

26-5068

ORIGINAL

No,

FILED

JUN 18 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

Clarence B. Jenkins Jr.

Petitioner

v.

South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce

And South Carolina Department of Administration et al

Respondents

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Clarence B. Jenkins Jr.
Counsel of Record
Upscale Consulting
945 Wire Rd.
Neeses, SC 29107
(803)263-4514

QUESTION (S) PRESENTED

1. Did the U.S. District Court, Columbia Division decision in dismissing lawsuit for Civil rights Violations per USEEOC Charge N0. 436-2025-02435 which authorized litigation in U.S. Federal Court on August 21, 2025.
2. Did the U.S. District Court, Columbia Division decision to dismiss lawsuit without notifying Defendant(s) for Civil Rights Violations as outlined per USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-02435 that authorized litigation in U.S. Federal Court on August 21, 2025.
3. Did Pro Se Plaintiff received Due Process by U.S. District Court, Columbia Division to address Civil Rights Violations as stated in USEEOC Charge 436-2025-02435 require by the Fifth(5th) and Fourteenth(14th) Amendment of the U.S. Constitution
4. Is it lawful for Civil Rights Violations by State and Local Government to seek Redress through the U.S. Federal Court when U.S. Federal Violations has been committed

LIST OF PARTIES

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is provided below. If a party is a corporation with a stock ticker symbol, that symbol is also included.

Clarence B. Jenkins Jr.- Plaintiff-Appellant

South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce

South Carolina Department of Administration

RELATED CASES

No. 25-5323

Clarence B. Jenkins Jr. v. Office of South Carolina Governor et al.

No. 22M11

Clarence B. Jenkins Jr. v. South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce et al

No.20-6627

Clarence B. Jenkins Jr. v. South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce et al

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	I
JURISDICTION.....	II
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	III
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	IV
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	V
CONCLUSION.....	VI

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A-MANDATE by U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals on April 21, 2026	A1
APPENDIX B-JUDGMENT by U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals on March 30, 2026	A2
APPENDIX C-Order by U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals March 30, 2026....	A3-A4
APPENDIX D-U.S. District Court Judgment Dismissing this action without prejudice on November 18, 2025 by Judge Jacqueline D. Austin	A5-A6
APPENDIX F-Opinion and Order on November 18, 2025 by Judge Jacqueline D. Austin	A7-A10
APPENDIX G-Report and Recommendation on October 3, 2025 by Judge Paige J. Gossett.....	A11-A17
APPENDIX H-USEEOC Determination and Notice of Rights on August 21, 202 by Director Jacquie Jones-Mounts.....	A18
APPENDIX I-USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-0243 on July 30, 2025 signed by Clarence B. Jenkins Jr.....	A19-A22
APPENDIX J-Documented Evidence of Harassment Notification by Clarence B. Jenkins Jr. on July 30, 2025 to Defendants.....	A23
APPENDIX K-Defendant's Admission on December 21, 2023 at No. X and No. XI	A24-A28

III. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Flateau v. Harrelson, 355 S.C. 197, 584 S.E. 2d 413 (S.C. Ct. App.2003).

Mylan Laboratories Inc. v. Matkari, 7 F.3d 1130, 1134 (4th Cir. 1993)

S.C. JUDICIAL COURT RULES

Rule 13 of SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES makes this WRIT
OF CERTIORARI proper before the COURT.

STATUTES

Rule 13 of SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES makes this WRIT
OF CERTIORARI proper before the COURT.....P. 9

18 U.S.C. 242 Prohibit individuals acting under color of law from willfully
depriving others of their rights(DEPRIVATION).....P.9

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 of Employment Discrimination and
Retaliation.....P. 9

The 14th Amendment of U.S. Constitution providing equal rights to all
citizens...P. 9

S.C. Code Ann. 1-13-80(A)(1)(2)(B).....P. 3

S.C. Code Ann. 15-3-550.....P. 3

S.C. Code Ann. 15-78-10.....P. 3

S.C. Code Ann. 15-78-30(C).....P. 3

S.C. Code Ann. 15-78-40.....P. 4

S.C. Code Ann. 15-78-60(17).....P. 4

S.C. Code Ann. 15-78-70(B).....P. 4

S.C. Code Ann. 41-27-20.....P. 4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Cooper v. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond(1984)

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green(1973)

STATUTES AND RULES

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Civil Rights Act of 1991

Voting Rights Act of 1968

2000e Section 701(a)

Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967

ADA

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A3 to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 30, 2026.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

First Amendment(1st) of The U.S. Constitution

Fifth Amendment(5th) of The U.S. Constitution

Fourteenth Amendment(14th) of The U.S. Constitution

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

18 U.S. Code 242

2000e Section 701(a)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I as Pro Se Plaintiff brought this lawsuit against Defendants for repeated harassment and intimidation regarding fake job referrals by Staff at South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce Human Resource Office through email communications was the basis for USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-02435 and Case No. 3:23-cv-012752-JDA because Defendants had admitted on December 21, 2023 that it did take actions to deny employment opportunities in Case No 3:23-cv-4593-JDA of U.S. District Court, Columbia Division per USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-00781. I as Pro Se Plaintiff made repeated requests to stop the harassment and intimidation by email communications to South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce Human Resource Office for several months after receiving a fake job referral but all were intentionally ignored to harm. I as Pro Se Plaintiff provided U.S. District Court a copy of USEEOC Charge No 436-2025-02435, a USEEOC Notice of Rights per Charge No. 436-205-02435 and Pro Se Plaintiff Documented Evidence of Harassment. The U.S. Federal District Court, Columbia Division did not notify Defendants-Appellees of the lawsuit for Case No. 3:25-cv-12752-JDA that was based on U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity(hereafter USEEOC) Charge No. 436-2025-02435 seen as Determination and Notice of Rights that authorized litigation in U.S. Federal Court because U.S. Federal Violations as stated. The Determination and Notice Of Rights by USEEOC was issued on August 21, 2025 based on a filed complaint as of July 23, 2025. U.S. Federal District Court, Columbia Division dismiss lawsuit without Judicial and Due Process without notifying Defendants which is egregious Judicial Misconduct due to Civil Rights Violations. The Stated Defendants-Appellees in a court's filing of Case No. 3:23-cv-4593-JDA-PJG at U.S. District Court, Columbia Division pleaded guilty on December 21, 2023 that it did take actions to deny employment opportunities therefore there were no need to send fake job referrals because Defendants admitted to applying a bar to prevent employment opportunities. And that bar applied to prevent employment opportunities admitted on December 21, 2023 by Defendants in U.S. Federal Court was illegal because there are not any South Carolina State or U.S. Federal Laws that allows such harm. And they were aware of the harm at No.XI as admitted as well on December 21, 2023 in U.S. Federal District Court. South Carolina Department of Employment Workforce committed Civil Rights Violations by continued harassment and intimidation with fake job referrals as basis for this lawsuit of 3:23-cv-453-JDA per USEEOC Charge No. 36-2025-02435 when their agency as one of several Defendants in Case No. 3:23-cv-4593-JDA admitted on December 21, 2023 that it take actions to prevent employment opportunities. Also aware of the harm. The U.S. District Court, Columbia Division was unlawful when dismissing this lawsuit based on substantial evidence and justification without notifying Defendants. And this same patterns of Judicial Misconduct and unlawfulness as recently with dismissing lawsuit can be seen in Case No. 3:23-cv-4593-JDA per USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-00781 without notifying Defendants. Also Case No. 3:23-v-4593-JDA had an Admission by Defendants on December 21, 2023 in U.S. Federal District of taking actions to prevent employment opportunities still ended with unlawful dismissal.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I as Pro Se Plaintiff-Appellant was denied Due Process required by the Fifth Amendment(5th) and Fourteenth Amendment(14th) regarding Civil Rights Violations because Defendants were never notified of lawsuit that was authorized by U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission(USEEOC) per USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-02435. Also Case No. 26-2469(3:25-cv-12752-JDA being dismissed unlawfully without Proper Review and Adjudication. I Pro Se Plaintiff provided substantial documented evidence along with USEEOC Charge 436-2025-02435 against Defendants to hold them liable for Civil Rights Violations. The Civil Rights Violations established under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 regarding Employment Discrimination and Retaliation as stated in USEEOC Notice of Rights dated August 21, 2025 for USEEOC Charge No. 436-2025-2435 dated July 30, 2025 that authorized litigation in U.S. Federal Court which is proper before this Supreme Court of the United States for a Review.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Clarence B. Smith Jr.

Date: June 8, 2026