

26-5440

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

MAY 20 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

PEDRO OSCAR CASTILLO, JR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

CAMERON COUNTY, et al.; CARRIZALES-RUCKER DETENTION CENTER
— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (BROWNSVILLE)
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PEDRO OSCAR CASTILLO, JR. #2424040
(Your Name)

COFFIELD UNIT
2661 FM 2054
(Address)

TENNESSEE COLONY, TX 75884-5000
(City, State, Zip Code)

903-928-2211
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- I. Why did U.S. District Judge Rolando Olvera contradict U.S. District Judge Micaela Alvarez order?
- II. Can the Supreme Court intervene both U.S. District Judges decision of order or ruling?
- III. Can I please request a definite final judgement from the Supreme Court of the United States?
- IV. Am I entitled to request a court appointed attorney under U.S.C.S § 3006A to assist me with this case?
- V. Does the LAW applies to everyone including law enforcement officials?
- VI. Can I request from the Supreme Court Judge(s) to assign and/or authorize a U.S. Marshall investigator to conduct an investigation regarding this case as I had requested from the United States District Court Southern District of Texas-McAllen, Division?
- ~~VII. Can you, "The Supreme Court," overrule and reopen this case?~~

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

"Plaintiff,"

"Defendants,"

PEDRO OSCAR CASTILLO, JR.
TDCJ#02424040
COFFIELD UNIT
2661 FM 2054
TENNESSEE COLONY, TX 75884

CAMERON COUNTY - CARAZALES-RUCKER DETENTION CENTER
1100 E. Monroe St. 7100 Old Alice Rd.
Brownsville, TX 78520 Del Rio, TX 78575

RELATED CASES

- United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division
June 7, 2023, Decided; June 7, 2023, Filed, Entered
CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:22-CV-413
- United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Brownsville Division
September 14, 2023, Decided; September 14, 2023, Filed, Entered
Civil Action No. 1:23-CV-00095
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit
January 29, 2026, Filed
No. 25-40124
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit
February 20, 2026, Filed
No. 25-40124
- (Similar Case) Supreme Court of the United States
November 2, 2020, Decided
No. 19-1261

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>"United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ORDER of Judgement"</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>"United States District Court Southern District of Texas Brownsville Division ORDER Motion Under Both Rule 59(e) and Rule 60(b)"</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>"United States District Court Southern District of Texas Brownsville Division ORDER Adopting Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation"</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>"United States District Court Southern District of Texas Brownsville Division ORDER Motion for Extension of Time"</i>
APPENDIX E	<i>"United States District Court Southern District of Texas McAllen Division ORDER Transfers Case to Brownsville Division"</i>
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was ~~Mar 02, 2026~~ January 29, 2026

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On December 5, 2022, I filed a 1983 "Prisoner's Civil Rights Complaint" regarding the condition of confinement when I was a pretrial detainee at Carrizales-Rucker Detention Center, Cameron County, Texas. I was placed in a contaminated single cell on September 11, 2021 at approximately 3:00am (when I was half asleep) that had approximately 77 pieces of dry stool (feces) on the ceiling and caused a throat infection. I was in biohazard single cell ("A6-186") for four days.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I humbly reach out to the Supreme Court of the United States to please grant and review petition, because I had already asked the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the appeal was DISMISSED for want of jurisdiction. I've requested change of jurisdiction ("change of venue") due to political reasons within the Cameron County District since I'm a former Cameron County jailer (employee) that's why I had submitted my 1983 to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division in Hidalgo County for assistance in the first place... I want to reopen, correct and/or redress my argument to "Failure to Protect" under the Fourteenth Amendment to prevent manifest injustice in Cameron County, Texas.

Notes: Law Enforcement Officials, Custody (Thomas v. Dart, 39 F. 4th 835)

HNT

Now, to state a viable failure-to-protect claim under the Fourteenth Amendment, a pretrial detainee must allege: (1) the defendant made an intentional decision regarding the conditions of the plaintiff's confinement; (2) those conditions put the plaintiff at substantial risk of suffering serious harm, (3) the defendant did not take reasonable available measures to abate the risk, even though a reasonable officer in the circumstances would have appreciated the high degree of risk involved, making the consequences of the defendant's inaction obvious, and (4) the defendant, by not taking such measures, caused the plaintiff's injuries. The third element requires an allegation that a specific defendant was on notice of a serious risk of harm to the detainee. Put another way, it must be plausibly alleged that a reasonable officer in a defendant's circumstances would have appreciated the high degree of risk the detainee was facing. A pretrial detainee need not prove subjective elements about an officer's actual awareness of the level of risk, but he or she must prove the officer was more than merely negligent. The officer must have acted with reckless disregard in the face of an unjustifiably high risk of harm.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Pedr. Chiff.

Date: 05/14/26