

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MAURICE COLEMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

APPENDIX

JASON HAWKINS
Federal Public Defender
Northern District of Texas
TX State Bar No. 00759763
525 Griffin Street, Suite 629
Dallas, TX 75202
(214) 767-2746

/s/ Loui Itoh
LOUI ITOH **
Assistant Federal Public Defender
Northern District of Texas
D.C. Bar No. 1018988
819 Taylor Street, Room 9A10
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(817) 978-2753

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix A Opinion of Fifth Circuit, *United States v. Coleman*, No. 25-11068, 2026 WL 989076 (5th Cir. Apr. 13, 2026) (unpublished)

Appendix B Judgment and Sentence of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, entered September 15, 2025. *United States v. Coleman*, Dist. Court No. 4:18-CR-059-P

APPENDIX A

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 25-11068
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 13, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

MAURICE COLEMAN,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:18-CR-59-1

Before DAVIS, JONES, and HO, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:*

Maurice Coleman appeals from the judgment of the district court revoking his term of supervised release and sentencing him to 18 months of imprisonment. For the first time on appeal, Coleman contests the constitutionality of 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g), which mandates revocation of supervised release and imposition of a term of imprisonment for any offender

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-11068

who violates particular conditions of supervised release, including, inter alia, possession of a firearm.

Relying on *United States v. Haymond*, 588 U.S. 634 (2019), Coleman maintains that § 3583(g) is unconstitutional because it requires revocation of a term of supervised release and imposition of a term of imprisonment without affording the defendant the constitutionally guaranteed right to a jury trial or requiring proof beyond a reasonable doubt. However, he acknowledges that his challenge is foreclosed by *United States v. Garner*, 969 F.3d 550 (5th Cir. 2020), and asserts the issue to preserve it for further review. The Government has filed an unopposed motion for summary affirmance or, in the alternative, for an extension of time to file its brief.

In *Garner*, we rejected the argument that Coleman has asserted and held that § 3583(g) is not unconstitutional under *Haymond*. *See Garner*, 969 F.3d at 551-53. Thus, Coleman's sole argument on appeal is foreclosed, and summary affirmance is proper. *See Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis*, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969). Accordingly, the Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, its alternative motion for an extension of time to file a brief is DENIED, and the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.

APPENDIX B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
Fort Worth Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Case Number: 4:18-CR-00059-P(01)

MAURICE COLEMAN

U.S. Marshal's No.: 21491-047

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE
(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)
(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

The defendant, MAURICE COLEMAN, was represented by Eduardo Carranza.

THE DEFENDANT:

Pled true to violating Paragraph III of the Third Amended Petition for Offender Under Supervision, dated August 27, 2025. The Government moved to dismiss, and the Court granted the dismissal of Paragraphs I and II within the same Petition.

See Petition for Offender Under Supervision.

Certified copy of the Judgment imposed on April 8, 2021, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division, is attached.

As pronounced on September 11, 2025, the defendant is sentenced as provided on page 2 of this Judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant shall notify the United States Attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant shall notify the court and United States Attorney of any material change in the defendant's economic circumstances.

Signed September 15, 2025.



MARK T. PITTMAN
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocation
Defendant: MAURICE COLEMAN
Case Number: 4:18-CR-00059-P(1)

Page 2 of 3

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant, MAURICE COLEMAN, is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons (BOP) to be imprisoned for a term of **Eighteen (18) months**, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed in Case No. CR-21-2924, in the District Court of Douglas County, Nebraska. No additional Supervised Release Orderd.

The Court recommends to the Bureau of Prisons that the defendant be incarcerated at a facility as close to the Dallas, Fort Worth, TX area as possible.

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.

FINE/RESTITUTION

The Court does not order a fine or costs of incarceration because the defendant does not have the financial resources or future earning capacity to pay a fine or costs of incarceration.

Restitution is not ordered because there is no victim other than society at large.

Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocation
Defendant: MAURICE COLEMAN
Case Number: 4:18-CR-00059-P(1)

Page 3 of 3

RETURN

I have executed this judgment as follows:

Defendant delivered on _____ to _____
at _____, with a certified copy of this judgment.

United States Marshal

BY _____
Deputy Marshal