

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR.,

Petitioner(s),

Supreme Court of Florida: SC2025-1991

Third District Court: 3D2025-2049

v.

state of florida,

Respondent(s),

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION/APPENDIX FOR WRIT OF CERTARORI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES

COMES NOW SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR., (Petitioner pro se), moves this Honorable Court in the above captioned cause, with a possible 60-day extension for good cause, provided the extension is requested at least 10 full days before the petition is due.

1. Petitioner Petition's this SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES and CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR., for an extension of time in filing the Petition for Writ of Certiorari/Appendix for Certiorari for Supreme Court of Florida Case No. SC2025-1991 in which is due March 15, 2026. This is the first request for an extension of time.
2. The undersigned respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time to file the initial brief such that it will be due on or before May 14th, 2026.
3. The initial Petition/Appendix for Writ of Certiorari was due March 15th, 2026. Pro se Petitioner is seeking legal counsel and has not obtained counsel, other unforeseen circumstances prevented the undersigned to perfect the initial briefs on merits.
4. Additionally, it has taken the undersigned a little bit longer than projected to file the initial Petition for Writ of Certiorari, as the undersigned is a pro se litigant.
5. The undersign would like to cognizance the Court, Petitioner requests the Court be reasonable and provide the extension. Petitioner assures the Court

RECEIVED

MAR 10 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

that a Petition will be filed immediately upon completion to provide a timely filing.

6. Opposing counsel has not advised Petitioner that they object to the motion being granted.

7. There is no prejudice to the Respondents having no objection to the extension of time requested.

The undersigned is aware of the Court's disfavoring multiple extensions. However, the undersigned intends to prosecute this Petition, requires a final less than a 60-day extension to perfect and file the Petition for Writ of Certiorari/ Appendix for Writ of Certiorari.

8. So, the Court is advised that the Petitioner intends to prosecute this case to the extent. The undersigned will not require any further extensions of time.

9. Petitioner affirms a Proof of Service is attached to the Motion for extension of time to file Petition/ Appendix for Writ of Certiorari.

10. This motion is being made with GREAT FAITH and not for the purpose of delay.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the Petitioner with an extension of time to file the Petition/Appendix for Writ of Certiorari such that it will be due on or before May 14th, 2026, and for such other further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR.,

SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR., ®

Petitioner Pro se

16614 SW 99 Court

Miami, Florida 33157

Email gymsam7@gmail.com

Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2025

Samuel Lee Smith, Jr.,
Petitioner(s)

v.

State of Florida,
Respondent(s)

SC2025-1991

Lower Tribunal No(s):

3D2025-2049;

132025MM018522000101

Petitioner's Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction, seeking review of the order or opinion issued by the 3rd District Court of Appeal on November 13, 2025, is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. See *Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy
Test:

SC2025-1991 12/15/2025

John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



CASE NO.: SC2025-1991

Page Two

SC2025-1991 12/15/2025

TD

Served:

CRIM APPEALS MIA ATTORNEY GENERAL
3DCA CLERK
MIAMI-DADE CLERK
PATRICIA LYNN GLADSON
HON. LIZZET MARTINEZ
YOLANDE MARVA SAMERSON
SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR.

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SAMUEL LEE SMITH JR — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

state of florida — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, SAMUEL LEE SMITH JR, do swear or declare that on this date, march 12th, 2026, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

crim9ppria@myfloridalegal.com, richard l polin - richard.polin@myfloridalegal.com
lizbeth caridad martinez-martinez@jwll.flcourts.org, jwan doyle - jdoyle@floridabar.org
galande.sawerson@myfloridalegal.com, patricia lyan gladson - pgladson@jwll.flcourts.org

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 12th, 2026

LOVE

(Signature)