

THE UNITED STATE

Supreme Court

MARION FRYE v. South Carolina Department of Corrections

No. 25-6519 ; CIVIL ACTION No. 5:24-cv-01893-JPK/KW

- MOTION -

For Extension of Time To File Petition of Writ of CERTIORARI;
And For Court to Intervene; and Extension of
time to receive the Record from the lower Court and File in
this Court; and Appoint Assistance of Counsel;

Appellant moves the Court for an Extension of time to File
Petition of Writ of CERTIORARI, for the Court to Intervene, and Extension
of time to receive the Record from the Lower Court and File in
this Court, and Appointment of Trial Counsel in these Actions, for
appellant is enduring Torture and Inhumane Conditions within the
South Carolina Department of Corrections (hereinafter S.C.D.C.),
of the State of South Carolina, State Officials, and PRISMA Health
Midlands Hospital, S.C.D.C. is again Not enabling Appellant the
writing pens to pursue his claims and in this Action, nor Shop
at the Store, Starting S.C.D.C. Turberville C.F. is waiting on the
Supply Truck to Restock, and I am providing Notice of
"Emergency" Administrative Grievances and stating I will
utilize my own D.A.A./"Blood" to write and litigate my Mothers,
Brothers and litigant process pursuant to the Courts Rules, to
include Petitioner's Writ of Certiorari to this Court and Defendants
in these Actions, and the State is thwarting Appellants Claims
in all of the South Carolina State Courts of the Richland
County Court of Common Pleas and the South Carolina
Court of Appeals and Petitioner Request the Courts assistance,
State Officials are stating the South Carolina Governor is ordering them

Page One of Two;

"CASE BEING CONSIDERED FOR Treatment Pursuant to Rule 34(j) of the Care Rules":

to Conduct themselves in this manner to me of the South Carolina Governor Referring with a Good Name and Pursuant to Law and is endeavoring to psychologically Abuse me to Commit Suicide within S.C.D.C., to other inmates aiding and assisting them in this misconduct and of these Actions described. Some inmates are enabling me to utilize their Color Pencils and writing Pens of my Request, but Petitioner needs the Courts assistance to Intervene to make State officials Stop, assistance of Council and whomever is Controlling the medical Wireless Neurostimulation stimulation medical Device and System is Controlling my Sympathetic Nervous System, ParaSympathetic Nervous System, and Central Nervous System to manipulate my Digestive System, Immune System of Torturing Petitioner and Causing Petitioner to Fall ill also and are Physically Assaulting Appellant of Instructed medical Commands from a mobile Evaluation Station of Evoked Potential Electrical Shocks to Petitioner body, Private Parts, Rectum, Fingers, Feet, ect. and around ~~the~~ Petitioner's Heart when endeavoring to write and litigate all appellants Civil Actions, and State officials stating the Governor can Control the State Courts but Not the Federal Courts, to include Inhumane Conditions within S.C.D.C. Turbelle C.T. of Constantly Turning off the heat of the winter Advisory Weather of 20° and 30° degrees, Please Grant Petitioner's Motions and Request to Stop the endangerment of Petitioner's life and Safety, Prevent the manifestation of Injustice and in the Interest of Justice, and a 30 day Extension from the Deadline Date:

NOTICE: The South Carolina Lawyer's Referral Service of the South Carolina Bar Refuses to Respond to my Request for Counsel:
MURRAY WOODLEY; MARION W. FLYE #375354
1578 Clarence Coker Hwy.; Turbelle, SC 29162

February 9, 2026

IN THE UNITED STATES Supreme Court

MARION WADE FRYE v. South Carolina Department of Corrections

No. 25-6519 and C/A No. S:24-CV-01893-JO-KDW

DECLARATION

I am an Inmate Confined in an Institution. Today's February 9/2026 I am Depositing Petitioner's Motions For Extension of time to file Petition for writ of Certiorari; For the Court to Intervene; Extension of Time For Petitioner to receive the Record from the Lower Court and file in this Court; and Appointment of Counsel; in this Case in the institutional mail Postage Paid.

I, MARION FRYE declare under the Penalty of Perjury that the Foregoing is True and Correct.

February 9/2026

Marion Wade Frye
MARION WADE FRYE #326354
1578 Clarence Coker Hwy
Turbeville, S.C. 29162

UNPUBLISHED

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

No. 25-6519

MARION WADE FRYE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; DIRECTOR BRYAN STERLING; KENNETH NELSON; RANDY WARD; FRANKLIN MILLER; CONTRABAND CORRECTIONAL OFFICER DEGROFF; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER MADDOX; SHOLANDA JACKSON; MENTAL HEALTH OFFICER HUGHES, Governor; QUALIFIED MENTAL HEALTH PERSONNEL THOMAS; QUALIFIED MENTAL HEALTH PERSONNEL FOUTY; QUALIFIED MENTAL HEALTH PERSONNEL TOLSEN; BRUCE LOBITZ; VIOLA THORTON; NURSE MASSEY; NURSE HARRIS; SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR LICENSING AND REGULATION; BOARD EXECUTIVE JESSICA BEISE; PRISMA HEALTH, Hospital; IMAGE CARE, LLC; SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, Department of Mental Health/Behavior Health Services; SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, Department of Medical Services Office; SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, Director of the Department of Medical Services; OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL; PRISMA HEALTH, Hospital Surgeon, Servants, License Physicians, and Employees John Does 1 - 5; IMAGE CARE, LLC, Radiologist, License Physicians, Employees, Servants, John Does 1 - 5,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Orangeburg. Joseph Dawson, III, District Judge. (5:24-cv-01893-JD)

Submitted: November 25, 2025

Decided: December 2, 2025

Before WYNN and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges, and KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Marion Wade Frye, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Marion Wade Frye appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing Frye's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action and denying Frye's Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Frye's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, he has forfeited appellate review of the court's orders. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we deny Frye's motion for injunctive relief and affirm the district court's orders. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

