APPENDIX A

COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Case No. A25A1568

December 18, 2025

HOMER P. JORDAN, IV V. ALICE JUNEAL JORDAN

Homer P. Jordan, IV ("appellant") filed this direct appeal following the grant of a discretionary application. After a thorough and careful review of the entire record in this case, we conclude that the application was improvidently granted. Accordingly, it is ordered that this appeal is hereby DISMISSED.

Appellant's "Emergency Motion for Immediate Stay of Bench Warrants and Arrest Orders Pending Appeal" and "Supplement to Emergency Motion to Stay" are DENIED as MOOT.

Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia Clerk's Office, Atlanta, December 18, 2025.

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia. Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

s/ Clerk

APPENDIX B

COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Case No. A25A1568

January 5, 2026

HOMER P. JORDAN, IV V. ALICE JUNEAL JORDAN

Upon consideration of the APPELLANT'S Motion for Reconsideration in the above styled case, it is ordered that the motion is hereby DENIED.

Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia Clerk's Office, Atlanta, January 5, 2026.

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia. Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

s/ Clerk

App. B-1

APPENDIX C

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA

Case No. S26C0768

March 17, 2026

HOMER P. JORDAN, IV V. ALICE JUNEAL JORDAN

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment. The following order was passed:

The Supreme Court today denied the petition for certiorari in this case.

All the Justices concur.

Court of Appeals Case No. A25A1568

Supreme Court of the State of Georgia
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia. Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

s/ Clerk

APPENDIX D

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S26C0768

April 21, 2026

HOMER P. JORDAN, IV V. ALICE JUNEAL JORDAN

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment. The following order was passed:

Upon consideration of the Motion for Reconsideration filed in this case, it is ordered that it be hereby denied.

All the Justices concur, except Warren, P. J., not participating.

Supreme Court of the State of Georgia
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia. Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

s/ Clerk

APPENDIX E

RELEVANT EXCERPTS FROM PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Supreme Court of Georgia, Case No. S26C0768
Filed January 26, 2026

[The caption, tables, signature, certificate of service, and portions unrelated to the federal questions are omitted. The following excerpts reproduce the substance presented in the filed petition. Omissions are indicated.]

Questions Presented (excerpt)

> "Whether statewide guidance is needed regarding notice and due process in domestic relations proceedings when (as Petitioner alleges) a hearing noticed for motions was functionally converted into a final divorce hearing resulting in a final judgment and decree, while Petitioner was absent after filing a same-day motion to continue based on illness and disability-related circumstances."
>
> "Whether the Court should grant certiorari to provide statewide guidance on the intersection of (a) discovery sanctions/default mechanisms used to obtain final relief, (b) litigant-reported disabilities and requests for accommodations under ADA Title II, and (c) meaningful appellate review . . . ."

Statement of the Case (excerpt)

> "On December 16, 2024 at 7:16 a.m., Petitioner filed a Motion to Continue the

hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m. that same day. The motion asserted that Petitioner was ill (including pneumonia) and referenced previously disclosed disabilities and a request for accommodations under ADA Title II at a June 26, 2024 hearing."

"At the hearing . . . the court stated at approximately 9:23 a.m. that Petitioner was not present and that the 'Motion to Continue has been abandoned.' The court further stated: 'Now, what we can move forward on is anything that was noticed for today, which is pretty much everything except the final.' Respondent's counsel then argued that the court could proceed to a final judgment based on discovery sanctions, and the court responded, 'So, he has notice of that.' Later, the court stated: 'So, I think we can go forward with your presentation for Final Judgment and Decree.'"

"Petitioner alleges that this sequence reflects that a hearing set for motions was converted into a final divorce proceeding without proper notice, and while Petitioner was absent after filing his motion to continue."

"The Fayette County Superior Court entered a Final Judgment & Decree of Divorce on December 16, 2024. . . . Among other provisions, the final judgment includes a requirement that Petitioner post a supersedeas bond of $153,117.89 (to be paid via cash or certified funds) in connection with appeal."

Appellate Proceedings (excerpt)

> "On February 11, 2025, the Court of Appeals granted Petitioner's application for discretionary appeal, Case No. A25D0216, and stated Petitioner could file a notice of appeal within 10 days. . . . The appeal ultimately proceeded in the Court of Appeals as A25A1568."

The filed petition then quoted the December 18, 2025 dismissal and January 5, 2026 reconsideration orders reproduced at Appendices A and B.

Federal Issue Preservation (excerpt)

> "Petitioner raised below—and reiterates here solely to preserve any federal issue for potential further review—that the Court of Appeals' December 18, 2025 order dismissing the appeal after concluding that 'the application was improvidently granted' . . . did not identify any jurisdictional, procedural, or record-based ground for deeming the discretionary grant improvident."
>
> "In his Motion for Reconsideration filed December 29, 2025, Petitioner explained that the absence of any stated basis left him unable to determine what, if anything, was overlooked or misapplied under the reconsideration standard and thus unable to meaningfully exercise the right to seek reconsideration or to intelligently evaluate certiorari options—concerns that, as Petitioner stated below, 'at least implicate the notice-and-opportunity-to-be-heard principles embodied in the Fourteenth

Amendment' and the Georgia Constitution's due-process guarantee."

"Petitioner therefore requested limited relief: that the Court of Appeals (i) issue a short clarifying order specifying the ground(s) on which the discretionary grant was deemed improvident, or (ii) treat the request as good cause (and, where applicable, as a reasonable accommodation) to reset the reconsideration deadline to run from entry of the clarifying order. The Court of Appeals denied reconsideration on January 5, 2026."

App. E-1