

APPENDIX

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Page

**Appendix A – Order of the Tenth District Court of Appeals
(July 14, 2025) A-1**

**Appendix B – Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio (October
28, 2025) B-1**

**Appendix C – Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio (January
6, 2026) C-1**

**Appendix D – Franklin County Court of Common Pleas
Record
(October 5, 2017; January 23, 2020; January 22, 2024)
..... D-1**

Appendix E – Trial Court Record Documents

Contingent Fee Agreement

Liberty Mutual Insurance Email

**Notice of Voluntary Dismissal and Certificate of
Service**

July 20, 2018; Court Filing E-1

**Appendix F – Trial Court Communications and Deposition
Materials (July 2018; July 31, 2018) F-1**

**Appendix G – Employment Records and Wage
Documentation**

Employment Records

Paystubs

**Email from Office Manager confirming employment
dates (October 10, 2017; employment dates: August
25, 2014 – January 13, 2016) G-1**

**Appendix H – Tenth District Court of Appeals of
Ohio (Case No. 25AP-247) H-1**

APPENDIX A

Order of the Tenth District Court of Appeals

July 14, 2025

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO

TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

Christina L. Knowlton,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

Titas G. Donnell et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.


No. 25AP-247


(ACCELERATED CALENDAR)


JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL

On June 25, 2025 we dismissed this appeal due to appellant's failure to file a compliant brief. On June 27 and July 2, 2025, appellant filed identical documents captioned MOTION(S) FOR NOT DISMISSAL OF MY CASE. We construe these motions as applications for reconsideration of our dismissal brought pursuant to App.R. 26(A).

In her applications for reconsideration appellant asks that we not dismiss her case because of evidence she filed contemporaneously therewith and because of alleged irregularities that occurred with her trial counsel and in the trial court. However, appellant does not address the defects in her second brief other than to suggest that she wishes she could have had a lawyer. Because the appellant has pointed to no obvious error on issue that was not considered in our entry dismissing this appeal, we deny the appellant's application for reconsideration. *Matthews v. Matthews*, 5 Ohio App.3d 140 (10th Dist. 1980).


Judge Laurel Beatty Blum


Judge Michael G. Menzel


Judge David Deland

cc: Clerk, Court of Appeals

Court Disposition

Case Number: 25AP000247

Case Style: CHRISTINA L KNOWLTON -VS- TITUS G DONNELL ET
AL

Motion Tie Off Information:

1. Motion CMS Document Id: 25AP0002472 [REDACTED] 980000

Document Title: 07-02-2025-MOTION - CHRISTINA L.
KNOWLTON - MOTION FOR NOT DISMISSAL MY CASE

Disposition: MOTION DENIED

2. Motion CMS Document Id: 25AP0002472 [REDACTED] 830000

Document Title: 06-27-2025-MOTION - CHRISTINA L.
KNOWLTON - MOTION FOR NOT DISMISSAL MY CASE

Disposition: MOTION DENIED

APPENDIX B

Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio

October 28, 2025

The Supreme Court of Ohio

Christina L. Knowlton

Case No. 2025-1111

v.


ENTRY

Titus G. Donnell et al.

Upon consideration of appellant's request for oral argument and demand for jury trial, it is ordered by the court that the request and demand are denied.

It is further ordered by the court that upon consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this case, the court declines to accept jurisdiction of the appeal pursuant to Rule 7.08(B)(4).

(Franklin County Court of Appeals; No. 25AP-247)


Sharon L. Kennedy
Chief Justice

APPENDIX C

Order of the Supreme Court of Ohio

January 6, 2026

The Supreme Court of Ohio

Christina L. Knowlton

v.

Titus G. Donnell et al.


Case No. 2025-1111

RECONSIDERATION ENTRY

Franklin County

It is ordered by the court that the motion for reconsideration in this case is denied.

(Franklin County Court of Appeals; No. 25AP-247)


Sharon L. Kennedy
Chief Justice

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**