

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

LISA MARIE MICHEL,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
SUPREME COURT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

APPENDIX TO
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Part # 1

Lisa Marie Michel
Petitioner, Pro Se
324 Broadway, Unit 5
Chelsea, MA 02150
(857) 888-0655
lisam12887@gmail.com

APPENDIX CONTENTS

APPENDIX A	Supreme Court of New Hampshire order affirming judgment, June 1, 2026
APPENDIX B	Trial-court case summary/docket, No. 438-2024-CR-00764 (relevant pages)
APPENDIX C	Motion to Compel Discovery and Preservation and June 11, 2025 disposition
APPENDIX D	June 17, 2025 Trial Management Conference order
APPENDIX E	June 19, 2025 State communications transmitting radar material and audiovisual access
APPENDIX F	NH Supreme Court mandamus case summary/docket, No. 2025-0521, and September 12, 2025 denial of trial stay
APPENDIX G	NH Supreme Court order setting the November 17, 2025 transcript-designation deadline, followed by Petitioner's timely November 17 designation stating no transcripts were requested "at this time," reserving later designation, and identifying structural record defects; sequencing, not abandonment
APPENDIX H	December 23, 2025 record-cure/enforcement motion, NO ACTION disposition, and supplemental MP4 identification index
APPENDIX I	Stamped cover page evidencing filing of Petitioner's February 6, 2026 reply supporting limited remand / alternative extension and stay
APPENDIX J	Stamped cover pages of Petitioner's appellate brief and brief attachment list
APPENDIX K	State's appellate brief and appendix, including Petitioner's appellate brief and materials reproduced therein. Petitioner's brief is included in full as reproduced in the State's appendix for completeness and record context; the petition relies only on matters relevant to the questions presented.
APPENDIX L	Petitioner's full appellate reply concerning the undefined record and limited remand

A

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. 2025-0637, State of New Hampshire v. Lisa Marie Michel, the court on June 1, 2026, issued the following order:

The court has reviewed the written arguments and the record submitted on appeal, and has determined to resolve the case by way of this order. See Sup. Ct. R. 20(3). The defendant, Lisa Marie Michel, appeals her convictions in the Circuit Court (Gorman, J.), following a hearing, of misdemeanor aggravated driving while having an alcohol concentration of 0.16 or more. See RSA 265-A:3, III (2024). We affirm.

The defendant, as the appealing party, has the burden to provide this court with a sufficient record to decide her issues on appeal and to demonstrate that she raised her issues in the trial court. State v. Brooks, 162 N.H. 570, 583 (2011); see also State v. Porter, 144 N.H. 96, 100-01 (1999) (self-represented litigants are bound by the same procedural rules that govern parties represented by counsel). In addition, we will not review issues without developed legal argument and supporting authority. State v. Durgin, 165 N.H. 725, 731 (2013).

The defendant has not provided a record sufficient to decide her issues or to demonstrate that she raised her issues in the trial court. See Brooks, 162 N.H. at 583. She has not provided a transcript of the trial or copies of any exhibits that may have been introduced at trial. Nor did she timely file a motion requesting this court to order the trial court to transmit any exhibits. See Sup. Ct. R. 13(4). Without an adequate record, we cannot evaluate the defendant's claims regarding the use of video evidence at trial or review the trial court's evidentiary rulings at trial. We must assume that the evidence was sufficient to support the trial court's decision. See Atwood v. Owens, 142 N.H. 396, 396 (1997).

In addition, the defendant's brief does not provide developed legal argument or legal authority to support her position that the State's method of

complying with its discovery obligations was inadequate or that it otherwise violated the defendant's right to due process. See Durgin, 165 N.H. at 731.

Affirmed.

Donovan, Countway, and Gould, JJ., concurred.

**Timothy A. Gudas,
Clerk**

Distribution:

9th N.H. Circuit Court - Goffstown District Division, 438-2024-CR-00764

Honorable Suzanne M. Gorman

Honorable Ellen V. Christo

✓ Lisa M. Michel

Alexandria M. Morrell, Esq.

Attorney General

Francis C. Fredericks, Supreme Court

Sherri L. Miscio, Supreme Court

File

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**