

APPENDIX

A. Orders and Opinions Below:

1. Order of the Supreme Court of Texas denying the petition for review in Case No. 26-0587 COA, July 17, 2026, (unpublished).
2. Mandate Recall Order of The Honorable State of Texas Court of Appeals, June 8th, 2026.
3. Order of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals removing Petitioner of the vexatious-litigant list in Case No. 14-25-00331-CV, blocking this case appeal Case No. 14-25-00510-CV, March 31st, 2026.
4. Fourteenth Court of Appeals in Case No. 14-25-00510-CV, Mandate to 240th Fort Bend County District Court, January 6, 2026.
5. Judgement of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals in third final appeal, Case No. 14-25-00510-CV, for same case final dismissal 23-DCV-296547, October 28th 2025.
6. Order of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals, dismissing as Moot Apelles's Motion to Dismiss, October 28th , 2025.
7. Order of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals, dismissal Petitioner appeal for fraudulent the vexatious-litigant declaration in Case No. 14-25-00331-CV, blocking this case appeal Case No. 14-25-00510-CV, October 2nd 2025.
8. Judgement of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals in second appeal, Case No. 14-25-00118-CV, for same case Judge Patel recusal held after two years in case 23-DCV-296547, dismissed, April 3rdth , 2025.
9. Memorandum opinion of the Fourteenth Court of Appeals in second appeal, Case No. 14-25-00118-CV, for same case Judge Patel recusal held after two years in case 23-DCV-296547, dismissed, April 3rdth , 2025.

B. Key Orders and Filings from the Underlying Family Case (19-DCV-264966):

10. Docket order / ruling by visiting Federal Judge Terence Kern (August 13, 2019) (the *ultra vires custody and property order*). Exhibit 1-A
11. Fort Bend County 505th District Court, former Judge David Perwin rules 3.a. requiring mediation prior a custody order.

12. Motion to Confer in Chambers prepared by Judge Janet Heppard, Dean of the University of Houston Law Center (August 2019).
13. The Honorable Supreme Court of United States, Certiorari, *per fraud upon de the court (rule 60.b.3)* Case 24-5134, denied. July 23rd, 2024.
14. The Honorable Texas Supreme Court, Clerk letter certifying petition of review and Motion of Rehearing dates. April 19th 2024.
15. Fourteenth Court of Appeals in first appeal, Case No. 14-23-00411-CV, for changing and tampering a court order and district clerk records sent to Petitioner on January 4th 2023. case 23-DCV-296547, dismissed, August 29th, 2023.
16. The Honorable Texas Supreme Court, card certifying Motion for rehearing for first appeal, Case No. 14-23-00411-CV, March 3rd, 2024.

C. Evidence of Fraud upon the Court and Related Misconduct

17. Original service email envelope 71489333 sent by defendants lawyer Salvatore LoPiccolo signed only requesting changes in few pages, signed only by Judge Patel, (who never heard the case). That order was tampered and changed.
18. Tampered order pictures from Petitioner iPhone, granting especial exceptions in case Cause No. 22-DCV-296547, served to Petitioner on January 4th 2023, with order modifying only some pages in the case, replaced by attached order signed by Judge Surendran Patel on January 10th, 2023 and Judge O'Neil Williams on January 12th, of 2023, but according routed to court on January 5th 2023, served to petitioner on January 4th 2023.
19. Surveillance video excerpts / stills from the 505th District Court on September 18, 2019 (showing deletion of Attorney Christian Becerra's appearance).
20. Excerpts of 505th Court surveillance video, Attorney Becerra was deleted, and defendant Falcon battery to petitioner: pushing her head down, kneeling on her chest

and her right arm, and Attorney Becerra deleted of video and petitioner child as well.

21. Attorney Christian Becerra's August 20th 2019 consultation receipt with his initials JCB (Judge Christian Becerra) for \$100.- petitioner never paid, because she did not have any money. Attorney Christian Becerra (JCB)- actual Fort Bend County Administrative Judge, August 20th 2019, consultation receipt, who told petitioner "*I want to bring you case to trial and do not mind the outcome because the Judge is republican and I am Democrat*"[sic].
22. Clerk record excerpts demonstrating manipulation or omission of material entries, such original order sent on January 4th , 2023.

D. International and Identity Documents

23. Petitioner child Argentine Passport stolen on March 8th of 2020 by or with the aid of defendants, as act of retention.
24. Consular Report of Birth Abroad (CRBA) of Petitioner's son, issued by the U.S. Embassy in Argentina.

E. Various relevant evidence

25. Relevant email communication with private secretary of Argentine Chancellor concerning recording of former Argentine Consul Alejandro Garcia.
26. Judge O'Neil Williams public declaration of Testing local judicial and bonding system with District Attorney Brian Middleton against International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR Article 7th).
27. The Honorable United States Supreme Court, Clerk letter requesting modifications August 4th 2026.

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 26-0587

DATE: 7/17/2026

COA #: 14-25-00510-CV

TC#: 22-DCV-296547


STYLE: SEXTON v. FORT BEND CNTY.

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the petition for review in the above-referenced case.

MS. DIANA I. REISMAN SEXTON

* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL *

Appeal Dismissed and Memorandum Opinion filed October 28, 2025.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-25-00510-CV

DIANA I. REISMAN SEXTON, Appellant

V.

**FORT BEND COUNTY; BAILIFF JOSE FALCON; DEPUTY GABRIEL
CARDENAS; DEPUTY BRUCE ROSS; DEPUTY COX; DEPUTY CALLIE;
DEPUTY WILLIAMS; DEPUTY MOORE; DEPUTY ORESKOVICH; DR.
DANIELLE TODARO; COUNSELOR MARISSA DOBSON; SHERIFF
ERIC FAGAN; DEPUTIES DOES 1-10 FORT BEND COUNTY, Appellees**

**On Appeal from the 240th District Court
Fort Bend County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 22-DCV-296547**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This is an attempted appeal from an order of dismissal signed June 17, 2025. Pursuant to chapter 11 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, appellant Diana Ingrid Reisman Sexton has been declared a vexatious litigant and is therefore subject to the pre-filing order under section 11.101. Tex. Civ. Prac. &

Rem. Code §§ 11.101, 11.103.

Under section 11.103(a), the clerk of this court may not file an appeal presented by a vexatious litigant subject to a pre-filing order under section 11.101 unless (1) the litigant obtains an order from the local administrative judge permitting the filing or (2) the appeal is from a pre-filing order entered under section 11.101 designating the person a vexatious litigant. *Id.* § 11.103(a) & (d). This is not an appeal from a pre-filing order entered under section 11.101.


Our records contain no indication appellant obtained permission to file this appeal. On October 2, 2025, we notified appellant that the appeal would be subject to dismissal without further notice unless appellant filed a copy of the order from the local administrative judge permitting the filing of this appeal. *See id.* § 11.103(a). Appellant's letter does not satisfy the requirements in the order filed October 2, 2025. Because appellant has not provided sufficient proof that she obtained permission to file this appeal from the local administrative judge, we must dismiss this appeal.

The appeal is ordered dismissed.

PER CURIAM

Panel Consists of Justices Wilson, Boatman, and Antú.

Order filed October 2, 2025.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-25-00510-CV

DIANA I. REISMAN SEXTON, Appellant

V.

**FORT BEND COUNTY; BAILIFF JOSE FALCON; DEPUTY GABRIEL
CARDENAS; DEPUTY BRUCE ROSS; DEPUTY COX; DEPUTY CALLIE;
DEPUTY WILLIAMS; DEPUTY MOORE; DEPUTY ORESKOVICH; DR.
DANIELLE TODARO; COUNSELOR MARISSA DOBSON; SHERIFF
ERIC FAGAN; DEPUTIES DOES 1-10 FORT BEND COUNTY, Appellees**

**On Appeal from the 240th District Court
Fort Bend County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 22-DCV-296547**

ORDER

This is an attempted appeal from an order of dismissal for want of prosecution signed June 16, 2025. Pursuant to chapter 11 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, Diana Reisman Sexton has been declared a vexatious litigant and is therefore subject to the pre-filing order under section 11.101. Tex.

Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §§ 11.101, 11.103.

Under section 11.103(a), the clerk of this court may not file an appeal presented by a vexatious litigant subject to a pre-filing order under section 11.101 unless the litigant obtains an order from the local administrative judge permitting the filing, or the appeal is from a pre-filing order entered under section 11.101 designating a person a vexatious litigant. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 11.103(a) & (d). This is not an appeal from a pre-filing order entered under section 11.101, as permitted under section 11.103(c).

This court will consider dismissal of this appeal unless appellant, within 10 days of the date of this order, files a copy of the order from the local administrative judge permitting the filing of this appeal. *See* Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 11.103(a).

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Wilson, Boatman, and Antú.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**