPIK") that contradicts the County Clerk's certified record identifying the defendant as "ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK," the trade name of Roman Serpik LLC (Last 4 SSN/EIN-2305). (See Oklahoma Articles of Organization for Roman Serpik LLC)



Appearing: Sui juris, De- jure at Common Law pursuant Supremacy Clause. Not "pro se"

## **PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS**

### **Petitioner Contact:**

**:Roman Vladimirovich Serpik: (-7692) ("Roman Vladimirovich Serpik")**

Not to be construed as : ROMAN SERPIK, ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK, SERPIK ROMAN; SERPIK, ROMAN.

Company Trade Names for Roman Serpik LLC

**Address: c/o: 105 Janets Way, Ste. C-228**

**Elk City, Oklahoma 00000 (zip-exempt)**

**P#1.888.699.0272 | Email: sampleexample@protonmail.com**

**Petitioner Appears:** as the natural-man ("1 Corinthians 2'14 (KJV)") and secured party, authorized representative of the juridic 1) estate; 2)

Corporation. He asserts status as an Oklahoman National^ non-resident

alien, non-U.S. Citizen ("Sovereign Citizen" Defined at: ref: Public Laws chs. 183, 184, May 3, 1940, p. 178);(Public Laws 97-280, 96 Stat.1211 (Oct. 4th, 1982):  
Whereas the Bible, the Word of God.")

### **Respondent(s) are:**

- a. Beckham County Dist. Attorney's 1. Angela C. Marsee & 2. Gina Farris Webb

Judicial Note: Currently being sued in Beckham County Court Oklahoma

Case No. cv-25-63, Roman v. Judge Derickson et al.,

- b. STATE OF OKLAHOMA -Corporation: Oklahoma Attorney Generals Office

Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21<sup>st</sup> Street, Okla. City, Oklahoma 73105



## Table of Contents

|                                                                    | Page(s) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| OPINIONS BELOW (Rule 14.1(f)).....                                 | 5       |
| JURISDICTION (Rule 14.1(e)).....                                   | 5       |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED (Rule 14.1(h))... | 5       |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Rule 14.1(i)) .....                         | 5       |
| SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....                                           | 8       |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT (Rule 14.1(j)).....                  | 9       |
| CONCLUSION.....                                                    | 11      |
| WORD COUNT CERTIFICATE.....                                        | 11      |
| VERIFICATION.....                                                  | 11      |
| CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....                                        | 12      |
| APPENDIX (Exhibits A–J, 20 pages, filed separately)                |         |

## **APPENDIX EXHIBIT INDEX**

### **Exhibit A — Order Affirming Denial (PC-2026-414)**

**Appendix Pages 1–4 of 19** The August 14, 2026 Order affirming denial of post-conviction relief in PC-2026-414. (See PC-26-414 order)

### **Exhibit B — Mandate (PC-2026-414)**

**Appendix Page 5 of 19** The mandate issued in PC-2026-414, reflecting the defective caption "ROMAN SERPIK." (See PC-26-414 mandate)

### **Exhibit C — TR-2020-1163 Case Record**

**Appendix Pages 6–9 of 19** Selected pages from TR-2020-1163 showing the DA's prior correction of the defendant's identity, establishing res judicata on the name "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik." (See TR-2020-1163 identity record)

### **Exhibit D — M-2023-910 (Criminal Appeal) — First Page Only**

**Appendix Page 10 (&10.1) of 19** The first page of the direct-appeal order containing Footnote 1, which requires all versions of the defendant's name to be included in subsequent proceedings. (See M-2023-910 footnote)

### **Exhibit E — Case No. 123537 (Oklahoma Supreme Court Order, Nov. 17, 2025)**

**Appendix Page 11 of 19** The Oklahoma Supreme Court's November 17, 2025 order establishing judicial estoppel on identity and recognizing the certified name "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik." (See 123537 order)

### **Exhibit F — CM-2023-31 Bench Warrant (ROMAN SERPIK LLC)**

**Appendix Page 12 of 19** Bench warrant issued in CM-2023-31 naming "ROMAN SERPIK LLC" as the defendant, demonstrating entity-caption usage and identity variance. (See CM-23-31 bench warrant)

### **Exhibit G — Caption-Change Page Filed in Five Cases Simultaneously**

**Appendix Page 13 of 19** The first caption page showing simultaneous caption changes across five cases, evidencing systemic caption conversion. (See caption conversion)

### **Exhibit H — SC-2023-240 (Custer County) — Appearance Recognition**

**Appendix Page 14 of 19** Order from SC-2023-240 in which the court recognized appearance "on behalf of ROMAN SERPIK," confirming dual-capacity identity structure. (See SC-23-240 appearance)

### **Exhibit I — Pages Showing Adoption of "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik"**

**Appendix Pages 15–16 of 19** Record pages where the court explicitly adopts the certified identity "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik." (See identity adoption)

### **Exhibit J — Affidavit of Commercial Address (ROMAN SERPIK LLC)**

**Appendix Page 19 of 19** Affidavit establishing the commercial address of ROMAN SERPIK LLC and its trade-name relationship to "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik." (See commercial address affidavit)



Appearing: Sui juris, De- jure at Common Law pursuant Supremacy Clause. Not "pro se"

## **OPINIONS BELOW**

### **(Rule 14.1(f))**

The opinion of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals, entered August 14, 2026 (*See Appendix 1 – 4, Exhibit A*), is unpublished. The mandate issued the same day (*See Appendix 5-19, Exhibit B*). Copies are included in the Appendix.

## **JURISDICTION**

### **(Rule 14.1(g))**

The Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals entered its final judgment and mandate on August 14, 2026. This petition is timely under 28 U.S.C. §1257(a) and Supreme Court Rule 13.1. Jurisdiction is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

U.S. Const. amend. XIV. 28 U.S.C. §1257(a). Relevant provisions reproduced in the Appendix.

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

### **(Rule 14.1(i))**

I. **Underlying Criminal Case (CM-2023-31)** - Petitioner was charged and convicted in CM-2023-31 under the entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK," (*See Appendix 12, Exhibit F*) corresponding to the business registration Roman Serpik LLC-2305, in the District Court of Beckham County, Oklahoma. During the period for filing an appeal, Petitioner was incarcerated under conditions that prevented meaningful access to the courts, including lack of access to legal materials, inability to prepare appellate filings (*See U.S. Sup. No. 25 6625 on January 20, 2026*), and legal access limited to one hour per week. These facts were documented in the January 10, 2024.

**II. Second Post-Conviction Application** - Petitioner filed a second application for post-conviction relief asserting denial of access to courts, constitutional impediments, structural defects, and identity-variance issues affecting the record. The application also raised the constitutional defect in January 25, 2023, INFORMATION, which was signed by an Assistant District Attorney whose statutory oaths of office were not on file. On August 14, 2026, the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals issued an Order and Mandate in PC-2026-414 affirming the denial of post-conviction relief. The OCCA did not address: the missing oath-of-office required for the prosecutor who signed the INFORMATION, the constitutional validity of the charging instrument, the identity-variance defects, the caption irregularities, the structural defects in the record, or the sworn testimony documenting state-created barriers to appellate filing. The omission of these issues prevented meaningful review of the constitutional claims presented.

**III. OCCA Affirmance (PC-2026-414)** - Petitioner appealed. On August 14, 2026, the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals affirmed the denial of post-conviction relief without addressing the constitutional issues, transcript refusal, identity-variance defects, or structural irregularities. The mandate was issued the same day. (*See Appendix 5, Exhibit B ; Appendix 1-4, Exhibit A ; Appendix 10.1 Exhibit D ; Appendix 11, Exhibit E ; Appendix 14, Exhibit H ; Appendix 18, Exhibit I*)

**IV. Identity Conversion, Caption Defects, and Record Irregularities** - The August 14, 2026, OCCA Order and Mandate used the defective entity caption "ROMAN



Appearing: Sui juris, De-jure at Common Law pursuant Supremacy Clause. Not "pro se"

SERPIK," despite multiple judicial findings establishing Petitioner's certified identity as "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik" (*Last 4 SSN/EIN -7692*).

**A. Oklahoma Supreme Court Case No. 123537 (Nov. 17, 2025) - The Court ruled**  
(*See Appendix 11, Exhibit E*):

1. Petitioner cannot represent the standalone entity "ROMAN SERPIK."
2. The entity corresponds to the SSN-4437 estate SSN/EIN -7692/account.
3. The Court adopted "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik" as Petitioner's lawful identity. (*See Appendix 11, Exhibit E & Appendix 18, Exhibit I*)

The OCCA's August 14, 2026, documents contradict this ruling.

**B. SC-2023-240 (Custer County) - The District Court recognized:** "The plaintiff identifies the living man on behalf of ROMAN SERPIK". (*See Appendix 14, Exhibit H*). This judicial finding distinguishes the entity/estate ("ROMAN SERPIK") from the natural man ("Roman Vladimirovich Serpik"). The OCCA ignored this distinction.

**C. Address Conversion and Mis-Delivery -** Court documents were delivered to the business address of ROMAN SERPIK LLC (*See Appendix 19, Exhibit J*), not the Real ID address of SERPIK, ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH ("Agent"), resulting in improper service, mis-delivery, and denial of proper notice.

**D. Sheriff Report No. 26-238 – Beckham County Oklahoma Sheriff Report No.**  
26-238 documents additional incidents of identity conversion and improper

handling of Petitioner's legal materials, corroborating state-created impediments and structural defects.

**V. Verdict Identity and Mandate Violation (M-2023-910, Footnote 1)** - The jury verdict in CM-2023-31 identifies the defendant solely as "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik," (*See Appendix 10 & 10.1, Exhibit D*) with aliases "Roman Serpik a/k/a" and "Roman V. Serpik a/k/a." The verdict never uses the standalone entity name "ROMAN SERPIK." In Petitioner's direct appeal (*See Appendix 10, Exhibit D*), M-2023-910, the OCCA ordered in Footnote 1 that "all versions of the name" must be included in subsequent proceedings. The August 14, 2026, OCCA Order and Mandate violated this directive by using only the defective entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK." This constitutes a structural defect, a mandate violation, and a due-process injury.

**VI. October 15, 2025, Clerk Certification** - On October 15, 2025, the Beckham County Court Clerk issued a certified record identifying the defendant as "ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK," expressly noting that this name is the trade name of Roman Serpik LLC (*See Appendix 12, Exhibit F, See "Defendant"*). This certification confirms the County's recognition of the proper-noun identity and the entity distinction. The OCCA's August 14, 2026, Mandate nevertheless used the defective entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK," contradicting the certified record, the jury verdict, and Footnote 1 of M-2023-910. This makes the Clerk's certification part of the factual narrative. (See structural defects)

## SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

## SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

of the factual narrative. (See structural defects)

July verdict and Footnote 1 of M-3033-210. This makes the Clerk's certification have defective entry caption "ROMAN ZEBYK," contradicting the certified record, the entry caption. The OCCA's August 14, 2032 Mandate nevertheless used the certification contain the County's recognition of the proper name identity and the Roman Zebryk LLC (See Appendix 15, Exhibit E, See Appendix). This

AGADIMBOVICH ZEBYK," expressly noting that this name is the trade name of County Court Clerk's used a certified record identifying the defendant as "ROMAN AGADIMBOVICH ZEBYK." On October 12, 2032, the Backstop the process must.

ZEBYK". This constitutes a structural defect, a mandate violation, and a Mandate violated this directive by using only the defective entry caption "ROMAN" be included in subsequent proceedings. The August 14, 2032 OCCA Order and M-3033-210, the OCCA ordered in Footnote 1 that "all versions of the name," must "ROMAN ZEBYK". In Petitioner's direct appeal (See Appendix 16, Exhibit D) and "Roman A. Zebryk LLC". The verdict never used the standalone entry name Zebryk". (See Appendix 16 & 17, Exhibit D) with address "Roman Zebryk LLC" verdict in M-3033-31 identifies the defendant solely as "Roman Agadimbovich A. Verdict Identity and Mandate Violation (M-3033-210, Footnote 1). The July and structural defects.

holding of Petitioner's legal mandate, corroborating state-created introduction

January 25, 2023, INFORMATION is void because it was signed by an Assistant District Attorney whose statutory oaths of office were not on file, depriving her of legal authority to initiate prosecution. A void charging instrument cannot confer jurisdiction, cannot support a conviction, and cannot sustain a post-conviction denial. (See prosecutor oath requirements). The INFORMATION also designated the defendant under the res judicata entity name "ROMAN SERPIK," contradicting the District Attorney's prior correction in TR-2020-1163 (*See Appendix 6 - 9, Exhibit C*), the certified identity adopted in Case No. 123537, and the jury verdict identifying the defendant as "Roman Vladimirovich Serpik." This identity variance infected every subsequent proceeding and created structural defects in the record. The state courts violated due process by refusing to consider the January 10, 2024, transcript from CM-2023-31, which contains sworn testimony establishing state-created barriers to appellate filing. Without this transcript, Petitioner was denied meaningful access to the courts and prevented from presenting evidence essential to post-conviction review. (See due process violation). Structural defects including caption conversion, inconsistent identity usage, and record obstruction rendered the post-conviction process fundamentally unfair. These defects are not subject to harmless-error analysis because they undermine the integrity of the judicial process itself. (See structural defects) Finally, the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals violated due process and its own binding mandate in M-2023-910, Footnote 1, by issuing the August 14, 2026 Order and Mandate under the defective entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK." This caption contradicts the certified record, the

jury verdict, and the Supreme Court's identity-estoppel order, requiring correction.  
(See caption defects) **Relief is warranted.**

### **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

**I. The Charging Instrument and Identity Conflation:** (Addressing the prosecutor's oath and the improper use of the "ROMAN SERPIK" entity name versus the certified identity 10/15/2025 "ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK").

**II. Structural Defects and Identity Variance:** (Addressing how caption conversion, mis-designation, and record irregularities undermined the post-conviction process).

**III. Violation of the Court's Own Binding Mandate:** (Addressing how the OCCA's August 14, 2026, mandate violated Footnote 1 of M-2023-910).

**IV. Conflict with the County Clerk's Certified Record:** (Addressing how the appellate mandate contradicts the October 15, 2025, Clerk Certification identifying the trade name "ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK" in that style is LLC Trade Name, (*See Oklahoma Articles of Organization for Roman Serpik LLC -2305*).

**V. Mandate Violation and Structural Identity Defect -** The OCCA's August 14, 2026 Mandate used the defective entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK," contradicting the jury verdict's proper-noun identity ("Roman Vladimirovich Serpik") and violating Footnote 1 of the OCCA's own Summary Opinion in M-2023-910, which required that "all versions of the name" be included in subsequent proceedings. A state appellate court's failure to follow its own mandate constitutes a structural defect and raises a federal due-process question appropriate for certiorari review. This



elevates the issue to a federal constitutional violation, which is required for certiorari.

VI. **Mandate Violation** - October 15, 2025, Clerk Certification further demonstrates the structural defect. The Beckham County Court Clerk formally certified the defendant as "ROMAN VLADIMIROVICH SERPIK", (*See Appendix 19, Exhibit J*) identifying that name as the trade name of Roman Serpik LLC. The OCCA's August 14, 2026, Mandate ignored this certified record and reverted to the defective entity caption "ROMAN SERPIK," creating a constitutional identity variance and violating the appellate mandate requiring inclusion of all name versions. This elevates the Clerk's certification to a federal due-process issue.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.  
Respectfully entered,

Roman Vladimirovich Serpik Petitioner

Sui juris, De jure at Common Law & Supremacy Clause

c/o: 105 Janets Way, Ste C-228 Elk City, Oklahoma 00000 (zip-exempt)

P#1.888.699.0272 | Email: [sampleexample@protonmail.com](mailto:sampleexample@protonmail.com)

#### WORD COUNT CERTIFICATE

I, **Roman Vladimirovich Serpik**, hereby certify that the foregoing Writ filed in PC-2026-414 (Appeal from Beckham Co. Case cm-23-31 )contains 2516 words, as calculated by the word-count function in the software used to prepare this document, and therefore complies with the applicable word-limit requirements.

Roman Vladimirovich Serpik Petitioner

#### VERIFICATION 28 U.S.C. 1746(1)

I, **Roman Vladimirovich Serpik**, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America (the Republic Art4, Sec.4) that the foregoing document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Roman Vladimirovich Serpik Petitioner