

APPENDIX — CONTENTS

App. A — Order, In re Hollis, No. 26-2886 (9th Cir. June 2, 2026)

App. B — Order Transferring Action, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 83 (Apr. 24, 2026)

App. C — Order Overruling Objections, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 88 (May 6, 2026)

App. D — Order to Show Cause, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 77 (Apr. 14, 2026)

App. E — Declination of Consent to Magistrate Jurisdiction, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 14 (Jan. 15, 2026), and Order Denying Declination, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 37 (Feb. 23, 2026)

App. F — Rule 72 Objection, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 86 (Apr. 27, 2026)

App. G — Notices of Deficiency, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 47, 48 (Mar. 18, 2026)

App. H — Docket sheets, C.D. Cal. No. 8:25-cv-02810 and E.D. Cal. No. 2:26-cv-01658

App. I — Relevant statutory and rule provisions
(The appendix is bound and paginated separately, prefaced by its own table of contents. Sup. Ct. R. 34.4.)

APPENDIX — TABLE OF CONTENTS

App. A — Order, In re Hollis, No. 26-2886 (9th Cir.
June 2, 2026) 1a

App. B — Order Transferring Action, C.D. Cal. Dkt.
83 (Apr. 24, 2026) 3a

App. C — Order Overruling Objections, C.D. Cal.
Dkt. 88 (May 6, 2026) 7a

App. D — Order to Show Cause, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 77
(Apr. 14, 2026) 9a

App. E — Declination of Consent to Magistrate
Jurisdiction, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 14 (Jan. 15, 2026), and
Order Denying Declination, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 37 (Feb.
23, 2026), as docketed 11a

App. F — Rule 72 Objection, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 86 (Apr.
27, 2026) 13a

App. G — Notices of Deficiency, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 47, 48
(Mar. 18, 2026), as docketed 14a

App. H — Docket sheets, C.D. Cal. No. 8:25-cv-02810
and E.D. Cal. No. 2:26-cv-01658 16a

App. I — Relevant statutory and rule provisions
42a

APPENDIX A

Case: 26-2886, 06/02/2026, DktEntry: 6.1, Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUN 2 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS.

No. 26-2886

MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS,

D.C. No.

8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV

Central District of California,

Santa Ana

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, SANTA ANA,

Respondent,

COUNTY OF BUTTE; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: FRIEDLAND, R. NELSON, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated a clear and indisputable right to the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See In re Mersho*, 6 F.4th 891, 897 (9th Cir. 2021) (“To determine whether a writ of mandamus should be granted, we weigh the five factors outlined in *Bauman v. United States District Court*.”); *Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977); *see also In re U.S. Dep’t of Educ.*, 25 F.4th 692, 698-99 (9th Cir. 2022) (magistrate judge has authority under 28 U.S.C. § 626 to enter nondispositive transfer order). The petition is denied.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.

APPENDIX B

Case 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV Document 83 Filed 04/24/26 Page 1 of 4 Page ID
#:1169

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSHUA THOMAS PATTON, et. al.,

Defendant.

Case No. 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV

**ORDER TRANSFERRING ACTION
TO THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

On December 19, 2025, Matthew James Hollis ("Plaintiff"), filed a Complaint alleging that multiple defendants acted in concert to unlawfully obtain a civil restraining order against him in the Butte County Superior Court in retaliation for his reporting of alleged criminal conduct, and that he was falsely imprisoned as a result. (Dkt. No. 1.) Plaintiff sued Joshua Thomas Patton ("Patton"), Stephen R. Wattenberg ("Wattenberg"), Mark Alexander Emmons ("Emmons"), Matthew Scott Looney ("Looney"), County of Butte, California ("Butte County"), California Department of Parks and Recreation ("CDPR"), Armando Quintero ("Quintero"), and DOES 1-20 (collectively the "Defendants")¹ for (1) Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law, 42

¹ Plaintiff sued Defendants in the following capacity: defendant Patton individually and in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer; defendant Wattenberg individually and in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court; defendant Emmons individually and in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court; defendant

1 U.S.C. § 1983; (2) Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights, 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3); (3)
2 Neglect to Prevent Conspiracy, 42 U.S.C. § 1989; (4) Witness Tampering and
3 Intimidation in Official Proceeding, 18 U.S.C. § 1512; (5) Violation of the Tom Bane
4 Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code § 52.1; and (6) Racketeer Influenced and
5 Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968. (*Id.*)

6 In his Complaint, Plaintiff states venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §
7 1391(b)(2) “because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims
8 occurred in this District. Plaintiff states he resides in Orange County, California, within
9 the Central District, Southern Division, where the effects and impacts of Defendant’s
10 unlawful conduct continue to cause ongoing harm.” (Dkt. No. 1 at 12:11-15.)

11 On February 10, 2026, Defendant Butte County filed a Notice and Motion to
12 Dismiss Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3) for improper venue, —28
13 U.S.C. § 1406(a), or in the alternative, to transfer the action for improper venue—28
14 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (“Notice”). (Dkt. No. 28.) In the Notice, Defendant Butte County
15 points out the underlying restraining order or “the events giving rise to this Complaint
16 occurred within Butte County,” defendant Butte County is a government entity located
17 within Butte (within the geographic boundaries of the Eastern District of California),
18 and that Defendants Wattenberg (a court-appointed mediator) and Defendant Emmons
19 (judicial officer in Butte County) are employed in Butte County. (Dkt. No. 28-1 at 3.)
20 *see also* 28 U.S.C. §84(b).

21 On April 14, 2026, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause Why This Action
22 Should Not Be Transferred to the Eastern District of California under 28 U.S.C. §
23 1404(a). (Dkt. No. 77.) On April 21, 2026, Plaintiff filed his 154-page response. (Dkt.
24 No. 80.)

25 For the following reasons, the Court finds that venue does not lie in this district
26

27
28

Looney individual and in his official capacity as Riverside County Custodial Deputy; defendant County of Butte as a no sovereign
political subdivision and municipality; defendant California Department of Parks and Recreation as a state entity; and defendant
Quintero in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Parks and Recreation. (Dkt. No. 1.)

1 and, therefore, TRANSFERS this action to the United States District Court for the
2 Eastern District of California, where venue is appropriate.

3 For the purposes of determining venue in federal court, a civil action is
4 appropriately brought in:

- 5 (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are
6 resident of the State in which the district is located;
7 (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving
8 rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is subject of
9 the action is situated; or
10 (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as
11 provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject
12 to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.

13 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). When a case has been filed in the wrong venue, the court in that
14 district "shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such a case to any
15 district or division in which it could have been brought." (*Id.* at § 1406(a).)

16 Here, Plaintiff alleges that the substantial part of the events giving rise to his
17 allegations related to a civil restraining order occurred within Butte County² at the
18 Butte County Superior Court with a court-appointed mediator and Commissioner who
19 are employed within Butte County. All defendants, except one, are in Butte County or
20 within the Eastern District. Accordingly, this Court finds that the appropriate venue for
21 this action lies in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///


27

28

² Butte, California is located in Butte County, which falls within the jurisdiction of the Eastern District of California.

1 GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this
2 action and all related motions are **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court
3 for the Eastern District of California.

4
5 DATED: April 24, 2026


HON. ANGELA C. C. VIRAMONTES
United States Magistrate Judge

APPENDIX C

Case 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV Document 88 Filed 05/06/26 Page 1 of 2 Page ID
#:1230

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV

Date: May 6, 2026

Title: Matthew James Hollis v. Joshua Thomas Patton et al

Present: The Honorable: ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., United States District Judge

Evelyn Chun
Deputy Clerk

N/A
Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):
None Appearing

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):
None Appearing

Proceedings: [In Chambers] **ORDER OVERRULING AND DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
CASE TRANSFER AND REQUEST FOR ARTICLE III
REVIEW AND DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST
TO STAY TRANSMISSION PENDING ARTICLE III REVIEW
[Dkt. Nos. 86, 87]**

Before the Court is *pro se* Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis's ("Plaintiff")
Objection to Magistrate Judge's Transfer Order and Request for Article III Judge
Review (Dkt. No. 86). For the reasons stated below, Plaintiff's Objection is
OVERRULED and Plaintiff's Request is **DENIED**.

On April 24, 2026, Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes entered an
order transferring Plaintiff's case to the Eastern District of California. *See* Transfer
Order, Dkt. No. 83. In concluding that venue was proper in the Eastern District of
California, the court found that:

[T]he substantial part of the events giving rise to [Plaintiff's] allegations
related to a civil restraining order occurred within Butte County at the Butte

County Superior Court with a court-appointed mediator and Commissioner who are employed within Butte County. All defendants, except one, are in Butte County or within the Eastern District.

Id. at 3. Applying 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), the court determined that transferring the case to the proper venue was the appropriate remedy. *Id.* Plaintiff objects to that ruling and seeks review by an Article III judge. *See* Dkt. No. 86.

Under General Order 05-07, the Central District of California has established that all pro se § 1983 and *Bivens* cases shall be referred to full-time magistrate judges for pretrial purposes, except where a federal judicial officer is named as a defendant. *See* C.D. Cal. Gen. Order 05-07 at 2. The Order authorizes magistrate judges to perform all duties and functions prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 636, as well as other statutes or rules authorizing magistrate judges to perform judicial functions, and grants them the inherent authority to enforce orders and regulate proceedings before them to the extent permitted by law. *See id.* Accordingly, a magistrate judge may determine non-dispositive pretrial matters, including venue determinations, subject to review by the district judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). A transfer of venue under § 1406(a) is a non-dispositive matter properly resolved by a magistrate judge and does not require the consent of the parties.

Having reviewed the Transfer Order under the applicable standard, the Court finds no clear error or basis to conclude that the ruling was contrary to law. The magistrate judge properly determined that venue in this District was improper and that transfer to the Eastern District of California was warranted based on the location of the events giving rise to the claims and the residence of the defendants. *See* Transfer Order at 3–4. Plaintiff's request for "Article III review" does not entitle him to de novo consideration of the transfer decision. Rather, review is limited to the standard set forth in Rule 72(a), which has been satisfied here.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Objection is **OVERRULED**, and Plaintiff's Request for further review is **DENIED**. Furthermore, Plaintiff's Urgent Request to Stay Transmission of File Pending Article III Review of Magistrate Judge's Order (Dkt. No. 87) is therefore **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

APPENDIX D

Case 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV Document 77 Filed 04/14/26 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV

Date April 14, 2026

Title: *Matthew James Hollis v. Joshua Thomas Patton, et al.*

Present: The Honorable Angela C. C. Viramontes, United States Magistrate Judge

D. Castellanos

Deputy Clerk

Not Reported

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

None Present

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

**Proceedings: (In Chambers) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION
SHOULD NOT BE TRANSFERRED TO THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)**

On December 19, 2025, Matthew James Hollis ("Plaintiff"), filed a Complaint alleging that multiple defendants acted in concert to unlawfully obtain a civil restraining order against him in the Butte County Superior Court in retaliation for his reporting of alleged criminal conduct, and that he was falsely imprisoned as a result ("Complaint"). (ECF No. 1.) Specifically, Plaintiff sued Joshua Thomas Patton ("Patton"), Stephen R. Wattenberg ("Wattenberg"), Mark Alexander Emmons ("Emmons"), Matthew Scott Looney ("Looney"), County of Butte, California ("Butte County"), California Department of Parks and Recreation ("CDPR"), Armando Quintero ("Quintero"), and DOES 1–20 (collectively the "Defendants")¹ for (1) Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law—42 U.S.C. § 1983; (2) Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights—42 U.S.C. § 1985(3); (3) Neglect to Prevent Conspiracy—42 U.S.C. § 1986; (4) Witness Tampering and Intimidation in Official Proceeding—18 U.S.C. § 1512; (5) Violation of the Tom Bane Civil Rights Act—California Civil Code § 52.1; and (6) Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act—18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968. (*Id.*)

¹ Plaintiff sued Defendants in the following capacity: defendant Patton individually and in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer; defendant Wattenberg individually and in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court; defendant Emmons individually and in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court; defendant Looney individually and in his official capacity as Riverside County Custodial Deputy; defendant County of Butte as a no sovereign political subdivision and municipality; defendant California Department of Parks and Recreation as a state entity; and defendant Quintero in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Parks and Recreation. (ECF No. 1.)

In his Complaint, Plaintiff states venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) “because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims occurred in this District. Plaintiff resides in Orange County, California, within the Central District, Southern Division, where the effects and impact of Defendant’s unlawful conduct continue to cause ongoing harm.” (ECF No. 1 at 12:11-15.)

On February 10, 2026, defendant Butte County filed a Notice and Motion to Dismiss Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3) for improper venue—28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), or in the alternative, to transfer the action for improper venue—28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (“Notice”). (ECF No. 28.) In the Notice, defendant Butte County points out the underlying restraining order case or “the events giving rise to this Complaint occurred within Butte County,” defendant County of Butte is a government entity located within Butte, and that defendant’s Wattenberg (a court-appointed mediator) and defendant Emmons (judicial officer in Butte County) are employed within Butte County. (ECF No. 28-1 at 3.)

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), a district court has the power to transfer any civil action to another district where the action might have been brought “[f]or the convenience of [the] parties and witnesses, [or] in the interest of justice.” In determining whether transfer is appropriate in a particular case, a court must weigh multiple factors: (1) the petitioner’s choice of forum; (2) the parties’ contacts with the forum; (3) the contacts in the chosen forum that relate to the petitioner’s claims; (4) the costs of litigation in available forums; (5) the availability of compulsory process to compel the attendance of unwilling witnesses; (6) access to evidence; (7) the interest in having localized controversies decided in that forum; (8) the unfairness of imposing jury duty on citizens in an unrelated forum; and (9) the congestion of dockets in the two districts, measured by the median number of months from filing to trial. *See Jones v. GNC Franchising, Inc.*, 211 F.3d 495, 498-99 (9th Cir. 2000) (citing *Steward Org. v. Ricoh Corp.*, 487 U.S. 22, 29) (1988)).

It appears that the balance of factors favors transfer to the Eastern District of California, the district where the alleged conduct and majority of witnesses and defendants are located. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a); 22 U.S.C. §§ 2241(d) (“The district court for the district wherein such application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court for hearing and determination.”); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1631 (authorizing courts to transfer venue to cure lack of jurisdiction). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit “has taken a broad view of when transfer is appropriate, recognizing that [n]ormally transfer will be in the interest of justice because normally dismissal of an action that could be brought elsewhere is time-consuming and justice-defeating.” *Amity Rubberized Pen Co. v. Mkt. Quest Grp. Inc.*, 793 F.3d 991, 996 (9th Cir. 2015) (internal quotation marks and brackets omitted).

Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, by April 21, 2026**, why this action should not be transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California. Plaintiff may satisfy the Order by filing a response setting forth any reason why venue should remain in the Central District of California or agreeing that transfer is appropriate. **Plaintiff is warned that failure to timely respond to this Order will result in this Court transferring this action to the Eastern District of California.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

APPENDIX E

		860.5 KB	Defendant Armando Quintero served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>19</u>	☐ 861.9 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Joshua Thomas Patton served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>18</u>	☐ 872.2 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Mark A. Emmons served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>17</u>	☐ 854.2 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>16</u>	☐ 896.9 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>15</u>	☐ 105.9 KB	ORDER OF THE CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE - OCMJ# 26-015 by Magistrate Judge Karen L. Stevenson. Pursuant to the recommended procedure adopted by the Court for the CREATION OF THE CALENDAR of Magistrate Judge Angela Catherine Claire Viramontes, this case is transferred from the calendar of Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg to the calendar of Magistrate Judge Angela Catherine Clair Viramontes for all further proceedings authorized by statute, local rules and general orders. All documents subsequently filed shall bear this new case designation 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV absent further order of the Court. (lh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/21/2026)
01/15/2026	<u>14</u>	☐ 4.1 MB	URGENT MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE JUDICIAL REASSIGNMENT TO ARTICLE III DISTRICT JUDGE AND DECLINATION OF CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION filed by Plaintiff Matthew James

02/23/2026	<u>38</u>	☐ 19.1 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT COUNTY OF BUTTE'S RULE 12(b)(3) MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER VENUE re: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction <u>28</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/25/2026)
02/23/2026	<u>37</u>	☐ 114.8 KB	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO DECLINE CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE <u>14</u> by Judge Andre Birotte Jr.: Before the Court is pro se Plaintiff Matthew James Holliss Motion for Reassignment to Article III District Judge and Declination of Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction <u>14</u> . No opposition to the Motion was filed by Defendants Joshua Thomas Patton. For the reasons stated below, Plaintiffs Motion is DENIED. *SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS.* (evc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/24/2026)
02/23/2026	<u>36</u>	☐ 616.2 KB	STIPULATION for Extension of Time to File Response as to Complaint - (Referred),,, <u>1</u> filed by Interested Party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order)(Attorney Eugene P Ramirez added to party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE(pty:unk))(Ramirez, Eugene) Modified on 3/3/2026 (dc). [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/23/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 4 pages 352.4 KB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 263.8 KB
02/17/2026	<u>30</u>	☐ 2.9 MB	First NOTICE of Appearance filed by attorney Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts on behalf of Defendant County of Butte, California (Attorney Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts added to party County of Butte, California(pty:dft))(Ryan-Roberts, Griffith) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>35</u>	☐ 27.8 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS ARMANDO QUINTERO, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, AND JOSHUA THOMAS PATTON'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b) (5) <u>25</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>34</u>	☐ 2.0 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(6) <u>23</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) Modified on 2/17/2026 (sh). [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>33</u>	☐ 804.2 KB	RENEWED MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 4(c)(3) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)

APPENDIX F

Rule 72 Objection, C.D. Cal. Dkt. 86 (Apr. 27, 2026)

The objection is of record in the Central District action. The district court described it in the order reproduced at App. C:

“Objection to Magistrate Judge’s Transfer Order and Request for Article III Judge Review (Dkt. No. 86).”
C.D. Cal. Dkt. 88 at 1 (May 6, 2026).

The objection was hand-filed on April 27, 2026, and docketed as C.D. Cal. Dkt. 86 and 87. Its filing and disposition dates are of record; the order overruling it appears in full at App. C.

APPENDIX G

			Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court) <u>44</u> . The Clerk cannot enter the requested relief as: No declaration as required by F.R.Civ.P 55(a). (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/18/2026	<u>47</u>	☐ 5.8 KB	NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY Re: REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg <u>45</u> . The Clerk cannot enter the requested relief as: No declaration as required by F.R.Civ.P 55(a). (sh) Modified on 3/18/2026 (sh). [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/16/2026	<u>46</u>	☐ 9.1 MB	NOTICE OF FALSE STATEMENT TO THE COURT AND REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL SANCTIONS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/16/2026	<u>45</u>	☐ 5.9 MB	REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/16/2026	<u>44</u>	☐ 5.8 MB	REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons(in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>56</u>	☐ 11.1 MB	IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY NOTICE OF RULE 4(M) EXPIRATION <u>53</u> AND RENEWED MARSHALS SERVICE REQUEST MOTION [DKT. <u>35</u> , END OF SINGLE PAPER FILED UPLOAD DOCUMENT] (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>55</u>	☐ 5.5 MB	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS <u>54</u> AND EMERGENCY NOTICE OF PENDING RULE 4(M) EXPIRATION <u>53</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>54</u>	☐ 22.5 MB	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1927, REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE, AND STATEMENT REGARDING COORDINATED DEFENSE ACTIVITY filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>53</u>	☐ 10.7 MB	EMERGENCY NOTICE OF IMPENDING RULE 4(M) EXPIRATION, APPLICATION FOR EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL OF SERVICE DEADLINE, AND REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE RULING ON PENDING MOTION [Dkt. <u>33</u>] filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>52</u>	☐ 30.5 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S MOTION TO QUASH SERVICE OF PROCESS <u>42</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)

			ALEXANDER EMMONS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 5 pages 4.0 MB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 937.3 KB
03/19/2026	<u>60</u>	☐ 559.4 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, re REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(individually). Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court) <u>59</u> served on 03/19/2026. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
03/19/2026	<u>59</u>	☐ 1.1 MB	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT AS TO DEFENDANT STEPHEN R. WATTENBERG filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 3 pages 91.5 KB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 995.3 KB
03/19/2026	<u>50</u>	☐ 2.4 MB	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Summons re: Complaint - (Referred),,, <u>1</u> filed by Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(individually). Motion set for hearing on 4/17/2026 at 10:00 AM before Judge Andre Birotte Jr. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Tim Harris, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Declaration of Stephan R. Wattenberg) (Attorney Jeffrey Alan Charlston added to party Stephen R. Wattenberg(pty:dft)) (Charlston, Jeffrey) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/19/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 7 pages 370.9 KB ☐ 1 Declaration of Tim Harris 3 pages 558.3 KB ☐ 2 Exhibit Exhibit A 2 pages 558.1 KB ☐ 3 Declaration of Stephan R. Wattenberg 3 pages 904.1 KB
03/18/2026	<u>49</u>	☐ 222.0 KB	NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION <i>to Defendant County of Riverside's Motion to Quash</i> filed by Defendant County of Butte, California. (Ryan-Roberts, Griffith) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/18/2026	<u>48</u>	☐ 5.8 KB	NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY Re: REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons(in his official capacity as Court

APPENDIX H

CM/ECF Mobile - CACD

27 Docket Entries for Case 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV	
1	12/19/2025 COMPLAINT filed against Defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, County of Butte, California, Does 1-20, Mark Alexander Emmons (individually), Mark Alexander Emmons (in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court), Matthew Scott Looney (in his official capacity as Riverside County Custodial Deputy), Matthew Scott Looney (individually), Joshua Thomas Patton (in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero, Stephen R. Wattenberg (individually), Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court). Case assigned to Judge Andre Birotte Jr and referred to Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. (Filing fee\$405 FEE PAID.), filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Part 2 of Complaint, # <u>2</u> Civil Cover Sheet) (et)
2	12/19/2025 CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE of Interested Parties filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (et)
3	12/23/2025 21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint - (Referred) <u>1</u> as to Defendants County of Butte, California, Mark Alexander Emmons (individually), Mark Alexander Emmons (in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court), Matthew Scott Looney (in his official capacity as Riverside County Custodial Deputy), Matthew Scott Looney(individually), Joshua Thomas Patton(in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons for Butte County Board of Supervisors, # <u>2</u> Summons for Commissioner Mark Alexander Emmons, # <u>3</u> Summons for Joshua Thomas Patton, # <u>4</u> Summons for Matthew Scott Looney). (et)
5	12/23/2025 MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE UNDER RULE 4(c)(3) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (et)
6	12/23/2025 MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHAL WITH WAIVER OF SERVICE FEES filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order). (et)
8	12/23/2025 21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint <u>1</u> as to Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg. (hr)
4	12/29/2025 21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint - (Referred) <u>1</u> as to Defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Armando Quintero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons for California Department of Parks and Recreation)(et)
7	01/02/2026 NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT to District Judge Andre Birotte Jr and referred to Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. (et)

<u>9</u>	01/05/2026 APPLICATION for Pro Se Litigant to electronically file documents in a specific case filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Lodged Proposed Order) (hr)
<u>10</u>	01/08/2026 MOTION TO COMPEL REVIEW, CORRECTION OF PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES, AND REQUEST FOR DOCKET RECONCILIATION filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (hr)
<u>11</u>	01/13/2026 MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER RE: (1) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHAL WITH WAIVER OF SERVICE FEES (Dkt. No. 6); AND (2) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION (Dkt. No. 5) by Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. Denying MOTION to Expedite <u>5</u> ; Denying MOTION for Order <u>6</u> . (See Order for Further Details). (kl)
<u>12</u>	01/14/2026 MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL REVIEW AND CORRECTION OF PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES, AND REQUEST FOR DOCKET RECONCILIATION (Dkt. No. 10) by Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. For the reasons set forth above, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to compel review and correction of procedural irregularities, and request for docket reconciliation (Dkt. No. 10) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Denying MOTION for Order <u>10</u> . (See Order for Further Details). (kl)
<u>13</u>	01/14/2026 ORDER by Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg: granting <u>9</u> APPLICATION for Pro Se Electronic Filing. The applicant must register to use the Courts CM/ECF System within five (5) days of being served with this order. Registration information is available at the Pro Se Litigant E-Filing web page located on the Courts website. Upon registering, the applicant will receive a CM/ECF login and password that will allow him/her to file non-sealed documents electronically in this case only. Any documents being submitted under seal must be manually filed with the Clerk. (hr)
<u>14</u>	01/15/2026 URGENT MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE JUDICIAL REASSIGNMENT TO ARTICLE III DISTRICT JUDGE AND DECLINATION OF CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (hr)
<u>15</u>	01/21/2026 ORDER OF THE CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE - OCMJ# 26-015 by Magistrate Judge Karen L. Stevenson. Pursuant to the recommended procedure adopted by the Court for the CREATION OF THE CALENDAR of Magistrate Judge Angela Catherine Claire Viramontes, this case is transferred from the calendar of Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg to the calendar of Magistrate Judge Angela Catherine Clair Viramontes for all further proceedings authorized by statute, local rules and general orders. All documents subsequently filed shall bear this new case designation 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV absent further order of the Court. (lh)
<u>16</u>	01/21/2026 PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr)
<u>17</u>	01/21/2026 PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of

	Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr)
<u>18</u>	01/21/2026 PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Mark A. Emmons served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr)
<u>19</u>	01/21/2026 PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Joshua Thomas Patton served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr)
<u>20</u>	01/21/2026 PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Armando Quintero served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr)
<u>21</u>	01/21/2026 PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant County of Butte, California served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr)
<u>22</u>	01/27/2026 Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Jamie Shepherd counsel for Defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation. Adding Jamie Shepherd as counsel of record for State of California, acting by and through Department of Parks and Recreation for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by defendant State of California, acting by and through Department of Parks and Recreation. (Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party CA Department of Parks and Recreation(pty:dft))(Shepherd, Jamie)
<u>23</u>	01/27/2026 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case [F.R.C.P. rule 12(b)(6)]: Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (Shepherd, Jamie)
<u>24</u>	01/27/2026 Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Jamie Shepherd counsel for Defendants Joshua Thomas Patton, Joshua Thomas Patton, Armando Quintero. Adding Jamie Shepherd as counsel of record for Armando Quintero, Officer Joshua Thomas Patton for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by defendants Armando Quintero, Officer Joshua Thomas Patton. (Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party Joshua Thomas Patton(pty:dft), Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party Joshua Thomas Patton(pty:dft), Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party Armando Quintero (pty:dft))(Shepherd, Jamie)
<u>25</u>	01/27/2026 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case [F.R.C.P. rule 12(b)(5)]: Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed by defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Joshua Thomas Patton(in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed

Order) (Shepherd, Jamie)

- 26 01/27/2026 NOTICE of Interested Parties filed by defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Joshua Thomas Patton(in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero, (Shepherd, Jamie)
- 27 01/28/2026 MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes: 25, 23. THEREFORE,1.) The hearing noticed for March 6, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. is hereby VACATED and the matter taken off calendar. The Court concludes that a hearing on the Motion is not necessary. See C.D.Cal. Local Civ. R. 7-15. The Court may later schedule a hearing if, upon further review, one would be helpful. 2.) Plaintiff's opposition, or notice of non-opposition, to the motion must be served and filed on or before February 13, 2026. An opposition should be limited to issues raised in the motion to dismiss. 3.) Defendant's reply is due February 27, 2026. (dc)
- 28 02/10/2026 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendant County of Butte, California. Motion set for hearing on 3/18/2026 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes. (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, # 2 Declaration of Griff Ryan-Roberts, # 3 Proposed Order) (Attorney William E Camy added to party County of Butte, California(pty:dft)) (Camy, William)
- 29 02/11/2026 MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes: 20 The Court has received the Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants County of Butte. The hearing noticed for March 18, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. is hereby VACATED. (dc)
- 31 02/12/2026 Notice OF LODGING OF DIGITAL EXHIBITS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh)
- 32 02/12/2026 DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT NOTICE OF LODGING OF DIGITAL EXHIBITS 31 filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh)
- 33 02/12/2026 RENEWED MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 4(c)(3) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh)
- 34 02/12/2026 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(6) 23 filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) Modified on 2/17/2026 (sh).
- 35 02/12/2026 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS ARMANDO QUINTERO, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, AND JOSHUA THOMAS PATTON'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(5) 25 filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh)
- 30 02/17/2026 First NOTICE of Appearance filed by attorney Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts on behalf of Defendant County of Butte, California (Attorney Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts added to party County of Butte, California(pty:dft))(Ryan-Roberts, Griffith)

- 36 02/23/2026 STIPULATION for Extension of Time to File Response as to Complaint - (Referred)... 1 filed by Interested Party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Attorney Eugene P Ramirez added to party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE(pty:unk))(Ramirez, Eugene)
- 37 02/23/2026 MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO DECLINE CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE 14 by Judge Andre Birotte Jr.: Before the Court is pro se Plaintiff Matthew James Holliss Motion for Reassignment to Article III District Judge and Declination of Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction 14 . No opposition to the Motion was filed by Defendants Joshua Thomas Patton. For the reasons stated below, Plaintiffs Motion is DENIED. *SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS.* (evc)

Pages: 5, Cost: 0.50

Full Case

U.S. District Court
Eastern District of California - Live System (Sacramento)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:26-cv-01658-DJC-SCR

(PS) Hollis v. Patton et al
Assigned to: District Judge Daniel J. Calabretta
Referred to: Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan
Case in other court: California Central, 8:25-cv-02810
Cause: 28:1983 Civil Rights

Date Filed: 04/29/2026
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Matthew James Hollis

represented by **Matthew James Hollis**
Independent
24881 Alicia Pkwy Sta. E-519
Laguna Hills, CA 92653
949-638-9682
PRO SE

V.

Defendant

Joshua Thomas Patton
*individually and in his official capacity as
a California State Parks Peace Officer*

represented by **Jamie Shepherd**
California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
300 S. Spring Street
Ste 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
213-269-6816
Email: Jamie.shepherd@doj.ca.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Stephen R. Wattenberg
*individually and in his capacity as court-
appointed mediator for Butte County
Superior Court*

represented by **Timothy J. Harris**
Charlston, Revich, Harris, Hoffman LLP
1925 Century Park East
Ste 320
Los Angeles, CA 90067-1762

310-551-7002

Email: tharris@crwllp.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Mark Alexander Emmons

*individually and in his official capacity as
Court Commissioner for Butte County
Superior Court*

represented by **Karen Lynn Capasso**

Cummings, McClorey, Davis & Acho,
PLC

3801 Univeristy Avenue

Suite 560

Riverside, CA 92501

951-276-4405

Email: kcapasso@cnda-law.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Matthew Scott Looney

*individually and in his official capacity as
Riverside County Custodial Deputy*

Defendant

County of Butte

*a no sovereign political subdivision and
municipality*

represented by **William E. Camy**

Porter Scott, A Professional Corporation

2180 Harvard Street

Suite 500

Sacramento, CA 95815

916-929-1481

Email: wcamy@porterscott.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts

Porter Scott

2180 Harvard Street, Suite 500

Sacramento, CA 95815

916-929-1481

Email: groberts@porterscott.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Zahi Omari

Porter Scott

2180 Harvard St.

Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95815
386-383-9821
Email: zomari@porterscott.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

**CA Department of Parks and
Recreation**
a state entity

represented by **Jamie Shepherd**
(Sec above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Armando Quintero
*in his official capacity as Director of the
California Department of Parks and
Recreation*

represented by **Jamie Shepherd**
(Sec above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

County of Riverside

represented by **Eugene P. Ramirez**
Manning & Kass Ellrod Ramirez Trester
LLP
801 S. Figueroa Street
15th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 624-6900
Fax: (213) 624-6999
Email: epr@manningllp.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kayleigh Ann Andersen
Manning & Kass Ellrod Ramirez Trester
LLP
801 S Figueroa
Ste 15th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213-624-6900
Email: kaa@manningllp.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Susannah Ruth Conn
Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester,
LLP

225 Broadway
 Ste 2000
 San Diego, CA 92101
 619-515-0269
 Email: Susannah.Conn@manningkass.com
 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Select <u>all</u> / <u>clear</u>	Docket Text
06/15/2026	<u>106</u>	☐ 589.7 KB	NOTICE of APPEARANCE by Zahi Omari on behalf of County of Butte. Attorney Omari, Zahi added. (Omari, Zahi) (Entered: 06/15/2026)
06/11/2026	<u>105</u>	☐ 263.0 KB	OPPOSITION by Matthew James Hollis to <u>88</u> Motion to Dismiss. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Statement) (Deputy Clerk KEZ) (Entered: 06/12/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 20 pages 187.9 KB ☐ 1 Statement 7 pages 75.1 KB
06/11/2026	<u>104</u>	☐ 73.5 KB	MOTION for CLARIFICATION re County of Riverside's Appearance by Matthew James Hollis. (Deputy Clerk KEZ) (Entered: 06/12/2026)
06/09/2026	<u>103</u>	☐ 52.0 KB	STATUS REPORT by Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Order) (Deputy Clerk JAW) (Entered: 06/10/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 3 pages 30.0 KB ☐ 1 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Order 2 pages 22.0 KB
06/09/2026	<u>102</u>	☐ 109.1 KB	REQUEST for JUDICIAL NOTICE by Matthew James Hollis. (Deputy Clerk JAW) (Entered: 06/10/2026)
06/05/2026			SERVICE BY MAIL: 101 Minute Order served on Matthew James Hollis. (Deputy Clerk SH) (Entered: 06/05/2026)
06/05/2026	<u>101</u>		MINUTE ORDER (Text Only Entry) issued by Courtroom Deputy for Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan on 6/5/2026: The parties have informed the Court that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has denied Plaintiff's petition for writ of mandamus. ECF No. <u>100</u> . Accordingly, the stay of this action is hereby lifted. Plaintiff shall file any opposition brief to the pending motion to dismiss (ECF No. <u>88</u>) by no later than June 22, 2026. Defendant Emmons may file a reply brief no later than July 2, 2026. At that point, the Court will deem the motion submitted and will issue findings and recommendations unless it later deems a hearing is warranted.

			The Court also notes that a number of motions filed when this case was venued in the Central District of California were never adjudicated. The Court SETS a status conference for June 18, 2026, to be convened by Zoom at 11:30 a.m. to discuss the status of these motions, the status of the pleadings, and future case management deadlines. (Deputy Clerk SH) (Entered: 06/05/2026)
06/05/2026	<u>100</u>	 300.6 KB	JOINT STATUS REPORT by CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Joshua Thomas Patton, Armando Quintero. (Shepherd, Jamie) (Entered: 06/05/2026)
05/27/2026			SERVICE BY MAIL: <u>99</u> Order served on Matthew James Hollis. (Deputy Clerk VLK) (Entered: 05/27/2026)
05/27/2026	<u>99</u>	 162.8 KB	ORDER signed by Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan on 5/27/2027 GRANTING <u>93</u> Motion to Stay and STAYING all proceedings pending the Ninth Circuit's ruling on the petition for writ of mandamus in Case No. 26-2886. The hearing currently set for June 4, 2026, is VACATED. The parties shall file a status report within 7 days of the Ninth Circuit's disposition of Case No. 26-2886. If the Ninth Circuit has not ruled by 11/16/2026, the parties shall file a status report not to exceed 3 pages. Plaintiff need not file an opposition to the <u>88</u> motion to dismiss until 14 days after the stay is lifted. (Deputy Clerk VLK) (Entered: 05/27/2026)
05/22/2026	<u>98</u>	 162.0 KB	STATEMENT of NON-OPPOSITION to <u>88</u> Motion to Dismiss by Mark Alexander Emmons . (Capasso, Karen) Modified on 5/27/2026 (OML). (Entered: 05/22/2026)
05/22/2026	<u>97</u>	 234.1 KB	OPPOSITION by Mark Alexander Emmons to <u>93</u> Motion to Stay. (Capasso, Karen) (Entered: 05/22/2026)
05/22/2026	<u>96</u>	 380.4 KB	STATEMENT of NON-OPPOSITION by County of Butte to <u>93</u> Motion to Stay. (Camy, William) (Entered: 05/22/2026)
05/20/2026	<u>95</u>	 191.1 KB	NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION by CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Joshua Thomas Patton, Armando Quintero re <u>93</u> MOTION to STAY. (Shepherd, Jamie) (Entered: 05/20/2026)
05/18/2026			SERVICE BY MAIL: <u>94</u> Minute Order served on Matthew James Hollis. (Deputy Clerk SH) (Entered: 05/18/2026)
05/18/2026	<u>94</u>		MINUTE ORDER (Text Only Entry) issued by Courtroom Deputy for Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan on 5/18/2026: Plaintiff has filed a motion to stay (ECF No. <u>93</u>) which seeks to stay all proceeding and vacate an upcoming hearing set for June 4, 2026. Defendants shall file their opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion by no later than Friday, May 22, 2026. The motion to stay will then be taken under submission without oral argument. Additionally, Plaintiff has submitted a lengthy ex parte email to the courtroom deputy which seeks relief from the Court. Plaintiff shall not seek relief from the Court in emails to court staff. Any such request shall be made in a properly filed motion and served on opposing counsel. (Deputy Clerk SH) (Entered: 05/18/2026)

			05/18/2026)
05/13/2026	<u>93</u>	☐ 418.7 KB	MOTION to STAY Proceedings Pending Ninth Circuit Ruling by Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (Deputy Clerk KEZ) (Entered: 05/14/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 37 pages 392.3 KB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 26.4 KB
05/06/2026	<u>92</u>		CONSENT/DECLINE of U.S. Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(1), this document is restricted to attorneys and court staff only. Judges do not have access to view this document and will be informed of a party's response only if all parties have consented to the referral. (Anonymous) (Entered: 05/06/2026)
05/05/2026	<u>91</u>		CONSENT/DECLINE of U.S. Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(1), this document is restricted to attorneys and court staff only. Judges do not have access to view this document and will be informed of a party's response only if all parties have consented to the referral. (Anonymous) (Entered: 05/05/2026)
05/01/2026	<u>90</u>	☐ 371.8 KB	REPLY by Stephen R. Wattenberg in support of <u>73</u> Amended Motion to Quash . (Harris, Timothy) Modified on 5/7/2026 (AJB). (Entered: 05/01/2026)
05/01/2026	<u>89</u>		CONSENT/DECLINE of U.S. Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(1), this document is restricted to attorneys and court staff only. Judges do not have access to view this document and will be informed of a party's response only if all parties have consented to the referral. (Anonymous) (Entered: 05/01/2026)
04/29/2026	<u>88</u>	☐ 475.6 KB	MOTION to DISMISS by Mark Alexander Emmons. Motion Hearing set for 6/4/2026 at 10:00 AM in Courtroom 27 (SCR) before Magistrate Judge Sean C. Riordan. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Karen Capasso)(Capasso, Karen) M (Entered: 04/29/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 19 pages 318.5 KB ☐ 1 Declaration 4 pages 157.1 KB
04/29/2026	<u>87</u>		CONSENT/DECLINE of U.S. Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(1), this document is restricted to attorneys and court staff only. Judges do not have access to view this document and will be informed of a party's response only if all parties have consented to the referral. (Anonymous) (Entered: 04/29/2026)

04/29/2026			SERVICE BY MAIL: 86 Clerk's Notice and <u>85</u> Civil New Case Documents served on Matthew James Hollis. (Deputy Clerk JJD) (Entered: 04/29/2026)
04/29/2026	<u>86</u>		CLERK'S NOTICE: Timothy J. Harris is not admitted to practice in the Eastern District of California. Attorney Harris is DIRECTED to apply for admissions within 7 days through PACER. (TEXT ONLY ENTRY) (Deputy Clerk JJD) (Entered: 04/29/2026)
04/29/2026	<u>85</u>	☐ 33.7 KB	CIVIL NEW CASE DOCUMENTS ISSUED; (Deputy Clerk JJD) (Entered: 04/29/2026)
04/29/2026	<u>84</u>	☐ 93.5 KB	CASE TRANSFERRED IN from District of California Central; Case Number 8:25-cv-02810. (Entered: 04/29/2026)
04/24/2026	<u>83</u>	☐ 168.9 KB	ORDER TRANSFERRING ACTION TO THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes transferring case to United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Original file, certified copy of the transfer order and docket sheet sent. (MD JS-6. Case Terminated.) (mrvt) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/24/2026)
04/23/2026	<u>82</u>		SCHEDULING NOTICE by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes previously scheduled for May 6, 2026 10:00 AM has been terminated. Attendance is not required and the request to appear by telephone <u>81</u> is moot. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY. (dc) TEXT ONLY ENTRY [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/23/2026)
04/22/2026	<u>81</u>	☐ 198.8 KB	REQUEST for Leave of Tim Harris to Appear for Telephonically or by video conference at <i>Motion to Quash Service of Summons Hearing: [Proposed] Order</i> filed by Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court). Request set for hearing on 5/6/2026 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes. (Harris, Timothy) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/22/2026)
04/20/2026	<u>80</u>	☐ 17.7 MB	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE to Order to Show Cause [ECF No. 77] Why Action Should Not Be Transferred Under 28 U.S.C. 1404(a) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis to Order to Show Cause <u>77</u> . (Attachments: # <u>1</u> PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO OSC_Part2, # <u>2</u> PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO OSC_Part3, # <u>3</u> PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO OSC_Part4)(mrvt) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/21/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 40 pages 3.6 MB ☐ 1 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO OSC_Part2 40 pages 5.4 MB ☐ 2 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO OSC_Part3 40 pages 4.1 MB ☐ 3 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO OSC_Part4 34 pages 4.6 MB

04/15/2026	<u>79</u>	 2.4 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION to Specially Appearing Defendant Wattenberg's Amended Motion to Quash Service of Summons re: Amended MOTION to AMENDED AND MOTION to Quash Summons <u>73</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (mrj) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/16/2026)
04/15/2026	<u>78</u>	 21.1 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION to Specially Appearing Defendant Wattenberg's Amended Motion to Quash Service of Summons re: Amended MOTION to AMENDED AND MOTION to Quash Summons <u>73</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (mrj) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/16/2026)
04/14/2026	<u>77</u>	 130.4 KB	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE TRANSFERRED TO THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes. Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, by April 21, 2026, why this action should not be transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California. Plaintiff may satisfy the Order by filing a response setting forth any reason why venue should remain in the Central District of California or agreeing that transfer is appropriate. Plaintiff is warned that failure to timely respond to this Order will result in this Court transferring this action to the Eastern District of California. (dc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/14/2026)
04/06/2026	<u>76</u>	 130.7 KB	NOTICE of Appearance filed by attorney Karen L Capasso on behalf of Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons (Capasso, Karen) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/06/2026)
04/06/2026	<u>75</u>	 317.8 KB	Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel; for attorney Karen L Capasso counsel for Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons. Adding Karen L. Capasso as counsel of record for Commissioner Mark Alexander Emmon for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by DEFENDANT Commissioner Mark Alexander Emmon. (Attorney Karen L Capasso added to party Mark Alexander Emmons(pty:dft))(Capasso, Karen) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/06/2026)
04/03/2026	<u>74</u>		TEXT ONLY SCHEDULING NOTICE by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes: The previously scheduled for April 8, 2026 10:00 a.m. is hereby VACATED. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY. (rec) TEXT ONLY ENTRY [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/03/2026)
04/02/2026	<u>73</u>	 1.3 MB	AMENDED <u>50</u> MOTION to QUASH Summons re: Complaint - (Referred filed by Specially Appearing Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court). Motion set for hearing on 5/6/2026 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Angela C. C.

			Viramontes. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Tim Harris, # <u>2</u> Exhibit A, # <u>3</u> Declaration of Stephan R. Wattenberg, # <u>4</u> Proposed Order) (Harris, Timothy) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 04/02/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 7 pages 370.9 KB ☐ 1 Declaration of Tim Harris 3 pages 307.2 KB ☐ 2 Exhibit A 2 pages 40.1 KB ☐ 3 Declaration of Stephan R. Wattenberg 3 pages 390.5 KB ☐ 4 Proposed Order 2 pages 187.1 KB
03/30/2026	<u>72</u>	☐ 5.1 MB	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO NON-PARTY COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S NOTICE OF NON-RECEIPT OF ELECTRONIC FILING NOTIFICATIONS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollisto Notice (Other) <u>67</u> (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/31/2026)
03/27/2026	<u>71</u>	☐ 7.0 MB	PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO PARTY COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S MOTION TO QUASH REPLY re: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Summons re: Complaint - (Referred) <u>1</u> <u>50</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/30/2026)
03/27/2026	<u>70</u>	☐ 1.1 MB	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR REPLY TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION OF MOTION TO QUASH, AND ACCOMPANYING RESPONSE filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/30/2026)
03/27/2026	<u>69</u>	☐ 180.3 KB	NOTICE OF FILING IN RELATED STATE COURT (closed 5/5/25) WITHOUT SERVICE filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/30/2026)
03/27/2026	<u>68</u>	☐ 1.2 MB	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR DUAL SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL AND ELECTRONIC NOTIFICATION filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/30/2026)
03/27/2026	<u>67</u>	☐ 366.1 KB	Notice NOTICE OF NON- RECEIPT OF NEFs AND REQUEST REGARDING BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR SANCTIONS filed by Non-Party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. (Ramirez, Eugene) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/27/2026)
03/27/2026	<u>66</u>	☐ 56.3 KB	Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Eugene P Ramirez counsel for Unknown COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. Adding Eugene P. Ramirez as counsel of record for COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by non party County of Riverside. (Ramirez, Eugene) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/27/2026)
03/25/2026	<u>65</u>		REPLY In Support NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Service of

		☐ 481.9 KB	Process <u>42</u> filed by Unknown COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. (Ramirez, Eugene) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/25/2026)
03/20/2026	<u>64</u>	☐ 12.2 MB	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE TO THE COURT REGARDING: IMPROPER CONDUCT BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT WATTENBERG, MANUFACTURED MEET-AND-CONFER RECORD, MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATIONS IN DOCKET ENTRY 50, KNOWINGLY FALSE STATEMENTS AND CONTRADICTIONS IN SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/24/2026)
03/20/2026	<u>63</u>	☐ 5.5 MB	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE DOCKET ENTRY 50 re NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Summons re: Complaint - (Referred) <u>1</u> <u>50</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/24/2026)
03/20/2026	<u>58</u>	☐ 571.5 KB	Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Jeffrey Alan Charlston counsel for Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg. Jeffrey A. Charlston is no longer counsel of record for the aforementioned party in this case for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by Counsel Jeffrey A. Charlston. (Charlston, Jeffrey) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/20/2026	<u>57</u>	☐ 112.5 KB	Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Timothy J Harris counsel for Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg. Adding Tim J. Harris as counsel of record for Stephan R. Wattenberg for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by Defendant Stephan R. Wattenberg. (Attorney Timothy J Harris added to party Stephen R. Wattenberg(pty:dft))(Harris, Timothy) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/20/2026	<u>51</u>	☐ 5.7 KB	NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES in Electronic Filed Document RE: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Summons re: Complaint - (Referred),,, <u>1</u> <u>50</u> . The following error(s) was/were found: Hearing information is missing, incorrect, or untimely. Other error(s) with document(s): Hearing was improperly noticed. Discovery-related motions, including Motions to Quash, are referred to the assigned United States Magistrate Judge for determination. (dc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/19/2026	<u>62</u>	☐ 588.4 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, re REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons(individually), Mark Alexander Emmons(in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court) <u>61</u> served on 03/19/2026. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
03/19/2026	<u>61</u>	☐ 4.9 MB	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULTAS TO DEFENDANT MARK

			ALEXANDER EMMONS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 5 pages 4.0 MB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 937.3 KB
03/19/2026	<u>60</u>	☐ 559.4 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, re REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(individually), Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court) <u>59</u> served on 03/19/2026. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
03/19/2026	<u>59</u>	☐ 1.1 MB	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT AS TO DEFENDANT STEPHEN R. WATTENBERG filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/23/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 3 pages 91.5 KB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 995.3 KB
03/19/2026	<u>50</u>	☐ 2.4 MB	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Summons re: Complaint - (Referred),,, <u>1</u> filed by Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(individually). Motion set for hearing on 4/17/2026 at 10:00 AM before Judge Andre Birotte Jr: (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Tim Harris, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit A, # <u>3</u> Declaration of Stephan R. Wattenberg) (Attorney Jeffrey Alan Charlston added to party Stephen R. Wattenberg(pty:df)) (Charlston, Jeffrey) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/19/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 7 pages 370.9 KB ☐ 1 Declaration of Tim Harris 3 pages 558.3 KB ☐ 2 Exhibit Exhibit A 2 pages 558.1 KB ☐ 3 Declaration of Stephan R. Wattenberg 3 pages 904.1 KB
03/18/2026	<u>49</u>	☐ 222.0 KB	NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION to Defendant County of Riverside's Motion to Quash filed by Defendant County of Butte, California. (Ryan-Roberts, Griffith) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/18/2026	<u>48</u>	☐ 5.8 KB	NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY Re: REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons(in his official capacity as Court

			Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court) <u>44</u> . The Clerk cannot enter the requested relief as: No declaration as required by F.R.Civ.P 55(a). (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/18/2026	<u>47</u>	☐ 5.8 KB	NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY Re: REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg <u>45</u> . The Clerk cannot enter the requested relief as: No declaration as required by F.R.Civ.P 55(a). (sh) Modified on 3/18/2026 (sh). [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/16/2026	<u>46</u>	☐ 9.1 MB	NOTICE OF FALSE STATEMENT TO THE COURT AND REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL SANCTIONS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/16/2026	<u>45</u>	☐ 5.9 MB	REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/16/2026	<u>44</u>	☐ 5.8 MB	REQUEST for Entry of Default against Defendant Mark Alexander Emmons(in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/18/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>56</u>	☐ 11.1 MB	IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY NOTICE OF RULE 4(M) EXPIRATION <u>53</u> AND RENEWED MARSHALS SERVICE REQUEST MOTION [DKT. <u>35</u> , END OF SINGLE PAPER FILED UPLOAD DOCUMENT] (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>55</u>	☐ 5.5 MB	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS <u>54</u> AND EMERGENCY NOTICE OF PENDING RULE 4(M) EXPIRATION <u>53</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>54</u>	☐ 22.5 MB	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1927, REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE, AND STATEMENT REGARDING COORDINATED DEFENSE ACTIVITY filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>53</u>	☐ 10.7 MB	EMERGENCY NOTICE OF IMPENDING RULE 4(M) EXPIRATION, APPLICATION FOR EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL OF SERVICE DEADLINE, AND REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE RULING ON PENDING MOTION [Dkt. <u>33</u>] filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)
03/13/2026	<u>52</u>	☐ 30.5 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S MOTION TO QUASH SERVICE OF PROCESS <u>42</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/20/2026)

03/11/2026	<u>43</u>	☐ 466.4 KB	REPLY Reply to Motion to Dismiss NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction <u>28</u> filed by Defendant County of Butte, California. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Letter Letter of Support re Consent to Transfer Venue)(Ryan-Roberts, Griffith) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/11/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 7 pages 245.2 KB ☐ 1 Letter Letter of Support re Consent to Transfer Venue 1 page 221.2 KB
03/11/2026	<u>42</u>	☐ 2.5 MB	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Quash Service of Process filed by Non-Party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. Motion set for hearing on 4/8/2026 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration, # <u>2</u> Exhibit, # <u>3</u> Proposed Order, # <u>4</u> Proof of Service) (Ramirez, Eugene) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/11/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 11 pages 657.6 KB ☐ 1 Declaration 3 pages 284.3 KB ☐ 2 Exhibit 4 pages 1.0 MB ☐ 3 Proposed Order 2 pages 238.4 KB ☐ 4 Proof of Service 1 page 236.1 KB
03/03/2026	<u>41</u>	☐ 299.1 KB	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (LR 8-3) by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes: granting <u>36</u> . The COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE shall have up to and including March 11, 2026, to respond to the initial complaint. To the extent MATTHEW SCOTT LOONEY has been served, he also shall have until March 11, 2026 to respond, or 21 days after service, whichever is later. (dc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 03/03/2026)
02/27/2026	<u>40</u>	☐ 243.6 KB	REPLY NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case [F.R.C.P. rule 12(b)(5)]: Memorandum of Points and Authorities <u>25</u> filed by Defendant Armando Quintero. (Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/27/2026)
02/27/2026	<u>39</u>	☐ 249.3 KB	REPLY NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case [F.R.C.P. rule 12(b)(6)]: Memorandum of Points and Authorities <u>23</u> filed by Defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation. (Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/27/2026)

02/23/2026	<u>38</u>	☐ 19.1 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT COUNTY OF BUTTE'S RULE 12(b)(3) MOTION TO DISMISS FOR IMPROPER VENUE re: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction <u>28</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/25/2026)
02/23/2026	<u>37</u>	☐ 114.8 KB	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO DECLINE CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE <u>14</u> by Judge Andre Birotte Jr.: Before the Court is pro se Plaintiff Matthew James Holliss Motion for Reassignment to Article III District Judge and Declination of Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction <u>14</u> . No opposition to the Motion was filed by Defendants Joshua Thomas Patton. For the reasons stated below, Plaintiffs Motion is DENIED. *SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS.* (evc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/24/2026)
02/23/2026	<u>36</u>	☐ 616.2 KB	STIPULATION for Extension of Time to File Response as to Complaint - (Referred),,, <u>1</u> filed by Interested Party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order)(Attorney Eugene P Ramirez added to party COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE(pty:unk))(Ramirez, Eugene) Modified on 3/3/2026 (dc). [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/23/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 4 pages 352.4 KB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 263.8 KB
02/17/2026	<u>30</u>	☐ 2.9 MB	First NOTICE of Appearance filed by attorney Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts on behalf of Defendant County of Butte, California (Attorney Griffith Mel Ryan-Roberts added to party County of Butte, California(pty:dft))(Ryan-Roberts, Griffith) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>35</u>	☐ 27.8 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS ARMANDO QUINTERO, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, AND JOSHUA THOMAS PATTON'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b) (5) <u>25</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>34</u>	☐ 2.0 MB	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(6) <u>23</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) Modified on 2/17/2026 (sh). [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>33</u>	☐ 804.2 KB	RENEWED MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 4(c)(3) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)

02/12/2026	<u>32</u>	☐ 457.9 KB	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW JAMES HOLLIS IN SUPPORT NOTICE OF LODGING OF DIGITAL EXHIBITS <u>31</u> filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/12/2026	<u>31</u>	☐ 497.0 KB	Notice OF LODGING OF DIGITAL EXHIBITS filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (sh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/17/2026)
02/11/2026	<u>29</u>	☐ 201.5 KB	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes: <u>28</u> The Court has received the Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendants County of Butte. The hearing noticed for March 18, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. is hereby VACATED. (dc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/11/2026)
02/10/2026	<u>28</u>	☐ 939.9 KB	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Defendant County of Butte, California. Motion set for hearing on 3/18/2026 at 10:00 AM before Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Memorandum of Points and Authorities, # <u>2</u> Declaration of Griff Ryan-Roberts, # <u>3</u> Proposed Order) (Attorney William E Camy added to party County of Butte, California(pty:dft)) (Camy, William) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 02/10/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 5 pages 214.0 KB ☐ 1 Memorandum of Points and Authorities 10 pages 289.2 KB ☐ 2 Declaration of Griff Ryan-Roberts 5 pages 232.7 KB ☐ 3 Proposed Order 5 pages 204.0 KB
01/28/2026	<u>27</u>	☐ 147.0 KB	MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS by Magistrate Judge Angela C. C. Viramontes: <u>25</u> , <u>23</u> . THEREFORE, 1.) The hearing noticed for March 6, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. is hereby VACATED and the matter taken off calendar. The Court concludes that a hearing on the Motion is not necessary. See C.D.Cal. Local Civ. R. 7-15. The Court may later schedule a hearing if, upon further review, one would be helpful. 2.) Plaintiff's opposition, or notice of non-opposition, to the motion must be served and filed on or before February 13, 2026. An opposition should be limited to issues raised in the motion to dismiss. 3.) Defendant's reply is due February 27, 2026. (dc) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/28/2026)
01/27/2026	<u>26</u>	☐ 181.4 KB	NOTICE of Interested Parties filed by defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Joshua Thomas Patton(in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero, (Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/27/2026)
01/27/2026	<u>25</u>	☐	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case [F.R.C.P. rule 12(b)]

		1.5 MB	(5)]; <i>Memorandum of Points and Authorities</i> filed by defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Joshua Thomas Patton(in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/27/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 24 pages 1.4 MB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 106.8 KB
01/27/2026	<u>24</u>	☐ 164.8 KB	Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Jamie Shepherd counsel for Defendants Joshua Thomas Patton, Joshua Thomas Patton, Armando Quintero. Adding Jamie Shepherd as counsel of record for Armando Quintero. Officer Joshua Thomas Patton for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by defendants Armando Quintero, Officer Joshua Thomas Patton. (Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party Joshua Thomas Patton(pty:dft), Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party Joshua Thomas Patton(pty:dft), Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party Armando Quintero (pty:dft))(Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/27/2026)
01/27/2026	<u>23</u>	☐ 1.5 MB	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case [F.R.C.P. rule 12(b) (6)]; <i>Memorandum of Points and Authorities</i> filed by defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order) (Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/27/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 25 pages 1.4 MB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 2 pages 105.6 KB
01/27/2026	<u>22</u>	☐ 2.9 MB	Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel: for attorney Jamie Shepherd counsel for Defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation. Adding Jamie Shepherd as counsel of record for State of California, acting by and through Department of Parks and Recreation for the reason indicated in the G-123 Notice. Filed by defendant State of California, acting by and through Department of Parks and Recreation. (Attorney Jamie Shepherd added to party CA Department of Parks and Recreation(pty:dft))(Shepherd, Jamie) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/27/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>21</u>	☐ 874.8 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant County of Butte, California served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>20</u>	☐	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon

		860.5 KB	Defendant Armando Quintero served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>19</u>	☐ 861.9 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Joshua Thomas Patton served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>18</u>	☐ 872.2 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Mark A. Emmons served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>17</u>	☐ 854.2 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg served on 1/20/2026, answer due 2/10/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Miguel Paredes - Administration, Butte County - Board of Supervisors, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>16</u>	☐ 896.9 KB	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis, upon Defendant CA Department of Parks and Recreation served on 1/5/2026, answer due 1/26/2026. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon Officer B. Mazon, Office of the Attorney General, in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by method of service not specified (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/22/2026)
01/21/2026	<u>15</u>	☐ 105.9 KB	ORDER OF THE CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE - OCMJ# 26-015 by Magistrate Judge Karen L. Stevenson. Pursuant to the recommended procedure adopted by the Court for the CREATION OF THE CALENDAR of Magistrate Judge Angela Catherine Claire Viramontes, this case is transferred from the calendar of Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg to the calendar of Magistrate Judge Angela Catherine Clair Viramontes for all further proceedings authorized by statute, local rules and general orders. All documents subsequently filed shall bear this new case designation 8:25-cv-02810-AB-ACCV absent further order of the Court. (lh) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/21/2026)
01/15/2026	<u>14</u>	☐ 4.1 MB	URGENT MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE JUDICIAL REASSIGNMENT TO ARTICLE III DISTRICT JUDGE AND DECLINATION OF CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION filed by Plaintiff Matthew James

			Hollis. (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/16/2026)
01/14/2026	<u>13</u>	☐ 25.6 KB	ORDER by Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg: granting <u>9</u> APPLICATION for Pro Se Electronic Filing. The applicant must register to use the Courts CM/ECF System within five (5) days of being served with this order. Registration information is available at the Pro Se Litigant E-Filing web page located on the Courts website. Upon registering, the applicant will receive a CM/ECF login and password that will allow him/her to file non-sealed documents electronically in this case only. Any documents being submitted under seal must be manually filed with the Clerk. (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/16/2026)
01/14/2026	<u>12</u>	☐ 114.1 KB	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL REVIEW AND CORRECTION OF PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES, AND REQUEST FOR DOCKET RECONCILIATION (Dkt. No. 10) by Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. For the reasons set forth above, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to compel review and correction of procedural irregularities, and request for docket reconciliation (Dkt. No. 10) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Denying MOTION for Order <u>10</u> . (Sec Order for Further Details). (kl) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/14/2026)
01/13/2026	<u>11</u>	☐ 106.8 KB	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER RE: (1) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHAL WITH WAIVER OF SERVICE FEES (Dkt. No. 6); AND (2) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION (Dkt. No. 5) by Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. Denying MOTION to Expedite <u>5</u> ; Denying MOTION for Order <u>6</u> . (Sec Order for Further Details). (kl) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/13/2026)
01/08/2026	<u>10</u>	☐ 5.8 MB	MOTION TO COMPEL REVIEW, CORRECTION OF PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES, AND REQUEST FOR DOCKET RECONCILIATION filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/12/2026)
01/05/2026	<u>9</u>	☐ 2.0 MB	APPLICATION for Pro Se Litigant to electronically file documents in a specific case filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Lodged Proposed Order) (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/09/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 1 page 1.2 MB ☐ 1 Lodged Proposed Order 1 page 798.3 KB
01/02/2026	<u>7</u>	☐ 6.3 KB	NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT to District Judge Andre Birotte Jr and referred to Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. (et) [Transferred from cacd on

			4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
12/29/2025	<u>4</u>	☐ 8.3 MB	21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint - (Referred) <u>1</u> as to Defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, Armando Quintero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons for California Department of Parks and Recreation)(et) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 5 4.0 pages MB ☐ 1 Summons for California Department of Parks and Recreation 5 4.3 pages MB
12/23/2025	<u>8</u>	☐ 4.3 MB	21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint <u>1</u> as to Defendant Stephen R. Wattenberg. (hr) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/09/2026)
12/23/2025	<u>6</u>	☐ 4.8 MB	MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES MARSHAL WITH WAIVER OF SERVICE FEES filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order). (et) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 7 pages 3.5 MB ☐ 1 Proposed Order 3 pages 1.3 MB
12/23/2025	<u>5</u>	☐ 1.0 MB	MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE UNDER RULE 4(c)(3) filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (et) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
12/23/2025	<u>3</u>	☐ 21.4 MB	21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint - (Referred) <u>1</u> as to Defendants County of Butte, California, Mark Alexander Emmons (individually), Mark Alexander Emmons (in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court), Matthew Scott Looney (in his official capacity as Riverside County Custodial Deputy), Matthew Scott Looney(individually), Joshua Thomas Patton(in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons for Butte County Board of Supervisors, # <u>2</u> Summons for Commissioner Mark Alexander Emmons, # <u>3</u> Summons for Joshua Thomas Patton. # <u>4</u> Summons for Matthew Scott Looney). (et) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 5 4.2 pages MB 5 4.2

			☐ 1 Summons for Butte County Board of Supervisors pages MB ☐ 2 Summons for Commissioner Mark Alexander Emmons 5 4.3 pages MB ☐ 3 Summons for Joshua Thomas Patton 5 4.3 pages MB ☐ 4 Summons for Matthew Scott Looney 5 4.3 pages MB
12/19/2025	<u>2</u>	☐ 2.1 MB	CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE of Interested Parties filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (et) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
12/19/2025	<u>1</u>	☐ 34.1 MB	COMPLAINT filed against Defendants CA Department of Parks and Recreation, County of Butte, California, Does 1-20, Mark Alexander Emmons (individually), Mark Alexander Emmons (in his official capacity as Court Commissioner for Butte County Superior Court), Matthew Scott Looney (in his official capacity as Riverside County Custodial Deputy), Matthew Scott Looney (individually), Joshua Thomas Patton (in his official capacity as a California State Parks Peace Officer), Joshua Thomas Patton(individually), Armando Quintero, Stephen R. Wattenberg (individually), Stephen R. Wattenberg(in his capacity as court-appointed mediator for Butte County Superior Court). Case assigned to Judge Andre Birotte Jr and referred to Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg. (Filing fee\$405 FEE PAID.), filed by Plaintiff Matthew James Hollis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Part 2 of Complaint, # <u>2</u> Civil Cover Sheet) (et) [Transferred from cacd on 4/29/2026.] (Entered: 01/02/2026)
			☐ 0 Main Document 141 pages 15.8 MB ☐ 1 Part 2 of Complaint 78 pages 13.7 MB ☐ 2 Civil Cover Sheet 3 pages 4.6 MB

View Selected

or

Download Selected

Total filesize of selected documents (MB): 0

Maximum filesize allowed: 100 MB

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
06/19/2026 01:43:13			
PACER Login:	matthewjames95	Client Code:	
Description:	Docket Report	Search	2:26-cv-01658-DJC-

		Criteria:	SCR
Billable Pages:	12	Cost:	1.20

APPENDIX I
RELEVANT STATUTORY AND RULE
PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. art. III, § 1 — “The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behaviour”

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) — authorizing a magistrate judge to “hear and determine any pretrial matter pending before the court,” excepting eight enumerated motions, among them a motion “to involuntarily dismiss an action.”

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) — authorizing a magistrate judge to conduct hearings and “submit to a judge of the court proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition” of the excepted motions.

28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) — authorizing a magistrate judge to conduct all proceedings and enter judgment “[u]pon the consent of the parties.”

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) — “A civil action may be brought in . . . a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located.”

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) — “a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated.”

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) — “For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought or to any district or division to which all parties have consented.”

28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) — “The district court of a district in which is filed a case laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any

district or division in which it could have been brought.” (emphasis added)

18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) — “Any civil action or proceeding under this chapter against any person may be instituted in the district court of the United States

APPENDIX I
RELEVANT STATUTORY AND RULE
PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. art. III, § 1 — “The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behaviour”

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) — authorizing a magistrate judge to “hear and determine any pretrial matter pending before the court,” excepting eight enumerated motions, among them a motion “to involuntarily dismiss an action.”

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) — authorizing a magistrate judge to conduct hearings and “submit to a judge of the court proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition” of the excepted motions.

28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) — authorizing a magistrate judge to conduct all proceedings and enter judgment “[u]pon the consent of the parties.”

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) — “A civil action may be brought in . . . a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located.”

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) — “a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated.”

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) — “For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought or to any district or division to which all parties have consented.”

28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) — “The district court of a district in which is filed a case laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought.” (emphasis added)

18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) — “Any civil action or proceeding under this chapter against any person may be instituted in the district court of the United States

for any district in which such person resides, is found, has an agent, or transacts his affairs.”

18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) — providing in relevant part that where “it is shown that the ends of justice require that other parties residing in any other district be brought before the court, the court may cause such parties to be summoned, and process for that purpose may be served in any judicial district of the United States by the marshal thereof.”

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a) — “A party may serve and file objections to the order within 14 days after being served with a copy. A party may not assign as error a defect in the order not timely objected to. The district judge in the case must consider timely objections and modify or set aside any part of the order that is clearly erroneous or is contrary to law.”

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) — “Within 14 days after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition, a party may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations. . . . The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.”

Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a) — "When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default." (emphasis added)