Fred W. Schwinn
Raeon R. Roulston
Matthew C. Salmonsen

CONSUMER LAW CENTER, INC.
38 West Santa Clara Street
San Jose, CA 95113-1806

(408) 294-6100
Fax (408) 294-6190
www.sjconsumerlaw.com

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Mr. Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

Re: Hudacko v. Regents of the University of California, et al.
Case No. 26-180

Dear Mr. Harris:

Our firm is counsel for Respondent Christine Underhill ("Underhill"), ex-wife of Petitioner Edward Allyn Hudacko ("Hudacko"). Underhill joins in the Brief in Opposition to the Petition for Certiorari filed by the Regents of the University of California, Stephen Rosenthal, M.D., Janet Yi Man Lee, M.D., Diane Ehrensaft, Ph.D., and Asaf Orr (collectively "Regents"). Underhill intends to file no separate Brief in Opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Underhill agrees that the petition should be denied for the reasons set forth in Respondents' opposition, and does not intend to file a separate brief unless the Court requests a further response. Petitioner put forth no argument as to why Underhill is a state actor.

CONSUMER LAW CENTER, INC.

Dated: November 18, 2026

By: _____
Fred W. Schwinn

Counsel for Respondent
Christine Underhill

cc: (by electronic mail)

Gene Clayton Schaerr, counsel for Petitioner
Michael J. Mongan, counsel for Respondent Regents of the University of California, et al.
Mark Robert Wilson, counsel for Respondent Daniel Harkins
Lisa M. Kralik, counsel for Respondent Nathaniel Bigger