

U.S SUPREME COURT DOCKET NUMBER

26-5254

FILED

JUL 10 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR, 1283682, PROSE
PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF,

V S .

THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION, ET AL ,
DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS
TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

**THE PETITIONER'S PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P.O BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. DOES THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAVE THE RIGHT TO PLACE CITIZENS AND OTHER PEOPLE IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS WITHOUT NO REGARD FOR OUR HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELL BEING ?
2. DOES THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAVE THE RIGHT TO ACT IN ASSOCIATION WITH PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS TO CARRY OUT A SCHEME TO DEPRIVE THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE OF LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD WHILE ENGAGING IN UNETHICAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES ?
3. DOES THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY WITHOUT COMPENSATING US FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS, AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE ?
4. DOES THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE HAVE A RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BY A COMPETENT COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING OUR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GRANTED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY ?

L I S T O F P A R T I E S .

THE LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHT S CASE AND ITS RELATED CASES AND OTHER ESSENTIAL INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO THIS PETITION ARE IDENTICAL TO THOSE IN THE APPEAL CASE AND MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE OF WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, AS SET FORTH IN THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION TO WHICH THIS PETITION IS ATTACHED; AND THOSE PORTIONS OF THE PETITIONS ARE INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE. THE NAME AND OFFICE OR FUNCTION OF EVERY PERSON AGAINST WHOM RELIEF IS SOUGHT IS STATED BELOW:

U.S SUPREME COURT

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

U.S SUPREME COURT CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS JUNIOR

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE SONYA SOTOMAYOR

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE SAMUEL A. ALITO JUNIOR

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE CLARENCE THOMAS

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE ELENA KAGAN

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE AMY CONEY BARRETT

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE NEIL M. GORSUCH

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE BRETT KAVANAUGH

U.S SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE KETANJI BROWN JACKSON

U.S SUPREME COURT CHIEF CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS

U.S SUPREME COURT CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER

U.S SUPREME COURT COURT OFFICIAL EMILY WALKER

U.S SUPREME COURT COURT OFFICIALS JANE AND JOHN DOES

THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS

THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

THE AGENCY OR AGENCIES OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES

THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK

THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE, NEW YORK

THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN BUFFALO, NEW YORK

THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

THE U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

THE U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, NEW YORK

THE STATE OF NEW YORK GOVERNOR OFFICE
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY HALL
THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS
THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY COURT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN COURT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY TONAWANDA TOWN COURT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO PROBATION DEPARTMENT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO FAMILY COURT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY JAIL
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY HOLDING CENTER
THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE
CRISIS SERVICES
THE SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES
PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES OF NEW YORK
THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY OR PRISONERS' RIGHTS PROJECT
NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE GLEN T. SUDDABLY
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER
NYS COURT OF APPEALS ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT CLERK FRANCIS E. CAFARELL
NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE GERALD W. CONNOLLY
NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA
NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT CLERK LYNN CYBULSKI
NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT CLERK CHRISTOPHER L. JACOBS
NYS ERIE COUNTY FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY FRANK A. SEDITA III
NYS ERIE COUNTY FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY MICHAEL FLAHERTY
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY AMY J. GOLDSTEIN

NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARGNESI
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASHLEY R. LOWRY
NYS DOCCS FORMER COMMISSIONER ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI
NYS DOCCS DIRECTORS KAREN BELLAMY AND A. RODRIGUEZ
NYS DOCCS DIRECTOR DONALD VENETOZZI
NYS DOCCS SPECIAL INVESTIGATOR MR. WEISHAUP
NYS DOCCS SUPERINTENDENT MICHAEL KIRKPATRICK
NYS DOCCS SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF
NYS DOCCS HEARING OFFICERS MR. BULLIS, MRS. HENLEY AND MRS. LIBERTY
NYS DOCCS MEDICAL DOCTOR RICHARD ADAMS
NYS DOCCS MEDICAL DOCTOR MANDALAYWALA MD VIJAY KUMAN
NYS DOCCS ORTHOPEDIC DOCTOR DRAGOS MACELARU
NYS DOCCS MEDICAL PROVIDER CATHERINE CALLEY
NYS DOCCS REGISTER NURSE G. WATERSON
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER GLEN ENGSTROM
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER AMBROSE WALDRON
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER CHAD ROWE
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TRAVIS BAXTER
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RIEF
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER S. BARCOMB
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RUSSELL
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER C. COX
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RUFA
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER MR. BOGARDUS
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER MR. ARCHAMBEAULT
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER K. DREW
NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER MR. BAXTER
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SERGEANT MR. T. WILSON
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY INMATE RECORDS MR. M. FREW
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY INMATE ACCOUNTS M. WETZLER
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SUPERINTENDENT S. BECK
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SORC K. WARNER
U.S COURT REPORTER EILEEN McDONOUGH - U.S DISTRICT COURT
U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD - U.S DISTRICT COURT
U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH - U.S DISTRICT COURT
U.S COURT REPORTER ABIGAIL HALLOWELL - U.S DISTRICT COURT
U.S COURT REPORTER ALEXANDER JONES - U.S DISTRICT COURT
U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK MARY C. LOEWENGUTH
U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK N. EALLONARDO

U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK JOHN M. DOMURAD
U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN
U.S DISTRICT COURT APPOINTED TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUNG
U.S DISTRICT COURT APPOINTED TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE LOWE
NYS ERIE COUNTY COURT APPOINTED DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL
2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE DEBRA ANN LIVINGSTON
2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE RAYMOND J. LOHIER JUNIOR
2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE MICHAEL H. PARK
2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE WILLIAM J. NARDINI
2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE SMITH
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE P. J
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE CENTRA
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE PERADOTTO
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE CARNI
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT JUDGE PETERS
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT JUDGE LYNCH
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT JUDGE ROSE AND MULVEY, JJ
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT JUDGE AERONS AND PRITZKER, JJ
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT JUDGE MCCARTHY
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT JUDGE EGAN JR.
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT CLERK ROBERT D. MAYBERGER
NYS SOLICITOR GENERAL BARBARA A. UNDERWOOD
NYS. DEPUTY SOLICITOR JEFFREY LANG
NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE
NYS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION
NYS ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO BOARD OF EDUCATION
NYS ERIE COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER
THE UNIVERSITY OF BUFFALO ORTHOPEDIC CLINIC
THE BAR ASSOCIATION
THE CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEW YORK STATE
THE CITY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK CITY COUNCIL MEMBER DARIUS PRIDGET
THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
PARTICIPATING AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND BUSINESSES,
AND PARTICIPATING JANE AND JOHN DOES FUNCTIONING FOR THE
GOVERNMENT WHO HAVE ACCESS TO THE IDENTIFYING INFORMATION FOR
EACH JANE DOE AND JOHN DOE DEFENDANT-RESPONDENT IN OUR LIFE SITUATION,
SYSTEM AND CASE. THE COURT MUST PROVIDE FOR US TO IDENTIFY EACH JANE AND
JOHN DOE DEFENDANT BY THEIR GOVERNMENT NAME DUE TO THE FACTS
AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE.

A LIST OF PROCEEDINGS

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE,
INDICTMENT No. 02793-2011, NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY
SUPREME COURT. CRIMINAL CASE - CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.
JUDGMENT ENTERED NOVEMBER 13, 2012.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE,
INDICTMENT No. 02793-2011, NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY
SUPREME COURT. CRIMINAL CASE - POST CONVICTION
PROCEEDINGS. MEMORANDUM AND ORDER ENTERED SEPTEMBER
23, 2016

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE,
INDICTMENT No. 02793-2011, CASE No. KA16-02126, NEW YORK
STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT. CRIMINAL
APPEAL CASE - CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS. ORDER ENTERED
JANUARY 13, 2017, MAY 18, 2017, JUNE 8, 2017 AND JULY 18, 2017
WITHOUT THE ENTRY OF ANY JUDGMENT.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE,
INDICTMENT No. 02793-2011, NO CASE NUMBER WAS ISSUED,
NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS. CRIMINAL
APPEAL CASE - CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS. ORDER
ENTERED SEPTEMBER 19, 2017 WITHOUT THE ENTRY OF A
JUDGMENT.

WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK, INDICTMENT
No. 02793-2011, CASE No. 6:18-CV-06560 DGL, UNITED
STATES DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW
YORK. FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS.
ORDER ENTERED OCTOBER 7, 2019 AND JUDGMENT ENTERED
OCTOBER 8, 2019.

WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK, INDICTMENT
No. 02793-2011, CASE No. 19-3941, UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT.
FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE - CIVIL

PROCEEDINGS. ORDER ENTERED JANUARY 27, 2022 WITHOUT THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT.

WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. 9:18-CV-00748-MAD-ATB AND 9:18-CV-00748-BKS-ATB, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. JUDGMENT ENTERED NOVEMBER 13, 2022 AND ORDERS ENTERED NOVEMBER 15, 2022 AND DECEMBER 5, 2022.

WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. 22-3095 AND 22-3206, UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT. SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS APPEAL CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. JUDGMENT ENTERED JULY 6, 2023 AND ORDERS ENTERED JULY 6, 2023 AND JULY 27, 2023.

WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. 23 A 7201 AND APPLICATIONS NO. 23 A 307, 23 A 824, AND 23 A 904, UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS APPEAL CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. ORDER LETTERS ENTERED JUNE 10, 2024 AND AUGUST 19, 2024.

WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, NO CASE NUMBER AND APPLICATIONS NUMBERS WAS ISSUED, UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. NO ORDERS ENTERED IN THE CASE YET.

TABLE OF CONTENT

THE REQUIRED PORTIONS OF THE PETITION

OPINIONS BELOW.....1

JURISDICTION.....2-3

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS
INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE.....4

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....5-9

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....10-35

A. THE WRIT WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURT'S
 APPELLATE JURISDICTION.....34-35

B. THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE
 AND OUR LIFE SITUATION WARRANTS THE EXERCISE OF
 THIS COURT'S DISCRETIONARY POWERS IN THIS CASE.....35

C. ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER
 FORM OR FROM ANY OTHER COURT DUE TO THE FACTS AND
 CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION.....35

CONCLUSION.....36-40

INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A : A COPY OF THE ORDER LETTER OF THIS COURT CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS DATED JUNE 10, 2024 DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE ; A COPY OF THE ORDER LETTER OF THIS COURT CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS DATED AUGUST 19, 2024 DENYING MY PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ; A PRINTOUT OF THE UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 AND 6 AND AMENDMENTS 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13 AND 14 ; A PRINTOUT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ANNOTATED CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF NEW COLUMBIA BILL OF RIGHTS ARTICLE 1 ; A PRINTOUT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT PREAMBLE AND RULES 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.12, AND 2.15 ; A PRINTOUT OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RULES 1, 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 33, 34, 39 AND 44, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 60, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF 5 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706 ; A PRINTOUT OF 28 U.S.C.A § 1254, 1651, 1734, 1735, 2106, 2241, 2254, AND 1915 ; A PRINTOUT OF 42 U.S.C.A § 1985 ; A PRINTOUT OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964 ; A PRINTOUT OF 45 C.F.R § 46.301, 46.302, 46.303, 46.304, 46.305, AND 46.306 ; A PRINTOUT OF MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK ANNOTATED PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05 ; PAGE 1 OF THIS COURT GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI IN THE FORM OF A COPY ; A PRINTOUT OF 62 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 120, 121, 122, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, AND 130 ; A COPY OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ; A COPY OF MY PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/2/25, MOTION TO DISSOLVE INTERFERENCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED 3/2/25 ; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/10/25, MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE WITH A EXECUTED DATE OF MARCH 11, 2025 ; A COPY OF MY (3) LETTERS FROM THIS COURT CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25 ; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/9/25, MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT AND ITS NOTARY VERIFICATION DOCUMENT, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS WITH A EXECUTED DATE OF OCTOBER 27, 2024, PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION, AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF MARCH 25, 2025, AND DISBURSEMENT AND AUTHORIZED ADVANCE REQUEST FORM RECEIPTS DATED 3/21/25 ; A COPY OF MY DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM

RECEIPTS DATED 3/4/25; A COPY OF MY LETTERS TO CORRESPONDENCE AT ATTICA DATED 4/16/25, 4/22/25, 5/5/25, 5/18/25 AND 7/28/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO ATTICA'S SUPERINTENDENT AND OTHER ADMINISTRATORS DATED 4/26/25, MEMORANDUM FROM ATTICA'S SUPERINTENDENT J. WOLCOTT DATED 4/25/25, AND MEMORANDUM FROM ATTICA'S ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT FOR PROGRAMS D. HUNT DATED 5/1/25; A COPY OF MY FOLLOW UP LETTERS TO THIS COURT DATED 4/14/25, 4/24/25, 5/5/25, 5/18/25, 5/22/25, 5/28/25, 6/1/25, 6/4/25, 6/10/25, 6/19/25, 7/21/25, 7/23/25, 8/1/25, 8/3/25, 8/18/25, 8/21/25, 1/11/26, 1/18/26, 1/28/26, 2/2/26, 2/9/26, 2/23/26, 3/2/26, 3/9/26, 3/16/26 AND 3/23/26; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER DATED 5/12/25, MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF, ENTITLED LETTER DATED 5/5/25, LETTER ENTITLED "A EXTREME EMERGENCY SITUATION", LETTER ENTITLED "SEXUALLY DANGEROUS CYBER SUPER PREDATORS" AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED 5/13/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "ACCOMPLISHING OUR PURPOSE IN LIFE, WITH CLEAN HANDS"; A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "I AM WORKING FOR A REASON AND GREAT RESULTS"; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS DATED 5/18/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR DATED 6/11/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 6/19/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE EMERGENCY APPLICATION CLERK DATED 7/26/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE DEPUTY CLERK FOR CASE MANAGEMENT DATED 7/27/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE CHIEF CLERK AND ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER STAFF DATED 7/28/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP AND WHITEHOUSE STAFF DATED 7/30/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER STAFF DATED 8/1/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE NYS DOCCS OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS AND STAFF DATED 8/7/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 8/12/25, LETTERS TO GUIDANCE COUNSELOR MRS. VANSKIVER, THE SORC AND OTHER STAFF AT ATTICA DATED 8/12/25 AND 8/18/25, LETTER TO THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE DATED 8/19/25, LETTER TO COMMISSIONER DANIEL F. MARTUSCELLO AND OTHER EXECUTIVE STAFF OF THE NYS DOCCS DATED 8/19/25, LETTER TO ATTICA'S SUPERINTENDENT AND OTHER ADMINISTRATORS DATED 8/19/25, LETTER TO THE NYS COMMISSION OF CORRECTION DATED 8/19/25, LETTER TO THE OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS DATED 8/26/25, LETTER TO THE U.S COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS DATED 8/26/25, LETTER TO MY COUSIN ELLA DATED 8/26/25, LETTER TO THE SENATE AND OTHER CONGRESSIONAL STAFF DATED 9/2/25, AND DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPTS DATED 7/29/25, 8/19/25, AND 8/25/25; A COPY OF MY DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPTS DATED 9/2/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE SENATE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER CONGRESSIONAL STAFF DATED 9/9/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT AND OTHER PARTIES DATED 9/18/25 AND LETTER TO THE CHIEF CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS, DEPUTY CLERK FOR CASE MANAGEMENT LAURIE WOODS AND OTHER U.S SUPREME COURT STAFF DATED 9/30/25;

A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE SORC, MRS. VANSKIVER AND OTHER GUIDANCE UNIT STAFF AT ATTICA DATED 10/2/25, LETTER TO ATTICA SUPERINTENDENTS AND OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF DATED 10/2/25, AND LETTER TO COMMISSIONER DANIEL F. MARTUSCELLO AND OTHER EXECUTIVE STAFF DATED 10/8/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE NYS DOCCS OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DATED 10/21/25 AND DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPT DATED 10/27/25; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTERS DATED 10/16/25, MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF, AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED 10/20/25, AND DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPTS DATED 10/20/25; A COPY OF MY CERTIFIED MAIL AND RETURN RECEIPTS FROM THE MAILING OF MY MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS TO THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ON OCTOBER 22, 2025; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE SECRETARY AND OTHER EXECUTIVE STAFF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DATED 10/23/25 AND COVER LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OTHER EXECUTIVE STAFF DATED 10/23/25; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, U.S SENATE AND OTHER CONGRESSIONAL STAFF DATED 10/25/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 10/28/25 AND COVER LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE DATED 10/23/25; A COPY OF MY DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPTS DATED 10/27/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 2/12/26 AND DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPT DATED 2/17/26; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 3/10/26; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP AND OTHER WHITEHOUSE STAFF, U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND OTHER EXECUTIVE STAFF OF THE U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DATED 3/16/26; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO THE U.S CONGRESS AND OTHER CONGRESSIONAL STAFF DATED 3/16/26; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER DATED 4/18/26, LETTER ENTITLED "BRIEFINGS, FOR INQUIRIES, INVESTIGATIONS AND RESOLUTIONS" AND DISBURSEMENT AND ADVANCE FORM RECEIPTS DATED 4/20/26; A COPY OF MY ADVANCE FORM RECEIPT DATED 4/17/26; A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE DATED 4/27/26; A COPY OF MY INMATE GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 4/15/25, FOLLOW UP GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 4/22/25, MEMORANDUM FROM IGRC DATED 4/24/25, IGRC HEARING RESPONSE PAPER FROM MY INMATE GRIEVANCE No. 0940-25, SUPERINTENDENT RESPONSE PAPER FROM MY INMATE GRIEVANCE No. A-0940-25 AND MEMORANDUM FROM THE DIRECTOR OF THE INMATE GRIEVANCE PROGRAM RACHAEL SEGUIN DATED 3/9/26; A COPY OF MY INMATE GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 8/5/25, MEMORANDUM FROM IGRC DATED 8/18/25, IGRC HEARING RESPONSE PAPER FROM MY INMATE GRIEVANCE No. 1853-25 AND SUPERINTENDENT RESPONSE PAPER FROM MY INMATE GRIEVANCE

No. A-1853-25; A COPY OF MY INMATE GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 9/23/25, MEMORANDUM FROM IGRC DATED 9/25/25, IGRC HEARING RESPONSE PAPER FROM MY INMATE GRIEVANCE No. 2132-25, SUPERINTENDENT RESPONSE PAPER FROM MY INMATE GRIEVANCE No. A-2132-25, AND LETTER TO ATTICA'S CORRESPONDENCE STAFF DATED 9/23/25; A COPY OF MY GRIEVANCE LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE INMATE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE AND OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DATED 10/17/25; A COPY OF MY INMATE GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 10/17/25 AND LETTER TO THE BUSINESS OFFICE/CORRESPONDENCE AT ATTICA DATED 10/17/25; A COPY OF MY LETTER TO ATTICA POST OFFICE POSTMASTER AND OTHER STAFF AND RESPONSE LETTER FROM ATTICA POST OFFICE POSTMASTER DATED 12/11/25; A COPY OF MY RESPONSE LETTER FROM A UNKNOWN EMPLOYEE OF THE NYS DOCCS DATED 8/27/25; A COPY OF MY RESPONSE LETTER FROM THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF THE NYS DOCCS OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS (DARREN T. MILLER) DATED 9/9/25; AND A COPY OF MY RESPONSE LETTER FROM THE NYS DOCCS BUREAU OF MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTOR EI HADJI GUEYE DATED 10/24/25 AND A PRINTOUT OF THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES-45 C.F.R. PT. 73. APP B.

APPENDIX B: A COPY OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT'S ORDER DENYING MY MOTIONS AND DISMISSING MY APPEAL ON JUNE 6, 2023; A COPY OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT'S ORDER DENYING MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023; AND A COPY OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT'S MANDATE THAT WAS ISSUED ON 8/4/23.

APPENDIX C: A COPY OF THE CIVIL JUDGMENT OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DATED 11/15/22 DISMISSING MY COMPLAINT; A COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNEs DATED 11/15/22 DENYING MY MOTIONS AND DISMISSING MY COMPLAINT; AND A COPY OF A DOCKET TEXT ORDER THAT WAS ENTERED ON 12/5/22 DENYING MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION WITHIN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK.

APPENDIX D: A COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT STRICKENING MY MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY FROM THE DOCKET IN MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE ON 1/27/22.

APPENDIX E: A COPY OF THE COURT DOCKET TEXT DECISION AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK THAT WAS ENTERED ON 10/7/19 DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DENYING THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY; A COPY OF THE DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID S. LARIMER DATED 10/7/19 DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF

APPEALABILITY; A COPY OF THE CIVIL JUDGMENT OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DATED 10/8/19 DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; AND A COPY OF A CIVIL DOCKET SHEET FROM THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK.

APPENDIX F: A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS COURT OF APPEALS ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON DATED 9/19/17 DISMISSING MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL AND TO APPEAL.

APPENDIX G: A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN DATED 1/13/17 DENYING PERMISSION TO APPEAL; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN DATED 6/8/17 DENYING MY MOTION FOR REARGUMENT OF MY MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE GRANTING LEAVE TO APPEAL; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT CLERK FRANCIS E. CAFARELL DATED 5/18/17 DISMISSING MY MOTION TO APPEAL AS A MATTER OF RIGHT; AND A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT CLERK FRANCIS E. CAFARELL DATED 7/18/17 DENYING MY MOTION FOR REARGUMENT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL AS A MATTER OF RIGHT.

APPENDIX H: A COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM AND ORDER OF NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA DATED 9/23/16 DENYING MY POST CONVICTION 440.10 MOTION.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 2.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 3.....	x, 16, 21, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 4.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 5.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6.....	x, 9, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 1.....	x, 9, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 4.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 5.....	x, 9, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 6.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 7.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 8.....	x, 9, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 10.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 13.....	x, 27, 33
U.S CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 14.....	x, 9, 27, 33
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1.....	x, 9, 33
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 181.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 183.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 184.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 185.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 186.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 187.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1811.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1815.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1817.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1818.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1819.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1820.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1821.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1822.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1823.....	27
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1824.....	27

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 1, 28 U.S.C. A.....	x, 9, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C. A.....	x, 9, 17, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 12, 28 U.S.C. A.....	x, 9, 27

U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 14, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 16, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 12, 15, 16, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 20, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 3, 9, 10, 21, 24, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 21, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 22, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 16, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 33, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 34, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 39, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 24, 27
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 44, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 27
U.S SUP. CT. CODE OF CONDUCT CANON 1, 28 U.S.C.A	9, 27, 33
U.S SUP. CT. CODE OF CONDUCT CANON 2, 28 U.S.C.A	9, 27, 33
U.S SUP. CT. CODE OF CONDUCT CANON 3, 28 U.S.C.A	9, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT PREAMBLE	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.1	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.2	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.3	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.2	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.3	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.4	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.5	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.6	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.7	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.9	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.12	X, 27, 33
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.15	X, 27, 33
THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 60, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 27, 33
THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 12, 27, 33
THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A	X, 9, 12, 27, 33
THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964	X, 27, 33
THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICE	xiii, 27, 33
45 C.F.R. PT. 73. App B	xiii, 28
45 C.F.R § 46.301	X, 27
45 C.F.R § 46.302	X, 27
45 C.F.R § 46.303	X, 27
45 C.F.R § 46.304	X, 27
45 C.F.R § 46.305	X, 27
45 C.F.R § 46.306	X, 28
42 U.S.C.A § 1985	X, 9, 27, 33
28 U.S.C.A § 1651	X, 3, 5, 9, 10, 27, 33, 34
28 U.S.C.A § 1651(a)	21

28 U.S.C. A § 1254	X
28 U.S.C. A § 1254(1)	2
28 U.S.C. A § 1734	X, 39
28 U.S.C. A § 1735	X, 39
28 U.S.C. A § 1915	X, 9, 27, 33
28 U.S.C. A § 2106	X, 9, 27, 33
28 U.S.C. A § 2241	X, 21, 33
28 U.S.C. A § 2254	X
28 U.S.C. A § 2254(a)	21
5 U.S.C. A § 702	X, 9, 27, 33
5 U.S.C. A § 703	X, 9, 27, 33
5 U.S.C. A § 704	X, 9, 27, 33
5 U.S.C. A § 706	X, 9, 27, 33
THE U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C. A	X
NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00	27, 33
NYS PENAL LAW § 35.05	27, 33
NYS PENAL LAW § 40.00	27, 33
NYS PENAL LAW § 40.05	27, 33
MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK ANNOTATED PENAL LAW § 35.00	X
MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK ANNOTATED PENAL LAW § 35.05	X
MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK ANNOTATED PENAL LAW § 40.00	X
MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK ANNOTATED PENAL LAW § 40.05	X

SECONDARY SOURCE S OF LAW

63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 120	X, 9, 27
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 121	X, 9, 27
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 122	X, 9, 27
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 124	X, 27-28
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 125	X, 9, 27-28
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 126	X, 9, 27-28
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 127	X, 9, 27-28
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 128	X, 9, 27-28
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 129	X, 9, 27-28
63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 130	X, 9, 27-28
THE U.S SUPREME COURT GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI PAGE 1	X, 28

THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT.	16
---	----

OPINIONS BELOW

JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE ARE DESCRIBED IN THIS PETITION AND IS REPRODUCED IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION AT PAGES 1 TO 2, AT PAGES 1 TO 4 IN APPENDIX B OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 20 IN APPENDIX C OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX D OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX E OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX F OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX G OF THIS PETITION, AND AT PAGES 1 TO 11 IN APPENDIX H OF THIS PETITION. JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE ARE ALSO DESCRIBED IN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND IS REPRODUCED IN APPENDIX A OF THAT PETITION AT PAGES 1 TO 2, AT PAGES 1 TO 4 IN APPENDIX B OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 20 IN APPENDIX C OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX D OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX E OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX F OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX G OF THAT PETITION, AND AT PAGES 1 TO 11 IN APPENDIX H OF THAT PETITION. JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE ARE ALSO DESCRIBED IN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND IS REPRODUCED IN APPENDIX A OF THAT PETITION AT PAGE 1 TO 8, AT PAGES 1 TO 218 IN APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX C OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX D OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX E OF THAT PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX F OF THAT PETITION, AND AT PAGES 1 TO 11 IN APPENDIX G OF THAT PETITION. I DO NOT KNOW IF THE OPINIONS, ORDERS, DECISIONS OR DISPOSITIONS RENDERED IN THIS CASE AND RELATED CASES IS REPORTED, UNREPORTED, PUBLISHED OR UNPUBLISHED DUE TO THE FACTS SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH #3 OF MY MOTION ENTITLED "A MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT" WHICH WAS FILED IN THIS CASE ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF.

J U R I S D I C T I O N

U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND COURT CLERKS HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A CIVIL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DISMISSING MY COMPLAINT ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022, AND DENIED MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE ON DECEMBER 5, 2022 TO GIVE THE 2ND CIRCUIT JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER ON APPEAL. THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD DISMISSED THE APPEAL ON JULY 6, 2023 AND DENIED MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023 TO GIVE THIS U.S SUPREME COURT JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER TO THE HIGHEST COURT IN THE NATION UNDER 28 U.S.C.A§ 1254(1). ON OCTOBER 6, 2023, JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR HAD GRANTED MY APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 26, 2023. MY PETITION, APPENDICES AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS WERE TIMELY SUBMITTED ON OCTOBER 24, 2023 BUT THEY WERE RETURNED FOR CORRECTIONS AND RESUBMITTAL ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. PLEASE SEE MY "MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT" TO REVIEW PAGES 11 TO 14 WHERE I HAD COVERED THE ENTIRE CORRECTION AND FILING PROCESS OF MY LEGAL PAPERS LEADING UP TO THE FILING OF MY MOTION, PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, APPENDICES, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN APRIL OF 2024. ON APRIL 10, 2024, JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR HAD GRANTED MY MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT ACCORDING TO THE LETTER OF THIS COURT CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 4/10/24. THE COURT HAD DENIED MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ON JUNE 10, 2024, AND ON AUGUST 19, 2024, THE COURT HAD DENIED MY PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI ON JUNE 10, 2024. ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2024, THE COURT HAD REFUSED TO FILE AND DECIDE MY MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT AND REQUEST RELIEF. ON NOVEMBER 13, 2024, I HAD SUBMITTED MY MOTIONS, PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION, APPENDICES A-H, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BUT THE LEGAL PAPERS WERE RETURNED FOR CORRECTIONS OR CHANGES AND RESUBMITTAL ON

NOVEMBER 21, 2024, DECEMBER 17, 2024 AND JANUARY 24, 2025. THE COURT HAD FORCED ME TO SUBMIT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AFTER REJECTING MY PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS FOR THE REASONS STATED IN THE LETTERS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25 [SEE EXHIBIT # 216]. I HAD COMPLIED WITH THE LETTERS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND MADE CHANGES TO MY APPLICATIONS AND RESUBMITTED MY LEGAL PAPERS TO THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT ON APRIL 1, 2025 BUT THE COURT HAD HELPED PUT THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IN ITS CONDITION FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THIS PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS AFTER REJECTING AND RETURNING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF INJUNCTION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ON JUNE 15, 2026 FOR THE REASONS CLAIMED IN THE LETTER OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 6/15/26 [SEE EXHIBIT # 270]. NOW, I AM SEEKING THE ENTRY AND ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THIS COURT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ENTER AND ISSUE THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. A § 1651 AND THIS COURT RULE 20, 28 U.S.C. A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. [PLEASE SEE EXHIBITS # 161-264 AND 267-270; AND APPENDIX A PAGES 1, 2, 62-63, 92, 93, 159-371, 372-391, 419-421, AND 422-510; APPENDIX B PAGES 1-4, AND APPENDIX C PAGES 1-20]

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE

THE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE ARE LENGTHY AND THEY ARE SET OUT VERBATIM AT PAGES 3 TO 157 IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION. THE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE ARE ALSO SET OUT VERBATIM AT PAGES 3 TO 91 IN APPENDIX A OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION. THE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE ARE ALSO SET OUT VERBATIM AT PAGES 16 TO 295 IN APPENDIX A OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, AT PAGES 225 TO 370 IN APPENDIX B VOLUME I OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, AT PAGES 12 TO 44 IN APPENDIX G OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, AND AT PAGES 1 TO 126 IN APPENDIX H OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF.

[PLEASE SEE ALL CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHT CASE]

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED, THE LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AND ITS RELATED CASES, THE NAMES OF KNOWN DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS, THE NAMES OF KNOWN WITNESSES, THE LIST OF PROCEEDINGS IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURT THAT ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE, THE OPINIONS BELOW, THE REASONS BEHIND THE COURT'S JURISDICTION OVER THIS CASE, THE STATUTES AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS INVOLVED, THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE, THE REASONS FOR GRANTING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION, AND THE SUMMARY OF OUR GREAT PLANS WITH RESPECT OF THIS PETITION ARE IDENTICAL TO THOSE IN THE APPEAL CASE AND MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE OF WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, AS SET FORTH IN THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION TO WHICH THIS PETITION IS ATTACHED; AND THOSE PORTIONS ARE INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE. SIMILARLY, THE SAME CONSIDERATIONS THAT JUSTIFY THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED ON APPEAL FROM THE SECOND CIRCUIT EQUALLY WARRANTS THE ENTRY AND ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND THE SAFETY, SECURITY, WELFARE AND PROPER CARE OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. I AM SEEKING ESSENTIALLY THE SAME RELIEF BY WAY OF **MANDAMUS** THAT I'M SEEKING BY WAY OF APPEAL AND MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION. JURISDICTION TO ISSUE THE WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** IN THIS CASE LIES UNDER 28 U.S.C. A § 1651 AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE OMISSION OF PART OF THE CASE RECORDS FROM THIS PETITION'S APPENDIX DOES NOT PRECLUDE ME FROM RELYING ON THE RECORD FOR EACH CASE SINCE MY CASE RECORDS IS AVAILABLE TO THIS COURT AS NEEDED IN THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE. I AM BEING FORCED TO RELY ON MY CASE RECORDS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE RECORD PRESENTS A PROPER CASE FOR THE ENTRY AND ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS**, AND THERE IS NO LEGITIMATE OPPOSITION ABOUT THE UNDISPUTABLE FACTS AS THEY HAVE BEEN ALREADY SUFFICIENTLY STATED. FEDERAL QUESTIONS SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED IN THIS CASE WERE PROPERLY AND TIMELY RAISED IN THIS U.S SUPREME COURT AND OTHER COURTS. SPECIFIC PORTIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS THAT I HAD FILED IN THIS U.S SUPREME COURT TO RAISE

ISSUES, PRESENT THE FACTS, STATE PLANS, OR SEEK RELIEF AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF THIS CASE ON APPEAL ARE KNOWN AS MY "PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF"; "APPENDICES A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F, G, AND H OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF"; "PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF"; "ATTACHED APPENDIX TO MY PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF"; "MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF"; "MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT"; "MOTIONS FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF OF CERTIORARI, APPENDICES AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS"; "MOTION DATED NOVEMBER 9, 2023"; "MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS"; "COVER LETTERS"; "GRIEVANCE LETTERS"; "DOCCS GRIEVANCE LETTERS AND PAPERS"; "LETTERS FOR ASSISTANCE"; "PREA SEXUAL ABUSE LETTERS"; "WITNESSES LETTERS"; "ERRONEOUS TRANSCRIPTS LETTER DATED 8/8/22"; "TRANSCRIPTS PRODUCTION LETTERS"; "LETTERS OF CONTINUOUS ABUSE AND REPEATED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS"; "ENTITLED LETTERS"; "LETTERS ENTITLED A REQUEST FOR A JUDICIAL NOTICE, SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT FOR THE RELIEF, FULL SCOPE REPRESENTATION, COMPELLING REASONS TO REVIEW THIS CASE AND PROVIDE FOR THE RELIEF, AND CYBERNETICS - THE SCIENCE OF COMMUNICATION AND CONTROL IN MACHINES AND LIVING THINGS"; AND "EXHIBITS WITH AND WITHOUT EVIDENCE SUMMARIES". SPECIFIC PORTIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS THAT I HAD FILED IN THIS U.S SUPREME COURT TO RAISE ISSUES, PRESENT THE FACTS, STATE PLANS OR SEEK RELIEF AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE ARE KNOWN AS MY "PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND ITS ATTACHED APPENDICES A-H"; "MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT"; "MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS"; "MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF"; "MOTION TO DISSOLVE INTERFERENCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS"; "MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS"; "MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF"; "COVER LETTERS"; "FOLLOW UP LETTERS"; "GRIEVANCE LETTERS"; "DOCCS GRIEVANCE LETTERS AND PAPERS"; "LETTERS FOR ASSISTANCE"; "PREA SEXUAL ABUSE LETTERS"; "LETTERS OF CONTINUOUS ABUSE AND

REPEATED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS"; "ENTITLED LETTERS"; "LETTERS ENTITLED A EXTREME EMERGENCY SITUATION, SEXUALLY DANGEROUS CYBER SUPER PREDATORS, ACCOMPLISHING OUR PURPOSE IN LIFE WITH CLEAN HANDS, AND I AM WORKING FOR A REASON AND GREAT RESULTS"; "EXHIBITS"; AND "PROOF OF SERVICES". PLEASE REVIEW ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT IN ALL PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTRY AND ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** FOR US TO ADDRESS THE HUMAN RIGHT VIOLATIONS AND LONG CHAIN OF ABUSES INVOLVED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THIS PETITION FOR A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** HAS GROW OUT OF AND IS AUXILIARY TO MY APPEAL CASE AND MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE AND IS SUBMITTED TO AID THE PRIMARY CASES, VACATE OR IMPEACH PRIOR DECISIONS OR DECREES, AND STATE FACTS FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. I HAVE BEEN SEEKING RELIEF IN THIS COURT BECAUSE THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT HAD REFUSED TO ALLOW ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL OR REFUSED TO HEAR AND ADJUDICATE THIS CASE AND RESOLVE THE CONTROVERSY WHEN THEY HAD ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTIONS AND WRONGFULLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS ON JULY 6, 2023, AND ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023. I HAD PRESENTED THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE TO THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WHO HAD DECIDED TO ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENY MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ON JUNE 10, 2024, AND ON AUGUST 19, 2024, THE COURT HAD ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ON JUNE 10, 2024. ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2024, THE COURT HAD REFUSED TO FILE MY COVER LETTER, MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, AND REQUEST RELIEF, EXHIBITS #181-194, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE WHILE CAUSING ME A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS IN THE APPEAL PROCESS OF THIS CASE WHERE THE CONTROVERSY HAS TURNED INTO GREATER PROBLEMS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. ON NOVEMBER 21, 2024, I HAD SUBMITTED MY MOTIONS, PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION, APPENDICES A-H, EXHIBITS, AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BUT THE LEGAL PAPERS WERE RETURNED FOR

CORRECTIONS OR CHANGES AND RESUBMITTAL ON NOVEMBER 21, 2024, DECEMBER 17, 2024 AND JANUARY 24, 2025. THE COURT HAD FORCED ME TO SUBMIT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AFTER REJECTING MY PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS FOR THE REASONS STATED IN THE LETTERS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25. [SEE EXHIBIT #216] I HAD COMPLIED WITH THE LETTERS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND MADE CHANGES TO MY APPLICATIONS AND RESUBMITTED MY LEGAL PAPERS TO THIS U.S SUPREME COURT ON APRIL 1, 2025 BUT THE COURT HAD HELPED PUT THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IN ITS CONDITION FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THIS PETITION FOR A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS**. THE COURT HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO AND ADDRESSED MY COMPLAINTS OF MISCONDUCT, FRAUD, DEFAULT, NEGLIGENCE, OMISSIONS, CORRUPTION, OPPRESSION, ABUSE, INJUSTICES, HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION, UNLAWFUL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES, UNAUTHORIZED AND UNETHICAL HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS, UNAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES AND CONDUCT, UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION, TYRANNICAL ACTIVITIES, CYBER TERRORISM, IMPROPER AND UNLAWFUL CYBER EVENTS, AND OTHER ILLEGAL THINGS IN THIS CASE. I HAVE DONE MY DUE DILIGENCE, PERFORMED MY DUTIES, SATISFIED MY CONDITIONS AND RESPONDED TO EVERYTHING THE RIGHT WAY WHILE THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN OBSERVING AND TESTING ME IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS ILLEGALLY AND WITHOUT MY CONSENT. I HAVE BEEN TAKING APPROPRIATE OR TIMELY ACTION AT EVERY STAGE IN THE PROSECUTION OF MY APPLICATIONS TO THIS COURT INSPITE OF THE FACT THAT THE COURT HAS BEEN REFUSING TO ACKNOWLEDGE, VALIDATE, RATIFY, ACCEPT AND RESPOND TO THINGS. I HAVE BEEN PUTTING MY COMPLAINTS IN WRITING TO PROVE FALSEHOOD BY ARGUMENT AND DISPLAYING EVIDENCE. I HAVE PROVEN THAT MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND OTHER STAFF HAS BEEN MISHANDLING MY CASES, DISOBEYING THE LAW, NEGLECTING DUTIES, AND PROVIDING ME AND MY LOVED ONES WITH FALSE INFORMATION. I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY ORDERS, DOCKETING NOTICES, DOCKET SHEET, ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT LETTERS, RETURNED LEGAL PAPERS OR ANY OTHER RESPONSES FROM THE COURT UPON YOUR RECEIPT OF MY LEGAL PAPERS THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT IN MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE WITHIN THE MONTHS OF MARCH, APRIL, MAY, JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, OCTOBER AND NOVEMBER OF 2025, AND JANUARY, FEBRUARY AND MARCH OF 2026. I HAVE PROVIDED THIS COURT WITH PROOF THAT I HAD CORRECTED AND MADE CHANGES TO MY PETITION AND SUBMITTED MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION TO

THE COURT ON APRIL 1, 2025 IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE DIRECTIONS GIVEN TO ME BY MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER IN HER LETTERS DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25. ON SEPTEMBER 22, 2025, MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER HAD CLAIMED OVER THE PHONE THAT SHE HAD ILLEGALLY REJECTED AND RETURNED MY MOTIONS, CORRECTED PETITION, AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FROM THE APRIL 1, 2025 SUBMISSION FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2025 BUT I NEVER RECEIVED THE LEGAL PAPERS THAT THIS COURT HAS NO LEGITIMATE GROUNDS TO REJECT. I DID SUBMIT MOVING PAPERS TO THE COURT TO ADDRESS MY PROBLEMS AND DEAL WITH THE PRECISE ISSUES NOW AT HAND IN THE CASE BUT I STILL HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY ORDERS, DECISIONS OR OTHER RESPONSE FROM THE COURT UPON YOUR RECEIPT OF MY MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF AND ITS SUPPORT- ING EXHIBITS #214-253 AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED BY CERTIFIED MAIL SERVICES TO THIS COURT ON OCTOBER 22, 2025. THE MOTION AND OTHER MOVING PAPERS PROVIDES THE COURT AND OTHER AGENCIES WITH INFORMATION, FACTS, LAWS AND EVIDENCE THAT IS RELATING TO THIS COURT AND THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS WILLFUL MISCONDUCT, WILLFUL AND PERSISTENT FAILURE TO PERFORM DUTIES, AND OTHER CONDUCT WHICH IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY ORDER OR DECISION IN RESPONSE TO MY MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ON OCTOBER 22, 2025; MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ON MAY 15, 2025; MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ON APRIL 1, 2025; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MY PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ON MARCH 11, 2025; AND MOTION TO DISSOLVE INTERFERENCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ON MARCH 5, 2025. PLEASE REVIEW ALL PAPERS FILED IN THIS CASE TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCON- DUCT AND NEGLECT IN ALL PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS MATTER AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE CASE.

[SEE U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 AND AMENDMENTS 1, 5, 8, AND 14 ; THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 ; U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 1, 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 33, 34, 39 AND 44, 28 U.S.C.A ; CANON 1, 2 AND 3 OF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A ; FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A ; 5 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706 ; 28 U.S.C.A § 1651 ; 28 U.S.C.A § 1915 ; 28 U.S.C.A § 2106 ; 42 U.S.C.A § 1985 ; 63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 120, 121, 122, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129 AND 130 ; EXHIBITS #161 - 265 ; AND APPENDIX A - H]

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. I HAVE MADE THIS PETITION FOR A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** IN ORDER TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF DUE TO THIS COURT OFFICIALS CORRUPT VIOLATIONS OF DUTIES BY MALFEASANCE, MISFEASANCE OR NONFEASANCE WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO MISHANDLE THIS CASE AND ENCRDACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. I AM SEEKING A WRIT OF INJUNCTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. A §1651 AND THIS U. S SUPREME COURT RULE 20, 28 U.S.C. A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS TO HAVE THIS COURT REOPEN AND RECONSIDER THIS CASE, REINSTATE THE APPEAL, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW AND FACT, PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES, AND REMEDY THE FRAUD ON THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. THIS COURT IS JUSTIFIED FOR GRANTING A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** BECAUSE THE WRIT WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION, EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES WARRANT THE EXERCISE OF THE COURT'S DISCRETIONARY POWERS, AND ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER COURT WITHOUT THIS COURT INTERVENING AND EXERCISING ITS AUTHORITY OR POWER. THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS PETITION, MOTIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS IS NOT AVAILABLE IN ANY OTHER COURT DUE TO THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS CASE CLEARLY SHOWS THAT THERE IS NO PLAIN, ADEQUATE AND COMPLETE REMEDY AT LAW AND THAT IRREPARABLE INJURY WILL RESULT UNLESS THE **MANDAMUS** RELIEF IS GRANTED IN ITS ENTIRETY IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. THIS COURT IS UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO REVIEW THIS CASE AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER DUE TO THE COMPELLING ARGUMENTS; COMPELLING ISSUES; COMPELLING EVIDENCE; COMPELLING CIRCUMSTANCES; COMPELLING PRESSURES; COMPELLING OBJECTIVES AND GOALS; COMPELLING REMEDIAL, REGULATORY AND OTHER PURPOSES; COMPELLING SOCIETAL, GOVERNMENTAL, SAFETY, SECURITY AND OTHER INTERESTS; COMPELLING CONCERNS OF TRUTH, FAIRNESS, AND JUSTICE; AND OTHER COMPELLING JUSTIFICATIONS OR REASONS. THIS COURT'S INQUIRY INTO THIS CASE IS OF COMPELLING AND OVERRIDING IMPORTANCE TO DEAL WITH THE PROBLEMS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE EXIGENCIES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND CASE CREATES COMPELLING LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OTHER NEEDS. THIS COURT HAS THE POWER TO REOPEN AND RECONSIDER THIS CASE; REINSTATE THE APPEAL; VACATE THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS DENYING REHEARING AND CERTIORARI; REVIEW THE ACTIONS OF OTHER AGENCIES, BRANCHES OR LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT; REVIEW THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER LOWER COURTS' ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL ACTIONS IN THIS CASE; REMAND, MODIFY, OR VACATE THE ARBITRARY,

CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL ACTIONS OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER LOWER COURTS IN THIS
CASE; ACT IN PLACE OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER SUBORDINATES INVOLVED IN THIS
CASE; INVALIDATE LEGISLATIVE, EXECUTIVE AND OTHER ACTIONS AS BEING UNCONST-
ITUTIONAL IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; AND DIRECT AND INSTRUCT THE
U.S GOVERNMENT, ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, INSTITUTIONS, BUSINESSES, AGENTS,
COURT OFFICIALS AND OTHERS TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN
THIS CASE. I AM SEEKING COMPREHENSIVE ADEQUATE RELIEF IN THIS CASE TO CURE
THE SYSTEMIC, EMPIRICAL, INTELLECTUAL, HISTORICAL AND OTHER PROBLEMS IN THE
OPERATION OF OUR SYSTEM OR IN SOME MAJOR ASPECTS OF ITS OPERATION BY VARIOUS
TREATMENT AND USE OF SCIENTIFIC METHODS OF INTELLIGENCE WHILE EXECUTING OUR
PLANS AND FULFILLING OUR OBLIGATIONS, PURPOSES, CALLINGS AND COMMITMENTS. THE
GOVERNMENTAL INTERESTS IN THIS CASE IS RATIONAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING. MOST
OF THE MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN HAS BEEN ADDRESSED BY THE GOVERNMENT IN LAW
OR POLICY FOR THIS COURT AND OTHER PARTIES TO TAKE ACTION TO DEAL WITH THE PROBLEMS
IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CASE ANALYSIS
RASHONDA GARNER, CHIEF CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS, CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS JUNIOR,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE SONYA SOTOMAYOR, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE CLARENCE THOMAS,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE SAMUEL A. ALITO JUNIOR, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE ELENA KAGAN,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE AMY CONEY BARRETT, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE NEIL M. GORSUCH,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE BRETT KAVANAUGH, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE KETANJI BROWN JACKSON,
COURT OFFICIAL EMILY WALKER AND OTHER OFFICERS OF THE COURT HAS BEEN REFUSING
TO PERFORM THEIR FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE COURT'S SPECIAL COMPETENCE AND LAWFUL
AUTHORITY, MAKE FACTUAL RECORD, APPLY THEIR EXPERTISE, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS
OF LAW AND FACT, PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES, AND REMEDY THE FRAUD ON THE
COURT AND OTHER PARTIES UPON RECEIPT OF MY LETTERS, MOTIONS, PETITIONS,
APPENDICES, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FILED IN THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE
CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. THIS APPLICATION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT IS
WARRANTED WHERE THIS COURT HAVING JURISDICTION OF THE CASE REFUSES TO PERFORM
GOVERNMENTAL, ADMINISTRATIVE, MINISTERIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER DUTIES REQUIRED BY
LAW AND MORAL OBLIGATIONS; REFUSES TO HEAR AND ADJUDICATE THE CASE AND
CONTROVERSY; REFUSES TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE; REFUSES TO ENTER AND ISSUE COURT
ORDERS THAT ARE NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND REQUIRED IN OUR
LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; REFUSES TO CONDUCT THIS CASE IN A FAIR AND
IMPARTIAL MANNER; REFUSES TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES
OF THIS CASE; REFUSES TO ADDRESS THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES
INVOLVED IN THIS CASE WHEN THERE IS A DUTY TO DO SO; REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR THE
ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF ALL PARTIES; REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR
AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BY A COMPETENT COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL
AGENCY FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER-
PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES

AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE;
REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR THE SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT OF THIS CASE; REFUSES TO
PROVIDE FOR MEDIATION, FAMILY CONCILIATION SERVICES, CONFERENCES AND OTHER
PROCEEDINGS, AND THE PROPER ACTIVITIES OF ALL PARTIES INVOLVED IN OUR LIFE
SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR THE SAFETY, SECURITY AND
WELFARE OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY
MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR
LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; REFUSES TO COMPEL ACTION WHERE THE DEFENDANTS-
RESPONDENTS DUTIES AND OBLIGATIONS IS SO PLAIN IN POINT OF LAW AND SO CLEAR IN
MATTER OF FACT THAT NO ELEMENT OF DISCRETION IS LEFT TO THE PRECISE MODE OF THEIR
PERFORMANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL, ADMINISTRATIVE, MINISTERIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER
DUTIES; REFUSES TO COMPEL ACTION WHEN THERE IS SPECIFIC LEGAL DUTIES TO
PERFORM STATED ACTS AND CLEAR PROOF OF A BREACH OF SUCH DUTIES; REFUSES TO COMPEL
ACTION WHERE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS CORRUPT VIOLATIONS OF ASSIGNED DUTIES
BY MALFEASANCE, MISFEASANCE OR NONFEASANCE IS PLAINLY DESCRIBED WITHIN MY
PETITIONS, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), MOTIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FOR
A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE; REFUSES
TO COMPEL ACTION WHERE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS DUTIES AND OBLIGATIONS IS
PLAINLY DESCRIBED OR PRESCRIBED WITHIN LAWS, EXECUTIVE AND OTHER ORDERS,
CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS, DECLARATIONS OF PURPOSES, PRIORITY GOALS, CONTRACTS OF
EMPLOYMENT, EMPLOYEES' MANUALS, MEMORANDUMS, COMPUTER SYSTEMS,
ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS, INSTRUCTIONS MATERIALS, OPERATION GUIDES, AND FILED
LEGAL PAPERS OF THE PETITIONER AND OTHER PARTIES; REFUSES TO COMPEL A JUDGE-
MENT AND/OR ORDER BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY PETITIONS, SUPPLEMENTAL
COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS
ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE; REFUSES TO COMPEL THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT AND OTHER PARTIES TO PERFORM THEIR DUTIES AND CORRECT
THEIR PRIOR ACTIONS OR FAILURE TO ACT; REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO EXERCISE MY
RIGHT TO APPEAL WITHIN THE 2ND CIRCUIT UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE
PROCEDURE RULES 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS; REFUSES TO
RULE ON MY MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS OR NOTIFY ME OF ITS
DECISION ON THE MOTIONS; REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE APPOINTED AN ATTORNEY
FOR FULL SCOPE REPRESENTATION; REFUSES TO ENTER AND ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE
ORDER REQUIRED BY THIS COURT RULE 16, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS; AND
REFUSES TO PROVIDE FOR THIS CASE TO BE REVIEWED BY WRIT OF CERTIORARI, CONSIDER THE
RELEVANT ISSUES, REASONABLY EXPLAIN THE COURT'S DECISIONS, CORRECT CLEAR
ERRORS OF LAW AND FACT, ADMIT TO AND CORRECT ITS OWN MISTAKES, SET ASIDE THE
COURT AND OTHER PARTIES DECISIONS OR ACTIONS THAT ARE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS
AND ILLEGAL, AND REVIEW ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL CHALLENGES TO THE

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS OR ACTIONS OF THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT. THE ACTIONS OF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT AND THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WAS ARBITRARY; CAPRICIOUS; AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION, AUTHORITY AND POWER; UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION; UNWARRANTED BY THE FACTS; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, PRIVILEGE, OR IMMUNITY; OR OTHERWISE NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND CASE. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO RECEIVE RELIEF AND A REMEDY THAT EXCEEDS WHAT IS TYPICALLY OR CUSTOMARILY GRANTED BUT IS WARRANTED BY THE UNIQUE, EXTREME AND HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND CASE; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR OUR SAFETY, SECURITY, WELFARE AND PROPER CARE; REFUSING TO FULFILL THEIR OBLIGATIONS, PURPOSES, CALLINGS, AND COMMITMENTS; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO RECEIVE EMERGENCY ACTION AND CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR OUR SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL OBJECTIVES; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR OUR COMMON GOALS OF ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EMPOWERMENT, ADVANCEMENT AND REFORM; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO TAKE LAWFUL AND ETHICAL MEASURES TO VINDICATE OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE AND ENDEAVORS; REFUSING TO SUPPORT, DEFEND AND PROMOTE OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR OUR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS AND PUBLIC, SUBURBAN, URBAN, RURAL AND OTHER COOPERATIVES; REFUSING TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND PROCESS; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS THAT ARE NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO ENJOY THE RIGHT OF FAMILY INTEGRITY; REFUSING TO INTERVENE BETWEEN PARTIES AND PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND RECONCILIATION; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR THE THINGS THAT MUST BE DONE AND LEGALLY IMPOSED AND THAT ARE CALLED FOR, DEMANDED AND REQUIRED DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; REFUSING TO COMPEL ACTION TO PREVENT AND RESPOND TO MISCONDUCT, FRAUD, DEFAULT, NEGLECT, OMISSIONS, CORRUPTION, OPPRESSION, ABUSE, INJUSTICES, HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION, UNLAWFUL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES, UNAUTHORIZED AND UNETHICAL HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS, UNAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES AND CONDUCT, UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION, TYRANNICAL ACTIVITIES, CYBER TERRORISM, IMPROPER AND UNLAWFUL CYBEREVENTS AND OTHER ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; AND REFUSING TO FULFILL THEIR GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS THAT IS MANDATED OR AUTHORIZED BY CONSTITUTION, STATUE OR OTHER LAW AND THAT IS TO BE CARRIED OUT FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHILE HANDLING THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION. THE COURT

AND OTHER PARTIES MUST EXERCISE THE FUNCTIONS OF GOVERNMENT AND PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE AND PUBLIC BY LAW OR DUTY. THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN OPPRESSIVE; ABUSIVE; UNBEARABLE; UNYIELDING; INCOMPETENT; UNFAIR; EVIL; DISRUPTIVE; UNCOOPERATIVE; MISSTEPIING; DEGENERATING; CONSTRICTING; DISRESPECTFUL; UNCOMPRDISING; DEFAULTING; CARELESS; IRRATIONAL; HEEDLESS; DYSFUNCTIONAL; NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES; DISOBEYING THE LAW; MISHANDLING THIS CASE; ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS; CHEATING THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD; REFUSING TO TELL THE TRUTH; RESISTING OUR UNWELCOMING DEMANDS; REFUSING TO PROVIDE ME WITH A PRO BOND ATTORNEY WHO IS WILLING TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR THEIR DEFENSE AND THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS; FAILING TO RAISE A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE AND PRESENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE THEIR INNOCENCE OR MINIMIZE THE DAMAGES; REFUSING TO TURNOVER EVIDENCE, MATERIALS AND INFORMATION; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR MY WITNESSES TO TURNOVER EVIDENCE AND GIVE THEIR TESTIMONIES IN DEPDOSITION OR UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL; REFUSING TO CONDUCT A CIVIL JURY TRIAL, EVIDENTIAL HEARINGS, AND CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS; REFUSING TO FILE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE; REFUSING TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF EXHIBITS AND DOCUMENTS; ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR; ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL, UNETHICAL AND WICKED SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES; COMMITTING ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL ACTS WHILE ACTING LIKE THEY ARE ABOVE THE LAW; ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENYING OR DISMISSING MY COMPLAINTS, MOTIONS, PETITIONS AND OTHER GRIEVANCE PAPERS; ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DISMISSING MY CASES AND APPEALS; REFUSING TO ALLOW ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL AND RIGHT TO REVIEW; FAILING TO DO THINGS REQUIRED BY LAW OR DUTY; FAILING TO PROTECT, RESTORE AND PROVIDE FOR PEOPLE UNDER THEIR CARE, SUPERVISION, AUTHORITY OR POWER; MISTREATING PEOPLE AND CAUSING THEM HARM; COMMITTING CIVIL WRONGS; AND MAKING PEOPLE SUFFER FROM INJUSTICES, PREJUDICIAL TREATMENT, AND BIAS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE COURT HAS NOT PROVIDED FOR ITS EMPLOYEES AND THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS TO WITHDRAW FALSE WRITTEN OR ORAL OPINIONS OR REPRESENTATION PREVIOUSLY GIVEN BY THEM AND REASONABLY BELIEVED BY THEM TO STILL BE RELIED UPON BY A THIRD PARTY OR ANY OTHER PERSON; TO STOP PARTICIPATING IN THE CREATION OR PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE THAT THEY KNOW OR IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE EVIDENCE IS FALSE; TO STOP ENGAGING IN CONDUCT INVOLVING DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION; TO STOP CONCEALING OR COVERING UP THAT WHICH THEY ARE REQUIRED BY LAW TO REVEAL; TO STOP RELYING ON PERJURED TESTIMONY OR FALSE EVIDENCE; TO REVEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY REASONABLY CERTAIN DEATH OR SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM;

TO EXPRESS VIEWS ON MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN IN THE CONTEXT OF TEACHING AND PROVIDING US WITH INFORMATION, SUGGESTIONS, ESTIMATES, STATISTICS, ASSISTANCE OR ADVOCACY; TO EXERCISE INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT AND RENDER CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; AND TO HELP IDENTIFY, ASSESS AND RESOLVE OUR PROBLEMS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED IN THE COURSE OF EVENTS AND PREVENT THE REASONABLY CERTAIN DEATH OF OR SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM TO THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. I HAD PRESENTED THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE TO THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WHO HAD DECIDED TO ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENY MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF ON JUNE 10, 2024 AND ON AUGUST 19, 2024, THE COURT HAD ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY PETITION FOR REHEARING WITHOUT COMMENT, EXPLANATION, RESOLVING THE DISPUTE, ADJUDICATING THE CONTROVERSY OR PRELIMINARY POINTS, DISPOSING SUMMARILY OF THE APPEAL ON THE MERITS, REMANDING THIS CASE TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO COMPEL THEM TO PERFORM THEIR DUTIES AND CORRECT THEIR PRIOR ACTIONS OR FAILURE TO ACT, TAKING JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE, RULING ON MY MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS OR NOTIFYING ME OF ITS DECISION ON THE MOTIONS OR ENTERING AND ISSUING THE APPROPRIATE ORDER REQUIRED BY THIS COURT RULE 16, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS FOR THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR REHEARING AND PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF DOES NOT EXPLAIN THE COURT'S DECISIONS AND INCLUDE A RATIONAL CONNECTION BETWEEN THE FACTS FOUND AND THE CHOICES MADE. THE COURT'S FAILURE TO SUPPLY THE REQUISITE REASONED ANALYSIS FOR THE DENIALS IS A OMISSION ALONE THAT RENDER THE COURT'S DECISIONS ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL. FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES ARE REQUIRED TO ENGAGE IN REASONED DECISION MAKING AND MUST COGENTLY EXPLAIN WHY THEY HAVE EXERCISED THEIR DISCRETION IN A GIVEN MANNER. THE COURT HAS NOT ACTED WITHIN A ZONE OF REASONABLENESS AND REASONABLY CONSIDERED THE RELEVANT ISSUES, AND REASONABLY EXPLAINED THE DECISIONS. THERE IS NO RATIONAL BASIS FOR THE CONCLUSION OR NO SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE COURT'S DETERMINATIONS. AN OUTCOME OR DECISION THAT IS WITHOUT RATIONAL EXPLANATION, INEXPLICABLY DEPARTS FROM ESTABLISHED POLICIES OR PRACTICES, OR IS BASED ON INSUPPORTABLE CONCLUSION. THE COURT DID NOT EXERCISE ITS JUDGMENTS BASED ON THE RULES OF LAW AND WHAT IS FAIR UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES. THE COURT'S DECREED RESULTS IS NOT WITHIN ITS LAWFUL AUTHORITY AND THE PROCESS BY WHICH IT REACHED THE RESULTS IS NOT LOGICAL AND RATIONAL. THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS IS VOID, ILLEGAL AND UNENFORCEABLE. THEY HAVE NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT AND THE INVALIDITY OF WHICH MAY BE ASSERTED BY ANY PARTY WHOSE RIGHTS ARE AFFECTED BY THE JUDICIAL

DECISIONS AT ANY TIME AND ANY PLACE WHETHER DIRECTLY OR COLLATERALLY. THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS ARE INCAPABLE OF BEING CONFIRMED, RATIFIED OR ENFORCED IN ANY MANNER OR TO ANY DEGREE. THE UNREASONABLE DECISIONS FROM THE COURT IS SO OBVIOUSLY WRONG THAT THERE CAN BE NO DIFFERENCE OF OPINION AMONG REASONABLE MINDS ABOUT THEIR ERRONEOUS NATURE. THE COURT HAS A KNOWN DUTY TO PROVIDE ME AND OTHER LITIGANTS WITH A LEGITIMATE EXCUSE OR REASON FOR THE DENIAL OF OUR PETITION FOR REHEARING AND PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF BECAUSE THE COURT MUST JUSTIFY ITS DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS IN ALL CASES. THE COURT HAS BEEN USING UNLAWFUL PROCEDURES TO AVOID OUR CASES THAT PRESENTS DIFFICULT, CONTROVERSIAL OR UNPOPULAR ISSUES. THE COURT MAY BE ENGAGING IN THE ILLEGAL OR PRACTICAL ACTIVITIES TO JUDGE OUR RESPONSES OR MEASURE EITHER WHAT WE KNOW OR WHAT WE ARE LIKE OR CAN DO WHILE TESTING AND OBSERVING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THE COURT HAS BEEN NOTIFYING ME OF ITS DECISIONS IN LETTERS WITHOUT ENTERING AND ISSUING APPROPRIATE ORDERS AFTER CONSIDERING MY MOTIONS, PETITIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS DISTRIBUTED TO THE COURT ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. [SEE THIS COURT RULE 16 AND 22, 28 U.S.C.A] THE COURT'S DECISIONS WAS MADE WITHOUT CONSIDERATION OF OR REGARD FOR FACTS, CIRCUMSTANCES, FIXED RULES AND PROCEDURES, AND PEOPLE. THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS WAS FOUNDED ON PREJUDICE OR PREFERENCE RATHER THAN ON REASON OR FACT. THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS IS CONTRARY TO EVIDENCE AND ESTABLISHED RULES OF LAW. THE COURT HAS BEEN ABUSING ITS DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY AND DISCRETION BY FAILING TO EXERCISE SOUND, REASONABLE AND LEGAL DECISION MAKING. THE COURT HAD UNJUSTLY EXERCISED ITS AUTHORITY OR POWER SO THAT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WOULD CONTINUE TO BE UNFAIRLY OR CRUELLY PREVENTED FROM ENJOYING THE SAME RIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES THAT OTHER PEOPLE HAVE. WE ARE BEING DEPRIVED OF OUR LEGAL CAPACITY, RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS BY THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSING THEIR DISCRETION, AUTHORITY AND POWER. THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES HAVE BEEN INVIDIOUSLY DISCRIMINATING AGAINST US BECAUSE WE ARE BEING DENIED ACCESS TO THE JUSTICE SYSTEM AND ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DUE TO OUR APPOINTED LOT, STATUS, BELIEFS, INHERENT QUALITIES OF MIND AND CHARACTER, DISPOSITION, AND OTHER ASPECT OF OUR BACKGROUND. THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES HAVE REFUSED TO USE THEIR POWERS TO ACCORD US AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXERCISE OUR RIGHTS, AND TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES TO TAKE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AS IS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THEM IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. ARTICLE III OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION ASSIGNS TO THE FEDERAL JUDICIARY THE RESPONSIBILITY AND POWER TO ADJUDICATE CASES AND CONTROVERSIES WHICH ARE CONCRETE DISPUTES WITH CONSEQUENCES FOR THE PARTIES INVOLVED. THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT GRANTS ANY PERSON SUFFERING LEGAL WRONG BECAUSE OF AGENCY ACTIONS OR ADVERSELY AFFECTED OR AGGRIEVED BY AGENCY ACTIONS THE RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW. PART OF JUDICIAL

HUMILITY BY THIS U.S SUPREME COURT IS ADMITTING TO AND CORRECTING YOUR OWN MISTAKES BY OVERRULING DECISIONS OR PRECEDENT, ESPECIALLY WHEN THOSE MISTAKES ARE SERIOUS IN CASES LIKE THIS ONE. THE COURT OWES US DUTIES OF GOVERNMENT, ADMINISTRATION, CONTRACT, LAWFUL CONDUCT, ENFORCEMENT, TREATMENT, CARE, PROTECTION, INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES, LOYALTY, COMMITMENT, FAITHFUL SERVICE, COOPERATION, OBEDIENCE, GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING, SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING, REVIEW, INQUIRY, MEDIATION, FAMILY CONCILIATION SERVICES, SETTLEMENT, RESOLUTION, COMPENSATION, ENTITLEMENT, INHERITANCE, RETREAT, NOTIFICATION, VICTIMS ASSISTANCE, TRANSITIONAL SERVICES, EVALUATION, SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS, EVIDENCE BUILDING PLANNING, DISCLOSURE, CANDOR, PRUDENT INVESTMENT, AND EXTRA JUDICIAL ACTIVITIES. COURT OFFICIALS HAVE REFUSED TO ACCEPT THIS CASE AND PROVIDE THE COURT WITH INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO PROCEED AND TREAT THE APPEAL PROPERLY AFTER I HAD DISCLOSED A WHOLE CASE AND LIFE SITUATION FOR WHICH MY PETITIONS MUST BE GRANTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY. THE COURT WAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE GRANTED MY PETITION FOR REHEARING AND PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF FOR THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED IN THIS CASE PURSUANT TO THIS COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE COURT HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY PETITIONS WHEN THEY MEET THE CONTENT, CONSIDERATION AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW ON CERTIORARI, SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND A SUMMARY DISPOSITION ON THE MERITS FOR THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION WHILE AWARE OF THE DISPOSITIVE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. COURT OFFICIALS HAS DETERMINED THAT COMPELLING NEEDS ARE PRESENT BASED ON THE UNIQUE FACTS OF THIS CASE AND REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE COMPELLING NEEDS TO BE MET WHILE I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO USE COURTS AND OTHER LEGAL INSTITUTIONS TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR RIGHTS AND PURSUE CLAIMS. THEY HAVE REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR US TO ADDRESS OUR PUBLIC CONCERNS OR UNMET ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, HUMAN, FINANCIAL, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION, EDUCATIONAL, EMPLOYMENT, HEALTH, PERSONAL CARE, ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION, ENVIRONMENTAL AND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE, WILLFUL AND PERSISTENT FAILURE TO PERFORM DUTIES, AND OTHER CONDUCT WHICH IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE HAS BEEN HURTING OR HARMING ME, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT WAYS. WE ARE BEING DEPRIVED OF THE BENEFIT AND PROTECTION OF THE LAW AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING ILLEGAL METHODS TO PREVENT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION FROM BEING PLACED ON THIS U.S SUPREME COURT'S DOCKET AND CONSIDERED DURING CURRENT TERMS, AND PREVENT ME FROM HAVING MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE PENDING BEFORE THE COURT AGAINST THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF

DEMANDED IN THE CASE WHILE I'VE BEEN ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE INCLUDING MYSELF, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE COURT HAS REFUSED TO RESPOND TO MY APPLICATIONS AND OTHER MOVING PAPERS FILED IN THE CASE WHEN THIS CASE IS ALL I HAVE IN A LEGAL CAPACITY TO MEET OUR SUDDEN AND URGENT NEED FOR AID, HELP, SUPPORT, COMFORT, ATTENTION, PROPER CARE, PROTECTION, RELIEF, JUSTICE, AND IMMEDIATE ACTION AT THIS POINT DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION. I DO NOT KNOW WHY THE COURT HAS REFRAMED FROM RESPONDING TO MY APPLICATIONS AND OTHER MOVING PAPERS SUBMITTED IN THE CASE WHEN I HAVE BEEN RESPONDING TO THINGS THE RIGHT WAY WHILE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN OBSERVING AND TESTING ME IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. I HAVE BEEN TAKING APPROPRIATE OR TIMELY ACTION AT EVERY STAGE IN THE PROSECUTION OF MY APPLICATIONS TO THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT. I HAVE BEEN HANDLING MY LEGAL DUTIES AND THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN REFUSING TO RELEASE ME FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR AND PROVIDE FOR THE OTHER ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE CASE. THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THE CASE IS NOT AVAILABLE IN ANY OTHER COURT OR AGENCY BECAUSE THIS COURT AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING THEIR AUTHORITY OR POWER TO DENY JUSTICE, ACCESS TO THE COURTS, AND DEPRIVE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE OF SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS, LIBERTIES AND PRIVILEGES WHILE EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR OUR LIFE. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES AND DISOBEYING LAWS TO SUBJECT US TO PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM AND DEPRIVE US OF RESOURCES, GOODS AND SERVICES THAT ARE NECESSARY TO MEET ESSENTIAL NEEDS AND AVOID PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARMS. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN ASSESSING THE GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE OF THE CASE AND FAILING TO PROVIDE FOR THE PROJECTS, TRANSACTIONS, SERVICES AND RESPONSES THAT IS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A RESOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS, CLAIMS, REQUESTS, PROPOSALS, AND COMPLEX ACTIVITIES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN COMMITTING ILLEGAL ACTS BY OR THROUGH REVENGEFUL NEGLECT, DELAYS, PROCRASTINATIONS, SETBACKS, BEHAVIORS, ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, AND PROCESSES WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE CASE. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN RELYING ON LAW, SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA, INFORMATION, AND GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO HELP YOU GUYS CONTROL US AND THE PEOPLE IN OUR LIVES WHILE USING YOUR INFLUENCE OR POWER TO CAUSE ME AND MY FAMILY ~~ME~~ AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE AND SHARE THE EXPERIENCE OR EFFECT OF OUR LIFE SITUATION OR ACTIVITIES. THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS

HAS BEEN CONTROLLING OUR PERSONAL BEHAVIORS AND THE COURSE OF EVENTS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN USING US TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY WITHOUT COMPENSATING US FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR LIFE OR EXISTENCE. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN SUBJECTING US TO CREATIVE PROCESSES WHERE WE HAVE HAD NO INPUT OR SAY SO IN MATTERS. THE CREATIVE PROCESSES HAVE BEEN ABUSIVE, OPPRESSIVE, UNLAWFUL, WICKED, FOUL, DIRTY, CROOKED, CORRUPT, DEFECTIVE, BAD, POISONOUS, PAINFUL, UNPLEASANT, UNAUTHENTIC, UNREAL, FRAUDULENT, DECEITFUL, UNHEALTHY, HARMFUL, AND DAMAGING EVERY STEP OF THE WAY AND WE ARE TIRE, HURTING, SUFFERING AND DEMANDING A CHANGE FOR THE BETTER. I HAVE BEEN PROVIDING THE COURT AND OTHER AGENCIES WITH INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE CASE BECAUSE THE PEOPLE INCLUDING MYSELF, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE ARE STILL BEING AGGRIEVED OR ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. I HAVE BEEN SUBMITTING MY APPLICATIONS AND OTHER MOVING PAPERS IN THE CASE TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND OTHER AGENCIES WITH INFORMATION, FACTS, LAWS, AND PRIOR DECISIONS THAT PROVIDES THE BASIS FOR THE COURT TO ACCEPT AND FILE MY LEGAL PAPERS, DECIDE AND ADJUDICATE THE CASE IN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL MANNER AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER. SPECIFIC PORTIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS THAT I HAD FILED IN THIS U.S SUPREME COURT TO RAISE ISSUES, PRESENT THE FACTS, STATE PLANS, OR SEEK RELIEF AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE ARE KNOWN AS THE FOLLOWING LISTED AS MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/2/25, MOTION TO DISSOLVE INTERFERENCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS DATED 3/2/25, EXHIBITS #202-207, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED 3/2/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON MARCH 5, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT #214]; MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/10/25, MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS DATED 2/8/25, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON MARCH 11, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT #215]; MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/9/25, PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND ITS ATTACHED APPENDICES A-H, MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, EXHIBITS #181-216, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON APRIL 1, 2025 [SEE EXHIBITS #217-224]; MY COVER LETTER DATED 3/12/25, MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF, ENTITLED LETTER DATED 5/5/25, LETTERS ENTITLED "A EXTREME EMERGENCY SITUATION" AND "SEXUALLY DANGEROUS CYBER SUPER

PREDATORS , EXHIBITS #214-224 , AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON MAY 15, 2025 [SEE EXHIBITS # 225-229] ; MY LETTER ENTITLED "ACCOMPLISHING OUR PURPOSE IN LIFE WITH CLEAN HANDS" THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL ON MAY 18, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT # 230] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS DATED 5/18/25 , AND A COPY OF MY MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF, LETTER TO ATTICA CORRESPONDENCE OFFICE DATED 4/16/25 , INMATE GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 4/25/25 , LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 4/14/25 , AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED 5/13/25 , AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT HAS A NOTARIZED DATE OF MARCH 25, 2025 WHICH WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS ON MAY 23, 2025 [SEE EXHIBITS # 217, 221, 223, 224, 225, 226 AND 231] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR DATED 6/11/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR ON JUNE 16, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT # 232] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 6/19/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO HER ON JUNE 26, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT # 233] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO THE EMERGENCY APPLICATION CLERK DATED 7/26/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE EMERGENCY APPLICATION CLERK ON JULY 29, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT # 234] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO THE DEPUTY CLERK FOR CASE MANAGEMENT DATED 7/27/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE DEPUTY CLERK FOR CASE MANAGEMENT ON JULY 29, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT # 235] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO THE CHIEF CLERK AND ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER STAFF DATED 7/28/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE CHIEF CLERK AND ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER STAFF ON JULY 29, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT # 236] ; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER ENTITLED "I AM WORKING FOR A REASON AND GREAT RESULTS" THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL ON 6/24/25, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON 6/24/25, JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR ON 6/23/25, COURT OFFICIAL EMILY WALKER ON 6/23/25, THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE ON 6/24/25, CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER ON 6/26/25, THE CHIEF CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS ON 6/30/25, CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS ON 6/30/25, COURT OFFICIAL WANDA JENKINS OR JOHNSON ON 7/7/25, AND THE DEPUTY CLERK FOR CASE MANAGEMENT ON 7/29/25 [SEE EXHIBIT # 237] ; MY LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 8/12/25 , LETTER TO PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP AND WHITEHOUSE STAFF DATED 7/30/25 , LETTER TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER STAFF IN CONGRESS DATED 8/1/25 , LETTER TO THE NYS DOCCS OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS AND OTHER STAFF DATED 8/7/25 , INMATE GRIEVANCE DATED 8/5/25 , AND EXHIBITS #214-238 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT ON AUGUST 14, 2025 AND OTHER PARTIES ON AUGUST 14, 2025, AUGUST 21, 2025 AND AUGUST 28, 2025 [SEE EXHIBITS # 239-243] ; MY LETTER TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT AND OTHER PARTIES DATED 9/18/25 , AND LETTER TO THE CLERKS AND OTHER STAFF OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 9/30/25 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT ON OCTOBER 1, 2025 AND OTHER PARTIES ON OCTOBER 1, 2025 AND

OCTOBER 21, 2025 [SEE EXHIBITS #246 AND 247]; MY COVER LETTER DATED 10/16/25, MOTION TO COMPEL, RESTORE, REPORT MISCONDUCT, ESTABLISH THE RECORD AND REQUEST RELIEF, EXHIBITS #239-253, AND AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL SERVICES ON OCTOBER 22, 2025 [SEE EXHIBIT #254]; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO THE COURT DATED 2/12/26 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON FEBRUARY 17, 2026 [SEE EXHIBIT #257]; MY FOLLOW UP LETTER TO MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 3/10/26 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT ON MARCH 10, 2026 [SEE EXHIBIT #258]; MY COVER LETTER DATED 4/18/26, LETTER ENTITLED "BRIEFINGS, FOR INQUIRIES, INVESTIGATIONS AND RESOLUTIONS" AND EXHIBITS #254-266 THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT, U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON APRIL 21, 2026 EXCEPT FOR MY EXHIBIT #261 WAS NOT FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE UNTIL APRIL 27, 2026 BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD APPLIED SCIENCES AND USED ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO PREVENT ME FROM PLACING A COPY OF THE EXHIBIT IN MY LEGAL ENVELOPES THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON APRIL 21, 2026 [SEE EXHIBITS #254-266]; AND MY FOLLOW UP LETTERS TO ~~THE~~ U.S SUPREME COURT DATED 4/14/25, 4/24/25, 5/18/25, 5/20/25, 5/22/25, 5/25/25, 5/28/25, 6/1/25, 6/4/25, 6/10/25, 6/19/25, 7/21/25, 7/23/25, 7/28/25, 8/1/25, 8/3/25, 8/18/25, 8/21/25, 1/11/26, 1/18/26, 1/28/26, 2/2/26, 2/9/26, 2/12/26, 2/23/26, 3/2/26, 3/9/26 AND 3/23/26 [SEE EXHIBIT #224]. I HAVE BEEN WRITING THE COURT TO CHECK ON THE STATUS OF MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE AND TRY TO FIND OUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN THE CASE BUT I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY RESPONSES TO MY FOLLOW UP LETTERS. I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY ORDERS, DOCKET SHEET, ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT LETTERS, RETURNED LEGAL PAPERS OR ANY OTHER RESPONSES FROM THE COURT UPON THE COURT'S RECEIPT OF MY FOREMENTIONED MOTIONS, PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS. THE COURT HAS REFUSED TO RESPOND TO THE MOTIONS WHEN MOTIONS ARE THE PRIMARY WAY FOR LITIGANTS TO ASK THE COURT TO TAKE ACTION IN A CASE. MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION HAS GROWN OUT OF AND IS AUXILIARY TO MY APPEAL CASE AND IS SUBMITTED TO AID THE PRIMARY CASE, VACATE OR IMPEACH PRIOR DECISIONS OR DECREES, AND STATE FACTS FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE. UNDER ARTICLE 3 OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION, THE COURT HAS SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION OVER MY CLAIMS THAT ARE SO RELATED TO CLAIMS IN THE ACTION WITHIN THE COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION BECAUSE THEY FORM PART OF THE SAME CASE OR CONTROVERSY. THE SOLE MECHANISM ESTABLISHED BY THE RULES BY WHICH TO SEEK ISSUANCE OF THE EXTRAORDINARY WRIT(S) AUTHORIZED BY 28 U.S.C §1651(a), §2254(a) OR 2241, IS THIS COURT RULE 20, 28 U.S.C.A AND SUCH PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT(S)

MUST BE REVIEWED BY THE FULL COURT, NOT BY AN INDIVIDUAL JUSTICE. THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER AND HAS BEEN MISHANDLING THE CASE DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE AS DESCRIBED IN MY LEGAL PAPERS. THE COURT HAD FORCED ME TO SUBMIT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AFTER REJECTING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS FOR THE REASONS STATED IN THE LETTERS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25. [SEE EXHIBIT #216] I HAD COMPLIED WITH THE LETTERS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND MADE CHANGES TO MY APPLICATIONS AND RESUBMITTED MY LEGAL PAPERS TO THE U.S SUPREME COURT ON APRIL 1, 2025 SO THE COURT IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF THE CASE AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER UPON RECEIPT OF MY MOTIONS, PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS. ON APRIL 30, 2025 AND ANOTHER DAY AFTER THAT, MY COUSIN ELLA VANCE - PAULK HAD CALLED THE COURT FOR ME TO TRY TO FIND OUT THE STATUS OF THE CASE AND WHAT IS GOING ON OR THE HOLD UP, AND COURT OFFICIAL WANDA JENKINS OR JOHNSON HAD ALLEGEDLY OR FALSELY CLAIMED THAT THIS COURT DOES NOT HANDLE DISPUTES AND DIRECTED HER TO CALL ANOTHER COURT AFTER PROVIDING HER WITH THE PHONE NUMBER OF A COURT IN ALBANY WHO IS NOT HANDLING THE CASE, WHO DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER, AND WHO I DID NOT SERVE WITH A COPY OF MY FOREMENTIONED MOTIONS, PETITION, APPENDICES, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS. THIS COURT WAS SERVED WITH THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY LEGAL PAPERS AND COPIES WAS SERVED UPON THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON APRIL 1, 2025. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER AND HAS BEEN HANDLING THE CASE SO SOMEONE IN THIS COURT WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO LET MY COUSIN ELLA KNOW THE STATUS OF THE CASE AND WHAT IS GOING ON OR THE HOLD UP SO THAT SHE COULD RELAY A MESSAGE TO ME AND PROVIDE ME WITH INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. MY COUSIN ELLA DID CALL THE COURT IN ALBANY AND SOMEONE HAD TOLD HER THAT THE ALBANY COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER SO THEY DO NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY TO HANDLE THE CASE. I HAD REPORTED THE MISCONDUCT OF COURT OFFICIAL MRS. WANDA JENKINS OR JOHNSON WITHIN MY LETTERS AND MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS, EMERGENCY ACTION AND OTHER RELIEF BUT THIS COURT STILL HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO MY LEGAL PAPERS, ADDRESSED THE ISSUES OF THE CASE AND PROVIDED FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER. THIS COURT AND THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS DID SET THINGS UP FOR ME TO MAKE FREE TELEPHONE CALLS FROM MY SECURUS TABLET AS OF AUGUST 1, 2025 SO THAT YOU GUYS COULD CONTINUE TO SUBJECT ME TO PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS OF ME REACHING OUT TO THE COURT TO TRY TO COMPEL ACTION AND FIND OUT THE STATUS OF THE CASE, WHAT IS GOING ON OR THE CASE, AND WHY I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY RESPONSES TO MY LEGAL PAPERS FROM THE COURT WHILE YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN OBSERVING AND TESTING ME IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS ILLEGALLY AND WITHOUT PROPER AUTHORIZATION.

ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2025, I HAD CALLED MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER TO CHECK BACK WITH HER TO SEE IF SHE HAD PROVIDED FOR THE COURT TO PLACE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION ON THE DOCKET AND SUBMIT MY MOTIONS TO THE APPROPRIATE JUSTICE YET. SHE SAID YES AND TOLD ME THAT I SHOULD BE RECEIVING SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM THE COURT WITHIN A WEEK OR TWO. I DID NOT RECEIVE ANYTHING IN THE MAIL FROM THE COURT WITHIN A WEEK OR TWO SO I HAD DECIDED TO GIVE IT A FEW EXTRA DAYS BEFORE I HAD CALLED MRS. GARNER BACK TO SEE WHY I DID NOT RECEIVE ANYTHING IN THE MAIL YET ON SEPTEMBER 22, 2025. ON SEPTEMBER 22, 2025, I DID TALK TO MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AGAIN AND SHE WAS PLAYING ILLEGAL, FOUL AND DECEITFUL GAMES WHILE ACTING LIKE SHE DID NOT TELL ME THAT MY PETITION WAS PLACED ON THE DOCKET AND THAT MY MOTIONS WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT DURING OUR TELEPHONE CONVERSION ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2025 SO THAT SHE COULD LIE TO ME ABOUT VARIOUS THINGS, PLAY DUMB, CHANGE THINGS UP AND TELL ME THAT SHE HAD ILLEGALLY REJECTED AND RETURNED MY MOTIONS, PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION, APPENDICES A-H, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS WITH A LETTER FROM THE COURT FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS. THE COURT HAD POSSESSION OF MY LEGAL PAPERS SINCE THE BEGINNING OF APRIL OF 2025 AND THEN OVER 5 MONTHS LATER, MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER HAD SUDDENLY STARTED ACTING LIKE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY REJECTED AND MAILED MY PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BACK TO ME ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2025 WITHOUT PROVIDING ME WITH ANY LEGITIMATE REASON OR EXCUSE FOR HER ACTIONS. SHE IS ACTING LIKE I DID NOT CORRECT MY PETITION WHEN I DID AND LIKE IT IS STILL ENTITLED A PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION WHEN IT IS NOT. SHE IS ALSO ACTING LIKE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY REJECTED AND RETURNED MY PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BECAUSE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IS OVER THE PAGE LIMIT WHILE NEGLECTING THE FACT THAT I HAD SUBMITTED A MOTION TO FILE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT AND IT WAS NOT SUBMITTED TO A INDIVIDUAL JUSTICE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE MOTION. MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER HAD ALSO TOLD ME TO KEEP WAITING ON MY LEGAL MAIL INSPITE OF THE FACT I SHOULD HAVE RECEIVED THE LEGAL MAIL BY NOW IF SHE HAD REJECTED AND MAILED MY LEGAL PAPERS BACK TO ME OVER 6 MONTHS AGO AT THIS POINT. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER HAD REJECTED AND MAILED MY LEGAL PAPERS BACK TO ME BECAUSE I HAVE NOT RECEIVED THEM AND CORRECTIONAL STAFF HAS BEEN CLAIMING THAT NO MAIL HAS BEEN SENT IN FOR ME FROM THIS COURT WHILE I'VE BEEN WAITING FOR THE COURT TO RESPOND TO MY LEGAL PAPERS IN THIS TRICKY SITUATION. MY LEGAL PAPERS HAS NOT BEEN RETURNED TO ME AND I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY ORDERS, DECISIONS, DOCKETING NOTICE OR OTHER PAPERS FROM THE COURT SO I'M UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THE COURT IS STILL REFUSING TO ACT UPON MY PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS IN A APPROPRIATE MANNER BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION. I WAS FORCED TO CORRECT AND MAKE CHANGES TO MY PETITION AND

SUBMIT MY PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS TO THE COURT THE WAY THAT I DID IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE DIRECTIONS GIVEN TO ME BY MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER IN HER LETTERS DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25, AND NOW SHE IS ACTING LIKE I DID NOT CORRECT MY PETITION WHEN I DID AND LIKE IT IS STILL ENTITLED A PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND INJUNCTION WHEN IT IS NOT. I AM ONLY ASKING THIS COURT TO ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE CASE WITHIN MY PETITION. I HAD CORRECTED AND MADE CHANGES TO MY PETITION BY MAKING IT A PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND ENTITLING IT AS SUCH AND BY INCORPORATING CLEARLY INDICATED SECTIONS SETTING OUT WITH PARTICULARITY HOW THE WRIT(S) WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION, WHAT EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES WARRANT THE EXERCISE OF THE COURT'S DISCRETIONARY POWERS, AND WHY ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER COURT AS REQUIRED BY THIS COURT RULE 20, 28 U.S.C.A AND AS INDICATED BY THIS COURT CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER IN HER LETTERS DATED 11/24/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25. MY PETITION WAS SUBMITTED SEPARATE FROM MY MOTION TO FILE MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT DURING THE SAME SUBMISSION ON APRIL 1, 2025. MY PETITION WAS ALSO FILED SEPARATE FROM MY OTHER APPLICATIONS THAT WAS FILED DURING DIFFERENT FILINGS. MY PETITION WAS FILED SEPARATELY FROM MY MOTIONS FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER RELIEF IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE DIRECTIONS GIVEN TO ME BY MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER IN HER LETTERS DATED 11/21/24, 12/17/24 AND 1/24/25. MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION IS **ONLY** PRECEDED BY MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS REQUIRED BY THIS COURT RULE 39, 28 U.S.C.A. I HAD FOLLOWED THE COURT RULES AND OTHER LAWS AND COMPLIED WITH THE DIRECTIONS OF MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER. HOPEFULLY, THE COURT IS STILL IN POSSESSION OF MY LEGAL PAPERS BECAUSE MY CASE ANALYSIS AND OTHER STAFF DOES NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY, RIGHT OR LEGITIMATE EXCUSE TO ILLEGALLY REJECT AND RETURN MY LEGAL PAPERS TO THIS FACILITY (ATTICA) FOR THEM TO WITHHOLD MY LEGAL PAPERS FOR A SET AMOUNT OF TIME OR INDEFINITELY IN THIS SITUATION IF THAT IS THE **CASE**. PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT NYS DOCCS EMPLOYEES, THE NATIONAL GUARDS AND OTHER GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES ARE OPERATING UNDER THE AUTHORITY, POWER OR CONTROL OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION SO THEY ARE NOT GOING TO STEAL, LOSE, DESTROY OR WITHHELD MY LEGAL PAPERS FROM OR TO THE COURT WITHOUT THE APPROVAL, DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO SO FROM THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES. THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES HAS BEEN CONTROLLING DOCCS EMPLOYEES, THE NATIONAL GUARDS, U.S POSTAL SERVICES STAFF, AND OTHER GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEES IN A VARIETY OF WAYS THROUGHOUT THIS SITUATION AND PROCESS. THE COURT, DOCCS AND OTHER PARTIES STILL HAVE POSSESSION OF THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY LEGAL PAPERS WHEREEVER YOU GUYS HAVE PLACED THEM IN THIS SITUATION

WHERE YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN FUNCTIONING AS A TEAM NAMED THE U.S GOVERNMENT WHILE OBSERVING AND TESTING ME IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS ILLEGALLY AND WITHOUT MY CONSENT. I HONESTLY BELIEVE THAT THIS COURT STILL HAVE THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY MOTIONS, PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS STORED SOMEWHERE IN THE COURT. THE COURT DOES NOT HAVE ANY LEGITIMATE GROUNDS OR REASONS FOR REJECTING OR REFUSING TO FILE MY LEGAL PAPERS IN THIS SITUATION. THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES ARE AT FAULT IF THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY LEGAL PAPERS WERE STOLEN, LOST OR DESTROYED WHILE EXECUTING YOUR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS UPON RECEIPT OF MY LEGAL PAPERS THAT WAS FIRST CLASS MAILED TO THE COURT WITHIN THE MONTHS OF MARCH, APRIL, MAY, JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, OCTOBER AND NOVEMBER OF 2025 AND JANUARY, FEBRUARY, MARCH AND APRIL OF 2026. THE COURT HAD NO AUTHORITY OR RIGHT AT ALL TO ILLEGALLY REJECT AND MAIL MY LEGAL PAPERS BACK TO ME FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS SO IF MY LEGAL PAPERS WAS LOST, STOLEN OR DESTROYED IN A SCHEME TO DEFRAUD BY USE OF MAIL, FALSE RETURN, MISCONDUCT, OMISSIONS, DEFAULTS, DECEPTION, DECEIT, FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATION, TRICKERY, FALSE PRETENSES, FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES AND OTHER ILLEGAL CONDUCT THAN IT IS NOT MY FAULT AND I CANNOT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES. I DID SUBMIT MY LETTER DATED 12/7/25 TO THE POSTMASTER AND OTHER STAFF AT THE POST OFFICE IN ATTICA, NEW YORK TO EXPLAIN THE SITUATION TO THEM AND GET THEM TO CONDUCT AN INQUIRY OR INVESTIGATION AND REPORT THEIR FINDING TO ME. THE POSTMASTER HAD TOLD ME THAT HE OR SHE COULD NOT ASSIST ME WITH MY REQUEST AND PROVIDED ME WITH INFORMATION RELATING TO THE U.S POST OFFICE OPERATIONS WHILE PLAYING HIS OR HER PART FOR THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS IN THEIR GRAND SCHEME OF THINGS. I HAVE BEEN REACHING OUT TO CORRECTIONAL STAFF TO SEE IF THEY ARE WITHHOLDING MY LEGAL MAIL AGAIN AND THEY HAVE BEEN DECLARING THAT THEY HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY LEGAL MAIL FROM THE U.S SUPREME COURT FOR ME RELATING TO THIS NEW SITUATION UPON RECEIPT OF MY LETTERS AND GRIEVANCE COMPLAINTS THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO ATTICA'S CORRESPONDENCE OFFICE, INMATE GRIEVANCE OFFICE, SUPERINTENDENT, AND OTHER ADMINISTRATORS. ATTICA'S INMATE GRIEVANCE STAFF HAD TOLD ME THAT THIS FACILITY (ATTICA) IS NOT WITHHOLDING MY LEGAL MAIL AND THAT THIS FACILITY HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY LEGAL MAIL FOR ME FROM THIS COURT YET AND THAT I WILL GET MY LEGAL MAIL WHEN IT ARRIVES AT THIS FACILITY. THEY SAID THAT THE REASON THEY HAD WITHHELD MY LEGAL MAIL FROM THIS COURT FOR SO LONG THE LAST TIME IS BECAUSE OF EVERYTHING THAT WAS GOING ON WITH THE ILLEGAL STRIKE THAT HAS BEEN CAUSING A WIDE RANGE OF ADDITIONAL TROUBLES, PROBLEMS, HARDSHIPS, PAINS AND SUFFERING FOR ME, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. I DO NOT KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON OR THE CASE AT THIS POINT BECAUSE THIS COURT IS NOT WRITING ME BACK AND YOU GUYS HAVE REFUSED TO PROVIDE ME WITH ANY INFORMATION AS TO WHY I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY RESPONSE TO MY LEGAL PAPERS FILED IN MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION

CASE. THE COURT HAS BEEN REFUSING TO RESPOND TO MY LEGAL PAPERS FROM WRONGFUL,
IMPROPER OR CORRUPT MOTIVES WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO
USE THE COURT'S PROCESS AS A WEAPON TO COMPEL, INFLUENCE AND PRESSURE ME TO
TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS OR REFRAIN FROM TAKING SOME ACTION AT DIFFERENT STAGES IN
THE PROSECUTION OF MY APPLICATIONS TO THE COURT. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO SET THINGS UP AND DO THINGS TO
TAKE UNLAWFUL COUNTERMEASURES AND OFFSET ACTIONS, BEHAVIORS OR ACTIVITIES AS YOU
GUYS HAVE BEEN CREATING A ROUTINE LIFESTYLE OF ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES, BEHAVIORS
AND ACTIONS THAT MOVE YOU GUYS TOWARDS YOUR GOALS AND PERSONAL COMMITMENTS.
MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND OTHER U.S SUPREME COURT EMPLOYEES AND
DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN SHOWING HATRED, ANIMOSITY, ILL-WILL, EVIL
INTENTIONS, DISLIKES, DISAPPROVAL, CONTROLLING OBJECTIVES, ILLEGAL OPPOSITION,
NO MERCY OR REMORSE, IRRECONCILABLE DIFFERENCES, ADHERENCE TO NEGATIVE
POLITICAL PROGRAMS, AND PARTIALITY ROOTED IN BIAS, CORRUPTION AND INTEREST. MY
CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND OTHER U.S SUPREME COURT EMPLOYEES HAS BEEN
CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO STOP ME FROM PURSUING THE CASE
WITHOUT DIFFICULTIES OR PROBLEMS AND FROM MAKING ANY PROGRESS IN LEGAL DISPUTES
THAT WILL MOVE ME TOWARDS MY RIGHTEOUS CAUSE, GOALS AND PERSONAL COMMITMENTS.
IMMEDIATE ACTION IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR PARTICULAR EMERG-
ENCY AND LIFE SITUATION AND PROVIDE FOR THE SAFETY, SECURITY, WELFARE AND PROPER
CARE OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS,
FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS HAS PLACED US IN A HIGHLY VOLATILE DANGEROUS SITUATION REQUIRING IMM-
EDIATE ASSISTANCE AND REMEDIAL ACTION. THE EXIGENCIES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND THE
CASE CREATES NEEDS SO GREAT THAT IRREPARABLE HARM OR INJUSTICE WOULD RESULT IF
THE NEEDS ARE NOT MET. WE ARE IN A STATE OF CRISIS OR A SITUATION REQUIRING IMMEDIATE,
UNUSUAL AND EXTRAORDINARY ACTION SO I'M ASKING THAT YOU GUYS PLEASE PROVIDE FOR THE
PROPER RESPONSES TO OUR PARTICULAR EMERGENCY AND LIFE SITUATION. I WOULD LIKE
FOR YOU GUYS TO PROVIDE FOR THIS COURT TO WORK WITH OTHER TRIBUNALS, THE PRESIDENT
DONALD TRUMP AND WHITEHOUSE STAFF, THE OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY,
THE U.S CONGRESS, THE U.S COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, THE OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT
ETHICS, THE U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OTHER EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS, THE NYS
ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, THE NYS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY
SUPERVISION, AND OTHER AGENCIES TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATIONS, ADDRESS THE ISSUES
DESCRIBED IN MY LEGAL PAPERS, PROVIDE FOR RELIEF, AND PROTECT MY RIGHTS AND THE
RIGHTS OF OTHER INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER
PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. I HAVE
BEEN SUBMITTING MY LEGAL PAPERS TO THE WHITEHOUSE, CONGRESS AND OTHER PARTIES TO ACT
ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE INCLUDING MYSELF, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS,
RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE BECAUSE WE ARE STILL BEING AGGRIEVED OR ADVERSELY

AFFECTED BY THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS IN OUR CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. I HAVE BEEN SUBMITTING MY LEGAL PAPERS TO THE FORE-
MENTIONED PARTIES TO RAISE ISSUES, PRESENT THE FACTS, STATE PLANS OR SEEK RELIEF AT
DIFFERENT STAGES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND CASE WHILE THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN GOING TO EXTREMES; OPERATING ACCORDING TO SELF IMPOSED
RULES AND STRATEGIES OF PRECOMMITMENT; VIOLATING RULES AND ERECTING BARRIERS;
PLACING CONSTRAINTS ON JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS; FAILING TO SHOW JUDICIAL EMPATHY AND
COMPASSION IN ANALYZING MY CASE AND MAKING DECISIONS IN THIS MATTER; FAILING TO
RESPOND TO SITUATIONS AND OTHER THINGS CORRECTLY; FAILING TO USE SOUND DISCRETION
AND EXERCISE GOOD JUDGMENT IN THIS MATTER; FAILING TO RESPOND TO OR ADDRESS SITUA-
TIONS, PROBLEMS AND WRONGS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE; USING UNLAWFUL MULTIPART TESTS
AND SIMILAR ANALYTIC SCHEMES; USING MENTAL EXPERIMENTS TO TEST THE ACCEPTAB-
ILITY AND THE BOUNDS OF PARTICULAR DECISIONS; USING LITERATURE AS A OPPORTUNITY FOR
THE VICARIOUS OR UNLAWFUL TESTING OF VARIOUS SCENARIOS; USING STORIES TO FOSTER OR
DEEPEN PRECONCEIVED WAYS OF THINKING AND TO INTENTIONALLY PLAYOFF BIAS, PREJUDICES
OR CORRUPTION; USING STORIES TO CHALLENGE, REFINE, TEST AND DISCREDIT RULES;
FAILING TO APPLY A SET OF META-RULES THAT SPECIFY A HIERARCHY OF RULES AND INDICATE
WHEN CERTAIN RULES ARE TO BE SET ASIDE IN CASES AND SITUATIONS LIKE THIS ONE; AND
DECIDING WHEN TO APPLY, BEND, BREAK OR IGNORE RULES TO DENY JUSTICE, ACCESS TO THE
COURT AND OTHER AGENCIES, AND DEPRIVE ME AND OTHERS OF RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES AND
LIBERTIES. THE COURT HAVE THE AUTHORITY, POWER, INFLUENCE OR ABILITY TO ALTER THE
BEHAVIOR OF COURT STAFF AND THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS BY OR THROUGH ACTS OF
WILL, RIGHT, DUTY, LIABILITY OR OTHER LEGAL RELATION. PLEASE REVIEW ALL PAPERS FILED
IN THIS MATTER TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT IN ALL PUBLIC
OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE AND WORK WITH OTHER PARTIES TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATIONS,
ADDRESS THE ISSUES DESCRIBED IN MY LEGAL PAPERS, PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF
DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER, PROVIDE FOR EMERGENCY ACTION AND PROTECT MY RIGHTS AND
THE RIGHTS OF OTHER INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER
PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE.

[SEE U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 AND 6 AND AMENDMENT 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13 AND 14;
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTIONS 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19,
20, 21, 22, 23 AND 24; U.S SUPREME COURT RULES 1, 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 33, 34, 39 AND
44, 28 U.S.C.A; CANON 1, 2 AND 3 OF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A;
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT PREAMBLE AND RULES 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.2,
2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.9, 2.12 AND 2.15; THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES;
THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 60, 28 U.S.C.A; THE FEDERAL RULES OF
APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A; THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964;
5 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706; 28 U.S.C.A § 1651; 28 U.S.C.A § 1915; 42 U.S.C.A § 1985;
28 U.S.C.A § 2106; 45 C.F.R § 46.301, 46.302, 46.303, 46.304 AND 46.305; NYS PENAL
LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05; 63 AM. JUR. 2d. POSTAL SERVICE § 120, 121, 122

124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129 AND 130 ; THE U.S SUPREME COURT GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI PAGE 1 ; EXHIBITS # 161- 266 ; AND APPENDIX A- H] [ALSO SEE 45 C.F.R § 46.306 AND 45 C.F.R. PT. 73. App B]

2. ON JULY 6, 2023, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER THROUGH CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND OTHER RELIEF AS UNNECESSARY ; ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL, AND MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF ; AND WRONGFULLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGMENT AND ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN A ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL MANNER BECAUSE SHE HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT THE APPEAL "LACKS AN ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW OR FACT" WITHOUT EXPLAINING HOW THE APPEAL LACKS AN ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW OR FACT ; WITHOUT RESOLVING THE DISPUTE AND ADJUDICATING THE CONTROVERSY OR PRELIMINARY POINTS ; WITHOUT TAKING JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE ; WITHOUT DISPOSING SUMMARILY OF THE APPEAL ON THE MERITS ; WITHOUT REMANDING THIS CASE TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY OR SYRACUSE OF NEW YORK TO COMPEL THEM TO PERFORM THEIR DUTIES AND CORRECT THEIR PRIOR ACTIONS OR FAILURE TO ACT ; WITHOUT GRANTING MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND APPOINTING ME AN ATTORNEY ; WITHOUT ENTERING AND ISSUING THE APPROPRIATE ORDERS NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE ; AND WITHOUT ALLOWING ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL AND HANDLING THIS CASE IN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL MANNER AS DEMONSTRATED TO THIS COURT UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, APPENDICES, EXHIBITS, AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FILED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE ON APPEAL . THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAS SO FAR DEPARTED FROM THE ACCEPTED AND USUAL COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS AND SANCTIONED OR CONDONE SUCH DEPARTURE BY LOWER COURTS AS TO CALL FOR A EXERCISE OF THIS COURT'S SUPERVISORY POWER. THE 2ND CIRCUIT DID NOT AFFIRM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE OF NEW YORK, BUT INSTEAD, THEY HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THE CASE ON APPARENT INCONSISTENT VIEWS WITHOUT ALLOWING THE APPEAL TO TAKE ITS PROPER COURSE AND JUSTIFYING DEVIATION FROM NORMAL APPELLATE PRACTICE AND THE SUDDEN TERMINATION OF THE APPEAL WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO MISHANDLE THIS CASE AND ENCROACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE 2ND CIRCUIT DID NOT AFFIRM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE ON THE ILLEGITIMATE GROUNDS RELIED ON BY THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS, BUT INSTEAD, THEY HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL ON

APPARENT INCONSISTENT VIEWS TO CREATE MORE ISSUES AND GIVE JURISDICTION TO THIS
U.S SUPREME COURT AFTER REVIEWING MY APPEAL PAPERS AND CONSULTING WITH
CO- CONSPIRATORS. THE 2ND CIRCUIT WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO RELIEVE ME AND MY FAMILY
MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENTS,
ORDERS OR DECISIONS BEING APPEALED OR CHALLENGED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. THE
LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE HAS GREAT MERITS AND ESTABLIS-
HED THE ARGUABLE BASIS OF THE APPEAL WHICH WAS ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND
ILLEGALLY DISMISSED WITHOUT TAKING ITS PROPER COURSE. THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ALSO ARBITR-
ARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REIN-
STATE MY APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023 WITHOUT EXPLAINING ITS DECISION AND PROVIDING FOR ME
TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGMENT AND ORDERS OF THE
U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE OF NEW YORK WHO HAD ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY
AND ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT AND DISMISSED THIS CASE FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS
ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022 WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND
CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL
LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY
FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA
K. SANNESE AND COURT CLERKS JOHN DOMURAD AND N. EALLONARD HAD ARBITRARILY, CAPRICIOUSLY
AND ILLEGALLY CLAIMED THAT I HAD FAILED TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE AND COMPLY WITH COURT
ORDERS BY FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I HAD ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITIES AND FAILED TO
APPEAR IN COURT FOR CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WERE CANCELLED BY THE
DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS WHO HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT AND
PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY WHILE EXECUTING
THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT WITH-
OUT CONDUCTING A CIVIL JURY TRIAL, EVIDENTIAL HEARINGS OR CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS.
THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION HAD
REFUSED TO COMPLY WITH LAWS AND COURT ORDERS BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR
IN COURT FOR CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS WHILE I WAS IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE
KNOWN UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRUPTIVE, DISRESPECTFUL AND OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS NAMED IN THIS CASE. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE
BRENDA K. SANNESE, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE GLEN
T. SUDDABLY, U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER AND ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS -
RESPONDENTS IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTS, OMISSIONS OR WRONGFUL CONDUCT WITHIN THEIR
ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT BY U.S
DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNESE AND U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERKS JOHN DOMURAD AND
N. EALLONARD ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022, FOR THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF
MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE ON DECEMBER 5, 2022,
AND FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY APPEAL ON JULY 6, 2023, AND THE ARBITRARY,
CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY
APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023. THEY ARE ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTS, OMISSIONS OR WRONGFUL

CONDUCT WITHIN THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER ON OCTOBER 8, 2019 WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIAL HEARING, CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, APPOINTING ME AN ATTORNEY FOR MY DEFENSE, AND PROVIDING FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR A PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OR EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL HIS DECISION. THEY ARE ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTS, OMISSIONS OR WRONGFUL CONDUCT WITHIN THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY POST CONVICTION 440.10 MOTION BY NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2016 WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIAL HEARING, CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, APPOINTING ME AN ATTORNEY FOR MY DEFENSE, PROVIDING FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR A PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE, AND PROVIDING FOR ME TO APPEAL HIS DECISIONS WITHIN THE NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT AND NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS WHO HAD DENIED ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE BY REFUSING TO GRANT ME PERMISSION TO APPEAL, REFUSING TO CONSIDER THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, REFUSING TO APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY FOR MY DEFENSE, AND REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR A PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE AFTER I HAD PRESENTED QUESTIONS THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN REVIEWED FOR SUCH RELIEF ON APPEAL FROM THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY 440.10 MOTION BY NYS ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2016. THEY ARE ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTS, OMISSIONS OR WRONGFUL CONDUCT WITHIN THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL ACTIONS OF THE NEW YORK ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT AND NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT WHO HAD DENIED ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE UPON RECEIPT OF MY PETITIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS IN MY ARTICLE 78 CIVIL CASES. THE ACTIONS OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT, THE NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS, THE NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD AND 4TH DEPARTMENT, THE NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK STATE ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY COURT, AND OTHER TRIBUNALS AND GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WAS ARBITRARY; CAPRICIOUS; AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION, AUTHORITY OR POWER; UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION; UNWARRANTED BY THE FACTS; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY; OR OTHERWISE NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THIS OVERALL SITUATION HAS BEEN DESCRIBED IN GREAT DETAIL TO THIS COURT WITHIN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION, APPENDICES,

AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS SO I ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE REVIEW THE RECORD TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN REFUSING TO PERFORM PUBLIC DUTIES, GOVERNMENTAL DUTIES, ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES, MINISTERIAL DUTIES, MANAGERIAL DUTIES, LAW ENFORCEMENT DUTIES, JUDICIAL DUTIES, CORRECTIONAL DUTIES, INVESTIGATIVE DUTIES, LEGAL DUTIES, EMPLOYMENT DUTIES, CONTRACT DUTIES, FIDUCIARY DUTIES, WELFARE DUTIES, WORLD DUTIES, FEDERAL DUTIES, STATE DUTIES, CITY DUTIES, COUNTY DUTIES, POLITICAL DUTIES, LIBERAL DUTIES, LIBERATION DUTIES, HONORABLE DUTIES, DELEGATED DUTIES, NONDELEGABLE DUTIES, DEPARTMENTAL DUTIES, PEACEKEEPING DUTIES, COMMITMENT DUTIES, STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL DUTIES, HEALTHCARE DUTIES, FAMILY DUTIES, CONDITIONAL DUTIES, EDUCATIONAL DUTIES, TRAINING DUTIES, IMPLIED DUTIES OF COOPERATION, LEGACY DUTIES, SPECIAL DUTIES, APPEAL DUTIES, REVIEW DUTIES, SUPERVISORY DUTIES, CARE DUTIES, PROTECTION DUTIES, DISCIPLINARY DUTIES, APPOINTMENT DUTIES, MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION DUTIES, SETTLEMENT DUTIES, RESOLUTION DUTIES, RETREAT DUTIES, SURRENDERANCE DUTIES, COMPENSATION DUTIES, VICTIM ASSISTANCE DUTIES, PRECOMPLIANCE DUTIES, SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION PREVENTION AND RESPONSE DUTIES, REPORTING DUTIES, TREATMENT DUTIES, NOTIFICATION DUTIES, DISCLOSURE DUTIES, CANDOR DUTIES, REMOVAL DUTIES, TERMINATION DUTIES, CLEANING DUTIES, BUSINESS DUTIES, AND ETHICAL DUTIES WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, THE AGENCY OR AGENCIES OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES, THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE IN SYRACUSE OF NEW YORK, THE STATE OF NEW YORK GOVERNOR OFFICE, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT, THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD AND 4TH DEPARTMENT, THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY COURT, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY HALL, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO FAMILY COURT, THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCS, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY HOLDING CENTER, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY JAIL, THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT, THE NAACP, THE PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES OF NEW YORK, THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY, THE SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES, CRISIS SERVICES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN CONSPIRING TOGETHER AND ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO UNLAWFULLY DISCRIMINATE AGAINST ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE AND VIOLATE OUR RIGHT TO ACCESS THE COURTS AND OTHER AGENCIES AND PETITION THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER PARTIES FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES; RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BY A COMPETENT COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING OUR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GRANTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS FOR OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY; RIGHT TO HAVE DUE PROCESS AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT ; RIGHT TO ACT FOR PROPER PURPOSES ; RIGHT TO CARE AND RESCUE ; RIGHT TO PROTECT ; RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OR ADMINISTRATION OF GOVERNMENT ; RIGHT TO ENGAGE IN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL ACTIVITIES ; RIGHT TO PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE AND PUBLIC ; RIGHT TO COMPENSATION ; RIGHT TO PROPERTY ; RIGHT TO HOUSING, EDUCATION, HEALTHCARE, EMPLOYMENT, ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION, FINANCIAL AND OTHER SUPPORT, AND ASSISTANCE ; RIGHT TO BE SECURE AND PROTECTED ; RIGHT TO INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE ; RIGHT OF DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE ; RIGHT TO FAITHFUL SERVICE ; RIGHT TO INFORM AND NOTIFY ; RIGHT TO FAMILY INTEGRITY ; RIGHT TO APPEAL ; RIGHT TO REVIEW ; RIGHT TO A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ; RIGHT TO CHANGE ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM HISTORIC GROUP AND OTHER FORMS OF UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION ; RIGHT TO ASSOCIATION, ASSEMBLY, PRESS, SPEECH, AND OTHER FORMS OF EXPRESSION ; RIGHT TO PRIVACY ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM ILLEGAL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM SLAVERY AND INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE ; RIGHT TO A CIVIL JURY TRIAL, EVIDENTIAL HEARINGS, AND CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS ; RIGHT TO THE APPOINTMENT OF AN ATTORNEY ; RIGHT TO HAVE COMPULSORY PROCESS TO OBTAIN WITNESSES IN OUR FAVOR ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM INVASION ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM RETALIATION FOR REPORTING MISCONDUCT, ABUSE OR UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES BY GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEES OR STAFF AND FORTAKING LAWFUL AND ETHICAL MEASURES TO VINDICATE OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE AND ENDEAVORS ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM OPPRESSION ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CORRUPTION, FRAUD, NEGLECT, CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, MISCONDUCT, OR ABUSE BY GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEES OR STAFF ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LIFE, LEGAL, FAMILY AND OTHER PROCESSES ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM UNLAWFUL, UNETHICAL AND OPPRESSIVE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM UNAUTHORIZED HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS ; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM UNAUTHORIZED RELATIONSHIPS, DEALINGS, INTERACTIONS, CONVERSIONS, COMMUNICATIONS, ASSOCIATIONS, TRANSACTIONS, ACTIVITIES AND CONDUCT, ETC ; AND RIGHT TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, INSTITUTIONS AND BUSINESSES PERFORM THEIR DUTIES REQUIRED BY LAW AND MORAL OBLIGATIONS . GOVERNMENT ENTITIES AND THEIR EMPLOYEES HAS REFUSED TO RESPOND TO MY LEGAL PAPERS AND ADDRESS MY PROBLEMS WHILE THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN ACTING OUT IN CORRUPTION, REBELLION, HATRED, DEFAULT, DEFEAT, DECEIT, DECEPTION AND ILLEGAL OPPOSITION TO HARM ME, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT WAYS AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE U.S SUPREME COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAVE REFUSED TO MAKE GOOD DECISIONS ON OUR BEHALF AND FOR OUR BENEFIT AND ACT IN GOOD FAITH AND WITH FAIRNESS. NO REASONABLE MEASURES HAS BEEN TAKEN AFTER I HAD MADE COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE ACTIONS OF THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WITHIN MY PETITIONS, MOTIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FILED IN THIS MATTER. I HAVE BEEN SUBMITTING MY APPLICATIONS TO THE COURT WHILE ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE INCLUDING MYSELF, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE STILL

BEING AGGRIEVED OR ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. MY ACTIONS CONSTITUTE CITY, COUNTY, STATE, COUNTRY, NATION AND WORLD ACTION IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN PERSONALLY PERFORMING FUNCTIONS THAT ARE TRADITIONALLY RESERVED TO CITIES, COUNTIES, STATES, COUNTRIES, NATIONS, AND THE WORLD UNDER THE PUBLIC FUNCTION DOCTRINE OR THEORY. THE COURT MUST PROVIDE FOR OUR RELIEF AS A MATTER OF NECESSITY AND FOR OUR CONTINUATION OF LIFE ITSELF, LEGAL REQUIREMENTS AND PERSONAL DESIRES. I HAVE BEEN WORKING AT THE FRONTIER OF FIELDS OF STUDY AND SCIENCE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE WHILE THIS COURT HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO STOP ME FROM MOVING FORWARD IN LIFE, ACCOMPLISHING GOALS, RECEIVING RELIEF, AND PURSUING THIS CASE WITHOUT DIFFICULTIES OR PROBLEMS AND MAKING ANY PROGRESS IN LEGAL DISPUTES THAT MOVE ME TOWARDS MY RIGHTEOUS CAUSE, GOALS AND PERSONAL COMMITMENTS. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAVE NOT PROVIDED FOR DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION, DATA, DOCUMENTS, MATERIALS, ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS, AND OTHER EVIDENCE FROM IDENTIFIED SOURCES, WITNESSES AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHICH RELATES TO MY ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, BEHAVIORS, PROBLEMS, CLAIMS, DEFENSES, REQUESTS, PROPOSALS, ENTITLEMENTS AND THE NEEDS OF THIS CASE. THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAVE ALSO REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE ASSIGNED A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY WHO IS NEEDED TO PROCEED WITH ALL REASONABLE DILIGENCE AND CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS THAT ARE NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. PLEASE REVIEW THE RECORDS FOR THIS CASE TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT IN ALL PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENTRY AND ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** FOR US TO ADDRESS THE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND LONG CHAIN OF ABUSES INVOLVED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE.

[SEE U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 AND 6 AND AMENDMENTS 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13 AND 14; THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1; CANON 1, 2 AND 3 OF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A; THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT PREAMBLE AND RULES 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.9, 2.12 AND 2.15; THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES; THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 60, 28 U.S.C.A; THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A; THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964; 5 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706; 28 U.S.C.A § 1651; 28 U.S.C.A § 1915; 28 U.S.C.A § 2106; 28 U.S.C.A § 2241; 42 U.S.C.A § 1985; NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05; EXHIBITS #161-266; AND APPENDIX A-H]

3. THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED, THE LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AND ITS RELATED CASES, THE NAMES OF KNOWN WITNESSES AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS, THE LIST OF PROCEEDINGS IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURT THAT ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE, THE OPINIONS BELOW, THE REASONS BEHIND THE COURT'S JURISDICTION OVER THIS CASE, THE STATUTES AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS INVOLVED, THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE, THE REASONS FOR GRANTING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF

CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION AND THE SUMMARY OF OUR GREAT PLANS WITH RESPECT TO THIS PETITION ARE IDENTICAL TO THOSE IN THE APPEAL CASE AND MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE OF WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, AS SET FORTH IN THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION TO WHICH THIS PETITION IS ATTACHED; AND THOSE PORTIONS ARE INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE. SIMILARLY, THE SAME CONSIDERATIONS THAT JUSTIFY THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED ON APPEAL FROM THE SECOND CIRCUIT EQUALLY WARRANTS THE ENTRY AND ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND THE SAFETY, SECURITY, WELFARE AND PROPER CARE OF THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. I AM SEEKING ESSENTIALLY THE SAME RELIEF BY WAY OF MANDAMUS THAT I'M SEEKING BY WAY OF APPEAL AND MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. JURISDICTION TO ISSUE THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS IN THIS CASE LIES UNDER 28 U.S.C. A §1651 AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 93, 159- 371 AND 442- 498]

A. THE WRIT WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION

4. THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE COURT WILL BE ABLE TO ISSUE THE WRIT TO CORRECT PRIOR ACTIONS OR FAILURES TO ACT; REMEDY WRONGS; PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF RIGHTS ALREADY ESTABLISHED BY LAW; PROVIDE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF PUBLIC, GOVERNMENTAL, ADMINISTRATIVE, MINISTERIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER DUTIES REQUIRED BY LAW AND MORAL OBLIGATION; COMPEL, COMMAND AND DIRECT CERTAIN ACTIONS REQUIRED BY LAW AND MORAL OBLIGATION; FORBID AND PREVENT CERTAIN ACTIONS; PREVENT DISORDER FROM A FAILURE OF JUSTICE; PREVENT GREAT, IMMEDIATE AND IRREPARABLE LOSS OF CONSTITUTIONAL AND OTHER RIGHTS; VACATE OR IMPEACH THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE; PROVIDE FOR MY APPEAL; PROVIDE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT AND THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS; PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE AND AGREEABLE TO THE USAGES AND PRINCIPLES OF LAW IN THIS CASE; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO ASSERT RIGHTS AND PROSECUTE THIS CASE ACCORDING TO THE FORMS OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO COMPEL ACTION WHEN REFUSED IN MATTERS INVOLVING JUDGMENT AND DISCRETION; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO COMPEL ACTION WHEN THE RIGHT TO PERFORMANCE OF THE ACT(S) IS CLEAR, AND IT IS APPARENT THAT NO VALID EXCUSE CAN BE GIVEN FOR NOT PERFORMING SUCH ACT(S); PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR

APPROPRIATE IN THE PROCESS OF ENFORCING RIGHTS WHEN JURISDICTION HAS BEEN ALREADY
ACQUIRED FOR APPEAL AND OTHER PURPOSES; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS
NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO PROTECT OR EFFECTUATE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION;
PROVIDE FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT AND/OR ORDER(S) BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY
AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE;
AND PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO PROVIDE FOR
A REMEDY BY A COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY BASED OFF THE FACTS AND
CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION. THE WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** WILL
BE ISSUED AS AUXILIARY TO OR IN AID OF THE COURT'S JURISDICTION ALREADY EXISTING.
THIS PETITION HAS GROWN OUT OF AND IS AUXILIARY TO MY APPEAL CASE AND MANDAMUS
AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE, AND IS SUBMITTED TO AID THE PRIMARY CASES, VACATE OR
IMPEACH PRIOR DECISIONS OR DECREES, AND STATE FACTS FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF
DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER.

**B. THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE
AND OUR LIFE SITUATION WARRANTS THE EXERCISE OF
THIS COURT'S DISCRETIONARY POWERS IN THIS CASE**

**5. THE EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION
WARRANTS THE EXERCISE OF THIS COURT'S DISCRETIONARY POWERS IN THIS CASE. THE
FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION HAS BEEN SET OUT
WITHIN THIS PETITION AND MY MOTIONS, PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER
RELIEF, PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION, APPENDICES,
EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS SUBMITTED IN THIS MATTER. PLEASE SEE
ALL PAPERS FILED TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT.**

**C. ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER
FORM OR FROM ANY OTHER COURT DUE TO THE FACTS AND
CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION**

**6. ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER FORM OR FROM ANY OTHER
COURT DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION. THE
DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING THEIR AUTHORITY OR POWER TO DENY JUSTICE,
ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND DEPRIVE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES
AND OTHER PEOPLE OF SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS, LIBERTIES AND PRIVILEGES WHILE PART-
ICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES
UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. PLEASE **SEE ALL PAPERS**
FILED TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT.**

C O N C L U S I O N

7. FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THE COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO GRANT RELIEF AND PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE AND AGREEABLE TO THE USAGES AND PRINCIPLES OF LAW. THIS COURT MAY EFFECTUATE ITS DECREES BY JUDGMENT, ORDERS OR WRITS OF ASSISTANCE IN THIS CASE. MY RIGHT TO **MANDAMUS** RELIEF IS CLEAR AND INDISPUTABLE, AND THE RELIEF DEMANDED IS APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND CASE. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO FRAME A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** ACCORDING TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE, COMMANDING ACTS WHICH THE COURT REGARDS AS ESSENTIAL TO JUSTICE AND REFRAINING ACTS WHICH THE COURT ESTEEMS CONTRARY TO EQUITY AND GOOD CONSCIENCE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS PETITION AND MY OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BY EXERCISING INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT AND RENDERING CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ALL WRITS OR ORDERS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE IN AID OF THE COURT'S RESPECTIVE JURISDICTION AND AGREEABLE TO THE USAGES AND PRINCIPLES OF LAW BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION; PROVIDE FOR THE COURT TO INTERVENE BETWEEN PARTIES AND **ISSUE ORDERS OR WRITS** TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM CONTINUING TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE, ABUSE PROCESSES, INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS, AND ENGAGE IN CONDUCT THAT IS HARMFUL TO ME, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE; PROVIDE FOR THE COURT TO TAKE EMERGENCY ACTION AND MAKE ANY DETERMINATIONS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO ENFORCE OR IMPLEMENT LAWS AND COURT ORDERS AND TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER ABUSE OF PROCESSES BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION; PROVIDE FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE AN IMMEDIATE PROCESS WITHOUT INTERMEDIATE APPLICATIONS OR DELAYS TO RESOLVE THE CONTROVERSY MORE EFFICIENTLY AND EXPEDITIOUSLY THAN ORDINARY METHODS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND OUR LIFE SITUATION; PROVIDE FOR THE COURT TO COORDINATE RELIEF AND RECOVERY EFFORTS, AND DEVELOPE AND COORDINATE PLANS TO PREVENT OR DETER THIS COURT OFFICIALS AND THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM CONTINUING TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE, ABUSE PROCESSES, INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS, AND ENGAGING IN CONDUCT THAT IS HARMFUL TO ME, INMATES AND OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE; AND PROVIDE FOR US TO WORK TOGETHER TO DEAL WITH THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR PARTICULAR EMERGENCY AND

LIFE SITUATION.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE EMERGENCY FINANCIAL AID, GRANT FUNDS, AND SPENDING MONEY IN MY INMATE ACCOUNT FROM THE U.S GOVERNMENT, NYS DOCCS AND OTHER PARTIES SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO MEET EXPENSES; FUNCTION EFFECTIVELY; FULFILL MY PURPOSES, CALLINGS, COMMITMENTS AND OBLIGATIONS; TAKE CARE OF MYSELF AND PROVIDE FOR MY CHILDREN AND OTHER PEOPLE; PURCHASE GIFTS AND REWARDS FOR LOVED ONES AND HAVE A PROFOUND EFFECT ON OUR SUCCESS, SURVIVAL AND WELL BEING; CAUSE POSITIVE EFFECTS AND CONTRIBUTE TO PURPOSES; PRODUCE GOOD RESULTS AND BE HELPFUL, BENEFICIAL AND USEFUL; AND DO A GREAT SERVICE AND PROVIDE FOR MY PROJECTS, UNDERTAKINGS, ENDEAVORS, ACTIVITIES, BUSINESS DEALINGS, EVENTS AND OTHER ASPECTS OF MY LIFE WHILE CARRYING OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS THAT MUST BE CARRIED OUT "BEFORE" I'M RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND THAT ARE VITAL TO MY RELEASE AS I PREPARE FOR MY RE-ENTRY INTO SOCIETY AS A PRODUCTIVE LAW ABIDING CITIZEN.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE HOUSED IN A HONOR BLOCK AT WENDE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY IN APPROPRIATE CUSTODY IN POPULATION WITH FULL ACCOMMODATIONS; LUXURY AMENITIES; LUXURY ACCESSORIES; LUXURY CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR, DESIGNER GLASSES, AND HIGH END JEWELRY FOR VISITS AND OTHER PURPOSES; SPECIAL ITEMS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY; HIGH QUALITY FOOD AND DRINKS; TAKEOUT ORDERING OPTIONS AND SERVICES; CARE AND SUPPORT TEAMS; ASSIGNED PRISONERS AND STAFF; INCENTIVES OF MANY KINDS; SPECIAL PRIVILEGES, ADVANTAGES AND RIGHTS; AND ITEMS, SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATIONS THAT ARE NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE FOR US AND OTHER PARTIES TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS THAT MUST BE CARRIED OUT "BEFORE" I'M RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND THAT ARE ABSOLUTELY VITAL TO MY RELEASE AS I PREPARE FOR MY RE-ENTRY INTO SOCIETY AS A PRODUCTIVE LAW ABIDING CITIZEN.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT, ENLARGED IN SOCIETY, AND ACCOMMODATED WITH RESOURCES, ITEMS, SERVICES, ACCOMMODATIONS, AND SPECIAL PRIVILEGES, ADVANTAGES AND RIGHTS AS THIS COURT AND OTHER PARTIES DEEMS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE FOR US TO WORK TOGETHER AND CARRY OUT OUR SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE, AND ENGAGE IN ANCILLIARY ACTIVITIES TO FURTHER OUR SUBSIDIARY PURPOSES OR GOALS AND RESOLVE THE WHOLE CONTROVERSY.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER

PEOPLE TO HAVE OUR RIGHTFUL ACCESS TO AND USE OF SPONSOR AND CAREGIVER SERVICES, BENEFITS, RESOURCES, GRANTS, AID, REVENUE, CAPITAL, ENDOWMENTS, INCOME, PAYMENTS, FUNDS, REFUNDS, FUNDRAISING, BUDGETS, POLICIES, PROCEDURES, PROGRAMS, CAPABILITIES, CAPACITIES, ABILITIES, POWERS, AUTHORITIES, EMPLOYEES, CYBER PERSONNEL, SERVANTS, COLLABORATIONS, PUBLIC SUPPORT, WORK FORCES, PROFESSIONS, TRADES, PRACTICES, EMPLOYMENT, JOBS AND FUNCTIONS, INVENTED CAREERS, AGENCY STRATEGIC PLANS, EVIDENCE BUILDING ACTIVITIES, COMMUNITY FUNCTIONS, BRANDING ASSISTANCE, PROJECTS, EVENTS, VENTURES, ACTIVITIES, ENTERPRISES, SYSTEMATIC OPERATIONS, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS, GOODNESS, ENDORSEMENTS, PROMOTIONS, PUBLICITY, PERFORMANCES, PRODUCTIONS, PUBLICATIONS, CAMPAIGNS, BRANDS, PRODUCTS, DEVELOPMENTS, BELONGINGS, ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, AND OTHER ASSETS WHILE WE ARE WORKING IN STEM FIELDS AND WITH INDUSTRY INCLUDING SMALL BUSINESSES, CORPORATIONS, ENTERPRISES, ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, INSTITUTIONS, GOVERNMENTS, PRISON POPULATIONS, PRIVATE PERSONS AND THE PUBLIC TO CARRY OUT OUR SPECIAL FUNCTIONS AND NARROW THE ISSUES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE AND ENGAGE IN ANCILLIARY ACTIVITIES TO FURTHER OUR SUBSIDIARY PURPOSES OR GOALS AND RESOLVE THE WHOLE CONTROVERSY.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO BE RESTORED AND RECEIVE PROFESSIONAL TREATMENTS, MAKEOVERS AND SERVICES TO IMPROVE OUR LIFE, HEALTH, FUNCTIONALITY, SOUND, CHARACTER, FORM AND MAKE US MORE ATTRACTIVE, APPEALING, PRODUCTIVE AND EFFECTIVE IN OUR LIFE, LEGAL, GOVERNMENTAL, BUSINESS, FAMILY, ARTISTIC, BRANDING, INTEGRATION, TRANSITIONAL, TRANSFORMATIVE, CYBER, CYBERNETICS, CYBORGS, SCIENTIFIC, TECHNOLOGICAL, CYBERNETIC ORGANISMS, SUPERNATURAL, HEALTHCARE AND OTHER PROCESSES.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR THIS COURT TO PERFORM ITS FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE COURT'S SPECIAL COMPETENCE AND LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO MAKE FACTUAL RECORD, APPLY YOUR EXPERTISE, CORRECT YOUR OWN ERRORS, AND REMEDY THE FRAUD ON THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE AND ADDRESS OUR PUBLIC CONCERNS OR UNMET ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, HUMAN, FINANCIAL, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION, EDUCATIONAL, EMPLOYMENT, HEALTH, PERSONAL CARE, ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION, ENVIRONMENTAL AND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO PROVIDE FOR THIS COURT TO REOPEN AND RECONSIDER THIS CASE, REINSTATE THE APPEAL, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW AND FACT, PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES, AND ACT IN CONCERT WITH ONE ANOTHER TO CONDUCT AN INQUIRY, ADDRESS MY PROBLEMS, SET THINGS STRAIGHT, TAKE MEASURES AND POSITIVE STEPS, USE DUE CARE TO SECURE RIGHTS, GAIN

IMMEDIATE CONTROL AND EXERCISE A DECISIVE INFLUENCE OVER THE MANAGEMENT OF THIS CASE, ESTABLISH THE PROPER FUNCTIONING AND INTEGRITY OF THE COURT, AND EXERCISE AUTHORITY OVER MY CASE ANALYSIS RASHONDA GARNER AND REMOVE HER FROM MY CASE BECAUSE OF HER WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE, WILLFUL AND PERSISTENT FAILURE TO PERFORM DUTIES, AND OTHER CONDUCT WHICH IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO ESTABLISH THE LOSTED, STOLEN OR DESTROYED ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY LEGAL PAPERS WITH A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE PAPERS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OR NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE SO THAT THE CERTIFIED COPIES OF MY LEGAL PAPERS WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS THE ORIGINAL PAPERS IF YOU GUYS DISCOVER THAT OR WANT TO ACT LIKE THE ORIGINAL COPY OF MY PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS WERE STOLEN, LOSTED OR DESTROYED IN MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE [SEE 28 U.S.C.A § 1734 AND 28 U.S.C.A § 1735]; TO COMPEL, DIRECT AND COMMAND THE NYS DOCCS OR ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO TURNOVER MY LEGAL PAPERS IF DOCCS EMPLOYEES IS WITHHELDING MY LEGAL PAPERS TO OR FROM THE COURT IN MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE; TO COMPEL, DIRECT AND COMMAND OFFICIALS IN THIS COURT TO RESPOND TO MY LETTERS, MOTIONS, PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS SUBMITTED TO THIS COURT AND OTHER PARTIES IN MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE; TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE NOTICE FROM THIS COURT THAT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION WAS FILED AND PLACED ON THE DOCKET AND THAT MY CASES HAS BEEN CONSOLIDATED BY THE COURT; TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE TWO COPIES OF THE COURT'S FORM FOR NOTIFYING THE OPPOSING COUNSELS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE; AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE INDISPUTABLE, DISPOSITIVE AND WIDELY KNOWN FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF **MANDAMUS** TO VACATE THE ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI; VACATE THE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI; PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT(S) OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION, MOTIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS SUBMITTED IN MY MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION CASE; PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF AND OTHER APPLICATIONS FILED ON APPEAL; PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE ASSIGNED A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY FOR FULL SCOPE REPRESENTATION; PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE ASSIGNED A NEW CASE ANALYSIS AND MANAGER; PROVIDE FOR THE PROPER EVALUATION OF THIS CASE AND FOR THE COURT TO TREAT MY APPEAL WITH SPECIAL CARE AND ATTENTION IN A VARIETY OF WAYS; PROVIDE FOR THE SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT OF THIS CASE; PROVIDE FOR US TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE; PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE FREE CERTIFIED COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS ON FILE UPON MY REQUEST FOR SUCH DOCUMENTS FROM THE COURT CLERK; PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE DEBT RELIEF AND BE FREED FROM MY DEBTS AND OUTSTANDINGS

DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; PROVIDE FOR CONFERENCES AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS FOR ME TO PARTICIPATE IN PROCEEDINGS WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY; PROVIDE FOR U.S SUPREME COURT MEDIATORS AND OTHER STAFF TO REVIEW THIS CASE, MEDIATE AND PROVIDE FOR THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS; PROVIDE FOR THIS COURT TO VACATE THE JUDGMENTS, ORDERS AND DECISIONS OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER COURTS BELOW WHO ARE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE; PROVIDE FOR THIS COURT TO GRANT A FULL REVERSAL FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; AND PROVIDE FOR US TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT AND/OR ORDER BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY PETITIONS, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO REMAND THIS CASE TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO COMPEL, DIRECT AND COMMAND THEM TO PERFORM THEIR DUTIES, CORRECT THEIR PRIOR ACTIONS OR FAILURE TO ACT, PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS MATTER, AND REMEDY THE FRAUD ON THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER PARTIES, IF YOU GUYS DECIDE THIS OPTION BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE, THE DUTIES OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT IS DESCRIBED IN MY PETITIONS, MOTIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FILED IN THIS MATTER.

8. FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT THIS PETITION IN ITS ENTIRETY AND SUCH OTHER RELIEF AS THIS COURT DEEMS JUST AND PROPER.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. EXECUTED ON THE 10TH DAY OF JULY 2026.

W P V
WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SR., 12B3682
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P.O BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011

TO: THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
THE U.S SUPREME COURT
1 FIRST STREET, N.E
WASHINGTON, DC 20543

THE U.S SOLICITOR GENERAL
THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W
WASHINGTON, DC 20530

THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
THE DIVISION OF APPEALS AND OPINIONS
THE CAPITOL
ALBANY, N.Y 12224

SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON THE 10th DAY
OF July 2026

ANTHONY J EHRENREICH
Notary Public, State of New York
Registration # 01EH0016932
Qualified in Erie County
Commission Expires 11/27/27