


26-5561

ORIGINAL

No. _____



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington D.C

Angel Murillo

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

The Board of Supervisors- County of Monterey RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of California

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Angel Murillo

(Your Name)

16955 Del Monte avenue Apt. 209

(Address)

Morgan Hill, CA 95037

(City, State, Zip Code)

831-529-0147

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why am I not getting a chance to be responsible trying to look up to law enforcement and our court system regarding this wrongful treatment and lack of equal protection under the law, knowing my constitutional civil rights have been violated? How are low-income individuals like myself that cannot afford a attorney supposed to get assistance for correction within our court system when trying to rehabilitate my single-family home structure as a father after this terrorizing case causing delay within my responsibilities with a head injury disrupting my disability? Knowing my struggle of bias with getting help within this case.

LIST OF PARTIES

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is provided below. If a party is a corporation with a stock ticker symbol, that symbol is also included.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY

RELATED CASES

MONTEREY JUDGE RELATED MY CASE TO THE FOLLOWING:

JAVIER F. OVANDO VS. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CASE BASED ION LEGAL MALPRACTICE THAT RESULTED IN FALSE CONVISTION IN
2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT 01/30/2026
APPENDIX B	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COURT TRIAL 02/26/2025
APPENDIX C	THE STATE SUPREME COURT DENIAL 04/15/2026
APPENDIX D	WASN'T GRANTED A HEARING WITH STATE SUPREME COURT

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 01/30/2026.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 02/18/2026, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix YES.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 04/15/2026.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix YES.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT 01/30/2026

APPENDIX B: THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COURT TRAIL 02/26/02025

APPENDIX C: THE STATE SUPREME COURT DENIAL 04/15/2026

APPENDIX D: WASN'T GRANTED A HEARING WITH STATE SUPREME COURT

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

It appears that egregious errors of the law were made during the course of this case and appeals. Also restraining orders protecting me, the defendant, and my daughter were both granted by the court and renewed, but the sheriffs refused to enforce them. The **Public defender should have pursued these points and she did not.**

The basis of the case is two charges of battery and one of Assault. I as defendant was found guilty of the battery and not guilty of the assault. In fact, I the defendant was the one who was beaten by several people, including the plaintiff, and the relative severity of my injuries, medically documented, clearly support this, As do the testimony of witnesses who were not called by public defender, Maribel Penaloza.

Unfortunately, the reporting police/sheriff officer arrived at the scene after me as defendant, I had to run from the beating.

Prejudicial conduct and statement in officer Mendoza's report Include: * Sheriff, Officer Mendoza stated that "I insisted on pursuing charges against [the plaintiff] for the incident." The use of the word "insisted "in this context is prejudicial. Anyone is allowed to press charges; it is up to the court to decide which charges are valid. There are other inaccurate and prejudicial statements by Mr. Mendoza in this report. Sheriff Mendoza stated that I did not have any response when asked why I left the scene (I stated that I feared for my life). In the statement, officer Mendoza cast aspersions on me because I evidently did not go to the hospital or report the incident on schedule which Officer Mendoza found appropriate. But for anyone with a head injury, (later documented by the hospital), it can be quite difficult to accomplish this

* when I did get to the **hospital, they listed me as" triage Level urgent "even 48 hours after my inquiries took place,** and the hospital authorities attempted to get a police officer to come to the hospital and report my complaint against the plaintiff, (so I would have both legal and medical documents of my injuries).

* As Officer Mendoza documented in his police report, he heard the request (evidently on his police radio) and told the dispatcher not to send an officer and to disregard the hospital request. Officer Mendoza had not ever seen me as the defendant, he had only spoken with me on the phone about the incident, yet he stated that he had" documented the incident to its entirety" and "A deputy need not to respond to take the report". **Why did the public defender not bring this evidence before the court: that trained medical personnel were listing me as triage Level Urgent, with a head injury, while Officer Mendoza prevented a deputy from documenting this in a police report at the hospital.**

* This is especially significant because the injuries sustained by the other parties in the incident were relatively minor, and a **case could (and should) have been made by the public defender that the assault was against me the defendant,** but because I ran, and the plaintiff made the call to the police, and because Officer Mendoza prevented other officers from providing both due process and equal protection under the law.

* the net effect is that Officer Mendoza had before the fact judged me guilty, and his report clearly expresses that. This is both highly prejudicial for an officer of the law, and a clear

example of the lack of defense by the public defender. The court should have struck down evidence from Mendoza because of the obvious and repeated prejudice against me. **In several instances Mendoza statements are so unprofessional as to constitute opinion and not factual testimony.** Officer Mendoza's conduct throughout this case amounted to preventing equal protection under the law.

*** Numerous times the legally obtained restraining order protecting me, was not to be found,** even though the sergeant and other staff members were confirming its existence, **the public defender should have questioned this in court.**

*** On another occasion, I was trying to get a restraining order protecting me and my daughter enforced, and the Monterey county sheriff's office official report of the call for help states "[duty] Mendoza [advised] RP [Reporting person] not to call or I would be arrested".** Nearly a year after the initial incident, officer Mendoza is again obstructing due process. RP [Reporting person., me] is asking MCSO operator to run CLETS to locate the [Valid] restraining order, which was later accepted into evidence proceeding.

*** Lack of equal protection under the law:** police taking report about theft and burglary as merely "information reports" if there had been no presumption of me being guilty, and no prejudice against me, an actual sworn complaint would have been taken.

*** The witness who drove me injured as the defendant away from the scene was located and she submitted a sworn testimony of witnessing the attack against me, the defendant by 3 or 4 people when I was just asking about mine and my daughter Social Security cards that were missing (one of whom remained at the scene and became the plaintiff).**

*** the injuries of those 3 or 4 people were considerably less than the injuries to me, the defendant, documented in the hospital reports as these people jumped on my back from all ends,**

*** after renewals of the restraining order, the court did not renew it again, because Hernandez had been deported, but witnesses had seen Hernandez back in the U.S. after deportation, so the risk still exist. I just know the individuals that attacked me some were from Monterey Santa Cruz and Los Angeles County an illegal alien may not be able to be served with notice of the renewal of the restraining order (unknown address) and if he can't be served, that may be why a subsequent renewal could not be obtained.**

***THE public defender did not subpoena the relevant witness in support of my testimony, or call on them in court, and did not note the prejudicial conduct and statements of sheriff officers.**

Cost to society when injustice of this nature occurs, it is not just the cost to society of police and court time that is an issue. It is the cost of the damage to this extraordinary and determined person. When I was asked, why I was pursuing this appeal to the Supreme court and a claim #162606 against the Board of supervisors of the county of Monterey, a chance at best, I mentioned "I have to do this for the security and safety of my household for my daughter that was 7 years old at the time. If I give up, how can I expect my daughter to believe

in our law-enforcement, our courts within our public defenders knowing they're supposed to defend us the low-income community for justice of wrongful treatment.

When I consider the many character references, especially for my unusual and remarkable skills and determination as a parent seeking help, **I believe it cost society too much to let this case stand as it is.** Yes, it should have been handled correctly in the beginning of this case for the county and state courts correcting this injustice.

This is one reason why I submitted a claim for understanding of my injuries with Monterey County from the beginning of this case, after the state bar of California gave me grounds for a civil claim for damages or a criminal appeal.

Unfortunately, due to my injuries and homelessness, living place to place as you can tell the different addresses within this case, as I was caring for the safety of my household after my innocence was overlooked. making it difficult to respond to any timely matter, even for the state bar concerning my concerns of my public defender Maribel Penaloza. Once federal agents helped me by arresting Hernandez I was able to start writing our government officials and leadership out of Monterey County because Monterey County was destroying my innocence and safety giving me back-and-forth run around between the city of Salinas and Monterey County, delaying my time to resolve this claim#1626061.

Please consider correcting this injustice case by sending a strong message that the law is meant to apply to all, especially the law about "innocent until proven guilty", "equal protection under the law", and most especially against prejudicial conduct by the sheriff and public defender.

We can't just apply the law fairly in the easy cases. It is even more important for our courts to apply a clear standard when a police report acts to decide a case before the judge can, and a sheriff officer prevents both due process and equal protection under the law. If me as the defendant and one more citizen, neither attorney, can see this, my assigned public defender should also have done so. The public defender should have used the evidence and witnesses available to her, and defended me. After writing the U.S. department of Justice and other leadership out of Monterey County It came to my attention that there's laws that give an opportunity to submit a late claim under government code 946.6C.

Knowing our U.S. department of justice is overburden. I'm asking your honor for my civil rights to be heard for correction under the law as my civil rights have been violated with damages as my innocence has been taken away as a low-income individual. Please your honor, don't let the privilege damage my future by taking my civil rights of relieving me off government code 945.4 they already took my innocence.

*With the failure of equal protection under the law.

* Failure to enforce restraining order established to protect me, Mr. Murillo.

* Lack of proper defense.

* Cost to society

Numerous incidents of prejudice of me Mr. Murillo are documented in the written police report, when the hospital called the sheriff's office, Mr. Mendoza heard the call on the police radio and prevented other officers from coming to take my statement. This reflected in the hospital documentation.

* public defender failed to submit crucial evidence and testimony.

* Innocent until proven guilty.

* Due process.

Your honor, please use your power to bring this situation to light and make justice,

Thank you.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Dear your Honors, I Angel Murillo am asking the United States Supreme Court in Washington D.C. for an opportunity of reviewing my petition after the Supreme Court of California denial on April 15, 2026 case# S295415. After the court of appeal of the state of California sixth Appellate District denied my re-hearing request on 2-18-2026 case#H053107. for I can explain that I'm not bringing new information within my appeal court hearing that was on 1-13-2026. Knowing familiar injustice cases of what I'm presenting within your court affects the low income and disability community.

Please take into consideration my two objections of concerns that I submitted within Monterey court, especially when Monterey County board of supervisors is not using doctors documentation that I should be over my injuries medical condition at this time, knowing the difficulties of connecting with a supporting system and being homeless in different counties with a disability. Monterey County court was very well aware of my objection that I filed on January 13, 2025, as I even contacted the Honorable Presiding Judge in writing on March 18, 2025, stating that a decision was made without taking my objection into consideration. So, I had no choice knowing timing deadline to file an appeal within the Appellate Court, after not hearing back from Monterey County board of supervisors. Please keep in mind that Monterey County Board of supervisors. Aaron Murphy contacted me after the fact of me filing within the appellate court concerning my concerns of a decision was made without looking into my objections after February 26, 2025. I wasn't aware that I can do more objections

As I'm asking to consider my petition for review for an opportunity to see confirmation documents of my petition for justice within your court explaining the misconduct under 42 U.S. code 1983 that caused conflict of interest, knowing I submitted stamp documents to the public defender's office for my defense under federal law 18 U.S. code 242. Affecting my life, leaving me mentally incapacitated with fear when I was at a turning point of my life of being a father after taking my daughter out of foster care but I'm not getting a chance to be responsible in life by looking up to Law-enforcement and our court system as I'm being judged and label for the wrong reasons as a low income individual that cannot afford an attorney at a disadvantage for me because I'm requesting to uphold federal law for my safety and household structure as a single father, knowing the chaos and riots in the state of California against federal law agent enforcement, cheating me out of opportunities knowing federal agents helped me by looking into my case paperwork and enforce my active restraining order that I constantly renew since 2009 until federal agents took action even federal agents question within my recording why isn't law enforcement authorities/sheriffs not enforcing my restraining order, I even played the recording in trial in Monterey Superior Court for my defense.

Leaving me no choice is to keep presenting myself in court under the 6th and 14th amendment under 28 U.S. code section 1654, especially when Monterey County mentions that they want to help me with my claim even in open court but keeps putting me in not experience court matters. That my disability support has to connect me to community resources with the effects of homelessness in different counties, knowing the difficulties of connecting with supporting community agencies for advocacy to understand my PTSD, head injury and homelessness.

The frustration of the failure for my defense in the court system with the lack of defense from the public defender knowing Monterey County sheriff was not enforcing restraining order for my protection, that caused the disruption of my stabled home leaving me mentally and emotionally incapacitated with a head injury leaving me in despair and fear with the effects of homelessness and poverty thinking, the meaning of government is to protect citizen civil rights from migrant crime.

I just know if I was in protective custody I would've had a secure place to recover from my injuries that I couldn't comprehend as I was seeking sanctuary as a victim with limited health insurance, Medi-Cal and Medicare, with limited treatment of my injuries as I was withdrawing from my daily responsibility routines as a single parent. As it took time with struggle to gain a support system for doctors, psychiatrist and therapy along with support groups to better understand the effects of my injuries after federal agent assistance.

under the American disability act • MATERIAL FACT/ LAW OVERLOOKED: the court overlook the petitioners documented disability, and the failure to provide requested reasonable accommodation which violates the (ADA) American disability act. • SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT: that the ignorance of the accommodations had a substantial effect on the outcome of my Appellate court hearing. • MISSTATEMENT OF FACT: that the court made a major error regarding the facts of my case, such as ignoring evidence of non-compliance with (ADA) regulations. Due to not having accommodations within the appeal court hearing, as I was rushing under anxiety due to limited time, I was not able to fully express and pinpoint the very important facts of my objections and how not enforcing laws affected my innocence of wrongful treatment and proceedings of my conviction.

*OVERLOOKING LAWS AND FACTS: the courts missed or misunderstood a material fact or critical points including conflict with the superior and Appellate court's decision that the decision failed to Apply mandatory federal laws.

Devastated and the shame I endure that I experienced throughout this case due to law-enforcement, court system and community leadership Letting crime be done against me with no kind of accountability or correction of justice by ignoring and throwing my case to the side, how is this helping our community's and society that's the shame I endure after having faith within my local community government leader leadership.

knowing I didn't commit any crime or break any laws so why am I being segregated and prosecuted with devastation as I become a burden to society falling in between the cracks of homelessness, affecting my court record for background checks damaging my career and credit debt the most important facts in life to build a career and rent.

After being homeless in different counties, it took time to connect for advocacy and accommodations as I'm trying to rehabilitate my single parent home structure from this terrorizing case having faith within our leadership after taking oath within their leadership position within our communities and government but all I experience is being oppressed and taking away the power of trying to rehabilitate from wrongful treatment within my case knowing our declaration of independence state that when our government don't enforce laws of protection

for us citizens, we have a right to resist, as I'm trying to go about this misconduct and conflict of interest case the best safe way possible keeping my faith within the higher courts. as generations in the state of California lose faith within our court system as our government fails us innocent citizens. As I try not to lose faith and trust within our government leadership, listening to inspirational speeches from our government leadership out of California thinking our government is to help citizens that have been victimized. Knowing under supremacy clause in the U.S. Constitution (Article VI, clause 2) and the 14th Amendment. no state shall make a law unless it's in an agreement to the law of the land of our constitutional laws if it is not its void, it's the standing

law of the land as victimized citizens need sanctuary also for the truth shall set me free past, present, and future.

Thank you, chief justices

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol M. Miller

Date: 6-23-2026