


No. 26-5580



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FREDERICK WILLIAMS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

TOLL BROTHERS BUILDERS
et. al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE UNITED STATE COURT OF APPEARS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

FREDERICK WILLIAMS

(Your Name)

1470 OLMSTED DRIVE


(Address)

BEAR DE 19701

(City, State, Zip Code)

302-595-4002

(Phone Number)



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The complaint was properly filed and severed on Respondent (s) and the Notice of Default was properly filed with the courts and severed on the Respondent and they had failed to answer any and all questions contained within the allotted time by the courts and they had refused to comply with law, **Rule 12 of The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Act.**

The Respondent (s) had ample opportunity to answer all specific questions in the courts has a remedy for this type of situation and it's a **Default Judgment** and that's what I am seeking by law , entered against all of the defendants for the relief demanded in the complaint in favor of myself.

LIST OF PARTIES

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is provided below. If a party is a corporation with a stock ticker symbol, that symbol is also included.

TOLL BROTHERS BUILDERS	HOCKESSIN CHASE LP,
MICHAEL BROWN	TIMOTHY HOBAN
TOLL BROS INC	ALPA V. BHATIA, ESQ.
WILLIAM WARD ESQ.	ANGELA UMayA
WILLIAM J. RHODUNDA JR ESQ.	

RELATED CASES

FREDERICK and CONNIE WANG

Vs

HOCKESSIN CHASE L.P. et al
(N15C-03-066 WCC)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING U.S.COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT NO. 25-2816 (D.DEL.NO. 1:24-cv-00955)
APPENDIX B	SUR PETITION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT (CIV NO.24-955-CFC)
APPENDIX C	PROOF OF FRAUD
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

FEDERAL PROCEDURE ACT, UNDER RULE 12 clearly states, if a
law suit has been filed against you in FEDERAL COURT

OTHER

STATUTES

FEDERAL PROCEDURE ACT, UNDER RULE 12 clearly state if a law suit has filed against you in FEDERAL COURT , within 21 days as described in FED,R.CIV.P.12 (a) (2) and (3) you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint of the specific notation question , requiring the defendant to answer any or all of the allegations of the complaint by affidavit , affidavit of defense to the Plaintiff and in this case myself Frederick Williams Pro Sa .

Rules

If you fail to respond , a judgment by default will entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint and you must also file your answer with the court.

My Default Judgment was properly filed and accepted ,received by the clerk of the court and at that time of the filing I had made a requested of the clerk of the court to " enter a default of the party's that had not answered the pleading in my law suit complaint.

I had filed the Default Judgment , five times and on OCTOBER 15, 2024 by letter from the clerk of the court confirming of my filing against the defendant(s) , had been received and accepted, placed on the docket and the status of my Default Judgment was pending.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is **DENIED**

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is **DENIED**

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JUNE 10, 2026.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: JULY 30, 2026, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

When a Summons is served on you as being a Defendant (s) , you have have within 21 days after the service of the summons to answer any and all specific question contained in the complaint , requiring you as the Defendant (s) to answer and to serve on the Plaintiff the answers to the complaint.

Under **Rule 12 of THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ACT.**
P.12 (a) (2) or (3) clearly states that ;

If you fail to do so , Judgment by complaint Defendant will be enter against you for the demander in the complaint .

Under **FRCP 55 (a)** - States that when a Defendant fails to answer and that failure is shown in the form of an affidavit presented to the court , The Clerk of the Court at that time must enter the default.

Under **FRCP (b)** - Governs that a Judgment is entered after the Default.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The only issue here is did TOLL BROTHERS BUILDERS did in fact was the builder who build my house my ? and the answer is yes.

Were they responsible for the faulty construction ? and the answer is yes.

Were they responsible for the use of Synthetic Acrylic Stucco in which since DEC 21, 2005 ? and the answer is yes.

They were aware that the use of this material was and had cause cancer and is a known cancer causing agent and they still made use of it anyway and the answer is yes.

My wife and I was both caught Hodgkins Lymphoma Cancer and as a result from the exposure of Mond, my wife lost her right breast do to mold that produced by the use SYNTHETIC ACRYLIC STOCCO being pond off as real stucco and sold as real stucco to unsuspecting home buyers in which they know was a Cancer causing agent and they was fully aware of the wrist and sued in the STATE OF VIRGINIA and told not to use it anymore and to stop use of LLC to avoid being sued.

UNITED STATE COURT OF
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
1100 EAST MAIN STREET SUITE 501
RICHMOND VIRGINIA 23219-3517

In the case of TOLL BROS AKA TOLL BROTHERS BUILDERS Vs DRY-VIT SYSTEM
Case # 432 F. 3.3d 564 (4th Cir. 2005

Argued OCTOBER 26, 2005 before Wilkins , Chief Judge and Niemeyer the Circuit Judges.

They committed faulty construction and they say it wasn't them , it was Hockessin chase , who doesn't exist for he is an LL C formed controlled by TOLL BROTHERS BUILDERS to defraud home buyers.

I was also a victim of mortgage fraud and was swindle out of \$ 203,619.54 in which they said I had negotiated with Hockessin chase to pay the above amount addition to the price of the house , I can't get any money back nor my home repaired or any prosecution to TOLL BROTHERS BUILDERS do to the Statute of Limitations had expired but under an Unjust Enrichment Claims the courts could and should rule by keeping these stolen Funds constitutes an unjust enrichment and requiring the repayment of these funds.

I am requesting that the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES order and demander the lower court , DISTRICT COURT OF DELAWARE follow the law of Rule 12 of the FEDERAL PROCEDURE ACT and enter a Default Judgement against all Of the Respondent (s).

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Under the law of Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Ack. The Respondent (s) must serve to the Plaintiff an answer to any and all of the questions that's attached within the complaint to the Plaintiff and must also file the answers to the courts.

If you fail to respond , a judgment by Default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The respondent (s) had been properly served and had failed to Comply with the law Of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Ack.

The Respondent (s) had ample opportunity to answer all questions in the complaint and they had refused to do so , courts has a remedy for this type of situation and it's a Default Judgement that will be entered against you.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Under the law of Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Ack. The Respondent (s) must serve to the Plaintiff an answer to any and all of the questions that's attached within the complaint to the Plaintiff and must also file the answers to the courts.

If you fail to respond , a judgment by Default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The respondent (s) had been properly served and had failed to Comply with the law Of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Ack.

The Respondent (s) had ample opportunity to answer all questions in the complaint and they had refused to do so , courts has a remedy for this type of situation and it's a Default Judgement that will be entered against you.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Frederick Williams

Date: Sept 9, 2026