

No. 26-5401

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JAMIE HACKNEY, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

D. JOHN SAUER
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 26-5401

JAMIE HACKNEY, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

Petitioner contends (Pet. 4-7) that he should be permitted to appeal his sentence notwithstanding a provision in his plea agreement waiving that right, see Plea Agreement 7-8, on the theory that enforcing the waiver would be a “miscarriage of justice” because two of the supervised release conditions imposed by the district court did not comply with a statutory requirement that they “involve[] no greater deprivation of liberty than is reasonably necessary” to achieve certain statutory objectives, 18 U.S.C. 3583(d)(2). In Hunter v. United States, 608 U.S. 713 (2026), this Court disapproved of the particular test for

exceptions to appeal waivers applied by the court below in this case, and held that a defendant's "agreement not to appeal a sentence is unenforceable when it would result in a miscarriage of justice -- meaning, when it would leave in place the kind of egregious error that would bring the judicial system into disrepute." Id. at 717. The Court declined to apply its holding to the facts of that case, choosing instead to allow the court of appeals to decide whether enforcement of the appeal waiver would amount to a miscarriage of justice under the standard described in the Court's opinion. Consistent with this Court's treatment of other petitions challenging the enforceability of appeal waivers for sentencing claims, the appropriate course would be to grant the petition for a writ of certiorari, vacate the judgment of the court of appeals, and remand for further consideration in light of Hunter.*

Respectfully submitted.

D. JOHN SAUER
Solicitor General

OCTOBER 2026

* The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.