

No.

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ANTHONY MADISON,

PETITIONER,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

RESPONDENT.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Rachel Korenblat
Assistant Federal Public Defender
1010 Market, Suite 200
St. Louis, MO. 63101
(314) 241-1255

Attorney for Petitioner Anthony Madison

CONTENTS

Appendix A: Opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, <i>United States v. Madison</i> , No. 25-1740, (8th Cir. Feb. 6, 2026).....	1a
Appendix B: Order of Justice Kavanaugh extending the time to file this petition, <i>Madison v. United States</i> , No. 25A1181 (U.S. Apr. 28, 2026)	3a

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 25-1740

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Anthony Madison

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

Submitted: January 12, 2026

Filed: February 6, 2026

[Unpublished]

Before SMITH, ERICKSON, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

While serving a term of supervised release, Anthony Madison was arrested for harassment in the first degree, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 565.090, and nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 573.110, though the state grand jury later returned a no true bill, see Mo. Rev. Stat. § 540.260. The U.S. Probation Office petitioned to revoke his supervised release based on the alleged

App. 1

new law violations. The district court¹ denied Madison’s request for a jury trial. After a hearing, it found by a preponderance of the evidence that he committed both crimes, so it revoked his supervision and imposed a revocation sentence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3) (a court may “revoke a term of supervised release . . . if the court . . . finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant violated a condition of supervised release”).

Madison argues that his revocation sentence violates his Sixth Amendment right to have a jury determine his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. He acknowledges his claim is foreclosed by United States v. Eagle Chasing, 965 F.3d 647, 650–51 (8th Cir. 2020).

Affirmed.

¹The Honorable Sarah E. Pitlyk, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.



Search documents in this case:

Search

No. 25A1181

Title:

Anthony Madison, Applicant

v.

United States

Docketed:

April 27, 2026

Lower Ct:

United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

Case Numbers:

(25-1740)

Proceedings and Orders

Apr 20 2026

Application (25A1181) to extend the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari from May 7, 2026 to July 6, 2026, submitted to Justice Kavanaugh.

Main Document

Lower Court Orders/Opinions

Proof of Service

Other

Apr 28 2026

Application (25A1181) granted by Justice Kavanaugh extending the time to file until July 6, 2026.

Attorneys

Attorneys for Petitioner

Rachel Korenblat

Counsel of Record

Federal Public Defender's Office

1010 Market St.

Suite 200

St. Louis, MO 63101

rachel_korenblat@fd.org

Ph: 3142411255

Party name: Anthony Madison