

26-5478

No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED

AUG 07 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

George Eugene Cross — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

California Dept of Corrections — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Wrongful conviction / SAC Superior Court #0862263-01
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE) order 5-30-23
Judge Brown

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

George Eugene Cross
(Your Name)

P.O Box 409090
(Address)

Lone Ca. 95640
(City, State, Zip Code)

CDCR # V16421
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Pursuant to what state or federal case, charge or authority is petitioner held presently in custody

What authority is Calif Dept of Corrections relying on to imprison petitioner.

If SAC Superior Court Order 5.30.23 pursuant to P.C. 851.91 granted case # 0861226301 being also cases # 0200047294 Order 3.19.02 & case # 021206519 Order 12.5.03 which D.O.J. Case SA 2023302295 acknowledges Cnts 1-3 case # 021206519 acquitted/Not guilty where does CPER get its belief to apply any enhancements

Can a Remittitur issue when, case # ~~0861226301~~ 0861226301 Order 5.30.23 directs petitioner relief and P.C. 745 Counsel not appointed, all source documents D.O.J., No allegations disputed US Supreme 25-6144 and Justice & due process prevented by Court action.
A.G. Waiver #25-6144 on 12.19.25

LIST OF PARTIES

Georgia Engine Co. v. California Dept of Corrections

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is provided below. If a party is a corporation with a stock ticker symbol, that symbol is also included.

RELATED CASES

Sac Superior Case #020004274 order 3-19-02

" " " #0861226301 order 5-30-23

Sacramento Superior Ct #02F06519 order 12-5-03 void of any enhancements

Orange Co. Sup Court #06NF4190 decided Cal Supreme #S284141 order 9/29/06

Decided #S284141 order 4-24-24

OAH Case # SA2023302795 Docx 3747917

Sacramento Superior Court Case #26WM000050

East Dist Court 2:09 CV 00488 TLN. DMC order 1-16-25

9/15 Supreme Court #25-6144 file date 12-18-25 waiver

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

IN RE CROSS # S284141 ORDER 4-24-24 Judicial Notice

STATUTES AND RULES

P.C. 851.91 ORDER 5-30-23 assign case # 0861226301

cal Rules of Court per this penal code

OTHER

Factual Innocence Undisputed #25-6144

Revised again

illegally Confined/Miscarriage of Justice

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**: *Calif Supreme Court # 5296848 order 7-29-26*

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Third appellate # 2106172 being cal supreme ct # 5296848 order 7-29-26

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was July 29, 2026.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Factual Innocence of case # 02F06519 Pro v. Cross
void sentence, Double Jeopardy, illegally confined

CDCR acknowledged NO case OR offense enhancement
of case # 25-6144 waiver for all respondents My liberty
is denied without any valid authority after a wrongful conviction

D.O.J / Atty Gen fail to undo these wrongs of which sentence
keeps by passing, so remedy is only available in this Court.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Per v Cross #020004794 decided 3.19.02 Dept 8 Sac Superior Court ended favorably for petitioner being SPD #02-4049 on 8.1.02 same case #02F06519 which is barred due to prior acquittal. East Dist case #2:09 CW 00488 LKKKM feared by Dep A. G Chapman prevented remedy which case #2:09 CW 00488 THN DMC over sees, Judge Nunley fail to recuse himself due to 20 years prior he was involved in both cases #020004794/#02F06519

ON 5-30-23 Sac Superior Court granted P.C 851.91 assigned case #0861226301 by Judge Brown which is cases #020004794/#02F06519 and P.C 745 is ignored so, appointed counsel not provided, void sentences continued and all source documents D.O.J.

Office of admin hearing case #SA2023302795 the A.G admitted case #02F06519 was improperly included SPD #02-4049 and my counts 1-3 acquitted/not guilty so CDCR has continued to exceed jurisdiction since 11.19.21 since 22 years 8 mos was the total sentence in case #02F06519 now its illegally confined.

Case #06NF4190 Per v. Cross Orange Co. Superior Ct was deemed reversible by Cal Supreme case #S284141 order 4/24/24 which also the A.G attempted in its brief to resolve case #02F06519 which its abstract has the same issue to obtain "reversible conviction"

IN RE Cross #25-6144 waiver by A.G due to No disputed facts, No possible retrial, Party Remedy assign case #0861226301 order 5.30.23 Sac Superior Judge Brown whom willfully ignored its cases #020004794/#02F06519

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

U.S. Constitution directs citizens afforded protection
so in the interest of Justice I'm entitled to fully Remedy

I'm illegally held without any Federal or State law or
codes authorizing such.

The D.O.J. fail to adhere to the Bar codes to act
when aware of wrongs, basically I've petitioned them 2021 and
have had countless admissions, yet without an order NO action
to stop this Miscearage of Justice.

Cause My Prayer for Relief Re-stores My freedom
allowing me to rejoin society and my family, My daughter
my only child has her son, my grandson whom I can at
least experience his years in place of what I missed in hers.

Respectfully Requested

George Eugene Carr

8.7.26

California Supreme solved case # 06NE4190 IN case # 328441

Apr. 24, 2024 order and

Sacramento Superior Court order 5-30-23 case assigned

0861226301 is counts 1-3 of case # 02F06519 so remedy has been granted while continuing forward upon my liberty & case # 0200009799 decided 3-19-02 Dept 8 BARRED being charged again after my acquittal so this is my last resort for remedy & my liberty.

Nobody has held a hearing or disputed my factual innocence presented to the Courts NOR any dispute.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

George Eugene Cross

Date: Aug 24, 2028