

ORIGINAL

No 26-5032

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Susan Lloyd,

Petitioner

V

Veterinary Orthopaedic Specialists, et al

Respondents


PETITION FOR REHEARING

Susan Lloyd /S/ SUSAN LLOYD

55 Stanley Ave

Landisville, PA 17538

Domino7575@yahoo.com 2133219999



1. The US Supreme court violated Article 3, section 2 clause 1 of the us constitution by denying Lloyds writ of certioari
2. The US supreme court has original jurisidiction over matters when 2 states conflict with each other
3. Pennsylvanias unconstitutional certificate of merit conflicts with 36 other states as LLOYd describes at length with case law in her writ of certiorari.
4. The US Supreme court also went against its own beliefs by denying this writ of certioari
5. "EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER LAW"-These words, written above the main entrance to the Supreme Court Building, express the ultimate responsibility of the Supreme Court of the United States. The Court is the highest tribunal in the Nation for all cases and controversies arising under the Constitution or the laws of the United States. As the final arbiter of the law, the Court is charged with ensuring the American people the promise of equal justice under law and, thereby, also functions as guardian and interpreter of the Constitution.
6. This court is allowing Pennyslvania to continue abusing litigants with an unconstitutional certificate of merit, all the while 36 states have abolished it due to the certificate of merit violating the 1st amendment right of access to the court and right to discovery

7. The PA certificate of merit even violates its own constitution article I section 6 that gives citizens a right to a jury trial
8. Lloyd and many others abused by the unconstitutional certificate of merit are denied any hearing at all
9. Despite having a filed expert report that Shannon Stanek is grossly negligent which Lloyd attached to her writ of certiorari, Lloyd has not spent a single minute in any courtroom
10. This is a manifest injustice and a complete violation of all of Lloyds constitutional rights
11. By not accepting this case, the US supreme court is telling physicians in Pennsylvania that it is acceptable to destroy evidence in a medical malpractice case and then abuse a Plaintiff
12. That is not at all how the law is written
13. In Lloyds research, no other litigant has ever brought up STATE unconstitutional certificate of merit with this court
14. This is an important federal matter that must be decided as litigants are abused in Pennsylvania and other states due to the unconstitutional certificate of merit
15. While this case was ongoing, Berk v Choy was decided by this court and it was determined that a federal court

cannot require a certificate of merit as it conflicts with federal rules of procedure

16. Pennsylvanias certificate of merit not only conflicts with precedented PA state law as Lloyd states in her writ of certiorari but also federal constitutional rights and the Pennsylvania constitution

17. Therefore, the certificate of merit must be abolished as 36 other states have already done

18. The only reason why this court denied Lloyds writ of certiorari and refuses to take up this case is because Lloyd is pro se.

19. Lloyd was abused throughout the lower courts as a direct result of her pro se status also

20. Lloyd is pro se as she is poor and cannot afford an attorney

21. Lloyds expert report shows this case has always had merit
Therefore, Lloyds writ of certiorari should have been granted and it is a manifest injustice and a violation of PA and US constitution to deny Lloyds writ of certiorari

Susan lloyd

/s/ susan lloyd

55 stanley ave

Landisville PA 17538