


No. **26-5525**

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Lola Bonitta McGee – PETITIONER

vs.

David P. Steiner, Postmaster General, et al. – RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Lola Bonitta McGee

1132 Park Hollow Ln

Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(562) 889-2662

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. When an exemplary employee works for the United States Postal Service, in management, and the employee was discriminated against, by management, the employee's life was threatened and she had a Nervous Breakdown, as a result, and she had a Heart Operation due to the threats to her life, then was forced into a Medical Disability Retirement at age 47, who holds the employer, the federal government to account for the catastrophic damage that was done to the employee?

2. If the federal government caused harm to a human being (Employee) and that entity investigates itself, is that entity required to admit their wrongs and make human beings whole for their actions, behavior, and ill intent? If the employee was incapacitated, traumatized, immobilized, psychotic, and incapable of addressing required processes to hold the agencies, and courts accountable for their inaccuracies, who stands up for the employee, that has had help submitting her documentation, but could not verbally speak for herself, because of the harm that was done to her by the government?

3. If the government has counsel to represent them in a case for doing wrong against human beings, then why doesn't the federal employee get counsel paid for by the federal government they work for?

4. If a person's life was threatened by the federal government, did that entity commit a crime? Also, should the processing federal agencies be held accountable for the intentional lies, cover up, and their own corrupt actions and behaviors during their investigation?

5. Is there a law for threats of violence in the federal government workplace, then where is the accountability for me?

6. If people are harassed at work or home, anywhere, should those perpetrators be held accountable for their actions and behavior; or not?

7. Regardless to where a person works in the United States, should that matter in being treated with dignity and respect? Also, if supporting documentation is submitted on behalf of the employee, why is it overlooked, and narratives are written as if the employee did not submit sufficient evidence?

8. If people are highly skilled, knowledgeable, and know how to lead by example, boost morale and performance in their duties, should they be denied upward mobility regardless of if they are considered black, white, or brown?

9. Does the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES believe that there is racism, reverse racism, double standards, and abuse of power, which stifle the growth and development of all society?

10. Should human beings strive to be better, grow from mistakes that were not intentional, and meet the challenges they face by letting their voice be heard, but if the powers that be are precluding their growth, should those people in those positions be held accountable for their actions and behavior of jealousy and insecurity?

11. Does this court believe that racism, double standards, and abuse of power adversely affect human life, society, and the economy?

12. Does the court believe people want to work, fulfill their purpose, and be treated fairly and justly?

13. Should a person's faith in GOD be the reason that they are discriminated against when that faith is the wind beneath their wing, it's how they function as a human being?

14. Should a person's faith to pray over food be denied?

15. Should upper management of any workplace pressure their subordinates to carry out orders even if the orders are malicious, wrongdoing, and personal vendettas against the employee, be it staff or employees?

16. Should the way a human being votes in the United States be held against them and cause adversity by their co-workers or superiors?

17. Does the Supreme Court of the United States believe that because of a person's sex, age, gender, faith, ancestry, and all else that encompass human life, should they be subjected to threats of violence, retaliation, sexual harassment, physical and psychological rape, and fear of reprisal of the people that did these actions and behaviors, and is it warrant against the victims?

18. If anywhere, any workplace cause human beings catastrophic damage that leads to their demise, life as they knew it to be, should the individuals that are responsible and their superiors that allowed it to happen be held accountable to the fullest extent of the law, and if a law doesn't exist, should one be created to protect people from such behavior are there will be consequences and accountability.

19. Does the United States Supreme Court believe that wrongdoing to human beings' lives should be held to account? Mayhem was committed on my heart, without any heart problems – Ablation, because my life was threatened by my boss?

20. Does the court believe that a person that was physically, mentally, emotionally, financially, educated with a wealth of knowledge, skills, ability and able to lead in personal and professional life, along with treating all people with dignity and respect, should be crucified because of who they are, and the color of what society says their skin color is (Black)? Is this Slavery?

21. Should those that are mentally, physically, emotionally, psychotic, live in terror, and trauma , with poor cognition, be required to meet protocol, dates, filings, represent themselves, misused and abused by a self-driven narrative in a systematic way, rather its attorneys, court reporters, deposition testimony's, government officials and agencies, mom and pop companies, jurist, and all entities in any capacity, be required to represent themselves and be treated unjustly because the people cannot help the condition that was cause to them through no fault of their own?

22. Does anyone have the right to take a person's life, health, and welfare, have them work to promote them and they will NEVER know how they would have grown older in health, for the rest of their life?

23. Should anyone be forced to work for free, after doing their duties at a higher level and working in their position for years?

24. Has anyone worked a position for years and desired upward mobility, and was over qualified than those promoted (17), interview four times out of the (17) positions, worked in seven different offices in Nevada, and flown to Reno, was addressed as the clean-up woman, but there was always an excuse of not getting the promotions, you are too confident, it's a matter of win not if, but you interview very well, and never received a promotion?

25. Is it appropriate to ask a person, while being interviewed, about their personal life, home, and their child's educational accomplishments and things of that nature?

26. Does the United States Supreme Court believe without consequences, and accountability, racism, abuse of power, and double standards will continue to feaster evil, hatred, and disdain for society and stunt the growth of America until accountability for these atrocities are actualized by law?

27. Is it ethical for the Nevada District Court, the EEOC, DOL/OWCP, and the US Postal Service to act as if Ms. McGee was competent to handle her requirements, in addressing her case and claims, which is to file content driven appeals and be timely, and stand to speak before the court when she was incompetent, also, with

slurred speech, and a confused mind, according to her presence, speaking over the phone, and her medical documentation says so? Are any of these people medical providers?

28. Is it protocol for the DOL/OWCP to set the standard for all other federal agencies to follow, including the courts, by not acknowledging Ms. McGee's injuries/illnesses, because she was threatened by her co-worker, and boss, which is what made her mentally disabled, and have a Nervous Breakdown?

LIST OF PARTIES

(x) All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: David P. Steiner, Steven Phaup, Craig Colton, Robert Reynosa, Mark Martinez, Jennifer Vo, Corey Richards, Jerry Wilson, and Yul Melonson.

RELATED CASES

Constitution of The United States of America 1789 (rev. 1992): We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, ensure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

1st Amendment: Freedom of speech, press, religion, assembly, and petition.

5th Amendment: No one shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law....

7th Amendment: Rights in Civil Cases..... the right of trial by jury shall be preserved....

13th Amendment: Abolish Slavery.... Free Labor.....

14th Amendment: If you are born or naturalized in the United States then you are a citizen of the United States.

15th Amendment: You cannot prevent a person from voting because of race, color, or creed.

Stoll vs. Runyon: The doctrine of equitable tolling "applies when the plaintiff is prevented from asserting a claim by wrongful conduct on the part of the defendant, or when extraordinary circumstances beyond the plaintiff's control made it impossible to file a claim on time." Stoll v. Runyon, 165 F.3d 1238 (9th Cir. 1999).

Equitable Tolling; Mental Incompetence. Mental incompetence may equitably toll administrative deadlines if a plaintiff meets a two-part test. Bills v. Clark, 628 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir.2010); Stoll v. Runyon, 165 F.3d 1238, 1242 (9th Cir.1999). First, a plaintiff "must show his mental impairment was an 'extraordinary circumstance' beyond his control" by demonstrating the impairment was so severe that either "(a) plaintiff was unable rationally or factually to personally understand the need to timely file, or (b) plaintiff's mental state rendered him unable personally to prepare [a complaint] and effectuate its filing." Bills, 628 F.3d at 1099-1100. Second, the plaintiff "must show diligence in pursuing the claims to the extent he could understand them, but that the mental impairment made it impossible to meet the filing deadline under the totality of the circumstances...." Id. at 1110.

Johnson v. Lucent Technologies Inc., 653 F.3d 1000 (9th Cir. 2011).

The standard for equitable tolling based on mental impairment is delineated by *Bills v. Clark*, 628 F.3d 1092, 1099-100 (9th Cir.2010):

(1) First, a petitioner must show his mental impairment was an "extraordinary circumstance" beyond his control by demonstrating the impairment was so severe that either

(a) petitioner was unable rationally or factually to personally understand the need to timely file, or

(b) The petitioner's mental state rendered him unable personally to prepare a habeas petition and effectuate its filing.

(2) Second, the petitioner must show diligence in pursuing the claims to the extent he could understand them, but that the mental impairment made it impossible to meet the filing deadline under the totality of the circumstances, including reasonably available access to assistance.

Id. This reiterates the stringency of the overall equitable tolling test: the mental impairment must be so debilitating that it is the but-for cause of the delay, and even in cases of debilitating impairment the petitioner must still demonstrate diligence. *Id.* at 1100.

Yow Ming Yeh v. Martel, 751 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2014).

French vs. Office of Personnel Management, the doctrine of equitable tolling "applies when the plaintiff is prevented from asserting a claim by wrongful conduct on the part of the defendant, or when extraordinary circumstances beyond the plaintiff's control made it impossible to file a claim on time." Ms. McGee met the requirement to equitably toll the time for filing her appeal because she was incapacitated because her extraordinary circumstances precluded from filing a content driven, timely appeal, she was incapacitated due to the harm she suffered by postal service management towards her, discrimination, threats to her life, hostile work environment, harassment, retaliation, heart operation, unimaginable pain, psychotic mentality, poor cognition, lack of memory, concentration, incontinence, confused mind, racing thoughts, poor motor skills, traumatized and immobilized, and insane.

Stoll v. Runyon, 165 F.3d 1238 (9th Cir. 1999).

file, or (b) plaintiff's mental state rendered him unable personally to prepare [a complaint] and effectuate its filing." *Bills*, 628 F.3d at 1099-1100. Second, the plaintiff "must show diligence in pursuing the claims to the extent he could Equitable Tolling; Mental Incompetence Mental incompetence may equitably toll administrative

deadlines if a plaintiff meets a two-part test. *Bills v. Clark*, 628 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir.2010); *Stoll v. Runyon*, 165 F.3d 1238, 1242 (9th Cir.1999). First, a plaintiff "must show his mental impairment was an 'extraordinary circumstance' beyond his control" by demonstrating the impairment was so severe that either "(a) plaintiff was unable rationally or factually to personally understand the need to timely understand them, but that the mental impairment made it impossible to meet the filing deadline under the totality of the circumstances...." *Id.* at 1110.

Johnson v. Lucent Technologies Inc., 653 F.3d 1000 (9th Cir. 2011).

The standard for equitable tolling based on mental impairment is delineated by *Bills v. Clark*, 628 F.3d 1092, 1099-100 (9th Cir.2010):

(1) First, a petitioner must show his mental impairment was an "extraordinary circumstance" beyond his control by demonstrating the impairment was so severe that either

(a) petitioner was unable rationally or factually to personally understand the need to timely file, or

(b) The petitioner's mental state rendered him unable personally to prepare a habeas petition and effectuate its filing.

(2) Second, the petitioner must show diligence in pursuing the claims to the extent he could understand them, but that the mental impairment made it impossible to meet the filing deadline under the totality of the circumstances, including reasonably available access to assistance.

Id. This reiterates the stringency of the overall equitable tolling test: the mental impairment must be so debilitating that it is the but-for cause of the delay, and even in cases of debilitating impairment the petitioner must still demonstrate diligence. *Id.* at 1100.

Yow Ming Yeh v. Martel, 751 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2014).

The doctrine of equitable tolling "applies when the plaintiff is prevented from asserting a claim by wrongful conduct on the part of the defendant, or when extraordinary circumstances beyond the plaintiff's control made it impossible to file a claim on time." *Stoll v. Runyon*, 165 F.3d 1238 (9th Cir. 1999).

Equitable Tolling; Mental Incompetence. Mental incompetence may equitably toll administrative deadlines if a plaintiff meets a two-part test. *Bills v. Clark*, 628 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir.2010); *Stoll v. Runyon*, 165 F.3d 1238, 1242 (9th Cir.1999). First, a plaintiff "must show his mental impairment was an 'extraordinary

circumstance' beyond his control" by demonstrating the impairment was so severe that either "(a) plaintiff was unable rationally or factually to personally understand the need to timely file, or (b) plaintiff's mental state rendered him unable personally to prepare [a complaint] and effectuate its filing." Bills, 628 F.3d at 1099-1100. Second, the plaintiff "must show diligence in pursuing the claims to the extent he could understand them, but that the mental impairment made it impossible to meet the filing deadline under the totality of the circumstances...." Id. at 1110.

Johnson v. Lucent Technologies Inc., 653 F.3d 1000 (9th Cir. 2011). Ms. McGee has been under the care of 30+ medical providers, all who has rendered her disabled, due to employment; and specialist to treat various areas of her health. Her latest medical testing and evaluation, by Dr. Miriam Shapiro, stated that Ms. McGee actually, had a Full-Blown Nervous Breakdown, which is a term that Ms. McGee grew up understanding when a person has something that went wrong with their mind, brain, and mentality. She suffered with incontinence, slurred speak, poor mobility, in-home therapist, caregivers, she was psychotic, and had to relocate seven times, within a year and a half, and relocated 12 times, within three and a half years. She suffers from PTSD, Major Depression, Panic Disorder, Anxiety, Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy – Nerve Damage, and Heart Palpitations, along with having a Heart Operations due to the threats to her life. She believed that smart bird's, were chirping to track her down for those who threatened her life, the FBI was following her in cars and helicopters, people and those who threatened her were coming to her home and anywhere to kill her, just like they said they would. She has poor cognition, memory loss, lack of concentration, mental confusion, lack of focus, lack of understanding, easily overwhelmed with responsibility, she still has a very hard time understanding how she could be treated so badly by the government she worked so hard for, and the EEOC, along with the federal court system. The postal service, EEOC, and the District Court of Nevada, did not acknowledge her factual documents, they did not even acknowledge their own documents when Ms. McGee disclosed their errors, both entities acted as if their narrative was correct, no one held themselves accountable.

Ms. McGee submitted mental incompetence documentation to support why she did not know that the postal service sent her their final agency decision, and she was supposed to file an appeal within 90 days, however, to this day, Ms. McGee does not know when and where she received the postal service final agency report for the first time, she remembers having it in a large box when she moved for the twelfth time, April 2012, and that is when she officially filed her form 573 for appeal. The District Court of Nevada set the time for appeal was 9/2009 – 9/2011, which is when they said Ms. McGee filed an appeal. However, there are letters that Ms. McGee's

signature is on, in between those dates that Ms. McGee doesn't remember, but she has acknowledged that the signature is hers. Ms. McGee had an assistant that helped her with filing the case, because Ms. McGee was not capable of filing her case in court on her own. Due the Ms. McGee's condition, she could not hear or speak before the court and this was told to the court, but nothing was down, the District Court of Nevada acted as if Ms. McGee was just fine, and the court denied all claims, even though the postal service was late and inaccurate with filings and the court allowed it, despite of Ms. McGee's supporting documents to prove her case, this is wrong. Ms. McGee proved her case by filing, but she was not capable of arguing her case, verbally, and the court never asked her to read her filings, perhaps, that would have made a difference?

The standard for equitable tolling based on mental impairment is delineated by *Bills v. Clark*, 628 F.3d 1092, 1099-100 (9th Cir.2010): Ms. McGee's caregivers submitted declarations to the court.

(1) First, a petitioner must show his mental impairment was an "extraordinary circumstance" beyond his control by demonstrating the impairment was so severe that either:

(a) petitioner was unable rationally or factually to personally understand the need to timely file, or

(b) The petitioner's mental state rendered him unable personally to prepare a habeas petition and effectuate its filing.

Id. This reiterates the stringency of the overall equitable tolling test: the mental impairment must be so debilitating that it is the but-for cause of the delay, and even in cases of debilitating impairment the petitioner must still demonstrate diligence. *Id.* at 1100.

Yow Ming Yeh v. Martel, 751 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2014).

French vs. Office of Personnel Management: Holding that a mentally incompetent pro se claimant should not "alone....be charged with the task of establishing his case" for disability benefits based upon mental incompetency.

Taylor v. Merit Sys. Prot. Bd.

French v. Office of Personnel Management, 810 F.2d 1118 (ed. Cir. 1987) we held that it was an abuse of discretion to dismiss as untimely a mentally incompetent man's pro se claim for disability retirement benefits. Thus, I was denied making me whole from the harm that was done to me, and the judge, jury, executioner, and decision maker was all working as one, I never was afforded a jury or discovery, but I possess monumental portions to prove my case.

Manatt v. Bank of America, 339 F.3d 792 (9th Cir. 2003) in response, Congress amended § 1981 to include within its definition of making and enforcing contracts.... We have recognized that the "legal principles guiding a court in a Title VII dispute apply with equal force in a § 1981 action. "Manatt v. Bank of Am., NA. 339 F.3d 792. 797 (9th Cir. 2003) Reynaga v.

Roseburg Forest Products, 847 F.3d 678 (9th Cir. 2017).

Postal Service Reorganization Act– Sovereign Immunity: the postal service is like any other business that can sue and be sued. POSTAL SERVICE v. FLAMINGO INDUSTRIES (USA) LTD. (02-1290) 540 U.S. 736 (2004) 302 F.3d 985, reversed.

Chisholm v. United States Postal Service: CHISHOLM v. UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 516 F. Supp. 810 (W.D.N.C. 1980), 665 F. 2d 482 – 1981 Chisholm v. United States Postal Service, Chisholm v. United States Postal Service, 570 F. Supp. 1044 (W.D.N.C. 1983). Although the elements of the case changed the litigation process to Title VII, there was no violation of law stating that the USPS could not be sued under Section 1981, because it can. Otherwise, plaintiff would CBOCS West, Inc., v. Hendrick G. Humphries: CBOCS West, Inc., v. Hendrick G. Humphries, 553 U.S. 442 (2008). Petitioner would not have sued under Section 1981 if it was not appropriate, but the District Court of Nevada, District Judge Richard F. Boulware, II would not have granted plaintiff's Section 1981 case anyway. This is a case that depicts the same issues that Ms. McGee's went through with the postal service, she was a supervisor, and qualified to be a higher level manager, educated, highly skilled, knowledgeable, and was performing very well in all seven (7) positions, even better than the already promoted manager's with offices that size, and the equivalent operation's in those stations, she was even flown to Reno and performed very well. If she was not a good fit, qualified, and capable of doing the job, she would not have already been doing it for two and a half years (2 ½). Ms. McGee never had issues with her staff and employees, upper management are the people that did not promote her, but she was working for their good and didn't know it, they were never going to promote her, because of her skin. When Ms. McGee did feel discriminated against, and filed the case, Nevada promoted it first Black Postmaster in 114 years, also, a Black woman was promoted to Manager, Customer Service in 114 years.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedures (December 1, 2019)

(Due Process) were violated, the rule of law was violated, the Constitution was violated, the same rules that applied to the postal service did not apply to me, because opposing counsel were untimely twice, responding to

the initial complaint and after District Judge Boulware, II denied my case in part and granted in part, opposing counsel did not timely nor file an Answer, but none of that mattered for him. The Initial Complaint was the Amended Complaint, but on the Amended Complaint the District Court of Nevada, even though the documents were together on the same day from me, the court by me, on the same day, split the complaint from the supporting documents and they became (ECF Nos. 8 and 11) and compromised. The Initial Complaint was moving along (ECF No. 1 - 3), and discovery was in process un District Judge Miranda Du, all of a sudden Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach was starting to stop this progression and ultimately Order me to file an Amended Complaint and then District Judge Richard F. Boulware, II took over and the ENE – Evaluator was no longer on the docket to mediate the supporting documentation that I filed with my Initial Complaint. I had filed everything I needed to prove my case but the district court of Nevada chose to sabotage, corrupt, and violate my rights even though I had a person helping me prepare my case, all she needed from me was my story, because she knew that I was not mentally coherent, she walked me through the best she could because she is not a lawyer. Even though things were in writing, I could not address them in court, because I was traumatized and still today, I suffer the catastrophic damages that the postal service put upon me, and I have relocated across the country 13 times since 8/25/08, and seven of those moves were within a year and a half. This is just my case, and I can't tell it all because I was psychotic, traumatized, and immobilized, so there are years in between that I don't remember things happening, and once again, this is just my case, not so many others. I have the CD of Dr. Mary J. Reed's Deposition Testimony and the written form does not match the video form. I only remember two exchanges of questioning, yet it was four. Opposing counsel, Krystal (Gallagher) Rosse, asked Dr. Reed "If at any time that you were treating Ms. McGee, was she Incapacitated", and Dr. Reed stated yes, when she was having panic attacks, moving, caregiver, cardiac problems, and things like that. Ms. Rosse turned it over to me and I said I'm done, Ms. Rosse tried to talk again, and I said, I'm done again and then it was over. When the reporter and videographer stopped recording, however, in the written document of the testimony states something else was said, but it wasn't. The same things happened with Judge Boulware, II and Judge Ferenbach, Judge Boulware, II on 9/11/15, after I was really confused and with very poor cognition, but I know my story because I still live it today, 5/12/2026; he stated the wrong background and I raised my hand to ask him what was he reading, because what he was saying was not true. He instructed me to not stop him, and I can say it once he finished, I stated, I will forget. Judge Boulware, II continued to read and I was still in my dismal traumatized state of mind, and he stated Ms. McGee, I stood up and said 6/19.....6/19, I could not say anything else, I was mentally incoherent so my assistant pulled my arm and I came out of my daze and sat down, but I could not hear or understand what Judge Boulware. II was saying

to Ms. Rosse, however Judge Boulware, II Transcript of that hearing has a paragraph as if I was able to say all those words when I couldn't even mentally register to get 6/19/09 out of my mouth.

This is pure corruption, there needs to be accountability for such egregious actions, behaviors, conduct, immorality, win at all costs, unprofessionalism, abuse of power, double standards, and a disregard for justice and the truth. I filed a complaint on Judge Ferenbach, and his Court Administrator for the same thing and others which cost me money, but to no avail, so I did not file on Judge Boulware, II because surly nothing was going to be done to hold him accountable for his actions. I don't care who it is or what position they hold, if a person cannot do the job for the people, then they should not be able to make decisions on people's lives and livelihood. This disease will not rid itself without accountability to dissuade against such atrocities. Somehow politics came up and my assistant told me who she liked and was and who she was going to vote for, I just was pleasant but did not voice my who I liked nor who I was going to vote for, and it registered with my brain much later that she had been compromised in some sort of way and also, she once had a document that I did not give her and then I really knew that something was wrong. Politics has no role in the persecution of people or of a people, we live in a democratic society not an autocratic society, however the world looks at the way the United States treat its people, we as a people look at how the United States treat us, and we should not be engaging in adverse actions and behavior against any person, group, color, etc. I pray that the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA make me whole as a black woman and those in my color and group be made whole, then everyone will be made whole, and therefore all people will be treated as the same, and those who violate the laws, rules, constitution, power, and alike, will be held accountable for their actions, but i will guarantee you that we will not have this foolishness continuously corrupting the fabric of all humanity. All that Ms. McGee is not saying in this document, if she is entitled to it, please give it to her.

Rule 12(b)(5) violates filing a dismissal without providing.

discovery and late on filing; however, the dismissal was granted by District Judge Richard F. Boulware, II. Ms. McGee was not afforded an ENE, which would have proved she had sufficient supporting evidence to prove her case, the court should have appointed her an attorney, or postpone the proceedings until she was able to speak for herself, and hear, even though she still suffers today.

Rule 26: Duty to Disclose, General Provisions Governing Discovery. I was told by Krystal (Gallagher) Rosse to not email her anymore and Roger Wenthe to not call him no more. This precluded all available avenues

to communicate, expeditiously regarding discovery, scheduling depositions etc. The dates were made for me to attend, and I never received discovery and my filings for Default Judgment,

Rule 60(b): (1) Authorizes relief from final judgment based on "mistake," as well as "inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect." Ms. McGee filed several documents that showed the District Court of Nevada, and supervised judges of the errors that occurred during the adjudication of her case, but to no avail, the court did not grant her request to reopen her case, and the Ninth Circuit agreed with the lower court and did not grant Ms. McGee her request. Ms. McGee submitted medical documents that the court stated on 9/11/15 that it had read, but her heart operation on 2/4/10 was not considered as an issue that would cause Ms. McGee incapacitation, nor did medical documentation that Ms. McGee was immobilized, written by Dr. Roy Lubit, Forensic Psychiatrist, along with a host of medical documents, caregiver statements, sworn statements and depositions, by medical providers, along with her most recent testing and medical report from Dr. Miriam Shapiro in 2021, but the court would not reopen petitioner's case, how can this be, and who corrects the wrong done to Ms. McGee? New Neurological testing by Dr. Sabrina Hickie in August 2025 shows 25% out of 100% improvement, but the district court would not acknowledge the threats from Steve and Jerry that caused damage to my brain.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	16
CONCLUSION	18

INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit 4/13/2026
APPENDIX B Memorandum of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit 2/19/2026
APPENDIX C Decision of the United States District Court, District of Nevada 7/9/2024

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Constitution of United States of America 1787 (rev. 1992):	1-18
1st Amendment: Freedom of speech, press, religion, assembly, and petition.	1-18
5th Amendment: Due Process.	1-18
7th Amendment: Rights in Civil Cases..... the right of trial by jury shall be preserved....	1-18
13th Amendment: Abolish Slavery Free Labor...	1-18
14th Amendment: If you are born or naturalized in the United States then you are a citizen of the United States.	1-18
15th Amendment: You cannot prevent a person from voting because of race, color, or creed.	1-18
Stoll vs. Runyon: Stoll v. Runyon, 165 F.3d 1238 (9th Cir. 1999).	4-18
French v. Office of Personnel Management, 810 F.2d 1118 (ed. Cir. 1987)	4-18
Manatt v. Bank of America, 339 F.3d 792 (9th Cir. 2003)	4-18
POSTAL SERVICE v. FLAMINGO INDUSTRIES (USA) LTD. (02-1290) 540 U.S. 736 (2004) 302 F.3d 985, reversed.	4-18
Chisholm v. United States Postal Service: CHISHOLM v. UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE 516 F. Supp. 810 (W.D.N.C. 1980), 665 F. 2d 482 – 1981 Chisholm v. United States Postal Service, Chisholm v. United States Postal Service, 570 F. Supp. 1044 (W.D.N.C. 1983).	4-18
 STATUTES AND RULES	
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	4- 18
Rule 12(b)(5)	4-18
Rule 26: Duty to Disclose:	4-18
Rule 60(b): Relief from a Judgment or Order	4-18
OTHER: Equitable Tolling of Time, Argument, and Content of filings, due to Extraordinary Circumstances started.	4-18

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully requests that a writ of certiorari is issued to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is.

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is.

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is.

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported.

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is.

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case.
was February 19, 2026.

☒ No petition for rehearing was filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the Order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted. to and including _____(date) on _____(date) in Application No. _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing. appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted. to and including _____(date) on _____(date) in Application No. _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

I, Lola Bonitta McGee, Petitioner, believe that I have met these criteria, and if I haven't, I do not comprehend what the court is asking me to do, and I respectfully ask for your forgiveness. I'm really trying hard to stay focused and understand. Whatever discrepancies, issues, or questions, please give me the opportunity to correct them before the court denies my request. The petitioner is diligently trying to provide what's needed to grant her request.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I, Lola Bonitta McGee, Petitioner would respectfully ask the Supreme Court of the United States to please consider my plight as I have diligently tried to and attempted to address the court with my mental, physical, and emotional disabilities. Also, since I was forced into a medical disability retirement, I'm living below my normal standard of living – financially. All things I do is a mental struggle.

In 2004, I received a lateral transfer from California to North Las Vegas, Nevada Main Post Office (NLV). There was another Supervisor, Customer Services there, Steven Phaup (Steve). Steve did everything he could to sabotage my operation. When I arrived, the delivery unit was 5% over budget plan hours. In four and a half months, I erased the deficit and improved it to 3.5% under budget plan hours. Steve had a bizarre and outlandish behavior that made me afraid of him. The office performance reports showed my conduct to be excellent. He pulled my reports and posted them on the board and told the union steward that I was not equitable on my overtime with my employees, because he intentionally did erroneous calculations.

One day Steve asked me to come outside and have a cigarette. While outside, Steve asked me if I wanted to go to Meadow Mesa, and I replied "No." Steve said to me, "I was a killer in the military and that was my job" and me and a co-worker had to kill someone while working as a security guard at a casino before coming to the post office." I became overwhelmed with fear and my heart started beating very fast. I now know that I had a panic attack. Steve was volatile with an explosive attitude, and he had daily mood swings. He hid my employee leave slips to prevent me from knowing who was supposed to be at work, and from creating the employee schedule correctly. He talked loudly to me on the work floor and tried to play the employees against me. I called several meetings and sent emails to Craig Colton, Postmaster North Las Vegas (NLV) to stop Steve's behavior towards me, but to no avail. Nothing was done about the Hostile Work Environment and vicious racism, disrespect, and abuse.

The violent, hostile work environment occurred 2004 – 2005. I sought therapy in the beginning of 2005. Because I knew something was wrong with me, but I did not know what was wrong with me, and I had never had to see a Psychotherapist before. I thought it was the people around me even though they did nothing to me to justify my thinking or progressive paranoia, confusion, hyper-vigilant behavior, or severe fearful mental state. In September 2007, I was diagnosed with heart palpitations, and it was perceived as a benign condition to heart disease.

I learned later in August 2008 that I had a Severe Panic Attack from the threat from Steve and I became depressed, anxious, paranoid and was diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Major Depression, OCD, and Anxiety. I continued to have crippling fear of Steve. I did not know when or where he was going to kill me. His racist behavior towards me made me feel like I was a definite target. Sometimes later, I found out that an employee of the Nevada Sierra District Postal Service, where I worked, kill a manager in the parking lot. He went "Postal."

Corey Richards: Corey was a Supervisor, Customer Services at Meadow Mesa Station, under the leadership of Dana Urbanski (Dana), Manager, Customer Services Meadow Mesa Station. Corey presented himself as a bully towards me. It is important to mention that Corey and Steve were friends at the time. Having had heart palpitations since September 2007, I was being treated by Dr. Robert P. Croke.

I started a detail in April 2006 in Las Vegas as an Acting Manager, Customer Services. My work performance continued to be exemplary during this time, with, sometimes, working 10 – 12 hours days and even Saturdays. I continued to receive many accolades and confirmations that I would receive a promotion. However, I continued to be overlooked for the promotion (while excelling in the exact position I applied for). All the while, 2006 – 2009, I continued to endure discrimination, felt threatened and bullied by my superiors. As such my mental health continued to decline. I was new to Las Vegas and didn't know the people that were being promoted, I took the reasons for not promoting me as the truth. I was not given details about the package that was submitted.

SEVERE PANIC ATTACK/NERVIOUS BREAKDOWN AND NO INVESTIGATION

On Monday, August 25, 2008, while in my office after leaving the floor, Jerry Wilson walked up to me, turned his body toward the left, in an effort to maneuver his large belly out of the way, leaned in closer, got directly in my face, breathing hard, with grit, and a vicious tone, threatened me with, "You continue to go over my head with Craig and Yul, and I'm going to do something about it." I had only been there for a few weeks. To make sure I got his message and intent, Jerry was angry, abrupt, hostile, aggressive, nasty, and disrespectful in his tone, walk, and body movement. I didn't know if that meant physically or career wise. I didn't know what he was going to do, but it was the straw that broke the camel's back.

Never in my life have I ever been written up on any job. I've been loyal, respectful and a team player, and have endured rejection and disappointments. I've produced great performance records and extended myself over and beyond. I've gone wherever asked of me, and have worked ten to twelve-hour days, and Saturdays in

some offices. I did not do these positive things to be addressed with threats of harm or receive a negative impact on my career. There have been previously submitted medical reports, caregiver affidavits, and more. As stated before, I believed Jerry to be paranoid about how he was seen in North Las Vegas (NLV) as the Acting, Postmaster. I endured the pressure he placed upon me, because I thought being a strong person, I could handle it, but the morning-afternoon on August 25, 2008, was a different day. When he left my office, I got dizzy. My head and body were experiencing shocking sensations. I advised my staff I would be leaving early, but I told them I had a reaction to some medication because I did not want them to know what happened. I was seeing double and was feeling very hot. I was hyperventilating. My head was scrabbled, and I thought I was either going to pass out or die. Either way, no one would have known the reason because I've always been the type of person to take what is dished out and make the best of it. I was in my office trying to regain my composure to drive home. I went to the restroom to see if I needed to use it. My stomach felt nauseous. I got water, and I tried to make sure it wasn't anything on my desk that I needed to address immediately. I checked my email, replied to some, and then I got my purse and left. As I was driving home, I prayed to the Lord. My brain was not acting right. I did not want to pass out or have an accident. The shocks going through my body were a great concern because I did not want to have a heart attack either. I said, "Lord, if you just let me make it home and if I don't feel any better later, I will go to the hospital." At that point, I was confused, but I knew my body was not well. After two or three hours of disturbed sleep, I woke up and tried to eat a sandwich, but it made me sick to my stomach. My body was still in a traumatic state, and I could not explain it, nor did I know what was going to happen next. I called my daughter around 9:00 p.m. and explained to her what was going on with me, and she said, "Mama, I'm so glad you called me because you just keep what's going on with you so private." My daughter is an Advanced Practice Nurse (Nurse Practitioner) specializing in mental health. She told me, in a nutshell, along with other things, that my body shut down after enduring all the stress and strain that the post office had put upon me. I then realized I could no longer think about the post office and my image. I had to think about my health. I could have continued being loyal to the postal service and they would have eventually said, "There she lay" instead of "There she goes."

Even though I hesitated to call Jerry the night of August 25, 2008, with fear of reprisal and the fact that I would surely never get promoted now, I called Jerry Wilson that night on his cell phone. I had to make a sensible choice about my life. Still, my thoughts were racing, and I was second-guessing my decision to call. I let him know that I was claiming an on-the-job injury, and I would like him to send me a CA-1 and a CA-2 form in the mail so that I could complete them and send them in to Injury Compensation/Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP). My brain did not register to get them online.

Since I had been up practically all night with my body and head condition, also my racing thoughts, I thought it best to give Jerry a call at the office at around 6:30 a.m. on Tuesday, August 26, 2008. I called NLV and Jerry answered the phone. I asked him if he got my message from last night on his cell phone, and he replied, "Yes." I asked him if he could do me a favor and look in Employee Resource Management System (eRMS) and get my address to send me a CA-1 and a CA-2 because I'm filing an on-the-job injury, and its Stress. Stress was all I thought to say. He said, "You know that I'm going to have to report this," and I said, "Yes." He paused for a minute and then asked, "Lola is this about the job that you didn't get in Vegas?" I replied, "Jerry, I know that you need some information, but I will give it to you in writing." We hung up the phone. Jerry never sent the paperwork, so on the last day of the pay period, which was 8/29/08. I talked to an attorney, but he did not handle federal cases, but he told me that I have been discriminated against all the time.... or since the beginning. I went to the injury compensation office and filed my on-the-job injury from Steve and Jerry's threats and presented medical documentation and statements for support. Jerry submitted an untruthful statement, with contradictions and self-incriminating remarks. The postal service sent in controverting statements to the Department of Labor, and to this day, I have not been compensated for my injuries and illnesses. In addition, to being diagnosed with PTSD, in 2008; I experienced a cardiac event that required immediate heart surgery in February 2010. I am now fully disabled due to the trauma and work- related stress I endured during working hours by Steven Phaup, co-worker, 2004-2005 threat on my life, and my immediate supervisor, Jerry Wilson, A/Postmaster North Las Vegas Nevada 8/25/2008 threat on my life. I was diagnosed with Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy – Crippling Nerve Damage throughout my body, and all senses with pain – hearing, seeing, feeling, smelling, and tasting.

On 3/13/2009, I went back to work, and I had a lifting injury because I did not know that I couldn't lift, I was helping a carrier by putting tubs and trays of mail into a hamper.

On 4/13/2009, after a meeting with Corey Richards, my right arm collapsed, and 911 was called, and I was admitted into the hospital. I have been diagnosed with PTSD, Anxiety, Major Depression, OCD, Panic Disorder, Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy – Nerve Damage to entire body = numbing, pain, weakness, swelling, tingling, spasm, feeling, hearing, seeing, tasting, and smelling. Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy – Nerve damage to my body and extremities pain level is unimaginable and inhumane. I was psychotic, immobilized, incapacitated, suffered a period of incontinence, insanity, loss of vision, hearing, episodes of falling, even with walking aids. Also, I received in home healthcare to teach me how to walk, and had to use walking aides for mobility, and other aides to survive. I moved over 12 times across the country, because I thought that Steve and Jerry were coming to kill

me, or someone else was going to kill me for them; or I was going to die from my injuries and illnesses. I had caregivers to take care of me because I couldn't care for myself. My daughter and other caregivers helped me get a Medical Disability Retirement, Social Security Retirement, and helped me right out in book form what happened to me at the postal service; my doctor prescribed the task, because I repeatedly talked about my story every day, and I thought the FBI was flying in helicopters to get me, and smart birds were chirping to signal for people to come and kill me. I thought people could see me through walls, and floors to come and kill me. I could not listen to TV or music, nor did I want lights on, I was traumatized, confused, and I had slurred speech. I had to be taught how to walk again, and the pain from Neuropathy is inhumane, and the human psyche cannot conceive it. Even though I have revealed several errors, lies, inconsistencies, fraudulent behavior, and compromising behavior and acts with documents, DOL/OWCP has still not awarded me my FECA Benefits.

Somewhere around late August 2008, I spoke to a lawyer, and I told him my story, and he told me that I had been discriminated against all the time, but he did not handle federal cases. I filed several injury and illnesses claims with the DOL, and five (5) EEO's. The EEOC combined the EEO's into two cases, one for 2008, and one for 2009, and this was wrong. On 6/18/09 the United States Postal Service – Nevada Sierra District issued its final investigation decision and on 6/19/09, I requested their final agency decision. I later read a few lines where Craig Colton was justifying and lying from theme investigation themselves, and I lost my mind for years. I remember talking to the EEOC/OFO on 2/16/2012, and we emailed, but the EEOC Report does not acknowledge, that day was my mother's birthday, and she would be 102 years old. The EEOC sabotaged my filings and did not even acknowledge its own people's reports, Susan Johnson, and they ultimately denied both cases that they had created, even though the postal service pre-evaluator wrote and said something different. She stated investigating the same people for current issues, those same employees can be investigated for previous, and future discriminating actions and behaviors of the same. I filed EEO's when I became aware of the infractions, and the postal management continued to behave in that manner, so subsequent filings followed. In February 2010, I had my heart operation due to PTSD, Panic Attacks, and Threats to my life. The EEOC made its last denial in May 2013. I was crippled by the denials from everyone. To this day the DOL/OWCP has never written the threats in their decisions, nor have they sent me the documents I filed stating the threats. All claims are still being denied.

One day, I received a call from someone who is a friend of a friend of mine; and my friend told her to call me. The lady had been helping people with EEOC appeals, and filings with the District Court of Nevada. Even though I had gotten better, I was still in no condition to deal with the court, nor did I know how to deal with the

court. I told her what happened to me, and she helped me create the filing and we filed it in the District Court of Nevada. If it wasn't for her, the case wouldn't have been filed. See the declaration from Rosemary Garity. I still had very poor cognition.

On August 8, 2013, the Original Complaint was filed in in The District Court of Nevada. Thousands of documents were filed with the complaint. A hearing was scheduled by Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach, and the assign District Judge Miranda Du. Later, another decision was made to submit an Amended Complaint, and the same supporting documents were submitted in October 2013. Yet, it was not entered into the docket until 2/26/14, and this is wrong. The postal service did not submit a response until 7/28/14 (ECF No. 18), stating jurisdiction, exhausting all remedies, timeliness, and nothing else. They responded late, and we have addressed this by filing a default judgement. The Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) was assigned to Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, I have never been before her, and she was taken out of the system so it would not be noticed for the ENE meeting. I submitted supporting evidence to prove my case, so it should not have been a problem. But for, management of the Nevada postal service, I would not be mentally, physically, or emotionally disabled, or dealing with the court system. The district court was unethical, unprofessional, untruthful, corrupt, biased, and did not follow the law with handling my case, even the docket entries were entered into the system incorrectly, rather hand carried to the court or filed into the court's electronic system, (ECF Nos. 8 – Amended Complaint, and 11 – Exhibits to 8, are separate, and together, but the filing was hand carried into the court and stamped October 2013, yet filed into the system on 2-26-14). My assistant (Rosemary Garity) and I were on the phone with Brian Pugh, attorney for postal service, and we started exchanging discovery, and we had created a Proposed Discovery Plan/Scheduling Order (DPSO), (ECF No. 30). The discovery of (ECF No. 30) was never followed thereafter. Due process was not given to me period. In the interim, the district Judge was changed to Richard F. Boulware, II, and opposing counsel was changed to Krystal Gallagher, who later became Krystal Rosse, along with opposing counsel Roger Wenthe. Also, the Chief Judge was changed to Gloria M. Navarro. My assistant, Rosemary is not an attorney, and there were a lot of back-and-forth docket entries, and changes that I do not understand, nor was I mentally capable of understanding such processes. On 9/11/15, at a hearing, I met District Judge Boulware, II, and he told me that I may have been discriminated against, but ignorance is not a defense, this was disrespectful and unethical. We submitted for an attorney on numerous occasions, but all filings were denied. We submitted to clarify/correct the record but to no avail, there were incorrect narratives from the postal service, EEOC, DOL, and their statements, the District Court of Nevada, and defendant's counsel.

On 9/11/2015, a hearing was set before District Judge Richard F. Boulware, II, he replaced District Judge Miranda Du, and the Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach remained on my case. Judge Boulware, II entered the bench and stated to me "You may have been discriminated against, but ignorance is not a defense." He stated that he had read all my filings in my case, so I knew that he knew that I was mentally, physically, and emotionally disabled. Rosmary had gone to the disability office in the court to let them know that I had hearing loss. When I went to my table, I spread out the filings we had created, and I did this every hearing, but I was never given the opportunity to read them, rather I could or couldn't, I still deserve justice. The court has never accommodated my ability to hear what was being said by Judge Boulware, II, and Magistrate Judge Ferenbach, as they spoke at hearings. I continued to ask for correction on the record and background, because what was read by Judge Boulware, II at the 9/11/15 hearing (ECF No. 57) was not correct. The court transcripts do not reflect what happened in court, nor do they reflect the supporting, factual evidence that was submitted by us, nor the laws that support my case. The court did not continue with (ECF Nos. 18 defendant's first dismissal, and (ECF No. 30) DPSO that was the start of discovery from previous defendant's counsel, Brian Pugh). As District Judge Boulware II started reading my case, I raised my hand, and stood up informing him that what he was saying was wrong, and I asked him, where did he get that from. He instructed me to let him finish and I could address it, but I told him that I would forget what I wanted to say, my mind was confused, and racing thoughts were going through my head. He finished and he addressed me to talk, but I stood up and could only say "6/18" and I went into a daze. My assistant grabbed my arm for me to sit down. The court ordered the defendant to have a limited discovery of my EEOC and Medical Records, even though I had already submitted the documents. We believe this was to circumvent the process, late defendant's 1st dismissal (ECF No. 18), and not address the previous DPSO (ECF No. 30), because Mr. Pugh did not request any depositions of my doctors, and this way, Judge Boulware, II allowed a 2nd dismissal, and another DPSO (ECF No. 210) that I was not a part of. The court allowed defendant over three years of extensions and stays. I asked for two weeks, and one stay, and both were denied. The court has never acknowledged my mental disabilities, heart operation, and any disabilities, and it allowed defendant to file a Sealed document, which was my heart operation, yet, I had already submitted it in my Original Complaint dated 8/8/13, and my Amended Complaint submitted in October 2013, yet entered in the system on 2/26/14 (ECF No. 8 and 11).

Defendant submitted their 2nd dismissal, (ECF No. 106), we submitted a Response (ECF No. 136 and 137 – Motion to Strike 136) in which we addressed all the false allegations and conjured up lies of my case, but to no avail. Nothing that we filed was addressed, and the court acted as if we submitted nothing in my defense.

District Judge Richard F. Boulware, II wrote a narrative in chambers, to Strike my Response #136 on 11/23/2016 (ECF No. 142). This appeared to us to be a mistake, but to this day it has not been corrected, or addressed. Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach ordered the defendant's counsel (Krystal Gallagher) now she's (Krystal J. Rosse) to set up depositions of my doctors, (Dr. Mary J. Reed, and Dr. Rick Jenkins), both are psychiatry providers. I had hired an attorney (Sharon Nelson Law Firm), but I did not know that she worked with the postal service on its cases, but when asked, she admitted it. She took me out of the system, and I did not know what she was filing. I let her know my desire about issues that had already developed, like continuing extensions and depositions of my doctors. I had never met her, so I set up a meeting, and the deposition of Dr. Reed was scheduled for 12/8/15. Dr. Reed was sick, so she cancelled, and would not reschedule until April 2016, her deposition was videoed, because she was ill. However, Attorney Nelson never worked in my favor, and I didn't feel right about her. I went to the appointment, but she wasn't there, supposedly she was ill, so I paid my fee. Later we scheduled another meeting, and she did not want my assistant in the room, but I insisted. Come to find out, she was working with the postal service, and I terminated her, but she would not give me my money back, 2/28/2016

I addressed this issue in (ECF No. 136, and its Exhibits – thousands of pages). Remember, District Judge Boulware, II made a mistake with a "Strike" on (ECF No. 136), but that is where we addressed Defendant's 2nd Dismissal, and it is against the law to not correct the issue, because it's Due Process, and the law. Please see the attached document that was revealed, and what was counted as an Appeal, and if so, the EEOC should have counted previously submitted documents as an Appeal. The EEOC and the defendant cannot count some documents as if I just responded on command, and not call all the documents as a response to me filing an Appeal. I only remember the letter dated 6/19/2009, and the next thing I remember is speaking to the EEOC in February 2012. The Forensic Psychiatrist stated that I was immobilized after reading a little statement that Craig Colton wrote after being questioned about my EEO filing. I could not believe what Craig was saying, and I blanked out, and don't remember what happened after that, and it was 6/19/2009 – 2/16/2012. I later filed the 573 forms to the EEOC. Remember, I had caregivers taking care of me for those years.

Ultimately, I have been diagnosed as having a Nervous Breakdown, which is all I know that would cause so much damage to someone, June 2021. The case closed on 9/23/2019, but the district court would not reopen my case with this new medical, and it acted as if I did not submit new evidence, along with asking them to acknowledge my heart operation and other medical records, but to no avail. Yet, the postal service, NEEOISO – Nevada Postal Service, EEOC, DOL, and District Court of Nevada, an ultimately, the United States Court of

Appeals for the Ninth Circuit did not afford me justice because I was not capable of filing, and addressing Appeals in a timely manner, so they say. Petitioner submitted an Appeal to the 9th Circuit Court, she did not address it properly, it was not in the proper format, not did she know what was supposed to consist of the filing, she did not have much help at that time.

Ms. McGee knows that if it has happened to her being mentally ill, it has happened to others, and she personally knows someone from the same postal service in Nevada that has died from the action and behavior of management of the District Court of Nevada. In addition, with the United States leading the world in postal killings, one of those killing happened at the Nevada Sierra District Postal Service, it's terrifying. Petitioner humbly ask The Supreme Court of the United States to grant her a writ of certiorari and pay her \$5,000,000,000 for all that she has been through as a Black woman. I wasn't hit by an 18-wheeler and lost my life; I was at work, in a less physical manager's position, and my co-worker/superior threatened my life on two different occasions, 2004-2005 and 8/25/2008. As a result, I have catastrophic damage to me, mentally, physically, emotionally, and financially; I will never know what it feels like or mean to grow old gracefully. I was forced into a medical disability at 47 years old, through no fault of my own, and the threats were allowed.

Petitioner's rights were violated by all previous proceedings in this case, and she could be anyone in the country, or business in this country, and that's egregious. Ms. McGee filed several motions to compel the defendant to follow processes, and court orders, but District Judge Boulware, II did not sanction the opposing counsel. Petitioner has filed default judgments for late filings and not filing an "Answer" to (ECF No. 166), which is the Order from District Judge Boulware, II, regarding Ms. McGee's case, hand stamped October 15, 2013, but not entered the docket until February 26, 2014, and defendant didn't submit a Response until July 28, 2014. The ENE was assigned October 16, 2014, but the court later took her out of the electronic system, and Ms. McGee never met with her in her case. Also, Petitioner never worked with opposing counsel after District Judge Boulware, II was assigned to her case on 8-12-14. Later, the opposing counsel told Ms. McGee not to call or email them and send everything by mail. This was explained to District Judge Boulware, II, but to no avail. This impeded Ms. McGee and her assistant's communications with opposing counsel, Ms. McGee never worked with defendant's counsel afterwards. Remember, District Judge Boulware, II told Ms. McGee that "You may have been discriminated against, but ignorance is not a defense." Ms. McGee was relying on true facts and documents, not anything that she didn't know about, she knew what happened to her, and she lived it every day, and talked about it to her caregivers, family, friends, and medical providers. The case was denied.

Further along, the Petitioner filed an appeal to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. Ms. McGee utilized documentation from the district court, and relied on the same information, which in her opinion was wrong, and a violation of her rights. Ms. McGee has never been involved in court issues, this type of treatment was foreign to her, but she felt another since, that it was unfair and disrespectful, it was not trying to find the truth. Justice meant something other than following the law, telling the truth, and submitting factual supporting documents. Petitioner possessed valuable supporting evidence and that evidence was not even mentioned by the courts, and that is why she has brought this case to The Supreme Court of the United States. Ms. McGee tried to start over at the district court with new evidence, Neuropsychological Evaluations from Dr. Miriam E. Shapiro, and Dr. Sabrina Hickie - Referred by Dr. David Williams, but the District Court and the Appeals Court denied her attempt with Rule 60(b). New evidence, by Dr. Sabrina Hickie, 25% improvement of damage from the Nervous Breakdown; Dr. Miriam E. Shapiro - Nervous Breakdown 10% improvement out of 100% damage; and old evidence - Victor Ross - License Clinical Social Worker states in his Declaration that Steve and Jerry threatened Lola McGee's Life; Dr. Michael Shepard, Ms. McGee has been seen since February 2005, diagnoses threats, panic attacks and PTSD. Dr. Mark P. Blitzer, Ms. McGee had a Tachycardia Ablation due to threats, panic attacks, and PTSD, a burning out of the part of her heart that was beating 40,000 extra beats per day. Roy Lubit, Ms. McGee was immobilized after case denial. Nothing matters to the previous courts, not even a heart operation. Thank you for listening.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I, Lola Bonitta McGee, Petitioner come to the Supreme Court of the United States respectfully and humbly asking the Court to grant this Petition because it will improve the livelihood of every American in the Country, and as the United States does so does many countries around the world. Injustice in the workplace, and federal agencies, has no place, and to do nothing, just allows it to continue to grow and fester. I was physically and mentally crippled, so how could I present a content driven appeal when I knew nothing about it? When the correct documents are submitted to the court and the judges do not acknowledge the contrary, that judge should be removed from the case without question, and the defendant or plaintiff should be awarded refuge of the sort. The DOL/OWCP has never acknowledged my injuries/illnesses and the EEOC, Postal Service, and the Courts followed suit and did not address my mental illnesses and proceeded as if I could handle my case before the judge, I was not, but corruption still took place. I am a proud American, and I have served my country well, so I ask The United States Supreme Court to grant my petition, because wrong was done to me, and people like me, across the country, every day. U.S. Citizens that are mentally incompetent to address the court in any way should be granted counsel, period. The Department of Labor, Office of Workers Compensation Programs should be held to account for their negligence. The United States Postal Service abused me to my demise, life as I knew it to be, and I do not have the capacity to hate, but nevertheless they should be held accountable for their actions and behaviors. I am bitter and angry, because I did not deserve to be treated in such a manner, to not be given Due Process, nor could I hear or understand what was happening in court, and nothing was done about it. I was an exemplary servant to my country. I am a human being and no human being, no company, no governmental agency, shall have the right to destroy a person's life, liberty, or the pursuit of their happiness and growth and development in any adverse way through no fault of their own. GOD GIVETH AND GOD TAKETH AWAY. The Law applies to all U.S. Citizens.

As the Petitioner, I believe that without being accountable for the actions and behaviors of anyone imposing ill will upon another will not deter them and others from stopping such behavior. If I grew up with a person for 100 years, and she knew me as Lola, and I came one day and I told her that my name is not Lola anymore, it's Karen, how long does the Court think it would take for her to consistently call me Karen, maybe not ever. When people that have caused other human beings catastrophic Mayhem for thousands of years, I beg the court to hold them accountable because they are not capable of deterring away from such atrocities because there is no motivating factor to stop them from such behavior. I lost my mind, body, and was left with a faded dot of

faith in my sole, a portion of my heart was literally burned out of my chest, without any heart disease, clogs, blockages, mass, nothing, but it was beating 40,000 extra beats per day and it was going to beat out and I was going to literally die, because I'm just breathing today. All of this because of hatred threats to kill me, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Severe Panic Attacks, Nervous Breakdown, hostile work environment, shock surges to my head – Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy. DOL/OWCP, EEOC, and the Courts did not acknowledge my medical providers documents that stated I was incapacitated, immobilized, traumatized, and other severe mental health conditions that precluded me from taking care of my personal business, along with suffering from incontinence. I had to be taught how to walk again, and I had caregivers for years, none of my truth mattered.

I was reduced to being bedridden and had therapists, nurses, caregivers, as I relocated around the country because I did not want to lose awareness of my surroundings because I did not know what I would do to myself or others, this is not who I am but I am trying very hard to take the black laced veil off of my face and find my way. I was slayed into depression, uncontrollable emotions, thoughts, my personality has change and I don't know from one day to the next how I am going to behave or communicate with others, this is daily life for me regardless if I know who I am or not, which is terror that I have been living with for years as I have travel around the country with 30 plus doctors that have aided my health, it was not of pleasure it was for necessity. It is my hope and prayer that the ills of racism, abuse of power, and double standards becomes a criminal offense, because a crime has been committed on me and everyone on the list above should have to be held to account and received \$3,000 per month to live on. The law, rules, and regulations apply to every American, not just some of us, no one should be treated like this. Because of my mental illnesses/ damages Ms. McGee has very poor cognition with late registering to her brain. She doesn't comprehend well. I will attach a letter to her landlord that she wrote to inform them of a relocation move-out that is very psychotic, stating people are seeing her through walls, and following her in helicopters. Even though Ms. McGee had an assistant to help prepare her case for the district court, who wasn't an attorney, that assistant could not speak for plaintiff/appellant in court, and Ms. McGee could not speak for herself, even though motions/filings were submitted in her defense.

The postal service has a zero-tolerance policy to violence, even in jest, yet nothing was done, nor have they given me a copy of the 2004-2005 CA2/statement regarding the Steven Phaup injury/illness submitted on 8/29/2008 that was reported to Craig Colton during 2004-2005, and he did nothing about it. Because I had been calling meetings and sending emails to Craig regarding Steve's behavior, Steve asks me to come outside one day, and while outside, Steve asked me if I would go to Meadow Mesa, and I replied, no. Steve stated "You know I was

a killer in the military, that was my job, and me and my coworker had to kill someone at a casino before I came to the post office." I now know that I had a Panic Attack/Nervous Breakdown. I did not know about mental illness, so I did not file a claim until I found out why I was feeling and thinking wrong. As a result of the Hostile Work Environment/Threat to my life, my Heart developed Heart Palpitations, without the presence of heart disease, clogs, and it was deemed to be benign (9/2007). I worked 2 ½ years, in six offices, including being flown to Reno, Nevada, on seven different occasions, yes, one of the offices twice, applied for 17 different promotions, and I was never promoted, and always giving an excuse, and I have two degrees/owned my own business for experience. I later found out that in 114 years that Nevada Postal Service had never had an Executive Postmaster, and only one Black Woman Manager, Customer Services in 114 Years. On 8/25/2008, I was Acting/Manager, Customer Services, my Acting/Postmaster, Jerry Wilson, gave me an Open-ended threat regarding his perception of my work on a new spreadsheet for managers. Because of Jerry's incorrect understanding of completing the spreadsheet, he violated me. Jerry Wilson walked into my office, we met in the middle of the room, he turned his belly towards the left, with heavy breathing, leaned into my face, with a gritty, deep voice, nasty, hostile, and vicious tone, it was palpable, stated You continue to go over my head with Craig and Yul and I'm going to do something about it, and I now know that I had a Nervous Breakdown with Head Shocks. I developed Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy – Nerve Damage. I had to be taught how to walk again, in-home care, walking aides, caregivers, over 30 medical providers across the country, because I was having Panic Attacks and forced to relocate to various places, many states, and abruptly. I moved over 13 times within a very short period; I was psychotic and was trying to find peace of mind and not lose awareness of my surroundings. On 3/13/2009, I went back to work in a position for Reasonable Accommodation, and after working in the position for three weeks, my Reasonable Accommodations were denied after three weeks of doing the job because I had a lifting injury on 3/13/2009, and my manager Joe Acosta witnessed it. On 10/2008, 2/2009, 4/2/2009, and 4/13/2009, after being sent back to my home office, because my Reasonable Accommodation position was denied, I met with my then Postmaster, Corey Richards, and he denied me my rights from in putting my FY 2009 Objectives/Merit. I also asked him to print out a copy of my FY 2007 PES report, complete his part of injury/illness forms, and he was so nasty, rude, and disrespectful and said no. I got upset and within an hour I was picked up by ambulance and hospitalized because my Right Arm had collapsed. The law states employees cannot be held responsible for the processing of a claim if the employers damage the employee and deemed employees from doing so. Report discrimination when you suspect wrongdoing. Corruption has taken place by the Nevada Postal Service, and DOL/OWCP for withholding employees submitted 2004-2005 injury/illness form and statement for the threat from

Steve and Jerry, and the OWCP has merge unlike claims, and uncontroverted claims. My Health is worth more to me than a promotion to any position. I can't believe that the court's allowed this to move forward.

If the Highest Court in the Land says so, then it's so. Ultimately, the economy struggles along and those that have been marginalized still can't get out the bucket of crabs because everyone is trying to do their best and have an America experience, but America has fallen short and I will never be perfect in GOD'S view, but we can improve the well - known ills that has plagued this country for eons. I did not deserve this, and I want people to treat me like I treat me and them, not how they think I should be treated or how they treat themselves.

CONCLUSION

As a result of everything that has been stated in this document, and some supporting documents previously submitted, and in which I did my best considering my mental, physical, and emotional conditions, Petitioner humbly asks and PRAY that The Supreme Court of The United States grant her a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, and that she be awarded her requests that are explained in detail in her Original Complaint, Amended Complaint, and her 5th Supplement to Initial Disclosures, previously submitted which would be approximately \$5,000,000,000. Together we as HUMAN BEINGS can make The United States of America, and the world a better place. I give ALL PRAISE, GLORY, AND HONOR TO GOD, IN WHOM WE TRUST. LET US ALL WORK TOGETHER.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Lola Bonitta McGee - Petitioner

Date: August 30, 2026

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lola McGee', written over a horizontal line.