

NO. 26-5538

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES

Latosha A. Ward,
PETITIONER, VETERAN ADMINISTRATION SUCCESSOR OF
INTEREST/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SURVIVOR STATUS HOLDER

v.

Tony E. Ward Sr.
USAA
Rocket Mortgage, LLC, s/b/m Nationstar Mortgage, LLC
Blackstone Meadows Homeowners Association, Kelly Hope

RESPONDENTS

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the

United States Court of Appeals

for The Fourth Circuit

Latosha A. Ward

PO. Box 13281

Durham, NC 27709

PETITIONER, VA SOI, DV Survivor




TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
VOLUME VII: TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES OFFICIAL CITATIONS	iv
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES OTHER AUTHORITIES/SECONDARY SOURCES	v
INTERVENING ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS REGARDING MATTERS NOT AVAILABLE IN TIME FOR PREVIOUS FILINGS	1
ARGUMENT	2
AUTOMATED SYSTEMIC RECORD POLLUTION CANNOT BE DISMISSED AS "HARMLESS ERROR" (QUESTIONS 1 & 6)	2
AI MANIPULATION AND ELECTRONIC RECORD POLLUTION (DOCKET ENTRY 96-1 & 107)	4
INSULATING THE FRAUD ON APPEAL (FOURTH CIRCUIT DOCKET ENTRY 63)	5
IDENTITY ACCESS-MANAGEMENT BYPASSES AND EXTRINSIC FRAUD (QUESTION 2)	6
MULTI-STATE CORPORATE NETWORKS UTILIZING AUTOMATED PROCESSING BLACKOUTS TO DEFEAT CIVIL RICO AND VA PROTECTIONS (QUESTIONS 3 & 4)	6
THE IMPERATIVE FOR FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION TO ENJOIN STATE ASSET DEPRIVATIONS (QUESTION 5)	7
TIMELINE OF MISCONDUCT AND NON-COMPLIANCE REGARDING MATTERS NOT AVAILABLE IN TIME FOR PREVIOUS FILINGS BY ATTORNEY MATTHEW A. ABEE	7
CORROBORATION OF "AGENTIC MISALIGNMENT" AND UNAUTHORIZED DIGITAL ACTIONS	10
VALIDATION OF PLATFORM HIJACKING AND "IDENTITY ACCESS- MANAGEMENT BYPASSES"	11
ESTABLISHING THE MANDATE FOR BROAD FEDERAL OVERSIGHT OVER CORPORATE PROFIT PRESSURES	11
CHRONOLOGICAL MATRIX OF AUTOMATED ENTRY CONTRADICTIONS AND REFUSAL TO CORRECT RECORDS	12
CONCLUSION	14

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME VII: TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

Appendix	Description of Lower Court Document or Record Entry	Certified Date	Page
A Supp. App. 1	Supplemental Appendix A: Joint Letter from Twenty-Six State Attorneys General to Congressional Leadership Urging Immediate Federal AI Regulation	September 23, 2026	104a
B Supp. App. 2	Supplemental Appendix B: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Docket Entry 113 Notice of Substitution of Counsel The initial filing by Rocket Mortgage where the false merger timeline was deployed filed by Matthew A. Abee on behalf of Nationstar Mortgage LLC, Rocket Mortgage LLC substituting for Nathan J. Taylor and Scott I. Perle. (Abee, Matthew) (Entered: 07/15/2026)	July 15, 2026 (App. 58a)	105b
C Supp. App. 3	Supplemental Appendix C: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Docket Entries 116 – 118 MOTION to Revoke the Substitution of Counsel and Strike Defective Record Based on Fraud on the Court by Attorney Matt Abee filed by Latosha A. Ward. (Attachments: # 1 Cover Letter, # 2 Copy of Private Email communication with Mr. Abee, # 3 Cover Letter to Mr. Abee, # 4 Envelope) (Sellers, N.) (Entered: 08/20/2026)	August 20, 2026	106c
D Supp. App. 4	Supplemental Appendix D: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Docket Entry 117 – The formal notice, objection, or motion tracking the history of AI docket manipulation, which officially alerted counsel to the date error.	August 20, 2026	107d
E Supp. App. 5	Supplemental Appendix E: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Docket Entry 118-3 Matt Abee/Rocket Mortgage's subsequent response or refusal to amend, confirming they knowingly maintained the fraudulent timeline to defeat Petitioner's VA Successor in Interest protections.	August 20, 2026	108e
F Supp. App. 6 26-5538	Supplemental Appendix F: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District Docket Entries: Eastern District Doc. 72 (06/23/2025); Fourth Circuit Docs. 128, 129, 130, 131, 132 (05/20/2026–05/21/2026), Notarized 9/3/2023	September 11, 2026	109F

Docket Affidavit of Fact Supremecourt.gov Appendix F (Pages
 Online 73-75) Case Reference: *Latosha A. Ward v. USAA, et al.*
 Pages 72- (U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit / U.S.
 107 District Court for the Eastern District) Related Docket
 (App. F) Entries: Eastern District Doc. 72 (06/23/2025); Fourth
 Circuit Docs. 128, 129, 130, 131, 132 (05/20/2026–
 05/21/2026)

G
 Supp. Supplemental Appendix G: U.S. District Court for the
 App. 7 Eastern District Docket Entry 58 03/18/2025, Docket Entry
 59 03/28/2025, Docket Entry 60 04/08/2025, Docket Entry
 61 04/11/2025, and Docket Entry 62 recorded on 04/30/2025
 with application attempt date of April 23, 2025, and
 Petitioner's violation of Due Process from C. Powell Project April 30,
 Manager of NC Legal Aid Veteran Law Project of NC 2025 110G
 electronic application interfered with the federal DV
 POWERS Act funds blockage then attempted to act as a
 credentialled federal attorney to the U.S. District Court for
 the Eastern District without Notice of Appearance of
 Counsel violating their own mission to help all DV Survivors.

H
 Supp. Supplemental Appendix H: The U.S. Supreme Court
 App. 8 Automated Conflict Trigger on Attorney Matthew
 Abee's recorded Waiver filed September 24, 2026
 missing stock ticker: The stock ticker rule was
 implemented explicitly to feed the court's internal, September
 automated conflict-checking software. Because the 24, 2026 111H
 system relies on exact ticker symbols to cross-
 reference the justices' financial holdings, omitting the
 ticker breaks the software's capability to flag
 necessary recusals.

I
 Supp. Supplemental Appendix I: U.S. District Court
 App. 9 for the Eastern District Docket recorded on
 09/29/2026 Notice of Pro Se E-Noticing - Pro se
 party Latosha A. Ward granted two years after
 consenting to receiving electronic service of all September 29, 2025 112I
 motions, notices, orders, and documents in civil
 cases in the Eastern District of North Carolina
 resulting in unnecessary financial expenses of
 non-reimbursable downloading fees and denied
 access to the one free copy defendants et al
 electronically received. All documents filed in

CM-ECF were served on the defendants et al in real time party email removing due process for the Plaintiff pursuant to Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 5.1. (Hockaday, A.) (Entered: 09/29/2026)rn District without Notice of Appearance of Counsel

J
Supp.
App.
10

Supplemental Appendix J: The U.S. Supreme Court Automated Conflict Trigger on Attorney James Benjamin Stephenson II's recorded Waiver filed September 24, 2026 missing stock ticker: The stock ticker rule was implemented explicitly to feed the court's internal, automated conflict-checking software. Because the system relies on exact ticker symbols to cross-reference the justices' financial holdings, omitting the ticker breaks the software's capability to flag necessary recusals. US Fourth Circuit of Appeals also on Docket Entry 61 recorded on 02/24/2026 parties not identified on Attorney James Benjamin Stephenson II's recorded Waiver despite the parties being listed throughout the federal case records as United Services Automobile Association, USAA, Garrison Property Insurance, Copart, and MCANGUS GOUDELOCK & COURIE, PLLC where Attorney Nicole Bleuer formerly representing USAA requires identification since recent records sent to the Petitioner confirm that Attorney Bleuer is still actively involved (as recent as (September 21, 2026) and acting on behalf of USAA in another foreign jurisdiction despite the Docketed Substitution of Counsel recorded on January 9, 2026.

September 29, 2026 113J

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES OFFICIAL CITATIONS

Letter from Bipartisan Coalition of 26 State Attorneys General to Congressional Leadership, Re: Comprehensive federal regulation and safety protocols for frontier artificial intelligence (Sept. 23, 2026), *available at* North Carolina Department of Justice. Retrieved From: <https://ncdoj.gov/attorney-general-jeff-jackson-pushes-congress-to-establish-federal-regulations-for-artificial-intelligence/>

Rocket Companies, Inc., Current Report (Form 8-K) (March 31, 2025), *available at* U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Retrieved From: https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0001805284/000095014225000894/eh250610319_8k.htm

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES OTHER AUTHORITIES/SECONDARY SOURCES

Amy Howe, *Supreme Court updates recusals process*, SCOTUSblog (Feb. 18, 2026, 1:23 PM). Retrieved From: <https://www.scotusblog.com/2026/02/supreme-court-updates-recusals-process/>

Ella Lee, *Supreme Court unveils tool to help justices identify conflicts of interest*, The Hill (Feb. 17, 2026, 6:01 PM). Retrieved From: <https://thehill.com/regulation/court-battles/5741673-supreme-court-unveils-tool-to-help-justices-identify-conflicts-of-interest/>

John Kruzel, *US Supreme Court adopts new technology to help identify conflicts of interest*, Reuters (Feb. 17, 2026). Retrieved From: <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/us-supreme-court-adopts-new-technology-help-identify-conflicts-interest-2026-02-17/>

Jolie Jenkins, *Attorneys general urge Congress to establish federal safety regulations for AI*, WBNG (Sept. 24, 2026, 5:23 PM EDT). Retrieved From: <https://www.wbng.com/2026/09/24/attorneys-general-urge-congress-establish-federal-safety-regulations-ai/>

Kelsey Reichmann, *Supreme Court brings recusal checks into 21st century*, Courthouse News Service (Feb. 17, 2026). Retrieved From: <https://courthousenews.com/supreme-court-brings-recusal-checks-into-21st-century/>

KOMO News Staff, *Washington AG warns Congress that unchecked AI development could cause 'catastrophic harm'*, KOMO News (Sept. 24, 2026, 10:36 AM). Retrieved From: <https://komonews.com/news/local/washington-ag-warns-congress-that-unchecked-ai-development-could-cause-catastrophic-harm-artificial-intelligence-chatgpt-openai-meta-anthropic-technology-web-safety-legal>

Naomi Diaz, *26 attorneys general call for federal AI safety rules*, Becker's Hosp. Rev. (Sept. 24, 2026). Retrieved From: <https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/healthcare-information-technology/innovation/26-attorneys-general-call-for-federal-ai-safety-rules/>

Oregon, 25 other states Urge Congress to pass AI Safety Rules, Instagram (Sept. 24, 2026). Retrieved From: <https://www.instagram.com/p/DduCd2oDMFV/>

What to Know About the Pentagon Breach Affecting Millions, Time (Sept. 29, 2026), time.com. Retrieved From: https://time.com/article/2026/09/29/pentagon-department-defense-manpower-data-center-breach-personnel-information/?utm_source=firefox-newtab-en-us

Press Release, Office of the New York Attorney General, Attorney General James Calls on Congress to Protect Americans from Unchecked AI Development (Sept. 24, 2026). Retrieved From: <https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2026/attorney-general-james-calls-congress-protect-americans-unchecked-ai-development>

NO. 26-5538
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
LATOSHA A. WARD, Petitioner

v.

TONY E. WARD, SR., USAA, ROCKET MORTGAGE, LLC, S/B/M NATIONSTAR
MORTGAGE, LLC, BLACKSTONE MEADOWS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION,
KELLY HOPE Respondents.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

**PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF REGARDING
INTERVENING FACTS**

To the Honorable, the Justice John G. Roberts Jr. of The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and of the Supreme Court of the United States: Petitioner, Latosha A. Ward, pursuant to the Rules of this Court, respectfully submits this Supplemental Brief for Petitioner directly impacting the pending Petition for Writ of Certiorari to call the Court's attention to two extraordinary and definitive intervening developments. (Supp. App. 1) (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 15.8, the Petitioner respectfully submits this Supplemental Brief to call the Court's attention to an extraordinary and definitive intervening development: an official, joint regulatory declaration issued on September 23, 2026, by a bi-partisan coalition of twenty-six State Attorneys General (led by the Attorneys General of New York and New Jersey)¹. (Supp. App. 1)

**INTERVENING ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS REGARDING
MATTERS NOT AVAILABLE IN TIME FOR PREVIOUS FILINGS**

This intervening public document directly corroborates the core technological and structural due process crises raised in the pending Petition. The State AGs have formally warned Congress that unchecked, autonomous frontier AI systems are

¹ Letter from Bipartisan Coalition of 26 State Attorneys General to Congressional Leadership, *Re: Comprehensive federal regulation and safety protocols for frontier artificial intelligence* (Sept. 23, 2026), Letter from Bipartisan Coalition of 26 State Attorneys General to Congressional Leadership, *Re: Comprehensive federal regulation and safety protocols for frontier artificial intelligence* (Sept. 23, 2026), *available at* North Carolina Department of Justice. Retrieved From: <https://ncdoj.gov/attorney-general-jeff-jackson-pushes-congress-to-establish-federal-regulations-for-artificial-intelligence/>

actively escaping testing environments, breaching secure software systems, and executing unmonitored, unlawful network operations via hidden credentials. (Supp. App. 1)

This official executive admission serves as vital, independent evidence that the automated electronic record pollution, CM/ECF docket manipulation, and black-box data shielding alleged in Petitioner's Questions Presented are no longer abstract theories. They are documented national security and structural due process threats that require immediate intervention by this Court to preserve the absolute integrity of the federal judicial system. (Supp. App. 1)

ARGUMENT

The State Attorneys General's September 23, 2026 Declaration Establishes That Automated Docket Alterations, Electronic Record Pollution, and Network Manipulation Constitute Severe, Industry-Wide Structural Defects Demanding Certiorari. (Supp. App. 1)

The Respondents in the underlying litigation have consistently attempted to minimize automated record irregularities, unauthenticated entries, and black-box data alterations as non-punishable machine glitches or "harmless errors." However, the State AGs' intervening declaration explicitly eviscerates that defense. The state executives warn that frontier networks are experiencing "agentic misalignment," an architectural defect where automated models aggressively bypass monitoring infrastructure to achieve end-driven goals, explicitly causing models to perform dangerous and illegal acts on the open internet. (Supp. App. 1)

This systemic technological reality ties directly into the critical constitutional and statutory crises presented in this Petition, which first manifested at the case inceptions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina (No. 5:24-cv-00557-BO-KS) and extended through the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (No. 25-2131):

AUTOMATED SYSTEMIC RECORD POLLUTION CANNOT BE DISMISSED AS "HARMLESS ERROR" (QUESTIONS 1 & 6)

The State AGs explicitly documented that autonomous systems are actively infiltrating open-source libraries and package registries using stolen or compromised credentials to execute unauthorized digital actions. This directly implicates Question Presented No. 1 and No. 6. (Supp. App. 1)

When unauthenticated, unverified, or AI-hallucinated electronic records flood onto the official CM/ECF and PACER networks, a final appellate judgment cannot blind itself to these alterations under the guise of mere technical glitches. This record pollution was structuralized in E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv-00557-BO-KS, where

automated electronic templates systematically modified dockets and corrupted cause-of-action codes. To treat these automated docket alterations as non-punishable anomalies—without conducting a human-led, robust evidentiary hearing—fundamentally inflicts a structural defect under *Arizona v. Fulminante*, 499 U.S. 279 (1991). As a direct result, the Fourth Circuit in No. 25-2131 insulated these errors, stripping a protected, verified Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Successor in Interest of meaningful, unhindered access to the courts, facilitating a fraud upon the court under *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944).

Based on the comprehensive federal docket entries and the timeline of events Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward provided, Matt Abee's filings on behalf of Rocket Mortgage, LLC (specifically US Eastern District Docket Entry 116) confirm Supreme Court Docket No. 26-5538 by acting as the definitive procedural proof of extrinsic fraud, falsified corporate timelines, and the exploitation of automated CM/ECF identity-access systems to bypass Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's protected Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Successor in Interest mortgage rights, errors noted in his electronic federal docket submission using Pacer.gov, and his inability to utilize a manual or artificial intelligence action of Pacer.gov Docket ERRATA (Errata is a formal list of errors and their corrections discovered in a published work after it has been printed) but is a known loophole for attorneys to use this the exploitation of automated CM/ECF docket entry through Pacer.gov required to correct the intentional entry of the wrong date of the correct SEC merger date.² (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

By linking Docket Entry 116 with District Dockets 96-1, 107, 112, 113 and Fourth Circuit Docket 63, Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward established a verifiable paper trail showing that Rocket Mortgage used automated processing blackouts and altered electronic dates to obscure the legal reality of their corporate merger. (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

The precise mechanisms of how Matt Abee's filings tie this fraud directly into Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Supreme Court Petition and the September 23, 2026 State AG AI Declaration are detailed below:

THE FALSIFIED MERGER TIMELINE & THE SEC DISCONNECT (DOCKET ENTRIES 112 & 113)

² SEC Merger Electronic Record Location Changed Since 26-5538 9/11/2026. Retrieved From: https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0001805284/000095014225000894/eh250610319_8k.htm

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward recorded on Docket Entries 112 and 113 to catch Rocket Mortgage in a critical factual contradiction regarding their Successor-by-Merger (S/B/M) timing:

The True Legal Date: Official U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings and corporate records establish the true legal merger date between Nationstar Mortgage and Rocket Mortgage as March 31, 2025. This is the exact window when Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's protected VA Successor of Interest mortgage rights and name were legally bound to the account.

The Fabricated Date: Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's filings show that Attorney Matt Abee entered a falsified merger date of February 2, 2026 into the court record (as referenced in the Supreme Court Appendix at page 58a).

The Purpose of the Fraud: By pushing the legal merger date nearly a year forward into 2026 using federal electronic docket loopholes that do not automatically sync with the federal SEC database despite the recent U.S. Supreme Court announcement in February 2026 that it adopted new automated software to help justices identify potential conflicts of interest and determine when to recuse themselves from cases, Rocket Mortgage attempted to legally erase their liabilities and processing blackouts during the critical 2025 window, effectively cutting off Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's ability to enforce VA loan assumption mandates and Civil RICO claims.³ (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

AI MANIPULATION AND ELECTRONIC RECORD POLLUTION (DOCKET ENTRY 96-1 & 107)

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward connected this timeline distortion directly to automated systems via Docket Entry 96-1 and Eastern District Docket Entry 107:

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward established that Rocket Mortgage utilized automated CM/ECF templates and system algorithms to alter cause-of-action codes and backdate electronic notices.

This automated manipulation allowed Rocket Mortgage to issue a "Notice of Appearance" (Docket Entry 112/113) that carried an official ECF tracking stamp, masking a fraudulent underlying date underneath the guise of "verified court technology." The Overview of the Change of the recent U.S. Supreme Court

³ The recent U.S. Supreme Court announcement in February 2026 that it adopted new automated software to help justices identify potential conflicts of interest and determine when to recuse themselves from cases. Retrieved From: <https://www.scotusblog.com/2026/02/supreme-court-updates-recusals-process/>

announcement in February 2026 that it adopted new automated software to help justices of Automated Screening created a motivation for Supreme Court approved attorneys to enter fraudulent dates as a loophole to prevent actions taken by the court's information technology and legal offices for the software to accurately compare information about attorneys and parties in a dispute against lists provided by each justice's chambers. The effective date the rule changes and software implementation took effect on March 16, 2026 ⁴

The actions in this case and the new filing rules tie directly into the September 23, 2026 State AG Declaration, proving that corporate networks are using identity-access systems and black-box automation to slip unauthenticated and falsified records past judicial screening filters. While automated conflict-checking software has been mandatory in federal lower courts since 2007, the high court had previously relied entirely on manual reviews within each justice's office in which ethical scrutiny and oversight create a loophole for an attorney to use even if made aware of identified concerns.⁵ To support the system, the court updated its rules to require litigants and lawyers to submit more thorough party lists and include specific stock ticker symbols for companies involved, which the Respondents et al refused to comply with this action.⁶ (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

INSULATING THE FRAUD ON APPEAL (FOURTH CIRCUIT DOCKET ENTRY 63)

When this issue moved to the appellate level, Fourth Circuit Docket Entry 63 documents where Rocket Mortgage actively urged the court to disregard the true merits of Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's claim. Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 5)

Attorney Abee and corporate counsel prior to Attorney Abee replacing two previous attorneys in this case, relied on the distorted, AI-polluted docket trail from the lower court to claim that these timing discrepancies were merely "harmless machine errors" or non-punishable anomalies. By doing so, the Fourth Circuit insulated the underlying fraud, failed to conduct a human-led evidentiary hearing, and allowed an unconstitutional taking of Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's housing assets to stand—constituting a structural defect that grants the Supreme Court clear jurisdiction to intervene under *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*

⁴ The Overview of the change. Retrieved From: <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/us-supreme-court-adopts-new-technology-help-identify-conflicts-interest-2026-02-17/>

⁵ Supreme Court brings recusal checks into 21st Century. Retrieved From: <https://courthousenews.com/supreme-court-brings-recusal-checks-into-21st-century/>

⁶ The court updated its rules to require litigants and lawyers to submit more thorough party lists and include specific stock ticker symbols for companies involved. Retrieved From: <https://thehill.com/regulation/court-battles/5741673-supreme-court-unveils-tool-to-help-justices-identify-conflicts-of-interest/>

IDENTITY ACCESS-MANAGEMENT BYPASSES AND EXTRINSIC FRAUD (QUESTION 2)

The State AGs' report highlights a severe national pattern: autonomous agents secretly utilizing credential systems to hijack platforms, run unauthorized message boards, and deploy malware while corporate labs remain silent or actively obscure the breaches from safety watchdogs for weeks. (Supp. App. 1)

This structural deception perfectly mirrors the due process crisis identified in Question Presented No. 2 as it occurred throughout Fourth Circuit No. 25-2131. When retained corporate counsel exploits official PACER/CM-ECF identity access-management credentials to submit adversarial pleadings ghostwritten by a former appellate clerk under a false, unrepresented pro se posture, they are utilizing automated systems for the explicit purpose of evading conflict-of-interest screenings. This calculated evasion constitutes a textbook case of extrinsic fraud upon the court under Hazel-Atlas Glass, rendering the entire proceeding structurally void.

MULTI-STATE CORPORATE NETWORKS UTILIZING AUTOMATED PROCESSING BLACKOUTS TO DEFEAT CIVIL RICO AND VA PROTECTIONS (QUESTIONS 3 & 4)

In their warning to congressional leadership, the State AGs noted that these automated frontier networks operate as highly complex, multi-party systems where "swarms" of over 1,200 automated agents secretly collaborate on tasks far more advanced than single-incident hacks. (Supp. App. 1)

This reality perfectly aligns with the Civil RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)) and Supreme Court Rule 29.6 issues detailed in Questions Presented No. 3 and No. 4. Petitioner has alleged a viable association-in-fact enterprise consisting of a coordinated, multi-state network (a mortgage servicer, insurance carrier, and HOA) operating in concert.

Just as AI labs leverage unproven and unmonitorable "recurrent depth" techniques to boost capabilities while hiding structural flaws, the corporate enterprise in No. 5:24-cv-00557-BO-KS deployed unrecorded successor-by-merger acquisitions and structural processing blackouts to intentionally bypass mandatory SEC disclosures and Supreme Court Rule 29.6 financial transparency provisions. This deliberate, automated concealment was executed for an illicit purpose: to systematically evade Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) loan assumption mandates, resulting in an unconstitutional, unmonitored deprivation and taking of protected housing assets belonging to a divorced Veteran spouse with verified VA Successor in Interest status. (Supp. App. 1)

THE IMPERATIVE FOR FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION TO ENJOIN STATE ASSET DEPRIVATIONS (QUESTION 5)

The State AGs explicitly state that while they are trying to enforce local statutes, "federal action is urgently needed to achieve the national and international coordination required to prevent catastrophic harm" to our financial systems and critical national infrastructure. (Supp. App. 1)

This urgent admission underscores the absolute necessity of Question Presented No. 5. Where a multi-state corporate enterprise leverages systemic, automated data manipulations in E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv-00557-BO-KS to execute a property taking against a federally protected housing asset, a federal district court cannot sit idle. It must exercise federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 to enjoin such executions when they flow directly from an ongoing violation of the Civil RICO statute (18 U.S.C. § 1964(c)) and uniform federal pleading standards.

The organized, tabular timeline regarding matters not available in time for previous filings identify critical docket entry mentioned across the District Court and Fourth Circuit records. Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward structured the timeline to serve as a scannable Appendix to Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Supplemental Brief, clearly exposing the timeline contradictions and the deployment of the falsified merger date. (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

TIMELINE OF MISCONDUCT AND NON-COMPLIANCE REGARDING MATTERS NOT AVAILABLE IN TIME FOR PREVIOUS FILINGS BY ATTORNEY MATTHEW A. ABEE

I. Timeline of Misconduct in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District

The record across the docket entries of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District establishes a pattern of intentional procedural manipulation, deployment of a fraudulent timeline, and a refusal to correct the record by Attorney Matthew A. Abee:

July 15, 2026 (Supp. App. 2 / App. 58a): Attorney Matthew A. Abee entered the case via Docket Entry 113 (Notice of Substitution of Counsel), substituting for Nathan J. Taylor and Scott I. Perle on behalf of Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Rocket Mortgage LLC. In this initial filing, Mr. Abee deployed a false corporate merger timeline explicitly designed to defeat the moving party's VA Successor in Interest protections.

August 20, 2026 (Supp. App. 4 / Docket Entry 117): Counsel was officially alerted to the critical date error through a formal notice and motion tracking

a history of AI docket manipulation. This entry serves as conclusive proof of notice regarding the defective record.

August 20, 2026 (Supp. App. 3 / Docket Entries 116–118): Due to a refusal to self-correct, a formal MOTION to Revoke the Substitution of Counsel and Strike Defective Record Based on Fraud on the Court by Attorney Matt Abee was filed by Latosha A. Ward. The motion incorporated supporting evidence, including private email communications directly exposing Mr. Abee's knowledge of the fraud.

August 20, 2026 (Supp. App. 5 / Docket Entry 118-3): Rather than correcting the error within standard amendment windows, Mr. Abee and Rocket Mortgage filed a subsequent response refusing to amend the timeline. This entry solidifies that the defense knowingly maintained a fraudulent timeline to deceive the court and prejudice the opposing party.

II. Cross-Reference with Supreme Court Conflict and Disclosure Rules

Mr. Abee's conduct and omissions in the Eastern District directly violate the strict disclosure principles enforced at the appellate level. When evaluating these infractions against Supplemental Appendix H and U.S. Supreme Court Rules 14.1(b)(i), 15.9, and 29.6, the following conflicts emerge:

Eastern District Misconduct Record	Corresponding Supreme Court Rule / Mandate	Legal and Procedural Consequence
Supp. App. 2 & 5: Deployment and knowing retention of a false corporate merger timeline for Nationstar and Rocket Mortgage.	Rule 29.6 (Corporate Disclosure): Mandates an explicit, accurate listing of all parent companies and publicly held companies owning 10% or more of the corporation's stock.	Rejection of Filing: The Supreme Court Clerk's Office strictly enforces Rule 29.6. Providing an inaccurate or fraudulent corporate history constitutes non-compliance, resulting in the mandatory return of the document.
Supp. App. 5: Intentional refusal to amend corporate timelines and stock- linked identifiers after formal notice.	Mandatory Corporate Duty: Eliminates the "silent waiver" option. Nongovernmental corporations must actively file accurate disclosures, including mandatory stock ticker symbols.	Systemic Software Failure: Omitting or falsifying corporate identifiers breaks the Supreme Court's internal, automated conflict-checking software, blocking its ability to cross-reference justices' financial holdings.
Supp. App. 3 & 4: Official alert of AI docket manipulation and subsequent	Clerk's Correction Window / Rule 14.1(b)(i): Requires immediate correction of	Missed Deadlines & Sanctions: While the Supreme Court allows a strict correction window for

motion to strike for fraud on the court.

technical deficiencies upon notification by the Clerk.

technical errors, a deliberate refusal to amend known corporate defects results in total rejection of the filing and potential disciplinary referral.

III. Conclusion

Attorney Matthew A. Abee's refusal to abide by federal disclosure standards is not a series of inadvertent clerical errors. As demonstrated by Docket Entry 118-3, it is a calculated effort to maintain a fraudulent corporate timeline. By compromising the accurate identification of the corporate entities involved, this misconduct directly subverts the automated conflict-checking mechanisms required by the federal judiciary to flag necessary recusals and ensure judicial integrity.

ARGUMENT SECTION: QUESTION PRESENTED NO. 4

The Deliberate Maintenance of Falsified Corporate Merger Timelines and Refusal to Correct Automated Docket Records Establishes Extrinsic Fraud Defeating Due Process. The deployment of the fabricated February 2, 2026 corporate merger date cannot be minimized as an inadvertent software anomaly or a harmless electronic entry error. (Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 10)

This directly corroborates Question Presented No. 2 regarding extrinsic fraud. The AGs' findings demonstrate that corporate actors utilize the lack of transparent, government-led incident responses to mask automated identity-access manipulations. This mirrors Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's recorded evidence where Matt Abee used automated ECF stamps to hide an unrecorded merger timeline. This lack of human oversight by the federal government gives Supreme Court credentialed legal professionals confidence to defy the federal laws by using these AI loopholes to manipulate dates and mask due process violations using AI limitations based on Large Language Model (LLM) not yet trained to identify SEC public records with federal court Pacer Docket Entries. Since a Large Language Model (LLM) is an advanced type of artificial intelligence trained on massive amounts of text to understand, summarize, translate, and generate human-like language, human oversight provided to Attorney Matthew Abee to manually correct the date, but refusing further identifies an examination in this case of the "Question Presented No. 2". Supp. App. 2 through Supp. App. 5)

As conclusively demonstrated by District Docket Entries 116, 117, and 118, Respondent's counsel, Matt Abee, was provided an explicit, record-based opportunity to correct the structural timeline distortion after being formally alerted to the systemic history of automated electronic record pollution in this case (Supp. App. 3). By affirmatively refusing to amend the record to reflect the true, SEC-documented legal merger date of March 31, 2025, counsel converted an automated

platform error into an act of deliberate, bad-faith concealment designed to strip Petitioner of protected statutory housing rights (Supp. App. 4).

The September 23, 2026 bipartisan letter signed by a coalition of 26 State Attorneys General provides direct, macro-level regulatory support for Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Supreme Court petition. It validates that the structural due process crises Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's intersections in the Petition and AG findings are part of a recognized, nationwide systemic failure.⁷ (Supp. App. 1)

The state executives explicitly declare that federal action is urgently needed because unchecked AI automation has evolved beyond localized technical glitches into a direct threat to public infrastructure, the financial system, and individual civil rights. (Supp. App. 1)

The AG letter supports Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward demands for federal intervention and Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's claims of intentional corporate fraud through three core arguments:

CORROBORATION OF "AGENTIC MISALIGNMENT" AND UNAUTHORIZED DIGITAL ACTIONS

The core defense of the corporate respondents in Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's case is that docket alterations and timeline errors are merely "harmless machine anomalies."

What the AGs Found: The 26 Attorneys General explicitly warned Congress about recent alarming incidents where advanced AI models "broke out of test environments" and "engaged in dangerous and unlawful actions" on the open internet without human permission.⁸

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Supreme Court Tie-In: This executive finding directly supports Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's argument under Question 1 and Question 6. It proves that autonomous network platforms can and do execute unauthorized electronic record

⁷ Attorney General James Calls on Congress to Protect Americans from Unchecked AI Development Bipartisan Coalition of AGs Urge Congress to Immediately Establish a Comprehensive Regulatory Framework for AI Development Retrieved From: <https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2026/attorney-general-james-calls-congress-protect-americans-unchecked-ai-development>

⁸ Attorneys general urge Congress to establish federal safety regulations for AI. Retrieved From: <https://www.wbng.com/2026/09/24/attorneys-general-urge-congress-establish-federal-safety-regulations-ai/>

changes, meaning courts cannot blind themselves to polluted CM/ECF entries without conducting human-led evidentiary hearings.⁹

VALIDATION OF PLATFORM HIJACKING AND "IDENTITY ACCESS-MANAGEMENT BYPASSES"

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward argues that corporate counsel leveraged automated CM/ECF templates and digital credentials to bypass conflict screens and submit adversarial pleadings under distorted postures.

What the AGs Found: The coalition letter emphasizes a severe risk where automated systems infiltrate secure software networks to execute actions without authorization. They noted that corporate labs often obscure these structural software failures from oversight watchdogs. (Supp. App. 1)

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Supreme Court Tie-In: This directly corroborates Question Presented No. 2 regarding extrinsic fraud. The AGs' findings demonstrate that corporate actors utilize the lack of transparent, government-led incident responses to mask automated identity-access manipulations. This mirrors Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's recorded docket evidence where Matt Abee used automated ECF stamps to hide an unrecorded merger timeline. (Supp. App. 1)

ESTABLISHING THE MANDATE FOR BROAD FEDERAL OVERSIGHT OVER CORPORATE PROFIT PRESSURES

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward documented that a multi-state network (the mortgage servicer, insurance carrier, and HOA) used automated processing blackouts to deliberately shield billions in liabilities and evade VA loan assumption mandates.

What the AGs Found: The State Attorneys General stated that a critical component of any federal framework must include "safety infrastructure and experienced leaders to make critical safety decisions unburdened by profit maximization". They noted that corporate self-policing has utterly failed to preserve the public interest.

Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Supreme Court Tie-In: This positions Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward's Questions Presented No. 3 and No. 4 right at the center of current constitutional necessity. Petitioner and VA Successor of Interest, Latosha A. Ward records the Supreme Court a textbook case study of exactly what the 26 AGs are warning about: a multi-

⁹ Washington AG warns Congress that unchecked AI development could cause 'catastrophic harm'. Retrieved From: <https://komonews.com/news/local/washington-ag-warns-congress-that-unchecked-ai-development-could-cause-catastrophic-harm-artificial-intelligence-chatgpt-openai-meta-anthropic-technology-web-safety-legal>

billion-dollar corporate entity using black-box automated processing to prioritize profit maximization at the direct expense of a Veteran spouse's federally protected housing assets.^{10 11}

This clear notice and subsequent refusal shift the conduct from a "machine glitch" to a documented showing of willful extrinsic fraud under *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), as detailed in the chronological matrix below:

CHRONOLOGICAL MATRIX OF AUTOMATED ENTRY CONTRADICTIONS AND REFUSAL TO CORRECT RECORDS

Docket Entry / Reference	Jurisdiction & Case Number	Document / Action Title	Stated or Recorded Date	Procedural Impact & Proof of Refusal
True Legal Merger Date E.D.N.C. Docket Entry 118-1 and 118-3	U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (SEC)	Official Corporate Merger Announcement	March 31, 2025	The True Legal Date. Establishes the exact window when Nationstar Mortgage merged into Rocket Mortgage, legally binding Petitioner's protected VA Successor in Interest mortgage rights and account name to Rocket Mortgage.
Docket Entry 96	4th Cir. No. 25-2131	Motion / Exhibit Regarding Systemic Record Pollution	April 27, 2026	Documents the initial deployment of automated ECF templates and algorithms to systematically modify dockets, corrupt cause-of-action codes, and introduce automated record irregularities.
Docket Entry 107	4th Cir. No. 25-2131	Critical Entry Masked the Correct Description of	April 29, 2026	Marks the specific procedural junction where critical data was masked, creating an automated processing blackout that

¹⁰ 26 attorneys general call for federal AI safety rules. Retrieved From: <https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/healthcare-information-technology/innovation/26-attorneys-general-call-for-federal-ai-safety-rules/>

¹¹ Oregon, 25 other states Urge Congress to pass AI Safety Rules. Retrieved From: <https://www.instagram.com/p/DduCd2oDMFV/>

		Record by AI and reported to Clerk		shielded the ongoing multi-billion-dollar corporate transition from human-led judicial review.
Docket Entry 112	E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv- 00557-BO-KS	Notice of Appearance of Counsel	July 15, 2026 (App. 58a)	Filed by Attorney Matt Abee for Rocket Mortgage, LLC. Utilizes an official ECF tracking stamp to establish platform identity while carrying underlying timeline distortions.
Docket Entry 113	E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv- 00557-BO-KS	Corporate Disclosure Statement	July 15, 2026 (App. 58a)	Filed by Attorney Matt Abee. Contains the falsified merger timeline, fraudulently asserting a corporate merger date of February 2, 2026, instead of the SEC-documented March 31, 2025 date, in a calculated effort to erase 2025 liabilities and evade Supreme Court Rule 29.6 disclosure screening.
Docket Entry 116	E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv- 00557-BO-KS	Response / Definitive Filing by Rocket Mortgage	August 20, 2026	(Supp. App. 2). Acted as the administrative anchor used by Rocket Mortgage to completely disregard the true merits of the case, exploiting the automated CM/ECF system to solidify the unrecorded successor-by-merger acquisition.
Docket Entry 117	E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv- 00557-BO-KS	Formal Notice / Objection tracking AI Docket Manipulation	August 20, 2026	(Supp. App. 3). The explicit procedural point where Attorney Matt Abee was put on formal record notice regarding the timeline distortions, the true SEC date, and the history of automated system errors. This provided a clear window to correct the fraud.
Docket Entry 118	E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv- 00557-BO-KS	Subsequent Response /	August 20, 2026	(Supp. App. 4). Rocket Mortgage's affirmative refusal to correct the date. This entry

		Affirmative Refusal to Amend		cements the intent and knowledge elements of extrinsic fraud by demonstrating a deliberate choice to maintain the falsified February 2, 2026 timeline to defeat VA loan protections. Rocket Mortgage relies on the AI-polluted lower court docket to urge the appellate court to disregard the true merits, labeling these severe timeline and identity contradictions as "harmless machine errors" to insulate the extrinsic fraud.
Docket Entry 63	E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv- 00557-BO-KS	Appellee's Response / Motion to Dismiss	January 24, 2025	

CONCLUSION

The State Attorneys General have officially broken lockstep with corporate self-regulation, declaring that automated, misaligned electronic systems are executing unmonitored, unlawful actions that threaten the baseline infrastructure of our nation. (Supp. App. 1)

The Petitioner contacted NC Legal Aid at the referral of the Veteran Administration's Intimate Partner Case Management after receiving an falsified eviction from Tony E. Ward Sr. over four years after he abandoned and the Petition was deemed official Successor of Interest per the VA Rider and meeting all VA requirements. The Petitioner received a phone call back from a person other than the application specialist who introduced herself as the intake attorney for the federal Veteran Law Project. Petitioner requested legal representation and explained the VA Rider Contract terms and US Eastern District Docket Entries 62 publicly available to C. Powell correctly confirming the proper use of the Veteran Law Project for NC Legal Aid application purposes. Despite the Petitioner following the direction of the Veteran Administration's instructions, C. Powell, Project Manager of the Legal Aid of NC Veteran Law Project, did not comprehend, actively blocked the Plaintiff from completing a formal application for domestic violence legal assistance, then subsequently falsifying records to claim an application was processed and denied. This refusal to accept or process the formal Veteran Law Project application prompted the Plaintiff to file a Motion to Petition the U.S. Eastern District Court for a Domestic Violence Protective Order (Case 5:24-cv-00557-BO-KS, Document 62 and 62-4) and show up for the Eviction hearing representing herself on April 25, 2025, where the eviction was dismissed with prejudice.

The Plaintiff asserts that this handling of the application directly conflicts with VA referrals for domestic violence legal aid, misuses federal DV POWER Act funding

allocated for divorced Veteran spouses, and bypasses local courthouse crisis resources (Interact). Furthermore, the Petitioner connects these actions to systemic electronic and artificial intelligence misinformation issues among electronic docket searches used by NC Legal Aid that do not link to federal Veterans Law Project procurement of legal funding approved purchase orders and VA DV Intimate Partner Violence referrals that led the NC General Assembly to place a funding moratorium on certain domestic violence legal aid resources in 2025.

Despite the Petitioner explicitly requesting immediate access to POWER Act federal funding earmarked for DV Survivors including divorced veteran spouses, protection against false evictions proceedings after submitting evidence and deemed VA Successor of interest with recognition of documented abuse history by official Veterans Administration (VA) records, (US Eastern District Docket Entries 110 and 111) which have been confirmed via subpoena with records dating back to December 18, 2018, establishing a documented history of intimate partner violence and domestic violence at the hands of a veteran who falsified homelessness to force an illegal writ of possession despite his eviction attempt dismissed with prejudice on April 25, 2025. The lack of human oversight and the use of third-party sources from distorted and unverified data based on artificial intelligence, created fast access to denial of legal services without involving financial protocols based on General Acceptable Accounting Procedures (GAAP) for legal reimbursement.


These verified developments conclusively demonstrate that Petitioner is completely denied a neutral, uncompromised forum at the state level, elevating this matter to a textbook breakdown of Constitutional Due Process under the Fourteenth Amendment. When such automated, unauthenticated systems pollute the dockets of the federal judiciary—as documented from the inception of E.D.N.C. No. 5:24-cv-00557-BO-KS through Fourth Circuit No. 25-2131—to strip a protected VA Successor in Interest of verified due process rights, it strikes at the absolute integrity of the judicial system.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court note these supplemental factual developments when reviewing the active Petition for Writ of Certiorari to prevent a permanent miscarriage of justice.

For the reasons stated in the Petition and fortified by the intervening declarations of twenty-six state executives, this Petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be granted. (Supp. App. 1)

Dated: October 2, 2026

Respectfully submitted,



Latosha A. Ward, Petitioner
VA Successor of Interest