


22-5127
No. _____



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jeremiah Williams — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

The state of Michigan RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Michigan Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jeremiah Williams
(Your Name)
Oaks Correctional Facility
1500 Caberfae Highway
(Address)

Manistee, MI 49660
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

DID THE POLICE DENY THE PETITIONER
JEREMIAH WILLIAMS HIS FOURTH-
AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE FREE OF
UNREASONABLE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES?

Jeremiah Williams answers: yes.

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Mich. Court of Appeals 2/12/25

APPENDIX B Opinion and order denying Motion 8/8/23

APPENDIX C Mich. Supreme Court 6/18/25

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

Hyde, 285 Mich App 428, 436 (2009)
 People v Earl, 297 Mich App 104 107 (2012),
 Terry v Ohio U.S 392
 Sibron v New York 392 U.S 40 64 (1968)
 People v Champion, 452 Mich. 92, 100,
 111-114 (1996);
 Minnesota v Dickerson, 508 U.S 366 (1993).
~~People~~ Aaron Joshua v Don Dewitt
 341 Fed 430 (CA 6, 2003)

PAGE NUMBER

No. 10 of Mich Court of
 Appeals brief People v
 Jeremiah Williams No. 370357-
 No. 10/ 11 Mich Court of
 Appeals brief People v Jeremiah
 Williams No. 370357
 Court of appeal
 brief People v William
 No. 370357
 No. 14 People v Williams
 No. 15 No. 370357
 No. 14- People v William
 No. 15 No. 370357
 No. 13-14 of People v
 Williams No. 370357

STATUTES AND RULES

United States and the
 Michigan Constitution
 U.S Constitution Amendment
 IV; Constitution 1963, art 1-11
 Terry Patdown Terry v Ohio U.S 392

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Michigan Appeals court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 6/18/25.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Individuals are constitutionally protected from being subjected to unreasonable searches and seizures U.S. Constitution, Am IV; Michigan Constitution 1963, art 1, 11. The Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution and its counterpart in the Michigan Constitution guarantee the right of persons to be secure against unreasonable searches and seizures. " U.S. Const, Am IV; Const 1963, art 1, 11; Terry v Ohio 392 U.S. 1; 88 S Ct 1868; 20 L. Ed. 2d 889; 1968

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Police Denied the - Petitioner Jeremiah Williams his Fourth Amendment right to be free of unreasonable searched and seizures. There was no Probable cause or reasonable suspicion to search the Petitioner Jeremiah Williams. There was no consent giving by Jeremiah Williams to search him. His Right were violated When Police put thier hand inside his pants.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Fourth Amendment Rights of the Petitioner
Jeremiah Williams Were Violated: United States and
the Michigan Constitution. Rights

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeremiah Williams

Date: 8/11/25