

App-i

APPENDIX
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A

Order, Supreme Court of New Hampshire, *P.L. v. R.S.*,
No. 2025-0339 (Nov. 13, 2025) App-1

Appendix B

Order [Reconsideration Denied], Supreme Court
of New Hampshire, *P.L. v. R.S.*,
No. 2025-0339 (Dec. 8, 2025) App-4

Appendix C

Order [Protective Order Vacated], New
Hampshire 3rd Circuit Court, [*P. L.*] v. [*R. S.*],
No. 420-2024-CS-00007 (Jun. 9, 2025) App-6

Appendix D

Order [Original Stalking Protective Order (May
28, 2024)], New Hampshire 3rd Circuit Court, [*P. L.*]
v. [*R. S.*],
No. 420-2024-CS-00007 (Jun. 7, 2024) App-9

Appendix E

Motion to Continue Hearing, New Hampshire 3rd
Circuit Court, [*P. L.*] v. [*R. S.*],
No. 420-2024-CS-00007 (May 30, 2025) App-23

Appendix F

Petitioner's Opening Statement, New Hampshire
3rd Circuit Court, [*P. L.*] v. [*R. S.*],
No. 420-2024-CS-00007 (Jun. 9, 2025) App-26

App-ii

Appendix G

June 9, 2025 Hearing Transcript, New
Hampshire 3rd Circuit Court, *P.L. v. R. S.*,
No. 420-2024-CS-00007 (June 9, 2025) App-28

Appendix H

Selected Text Messages from [M. A. S.]
(Respondent's Wife) Demonstrating Respondent's
Admissions Through His Spouse App-65

Appendix I

Respondent's Emails Acknowledging Petitioner's
Disabilities, 2021-2024 App-69

Appendix J

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions App-71

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

Amendment XIV, Section 1 App-71

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

Title II—42 U.S.C. §12131. Definitions ... App-71

42 U.S.C. § 12132. Discrimination App-72

UNITED STATES CODE

28 U.S.C. § 1257(a). State courts; certiorari
..... App-72

NEW HAMPSHIRE REVISED STATUTES

RSA 633:3-a. Stalking App-73

RSA 173-B:5, IX. Relief (Protective Orders)

..... App-74

NH Circuit Court Rule 1.8-B(B). Continuances

..... App-74

App-1

Appendix A

First New Hampshire
Supreme Court Ruling
November 13, 2025

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SUPREME COURT**

**In Case No. 2025-0339, P.L. v. R.S., the court
on November 13, 2025, issued the following
order:**

The court has reviewed the written arguments and the record submitted on appeal, and has determined to resolve the case by way of this order. See Sup. Ct. R. 20(3). The plaintiff, P.L., appeals an order of the Circuit Court (Greenhalgh, J.), following a hearing, denying her request to extend a civil stalking final order of protection from the defendant, R.S., see RSA 633:3-a, III-c (2016), raising numerous issues. We vacate and remand.

We first address the plaintiff's argument that the trial court erroneously limited her testimony to events occurring after the court issued its June 7, 2024 final order of protection. At the hearing, the plaintiff sought to testify regarding an incident that occurred on May 26, 2024, when, she alleged, the defendant violated the temporary protective order. She also offered a police report relating to the incident. The trial court disallowed such evidence, ruling that "[t]he only issue relevant to an extension is something that's occurred between the date of the final order and the date that the order is set to expire," and that

“anything that happened in the temporary phase is irrelevant.”

We agree with the plaintiff that the court erred in so ruling. In *MacPherson v. Weiner*, we held that the trial court, in addressing whether there is “good cause” for an extension, will take into account the defendant’s original act constituting stalking and any subsequent acts. *MacPherson v. Weiner*, 158 N.H. 6, 12 (2008). Thus, we conclude that the trial court erred in this case by precluding the plaintiff from introducing evidence relating to events that occurred prior to the date of the final order, and by limiting its consideration to events that occurred between the date of the final protective order and the date that the order was set to expire. Accordingly, we vacate the trial court’s order and remand for a new hearing.

We reject the plaintiff’s allegations of judicial misconduct and bias. We conclude that the plaintiff has not established that a reasonable person would have questioned the impartiality of the trial court. See Sup. Ct. R. 38, Rule 2.11; *State v. Bader*, 148 N.H. 265, 268 (2002).

In light of our decision, we need not address the plaintiff’s argument that the trial court erred in denying her motion to continue the hearing and her other remaining arguments. See *Antosz v. Allain*, 163 N.H. 298, 302 (2012) 2 (declining to address parties’ other arguments where holding on one issue is dispositive).

The requests in the plaintiff’s brief that the case be assigned to another judge and for various other forms of relief are denied.

Vacated and remanded.

App-3

MacDonald, C.J., and Donovan, Countway, and
Gould, JJ., concurred.

Timothy A. Gudas,
Clerk

Distribution:
3rd N.H. Circuit Court - Conway District Division,
430-2024-CS-00007
Honorable Charles L. Greenhalgh
Honorable Ellen V. Christo
Patricia R. Long
Donald M. Ekberg, Esq.
Francis C. Fredericks, Supreme Court
Sherri L. Miscio, Supreme Court
File

App-4

Appendix B

New Hampshire Supreme Court
Reconsideration Denial
December 8, 2025

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SUPREME COURT**

**In Case No. 2025-0339, *P.L. v. R.S.*, the court on
December 8, 2025, issued the following order:**

Supreme Court Rule 22(2) provides that a party filing a motion for rehearing or reconsideration shall state with particularity the points of law or fact that the party claims the court has overlooked or misapprehended.

We have reviewed the claims made in the motion for reconsideration and amended motion for reconsideration, and we conclude that no points of law or fact were overlooked or misapprehended in our decision. Accordingly, upon reconsideration, we affirm our November 13, 2025 decision and deny the relief requested in the motion for reconsideration and amended motion for reconsideration. The request for an award of attorney's fees in the defendant's response to the motion for reconsideration is denied.

Relief requested in motion for reconsideration and amended motion for reconsideration denied.

MacDonald, C.J., and Donovan, Countway, and Gould, JJ., concurred.

App-5

/s/ Timothy A. Gudas
Clerk

Distribution:
3rd N.H. Circuit Court - Conway District Division,
430-2024-CS-00007
Honorable Charles L. Greenhalgh
Patricia R. Long
Donald M. Ekberg, Esq.
Sherri L. Miscio, Supreme Court
File

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**