


ORIGINAL

No. 26-546



In the Supreme Court of the
United States of America

Jon Myers, Petitioner

v.


David Nathan Myers and Nomi Stolzenberg

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Presented by:
Jon Myers, Pro Se
209 Riverview Avenue #35
Auburndale, MA 02466
617.899.0383
jon@calminterventions.com

Respondents:
David Nathan Myers and Nomi Stolzenberg
1435 South Oakhurst Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90035



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Does truth exist or matter anymore? And are our legal systems concerned with the threatened collapse of principle, values and accuracy?
2. Is the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, indeed a vital tool for American society and necessary in guiding today's society? Within the context of elevating the Tort three parameters emerge: A) The gravity, irrationality and duration of the emotional distress inflicted on Petitioner Jon Myers is extraordinary, crossing state lines of Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and California. B) How understanding emotional abuse is so challenging and yet vital for Courts to have this deeper layer of psychological insight and C) That understanding the psychology of emotional abuse and emotional distress is crucial to understanding the health and well-being of these very United States.
3. Could Jon Myers' journey in the composite, setting aside in this Writ, an obsession with legal perfection, elevate the Tort and provide an outstanding opportunity to redirect for the better the psychology of the United States of America?

PARTIES TO PROCEEDINGS

Petitioner:

Jon Myers
209 Riverview Avenue #35
Auburndale, MA 02466

Respondents:

David Nathan Myers AND
Nomi Stolzenberg
1435 South Oakhurst Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90035
Dnm1435@gmail.com
310-286-9451

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE

This Petition is not filed on behalf of a corporation.

LIST OF PROCEEDINGS

- March 20, 2025, Complaint 2581CV00692 filed and fees paid in Middlesex Superior Court.
- March 20, 2025, the Complaint was Dismissed.
- March 28, 2025, Motion for Reconsideration filed.
- May 22, 2025, Hearing held on Motion for Reconsideration.
- May 26, 2025, Reconsideration, Complaint dismissed with Prejudice
- June 26, 2025, Appeal 2025-P-0785 fees paid and Docketed Massachusetts Court of Appeals
- September 5, 2025, and December 9, 2025, Motions for Oral Argument filed.
- February 12, 2026, Motions for Oral argument and Appeal DENIED.
- Motion for Reconsideration Denied, February 18, 2026
- February 26, 2026, Application for Further Appellate Review filed, fees paid, Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court
- May 14, 2026, FAR DENIED.
- May 22, 2026, Motion for Reconsideration of FAR Denial
- June 24, 2026, Motion for Reconsideration of FAR DENIED.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Questions Presented.....	i
Corporate Disclosure.....	iii
List of Proceedings.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
Table of Authorities.....	v
Citations.....	vi
Jurisdiction.....	vi
Statutes Utilized.....	vi
Statement of the Case.....	1
ARGUMENT.....	3
I. The virulence of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg.....	6
The Psychological Collision.....	14
JON MYERS' JOURNEY: THE US LEGAL SYSTEM IN MASSACHUSETTS AND PENNSYLVANIA AND THE	
INSTANT LEGAL MATTER.....	15
A summary of the legal history of Jon Myers.....	16
THE INSTANT MATTER.....	18
THE JOURNEY TO THE MASSACHUSETTS SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT AND THE EMPHASIS ON AGIS. V. HOWARD JOHNSON CO.....	24
TORT FOR THE INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF	
EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AS THE CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUE OF OUR TIME.....	28
Conclusion.....	32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Agis v. Howard Johnson Co. 371 Mass.140 (1976) 24

Kazatsky v. King David Memorial Park 515 Pa.183, 527
A.2d 988 (1987) 11, 30

National Grange Mut. Ins. Co. v. Walsh, 27 Mass. App. Ct.
155, 157 (1989) 23

Restatement (Second) of Torts, Section 46d the Tort for the
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress 9, 11, 30

State Rubbish Collectors Association v. Siliznoff 38 Cal. 2d
330 (1952) 9, 24

CITATIONS

MA Appeals Court 106. Mass. Appeals Ct. 1122

Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court 497 Mass. 1108

JURISDICTION

Under ArtIII.S2.C2.1 of the US Constitution, the Supreme Court has jurisdiction over final judgements of state courts, with the final decision on reconsideration of this matter, being June 24, 2026. Petitioner Jon Myers avers that ongoing failing of the Massachusetts Courts to accurately address his matters, stems back thirty years. Additionally, there are concerns about legal matters having to do with Pennsylvania. Both the facts of the case and legal elements collectively constitute an unprecedented matter, which fit within existing, if misunderstood law.

STATUTES UTILIZED

The primary basis for this petition is the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, beginning with understandings developed in State Rubbish Collectors Association v. Siliznoff 38 Cal. 2d 330 (1952) which established the concept of emotional distress existing without physical harm. The Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Restatement (Second) of Torts Section 46. Also, Agis v. Howard Johnson Co. 371 Mass.140 (1976)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

To accurately assess the arguments and validity of Petitioner Jon Myers, ("Jon Myers") one must see this through the lens of an unprecedented journey. The roots of the unprecedented journey were laid out in three extraordinary factors, averred in Jon Myers' initial Complaint of March 20, 2025. The three extraordinary factors are: 1) The depth and intensity of familial roots from Scranton, PA. ¹ 2) The irrational, vindictive and random nature of the storm that hit Jon Myers' life over a more than thirty-year period, This storm was engendered by Respondents David Nathan Myers and Nomi Stolzenberg of Los Angeles CA, beginning with a specious assault on Jon Myers's mental health in 1988, The perfect storm expanded through a mishandling of Jon Myers' paternal grandfather's estate also in 1988, by Richard S. Bishop, Esq. The perfect storm reached epic proportions through total immersion in Massachusetts Courts beginning in 1996 with separation and divorce. The Massachusetts Courts maintained an inaccurate understanding of Jon Myers and the appropriate issues he raised from them to now. 3) The most extraordinary of the three factors is the patience and perseverance exhibited by Jon Myers on three levels. A) His unrelenting commitment to fairness, equity and equality around his life. B) The science of understanding he has developed around understanding emotional/psychological abuse, the elevation of the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, and the relationship of emotional abuse to the challenged psychology of America and; C) The creation of Gentle Genius Coaching and related work,

¹ Born in 1958 within a six block area were the family home, four grandparents, a doctor and a lawyer immigrants, two grandmothers who lived over 100 years, next door to each other, a grade school, Temple and two parents, Morey and Sondra Myers who ingrained the two children with a sense of civil right, integrity and fairness. Morey Myers as an attorney travelled to the South and connected with Martin Luther King Jr.

developing Purpose as the antidote to the present psychological breakdown in America. This work provides service to companies, families, and individuals. An umbrella Jon Myers has created for all three areas is the Fairness Revolution- inserting fairness at the core of our business and practices, in America.

There has been a catastrophic mishandling of cases relating to Jon Myers' life and work in Massachusetts over the course of thirty years, and on a few occasions, pertaining to Pennsylvania too. In the instant matter; it was dismissed initially on the day it was filed.² Jon Myers filed a Motion for Reconsideration (Appendix A9) and a hearing was held. Notably during that hearing, the trial court Judge, acknowledged more than halfway through the hearing, that he was just understanding that this was a complaint for emotional distress—two months *after* he dismissed it originally. (Appendix A41) Upon Appeal, the Appeals Court rejected all efforts to hear the case for Oral Argument, and in its Opinion, did not address the issues of emotional distress, even remotely (Appendix A4).

Jon Myers respectfully submits that the work and understandings contained in this Petition are breakthroughs in societal understandings, however imperfectly presented. Jon Myers represents that a major form of behavior and abuse is taking place in the emotional and psychological realms. This form of behavior is invisible and not well understood by courts or society. Interestingly the Pennsylvania Supreme Court while grappling with the Tort for IIED, in Kazatsky v. King David Memorial Park 515 Pa. 183, 527 A.2d 988, 1987, stated: "The term "outrageous" is neither value-free nor exacting. It does not

² The clerk of courts was an old political rival of Jon Myers' on the Cambridge City Council, going back thirty years, which could have commanded attention.

objectively describe an act or series of acts; rather, it represents an evaluation of behavior.”

The intensity, duration, and irrationality of the perfect storm of behavior directed against Jon Myers for over thirty years provided a crucible. For one, the crucible touched the fault line of the very psychological ailment that threatens the United States. The depth of this case, figuratively touching the center of this earth, is that deep. The equal and opposite response by Jon Myers, upholding principles of fairness, integrity and success forged understandings that constituted a coal to diamond process. This case brings forth the psychological forces that emotionally terrorized Jon Myers, his family and reverberate profoundly throughout America; while providing remedy in the way of deeper understandings.

ARGUMENT

Introduction: It is significant that Jon Myers’ first question relates to the sense of whether truth matters anymore. This is not a statement of victimhood, it is one of truth, of patience and perseverance³ and a belief in higher American ideals. The collective energy, the challenge, the extreme and outrageous⁴ dynamic that assaulted his life, makes Jon Myers believe that this is one of the astonishing stories in human history, in a subtle way.

Jon Myers fell or was pushed into a hole in American life. The factors were an unfathomably contorted view of history and life, created by Nathan Myers and Stolzenberg. Ironically, Nathan Myers is a professor of history at UCLA and Stolzenberg a professor of law at USC. There was a

³ Inspired by the quote of John Quincy Adams: “With patience and perseverance all problems vanish and magical results emerge.”

⁴ “Extreme and outrageous” being defining parameters of the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.

perversion in the sense that Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg created a view of Nathan Myers as a victim in his childhood. Nothing could be further from the truth.

Nathan Myers, like Jon Myers, grew up in a supportive home in Scranton, PA, extraordinary in its depth of family care and intention. Steady meals and a non-violent home. There is a reason, figures like Pres. Joe Biden paid homage to Scranton roots, because it mattered and Jon Myers and Nathan Myers received a triple dosage. It is the premise of Jon Myers that this case strikes at the very core of breakdown within American society by identifying sources of rage and psychological unrest.

Going back four decades, Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg began a psychological assault on Jon Myers, from 3000 miles away. A weapon of choice ironically from those with a deranged perspective is that their target is "crazy" or has a mental health issue. They began this assault on Jon Myers notably in 1988, around the same time when Jon Myers was first elected to the Cambridge City Council in 1989. Their father Morey Myers proclaimed, Jon's election in a tough political environment "the best achievement in family history," a proclamation Jon respectfully denied.

Another complicated factor occurred in 1988. Jon Myers' paternal grandfather Morris B. Gelb passed away in October of that year. His estate was handled legally by Richard S. Bishop ("Atty. Bishop"). Because Atty. Bishop was inaccurate and deceptive in his handling of the estate, a cover-up mentality formulated around the family emanating from Scranton. Jon Myers was identified as the one who could spill the beans, especially by his late uncle stockbroker and fund manager Jerome Klein. Thus, the family went into a coverup mode. The tenor was switched from a benevolence towards Jon Myers to a malevolence, which has carried through to today. Jon Myers has not been

in his family home for more than twenty-four years. On that occasion he was physically assaulted and mocked for not reciprocating by Nathan Myers.

The third element of the perfect storm was added to, by the Court system in Massachusetts, a most stringent reflection of American's legal ills. Jon Myers' late ex-wife Margaret Carney ("Carney") passed away according to her obituary "peacefully" in her native Oklahoma in 2016. While Carney could be plenty vicious to Jon Myers in her own right, unbelievably she was used even three times more viciously by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg and Bishop.

Jon Myers' legal journey with the Massachusetts courts began due to circumstances beyond his control, in 1996 (96D-3015) in Middlesex Superior Probate and Family Court. No matter how factual or accurate, Jon Myers's presentation he could not get ahead of the arguments. In 2005, after relentless legal tactics aimed to harm Jon Myers and divide him from his children,⁵ Carney switched into true Parental Alienation mode, causing Jon Myers' children to begin to act erratically towards him, notwithstanding a fifty-fifty shared legal and physical custody result.

As emotionally horrific as the profound layers of deep divorce can be for parents and children, this was but a subset of the overarching vengeance towards Jon Myers, galvanized by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg. The obscenity of this situation and case is how perverse, penetrating, irrational and enduring the psychological/emotional invasion of Jon Myers' life was and is, by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg. The harm and concomitant lessons are enormous in terms of understanding the effects of

⁵ Carney initiated a two-and-a-half-year custody process and trial beginning in 2002, the likes of which their daughter Sophia wrote: "the feeling of fear and anxiety were indescribable."

emotional/psychological abuse and how it is affecting America now and our present mindset.

I. The virulence of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg

While, it would be tempting to begin the argument with a description of the supreme challenges of Massachusetts and thereby American courts, the unprecedented nature of this case, mandates we begin with something more absurd. Society is not accustomed to the fact that much of what transpires behaviorally, is steeped in an emotional and psychological basis. Jon Myers has likened his work and breakthroughs in understanding this invisible world to Michael Sendivogius. (In many court filings) You might ask, "Who is Sendivogius? Michael Sendivogius is credited among others with the discovery of



oxygen around the year 1600. He must have endured some ridicule, an invisible gas, we are breathing. Preposterous!

Jon Myers wrote a fledgling memoir modestly published in 2019, entitled "Iceberg: What good people need to know about Psychopathic Behavior." This book was part of the effort to identify the source of disastrous rupture within his family and to exhibit that a vast proportion of human behavior formulates below the surface. Most of us are concerned and aware of what we see on the surface, whereas the faultlines, the patterns of human behavior are formulated deeply below.

This is not a minor issue. Gaslighting, manipulation, coercion all their roots reside in deception. The premise

Jon Myers
The Magic of the Third Way



Integrity. Accuracy. Compassion

that Jon Myers is advancing is that there is a root in America a psychological struggle between two main psychological energies: While Jon Myers advances, "The Magic of the Third Way" and there are gradations it is essential for most and everyone in positions of leadership to understand these two undercurrents, because the consequences are vast. Jon Myers respectfully presents the psychology of our times.

The two threads are this, one is the energy of those who want to do well, or basically survive, do their work, and exist. This well or at least neutrally intended, is truly the silent majority. The other psychological energy is that of psychological disruption, those who psychologically are not free standing,⁶ and in essence draw their energy from other human beings AND this characteristic is not limited to lower levels of achievement. Indeed, those with untethered recklessness can use these qualities (sic) to achieve the highest levels in government, business, medicine, finance, etc.

The presentation of Jon Myers is that this case, both relating to the instant court proceedings and longer thirty-year arc provides an unprecedented opportunity to

⁶ Contrary too, to our cherished principle of "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness."—The United State Declaration of Independence.

understand the undercurrents of psychological unrest in the United States. This is true because of the depth, intensity and irrationality of the more than thirty-year campaign engendered by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg against Jon Myers. The pressures against Jon Myers became so deep, assaulting basic values of truth, fairness and justice that Jon Myers chose to stand in and endure for that time, developing personally and professionally.

The result was a coal to diamond transformation



process. For reasons embedded in rage, jealousy, and animus Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg first advanced their berserk theory regarding Jon Myers in 1988, from 3000 miles away. Ironically this came during a time when Jon Myers was elected for three terms to the Cambridge MA City Council. Their father Morey, himself a Yale Law School graduate called Jon Myers' election in Tip O'Neill's old neighborhood in North Cambridge, "the greatest achievement in family history," of which then Jon Myers respectfully demurred.

As discussed in his original complaint (Appendix A13-15) Nathan Myers began his psychological assault on Jon Myers prominently in September 1988.⁷ From 3000 miles away, Nathan Myers arrived to condemn⁸ Jon Myers as having some massive mental health challenge. Because

⁷ Nathan Myers had evidenced a shocking psychological perspective as far back as 1980, when he showed up at Jon Myers' undergraduate graduation from Oberlin College saying that he: "wanted to fight Dad," and drunkenly writing extensively on blackboard in a small reception in Hebrew.

⁸ There is a fascinating definition of "condemn" by a prominent psychologist Jordan Peterson, who says that condemning is when people abuse you, and then say they did it, because you deserved it.

Jon Myers was experiencing a temporary marital separation from Carney, he was seeing a therapist. The family went to see the therapist the next day, who firmly and utterly debunked Nathan Myers' assault.

Nevertheless, Jon Myers could see from the deranged look in Nathan Myers' eyes that this was only beginning. Even while Jon Myers began to be elected, as a young unknown in a demanding Cambridge political climate, based on his door-to-door campaigning. This case reveals the effect that a single or small number of personality types can have on a group situation for decades upon end. Again, Jon Myers is averring that the challenges facing America are at root, psychological and that psychological patterns can cause damage across the chasm of decades.

Hamas, mass shooters, Nathan Myers take grains of truth about legitimate causes and exaggerate them exponentially, violently be it physically or emotionally. Another common denominator is that such personality types are insatiable. They have no interest in peace or a common resolution. They are the personalities of disruption, if not agitation; which pose an inherent threat to a "civilized community."⁹ What has occurred in Jon Myers' life over the past thirty years is a microcosm of the unknown and true battle unfolding within America.

Courts cannot be effective without knowing the psychology that Jon Myers is bringing forward. When Courts fail, and Jon Myers says this with love for America¹⁰ the ripple effects are enormous. It is not the blatant forms of discrimination where America has indeed, made

⁹ "...beyond all bounds of decency and utterly intolerable in a civilized community." Restatement Second of Torts, Section 46.

¹⁰ Given his raising in Scranton, PA, his first-grade class with George Washington's picture on the wall, the structure his parents gave him, Jon Myers is a believer in structure and goodness, contrary to Nathan Myers. Times are volatile enough that we do not have the luxury of mishandling our basic principles.

substantial steps of progress. It is subtle and invisible, even, deeper forms of corruption and entitlement. The distancing of the American legal system from an embracer of fairness to an elite institution catering to inside connections and an abhorrent arrogance has catastrophic consequences for everyday Americans and America itself. When fairness is not at the core, the entire paradigm shifts and creates randomness and incoherence in society.

Those in the center feel uncomfortable and minimized, as principles, diminish, and suddenly it is the loudest voices who have the influence. The extremes become more extreme, as principles become politicized. Everything becomes arguable, as even Judges gravitate to sides and not precision in assessing the facts.

These understandings and demands emerge through Jon Myers' thirty-year journey. All of which are legally ensconced in this thirty-year journey within the Massachusetts and on occasion Pennsylvania Court system.¹¹ The instant action is but the latest and tail end manifestation of the breakdown with the American legal system. It becomes a blessing that Jon Myers brings them forward.

It becomes key to understand, even initially, while a later discussion awaits, the effects of emotional/psychological abuse, inherent in the actions of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg. A relevant point about emotional/psychological abuse is that it is embedded in a false sense of superiority, such as the Holocaust and American Slavery. The most heinous acts in human history also reflect false superiority. Furthermore, it crucial to understand again, that there are certain personality types who are insatiable, who will never, without drastic

¹¹ Once again with the reminder that this all began with separation and divorce actions in 1996 and morphing out to these breakthroughs regarding the Tort for IIED consistently along the way.

consequences, be open to a fair resolution or peace itself. Certain elements of the Iranian regime have these qualities. Jon Myers was hit with a fleet of truckloads of bricks with this psychology embodied by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg; which has dominated and controlled the family dynamic harmfully for decades. Innocent people are caught off guard and have limited options but for a thirty-year journey like Jon Myers'.

This is a microcosm, of an assault on another human's life for four decades, that takes a grain of truth and morphs it into an unending assault on another's life. When one says, well it is *only* (sic) occurring in the psychological and emotional realms, it then opens itself up to these kinds of actions and results, galvanized by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg in Jon Myers' life, which include, but are not limited to:

- A random and maniacal defamation of his life. Coalesced and upheld by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg's psychological depravity. That depravity means that others are confused, gaslit, fearful of confronting and taking them on. So, an ironclad system results, because well-intended people do not for the life of them ponder such depravity and absurdity.
- The separation and divorce, and ongoing ramifications provide fodder for the emotional abusers. Heinously they mock parenting itself, by insinuating themselves in such a way as to posture a greater role in Jon Myers' children's lives, than Jon Myers himself. Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg incited an eleven-year legal campaign of separation, divorce and custody, resulting in severe efforts to alienate Jon Myers from his children. The dynamic superseded anything even the death of Jon Myers' ex-wife Margaret Carney in 2016—the children's very own mother.

- Exacerbating the flip in the family dynamic from benevolent to malevolent too, were the actions of Bishop in the family estate, which took on a conspiratorial cover-up, due to the improper handling. All efforts targeted Jon Myers as the one who could speak up, ironic how cover-ups lead people to that exact place that conspirators fear the most.
- When there is a psychopathic personality type or at least that behavior in the form of Nathan Myers and/or Stolzenberg¹² the entire script gets flipped upside down. Logic goes out the window. A person falsely claiming victimhood, which is at the root of the revision of the family's basic history, collapses the entire family dynamic. If this Honorable Court doubts the effects of such behavior, suffice it to say that the effects have been enduring for at least forty years. Once again, it is better not to doubt what Jon Myers is saying. Rather it is more constructive to take this story and legal implications and use it as the basis to formulate better understandings.
- For an excruciating period, an unfathomable financial hardship, resulting from the floor of reason, being pulled out from the family. Along with human errors and mistakes Jon Myers made in the context of the greater storm. A key component is that Jon Myers avers his errors as comprehensible and human. The dynamic directed against him was emotionally nuclear in scale, which could have killed.
- Not seeing his son, Samuel since March 2012, due to this overarching dynamic, intense alienating of a child. Jon Myers has seen his daughter Sophia sporadically during that time. This is against the backdrop that Jon is an excellent father, known for

¹² It is uncertain as to whether Nathan Myers and Stolzenberg would formally constitute psychopathic behavior on their own, or whether it is their "marriage" that like a chemical compound, produces such extreme and outrageous behavior.

his work with youth, and his ex-wife Carney returned to Oklahoma in 2016 and died “peacefully.”

- Jon Myers has not stepped foot in his childhood familial home in Scranton since February 2002. On that occasion, he was physically assaulted and mocked for not reciprocating by Nathan Myers.
- It is not understood how a personality like Nathan Myers falsely claiming victimhood perverts group dynamics, scapegoating Jon Myers. Good-minded people are staggered and stunned by the emotional intrusion of personalities, like Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg because of the totally illogical, upside-down scenarios that are created.
- The invisible nature of emotional/psychological abuse reduces most observers to only considering surface-level facts. Facts are relevant and mainly under the rubric of the invisible nature of emotional/psychological abuse. Without deeply considering the subject matter and seeing the overlay of hatred, rage and venom forced onto Jon Myers' life the tendency is to look at everything in a “he said, she said” kind of way. A group dynamic was formed by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg that dominated and terrorized the family for well over thirty years. So, extricating him from his rightful role with his children, removing him from his family setting, causing excruciating emotional and financial pain, are part of a mindset, an ideology even, a psychological situation brutally upheld by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg.
- However, the quality that Jon Myers is distilling here of prescience in this case, and furthermore for the United States, is that of fanaticism. Fanaticism is ultimately an ego-based way of seeing the world, which precludes the consideration of facts and principles. In this instance, Nomi Stolzenberg for sure, has psychologically fanatical perspective.

Fanatical perspectives want no truth; it all becomes a massive over-investment of their ego in situations and instant and absolute-like rejections of any viewpoint but their own.

- This is relevant because if Jon Myers is at root a kind-hearted person, albeit one who has learned to appropriately fight strenuously, David Nathan Myers for a variety of reasons, lost his sense of heart at a young age.¹³

The Psychological Collision

It is not understood the effects that emotional/psychological abuse can have on the individual psyche, and similarly the effect of such related psychology on society. Jon Myers avers that the depth, intensity, and irrationality of the thirty plus year arc of this situation provides a profound case study into the very source of societal ills. What if this one case provided the insight into the avenues of rage and distortion that challenge, if not threaten to fracture the United States. Whether this Honorable Court is ready to recognize it or not, there is a breakdown in the culture, in the very psychology of the United States of America. While there are other forces that are worse, a core challenge/opportunity is the breakdown in principle in the US legal system. Jon Myers has experienced this breakdown primarily in Massachusetts and to a lesser degree in Pennsylvania.

¹³ Jon Myers remembers Nathan Myers at age nine coming to Jon and saying that he was rejecting a certain humor the boys used to exhibit in their grade schools. While it is worthy to recognize that Nathan Myers made a strong choice to vigorously pursue his academics that day, he carried portions of it to an extreme. Nathan Myers shut down entire portions of humor within his life and began developing an enduring and expanding antagonism towards Jon Myers from that time. While Nathan Myers could have been influenced by those decisions, the degree of extremity and outrageousness reside squarely with Nathan Myers in terms of adult accountability.

It is necessary to underscore it is not at all understood, that a group who establishes a psychological regime, a mindset of control over another, is something that exists in the invisible world—a psychological world. When Courts most often want to deal with dates and actions, which are relevant, in circumstances like this, there is an even greater psychological energy that aims to harm. There can be a mindset to injure, harm, destroy another person that without appropriate understanding, appears to be random or even normal set of circumstances. Nothing could be further from the truth!

**JON MYERS' JOURNEY: THE US LEGAL SYSTEM IN
MASSACHUSETTS AND PENNSYLVANIA AND THE
INSTANT LEGAL MATTER**

As challenging as it is to consider matters of emotional/psychological abuse, given the unknown nature of the subject matter; this challenge is greatly exacerbated by a bit of an attitude of arrogance and indifference, not to mention basic inaccuracy on the part of the US legal system. Jon Myers' unprecedented thirty-year journey is living proof of these challenges, although remarkably Jon Myers brings forth opportunities too.

It was an unfathomable constellation of forces that have caused this case, and the response in equal and opposite fashion. While the efforts of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg are akin to the brothers of Joseph throwing him into a pit from the Bible,¹⁴ the court system of the US, beginning for sure with divorce and family court, provided the pit in which to throw Jon Myers.

¹⁴ To begin Jon Myers and Nathan Myers were originally named Jonathan and David drawing from the Bible. Nathan Myers as a youth, participated in a play about Joseph and his multi-colored coat. And Nathan Myers a bit speciously quotes from the Bible often in preaching to others, while emotionally would cause great harm to his own brother, in random fashion.

One cannot effectively evaluate the challenge and opportunity of this situation without understanding the thirty-year arc, engendered by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg and augmented by touches of disarray within the American legal system. This case runs at the very fault line between what the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress references as a "civilized community" and a broken society. The failing of the Massachusetts and Pennsylvania court systems as leading barometers of American justice over a thirty-year legal period to address Jon Myers' concerns show massive gaps in basic premises of liberty and justice for all. The contrast for Jon Myers is exacerbated because he was raised in a family where his father and grandfather were seen as noble advocates in the Judicial system.

A summary of the legal history of Jon Myers

- From 1996 to 2007, Carney, Jon Myers' late ex-wife engaged in malicious separation, divorce and custody matters in Massachusetts Courts, incited and supported by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg and other family members.
- In 2006, Jon Myers first entered as a counterclaim, a claim for emotional abuse, in Middlesex Superior Court. The matter was settled with a minor payment to Jon Myers of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000)
- In 2009 Jon Myers entered actions in Lackawanna County Court in Pennsylvania regarding Bishop's handling of his grandfather's estates and related matters.
- The Judge in the above matter the late Harold Thomson Jr. then for the first time brought to the attention of Jon Myers, the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.
- Jon Myers pursued appeals of this dismissal by Judge Thomson to the Pennsylvania Superior Court and Supreme Court. Notably, with precious few

resources as was standard in those days, Jon took trains from Boston to Harrisburg—recalling the single rails through wooded areas in Amish Country. At least the Pennsylvania Superior Court allowed Jon Myers to speak, rejecting Bishop's motion to stop that. This process occurred from 2009-2011. No. MAL 145 2011 and No. MAL 146 2011.

- Jon Myers returned to Massachusetts Courts around 2012, a coercive Agreement (Appendix) was reached in matter 1381CVO4614 in Middlesex Superior Court. This "Agreement" stipulated that Jon Myers could only refile a lawsuit with consent from the First Administrative Justice.
- Because of the unrelenting nature of the regime of emotional abuse, degradation, and falsely intensifying and condemning Jon Myers, he initiated two other efforts to identify the emotional/psychological abuse. Those processes ran a full legal gamut in reaching the US Supreme Court 20-344 and 23-786. This instant matter is more precise in identifying Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg as the initiators of the pattern of emotional abuse, as well as necessarily elevating and understanding of emotional/psychological abuse.

THE INSTANT MATTER

- The instant matter was attempted to be filed, in January 2025, with a Motion following the terms of the 2013 "Agreement." The Court—Middlesex Superior called Jon Myers that day, and told him he could not file that Motion; that he could, however, file a new Complaint.
- Rather than jump right back into Court, Jon Myers took time to draft a comprehensive complaint, which he submitted on March 20, 2025, paying full filing fees of \$270 or so, including a Summons. While he was not yet on the Court's email list, the Complaint

was dismissed that very day. (Appendix A9) and Jon had begun the service process.

On March 28, 2025, Jon Myers filed a vigorous Motion for Reconsideration of the Dismissal of his Complaint. (Appendix A22) The Motion for Reconsideration cited several legal and procedural points that indicated the basic irresponsibility, if not negligence on the part of the Middlesex Superior Court. Among them were the MA Supreme Judicial Court's recent updated rules (February, 2025) relating to Pro Se litigants, stating their rights for "meaningful hearings." Jon Myers also quoted from the Massachusetts Constitution article eleven (Appendix A25).

"Every subject of the commonwealth ought to find a certain remedy, by having recourse to the laws, for all injuries or wrongs which he may receive in his person, property, or character. He ought to obtain right and justice freely, and without being obliged to purchase it; completely, and without any denial; promptly, and without delay; conformably to the laws."

Another key argument certainly stated in the original Complaint and amplified in the Motion for Reconsideration was that the court was not aware of, not attuned to understanding matters of emotional/psychological abuse:

"Because in fairness, to the Court, and/or someone skeptical, are brains are not wired, to understand the perversion that psychological abuse symbolizes. Emotional/psychological abuse creates realities and situations we do not believe to be and/or cannot conceptualize to be true, because they so confound our rationality." (Motion for Reconsideration. Appendix A26)/

A continual refrain of Jon Myers throughout the proceeding was that emotional/ psychological abuse is so contorted and implausible, the appearances are so contrary to our common perceptions that there is no way that the Court could make an accurate rendering without a thorough and "meaningful" presentation of what emotional/psychological abuse. Given the Motion for Reconsideration, the Court did move to hold a hearing on May 22, 2025, on the Motion for Reconsideration.

The notion that Middlesex Superior Court did not have an adequate understanding of emotional/psychological abuse and the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress was starkly laid out at the hearing. The lower Court Judge, Judge Sarrouf did not until more than halfway through the hearing say: "So your claim is against your brother and sister-in-law...Jon Myers: "Yes Sir. The Court: "for emotional distress" The staggering thing about this, is that this was during a hearing on May 22, 2025, two months after the dismissal of the Complaint: Screenshot from Hearing:

would want to address it.

THE COURT: So your claim is against your brother, and your sister-in-law --

MR. MYERS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- are for emotional distress.

MR. MYERS: Yes, the -- and the -- there's three points, the gravity of this situation, the establishment of emotional distress is an issue, and it's correlation to the tort for the intentional

While Jon Myers takes modicums of responsibility for the fact that his original complaint was imperfect. Nevertheless, he endured through months of work, paid a full fee, and critically endured the extraordinary effects of

what he is presenting. For a Judge to dismiss deep abuse, without even knowing what he was dismissing is inaccurate and irresponsible. Also telling with the hearing of May 22, 2025, was that Judge Sarrouf focused on specific dates, for instance, June, 2022, when Jon Myers met with Nathan Myers rather than understanding the unfathomable effects of emotional/psychological abuse on an ongoing basis.

Jon Myers was consistently averring that there is no way Judge Sarrouf could adequately understand emotional abuse, without a deep dive into the topic. To that end, Jon Myers presented Judge Sarrouf with a Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing (Appendix 32) on the matter, to more deeply describe what emotional/psychological abuse is, and its profound repercussions in the instant matter, and in society. The request was to help define the complexities and abnormalities of emotionally abusive behavior and effects.

On or about May 27, 2025, Judge Sarrouf denied Jon Myers' Motion for Reconsideration and Dismissed the Complaint again, with Prejudice. The Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing was not considered. (Appendix A8) The Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing was clearly pinpointed the type of challenges the court, any court would have in assessing elements of emotional/psychological abuse: (Appendix A32)

“1. The entire topic of emotional/psychological abuse is misunderstood, is complex, and requires a thorough understanding to come to accurate decisions.”

2. Jon Myers likens the discovery of emotional/psychological abuse to recognizing the existence of oxygen, which was initially identified by Michael Sendovogious around 1600. One similarity is that oxygen and emotional/psychological abuse are both invisible and yet unfathomably real entities.

3. Jon Myers would like to establish to establish the extremity of the irrational rage and pressure that was put on him, so that the Court can adequately understand what is being advanced. Jon Myers respectfully presents that what and been directed at him over the course of thirty years in unrelenting manner: A) Could kill a person; B) is of such magnitude that it must be understood and C) Provides an opportunity for understanding that is at play in many portions of society and is overly present in Court room proceedings.”

This is a point, understanding emotional abuse is akin to the discovery of oxygen. Fathom, the ridicule that a scientist circa 1600 would have experienced in saying that we are all breathing a gas or gases that we cannot see. Without those gases we would perish. The challenge facing Jon Myers is and was that deep. Emotional/psychological abuse, like a virus or worse, gets at the very core of disturbed, manipulative and indifferent behavior in society. Without understanding this concept, courts and society flail, even fail to set proper directions and live in reasoned and accurate ways.

Jon Myers filed his Appeal with the Massachusetts Appeals Court on June 26, 2025. 2025-P-0785. On July 29, 2025, Jon Myers submitted a comprehensive fifty-page brief, as well as a 119-page Record Appendix of the Proceedings of the Middlesex Superior Court, including the transcript of May 22, 2025, hearing. Jon Myers filed a Motion to Allow Oral Argument on September 5, 2025, and Renewed this Motion on December 9, 2025.

The brief went into what Jon Myers has described as three truly extraordinary factors that make this an unprecedented journey on several levels. (Appendix A12-21) The first extraordinary factor as mentioned in this Writ and in his original complaint is the depth of Jon Myers' upbringing and sense of values from Scranton, PA. The

second was the intensity, irrationality and duration of the thirty-year storm that hit his life. The third was Jon Myers' unending dedication and drive to his own success and fairness itself. It is as if Jon Myers has been put at the very fault line of good and evil, of psychopathy vs. humanity. His work through his own personal growth, Gentle Genius Coaching, the Fairness Revolution, The Magic of the Third Way, and the understanding of, and elevation thereof of emotional abuse, and the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress are all outgrowths of Jon Myers' standing in the figurative fire.

On September 24, 2025, the Appeals Court issued a ruling saying that David Nathan Myers and Nomi Stolzenberg needed to inform the court if they want more to time file a brief, and if no brief was filed then they would not be eligible for Oral Argument, but for consent of the court. It is vital to underscore that David Nathan Myers and Nomi Stolzenberg filed not one document from the Original Complaint all the way through the Appeals process. There was no opposition to Jon Myers' pleading at any point, other than evidently what the courts themselves provided.

Nevertheless, the Appeals Court could not rise to the level of even affording Jon Myers Oral Argument. Jon Myers is bringing societally changing and enhancing work and study whether any Court has the temerity to recognize it or even hear it. In its opinion of February 12, 2026 (Lincoln's Birthday), the Appeals Court referenced "(A) Judges inherent power....to dismiss a Complaint on his or her own initiative." (Appendix A5) National Grange Mut. Ins. Co. v. Walsh, 27 Mass. App. Ct. 155, 157 (1989). "Power" is meaningless even harmful without accuracy.

Jon Myers immediately filed a Motion for Reconsideration in which he labelled the decision of the Massachusetts Appeals Court "disgraceful and the actions of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg as "evil." (Appendix A48) One

point to make clear is that there is an equal and opposite component of Jon Myers' thirty-year odyssey. In forging concepts, like the World's Gentle Genius and the Magic of the Third Way, with three words at the bottom: "Integrity. Accuracy. Compassion" Jon Myers avers that the words he uses are precise, not random expressions of emotion.

Referencing the Appeals Court decision as disgraceful had to do with a person being unfathomably victimized and not rising to the level of even being able to hear the views. Even more so, it is designation of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg's actions as evil that are relevant here. One of the things that the Trial Judge had struggled with was a meeting that Jon Myers had with his younger brother Nathan Myers in June, 2022. The trial Judge tried to relate this meeting to the Statute of Limitations and what happened there.

In understanding emotional abuse, given its invisible nature is often as much what did not happen. At the meeting in June, 2022, Jon Myers was astounded, it was the first time he sat down, with someone who seemed utterly heartless. Ironical in that Nathan Myers teaches history at UCLA. Jon Myers encountered a person who had zero resonance to their own shared history, not a touch of warmth. Jon Myers experienced an utterly cold-hearted person.

Part of why this case is unprecedented is that because of its thirty-year history, it delineates the very fault lines of division in America. Abuse, hatred, and division is psychology. We see through this case how psychological rage assaults innocence. This is the deeper psychology America and surely it courts need to know. What is going on in society with exaggerated divisions, random and mass violence, and diminishment of true equality is identifiable through the thirty-year case study of this case.

**THE JOURNEY TO THE MASSACHUSETTS
SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT AND THE EMPHASIS
ON AGIS. V. HOWARD JOHNSON CO**

Consistent from his Original Complaint of March 20, 2025 through the MA Appeals Court and to the Application for Further Appellate Review, Jon Myers emphasized points from the Massachusetts' Supreme Judicial Court's own handling of Agis v. Howard Johnson Co. 371 Mass. 140, 355 N.E.2d 315 (Mass. 1976). Even before getting into the arguments of Agis. specifically, Jon Myers identified the misguided sense of superiority and arrogance inherent in Massachusetts courts, with love for American values.

This was not a random statement. It was an analysis drawn from his thirty years of engagement due to circumstances beyond Jon Myers' control with the Massachusetts legal landscape. Beginning with biases in divorce and custody in 1996, the Massachusetts Courts consistently were blithe, dismissive, and unwilling to focus on deeper issues of facts and truth. What is confounding in this instance is that the overarching dynamic, the umbrella if you will, was incited and maintained by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg over a thirty-year period.

It is the duality of having people psychologically sworn to your destruction combined with the inaccurate indifference of Massachusetts, as a the most overzealous reflection of American courts that produced this perfect storm. It was a cauldron that while providing unfathomable pressure on Jon Myers, became the pressure that forged profound understandings about emotional/psychological abuse, the psychology of our times, and equal and opposite responses, in terms of coaching Goodness, Purpose, and Assertiveness as remedies for companies, families, and individuals.

Unlike some jurisdictions, Massachusetts recognized that emotional/psychological abuse can be a standalone phenomenon in Agis:

“While we are not unconcerned with these problems, we believe that "the problems presented are not ... insuperable" and that "administrative difficulties do not justify the denial of relief for serious *invasions* { emphasis added} of mental and emotional tranquility...." State Rubbish Collectors Ass'n v. Siliznoff, 38 Cal. 2d 330, 338-339 (1952).

Jon Myers made this point consistently in his Original Complaint, the Appeal to the Massachusetts Appeals Court, and to the Massachusetts SJC. The Courts did not even attempt to align the truth and facts and patterns of this case, with its own standards.

One key moment in the hearing before the Trial court was underscored when again the trial Judge sought to establish a connection between for instance, the meeting Jon Myers had with Nathan Myers in June, 2022 and the claim for intentionally inflicting emotional distress. Jon Myers again averred to the Judge that to properly understand emotional/psychological abuse, one needed to understand the ongoing nature, and the fact that “withholding” was a key component of emotional/psychological abuse. It is not only what is being done, but also what is being withheld.

Jon Myers talked extensively about the concept of withholding at the May 22, 2025, hearing. Withholding includes, and is not limited to: affection, reason, finances, standing in a group, and respect. It was incomprehensible that a family which had raised Jon Myers with “blood ain’t mud” had irrationally and viciously turned against him for decades. But for his agenda, the emotional pain would be extraordinary and staggering for most people.

Again, emotional/psychological abuse being misunderstood and invisible concept ensures that it will be rife for inaccurate judgement. There is an odd irony as Jon Myers pinpointed the ongoing nature of the ramifications of the emotional/psychological abuse that he is enduring. While he expanded on the topic of the ongoing nature of effects of emotional abuse in his renewed petition to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court,¹⁵ Jon Myers also made the point of the ongoing effects of the position that thirty years of emotional/psychological abuse had put him in, allowing an egregious attack by TW Management and trustees of his condo building, Unless and until Jon Myers' financial and social standing is rectified, he is rife for attack. When reason is removed, some assume easy prey.

Speaking more on the general issues, Jon Myers highlighted the role of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court in its handling of Agis. For years, Jon Myers has focused on the term "serious invasions of mental and emotional tranquility." He developed a YouTube video specifically on this topic. Serious invasions of mental and emotional tranquility get at the crux of this matter generally and specifically. It is not understood how much harm can be done to an individual through sheer emotional and psychological tactics.

This is a breakthrough, emotional/psychological abuse can function like an axe or sharp-edged angle, moving into one's headstream. Not to mention the attacks on a human's mindset eventually infiltrate their heart and their soul. Jon Myers has forged a Science of Human Understanding, geared towards our Goodness.

¹⁵ There was a procedural misunderstanding here, Jon Myers submitted a Motion for a New Brief, which was approved on March 26, 2026. However the wording of the Motion called for a New FAR application. Jon Myers submitted in essence a new brief, which was accepted for filing. This misunderstanding may or may not have had an impact on the outcome..

Jon Myers used his motion to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court, (Appendix A52) which was accepted to describe more in detail what emotional/psychological abuse is like; while correlating it to Agis. Given the denial at the Trial Court level of the Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing, which precluded better understanding of these concepts Jon Myers dug in.

It is a consistent contention of Jon Myers in all his filings and here that understanding emotional abuse is a necessary growth step for society unto itself; because of how harmful it can be. And it is further averred at every point that understanding emotional/psychological abuse gets at the very underpinning of behavior in the United States now. The most horrific acts in history have been conducted by those clinging to false sense of superiority: American slavery and the German Holocaust to name the abhorrent of the abhorrent.

THE TORT FOR THE INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AS THE CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUE OF OUR TIME

It would be most beneficial for Jon Myers to express his own knowledge and understanding at this point rather than go down dreary legal avenues and lifts. Jon Myers has filed countless documents according to specifications, paid innumerable filing fees, and still has not be listened to in a "meaningful"¹⁶ manner. The point Jon Myers is making is that through unfathomable emotional hardship that was formulated around his life, he forged a science of human understanding. Not only logically decimating the heinous arguments and attempts by Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg to destroy his life by virtue of his own being, but Jon Myers

¹⁶ Recall, the rules of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court of allowing Pro See litigants to be "meaningfully heard."

has also seen deeply into the challenges facing America and provides remedies.

Let us start with a simple, if not staggering one: The Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress is a key tool, providing a path for the civil rights path of our times. The chief affliction of America these days is a psychological one. The tradeoff between opinion and principle is devastating. We live in a world where the mere expressing of opinion can be the catalyst for devastating actions and group behavior.

The case of Jon Myers must be seen in terms of the journey itself, a point consistently made in his numerous filings. It is the adherence to basic American principles of justice and fairness that have guided him. There is a breakdown in the American legal system, whether this Honorable Court or any court has the temerity and resonance to principle that America needs now. Jon Myers life and work could offer the most viable opportunity to bring about a restoration of profound principles of fairness, accuracy and truth that this Court experiences. It is not the mere words; it is the journey, the adherence to principle. .

Alongside the heinous efforts of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg to destroy Jon Myers' life, the real question before the court is to consider the unprecedented nature of Jon Myers' work and advocacy. Jon Myers' thirty-year journey is a crying wake up call for America. The mere fact that what happened to Jon Myers happened is enough to startle the sensibilities of any rational person. The fact that he offers prescient remedies is a call you cannot ignore.

The breakdown in America and yes, our cherished legal system is psychological. We are leaning on a sinking model of success. The rich get richer, laws get more rigid, those in power get more aloof is a disastrous method. In between destructive forces that want to tear down, and offer no constructive change, and systems so enraptured

with their own power, Jon Myers offers a third way. Only those clinging to outdated, virtually idiotic frameworks would hold the notion that change is not needed morally and psychologically in the United States. Our culture is virtually gaslit. Noble causes of equality for African-Americans, transgenders, women are hijacked by extreme views that obscure the notion that equality is a right for all



of us. In the progression of the rights for all, we cannot obliterate the rights of Jon Myers, or any rightfully standing American.

Idiotically Professors David Nathan Myers of UCLA and Nomi Stolzenberg of USC¹⁷ by sheer absolutism and frenzy conducted over the course of forty years catalyzed actions and a pattern of behavior that could have emotionally killed Jon Myers and would have destroyed a person of lesser character and purpose. It is not Democrats or Republicans who pose our deepest threat' it is fanaticism (See Appendix A48 "fanaticism and related topics) and extremism by themselves.

When Jon Myers speaks of the Magic of the Third Way, it is about a new improved psychology. It is about being assertive, rather than as we often are raised to be either aggressive or passive. It is about charting a positive course of change for the United States, at a time we act

¹⁷ Ironically the two universities where extremist Palestinian protests and encampments took hold.

bewildered by being stuck in between dusty and yet worthy principles, or forces of evisceration.

At this point, Jon Myers is straying from strict legal doctrine as he has done that for thirty years, and no one listened anyway. He may as well, state his views as they are relevant to the American legal system and America; for forces so stuck in the mud with their own egos, and yes Jon Myers says this lovingly.

The Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress is a stabilizing influence in the United States; at a time we need stability. Rather than the strictness of randomly applied laws, it offers guidelines. Jon Myers has developed the theory of Most. The theory of Most is such that most of us are good and well-intended. The days when the IRS or the Police need to see every customer as a potential criminal, while factually true, is inappropriate.

Let the harshness remain for those genuine hard asses, who deserve it. Let most of us dwell in the land of guidelines, restraint, and respect. One of the reasons the United States faces such disjuncture now is because we have grown so much technologically, outpacing our psychological development. Yes, we know how to scroll, and comment, and purchase online.

We have not formulated an understanding of how to interact in peaceful, adult and respectful ways. This is the unprecedented thirty-year journey of Jon Myers. Understanding emotional abuse and the correlation of the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress are at the precise pain point of understanding the challenges now facing the United States.

We have lost our coherence, vigor, and commitment to what is right, because we are allowing ourselves to be

gaslit. Yes, it is a horror historically what was done to African Americans for example. Yet we cannot spend our precious time, recorrecting wrongs of 150 years ago, rather we must forge the better principled society today.

Why then is understanding emotional abuse so vital, you may ask? Because understanding emotional abuse gets at the human psyche of hatred and animus itself. Beyond sexual abuse, financial abuse, physical abuse, emotional/psychological abuse exposes the mindset of those who inflict cruelty, in devastating form. Because no other form of abuse, has less visible forms of "reward." (sic).

In this matter, the emotional/psychological abuse of Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg engendered over the course of nearly forty years, provide the case study for the psychology itself. We see rather than the high positions of distinguished professors that Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg hold, it is their psychological desperation that formulates more of the character of their actions to date.¹⁸

It is all a psychology, that is among the breakthroughs in Jon Myers' work. Rather than the Tort for the Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress being an add-on, a superfluous nuance of the law it is precisely the tool, the divining fork, that the United States of America needs to bring coherence and respect back into our culture. It is impossible to convey the depth of all issues in one legal document, proscribed by tight requirements. What is possible is to divine that Jon Myers has been met with a tortured legal system, perhaps most pronounced in Massachusetts, although surely not limited there. What

¹⁸ Ever the optimist, the encourager, Jon Myers leaves slightly open the possibility that Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg can themselves reach breakthroughs, in their emotional development finally.

also can be ascertained is that Jon Myers' life and work is a noble advocacy.¹⁹ That advocacy has grown from acorn to oak, in response to circumstances beyond his control.

CONCLUSION

Raised by parents with a civil rights background, Jon Myers could not envision this journey in a million years. With love for Massachusetts, as it has taught him so much, Jon Myers avers Massachusetts could be the most challenged and tight-fisted of America's slightly selfish upholding of the law.

On March 20, 2025, Jon Myers submitted a Complaint substantive on emotional distress, endured for over thirty years. The Court dismissed it unknown to Jon Myers that day. Jon fought back, his Motion for Reconsideration (RA 22) pointed out the MA Supreme Judicial Court's own standards. One the court chose not to adhere to. A hearing was held on May 22, 2025, when the Judge only then began to exhibit an understanding of the nature of the Complaint—two months after he had dismissed it. The more substantive issue is the nature of emotional/psychological abuse itself, which opens the door for clear and accurate decisions on the part of Courts. Without this understanding, Courts are flailing and randomly throwing darts at a dartboard.

Jon Myers' life and work have turned out to the very opposite of the psychological prison in which Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg sought to contain and condemn him. It takes thirty years to work through some smear campaigns. Again, the strength of Jon Myers's arguments is that Nathan Myers/Stolzenberg set up abusively structured systems aimed to denigrate if not destroy Jon Myers. Jon

¹⁹ Ironically, a phrase first directed at him, in deciding to leave the Cambridge City council in 1995: "Yours' was a noble advocacy, you sought only to change the world for the better."

Myers PRAYS that this Honorable Court will restore
Justice and Principle, and Grant this Writ of Certiorari.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jon Myers

Jon Myers