

I AM: SUP-YUTIS Sheik Thomas: Moyler - Bey [©] #1139164,
Flesh and blood man. Moscovish - American.
AMERICAN NATIONAL (On the Land) IN PROPRIA PERSONA
WITHOUT PREJUDICE. ALL INALIENABLE RIGHTS RESERVED.
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE FOR THOMAS MOYLER JR. [©]
EXEMPT FROM LEVY.
DATE: 02-11-2026: 9:AM
BY 'LIMITED SPECIAL APPEARANCE'

TO: SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
1 First Street, N.E.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20543

Re: Rule 30. Computation and
Extension of Time.

DATE: CLERK OF COURT.
Humbly I request an extension of time
DUE TO THE FOLLOWING: I AM UNSKILLED AT LAW.
I recently signed for the rule book and other INSTRUCTIONS
FROM THIS COURT. Sent Jan 28, 2026.

One rule says Time for Filing 90 DAYS another
From rehearing 45 DAYS. I HAD A REHEARING DENIED
BUT NOT A REHEARING. DATE JAN 9TH 2026.
FROM THE EASTERN DISTRICT COURT IN VIRGINIA FOR
CASE: 1:25-CV-00554-LMB-WBP. THOMAS MOYLER JR
V. GEORGE E SCHAFFER III.

Also from the FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS VIRGINIA
Decided November 25, 2025.

PLEASE inform me which DATE my Deadline starts From?
I can not miss it!

Other reasons, I'm in MAXIM security Prisons I DO NOT
HAVE TYPE, I HAVE ONLY A PEN, NO BINDING, covers colored,
MUST count the words MYSELF AND attempt to write
The question(s) presented with footnotes correctly AND
MAKE COPIES, NO LAW LIBRARY HELP I SEND FOR CASES
THEY SEND THEM BY MAIL TO MY CELL MAYBE 9 DAYS LATER.

RECEIVED
FEB 23 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

it's two Notary's for the whole Prison, I got to file complaints to get MY counsellor or Notary to MY cell.

All with the Pressure of not knowing MY Deadline or if I'm Doing Any of This correctly.

For these Reasons Please Tell me MY Deadline And if I can PAY Someone to put MY Petition in the Proper order. I'll write it and have them type it to fit the Rules. Rule 34 SAYS it must be typed. I can not type not Allowed.

Please send ANY Helpful Information. A Service of Pro bono Lawyer. ?

Thank you I look forward to your Reply!

By: Thomas Maxwell-Best ©
VADOC MAIL Dist. cent.
% 3521 Woods Way
State Farm Virginia
NEAR: [23160].
Legal Mail.

UNPUBLISHED

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

No. 25-6461

THOMAS MOYLER, JR., a/k/a Shiek Thomas Moyler-Bey,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

GEORGE SCHAFFER, III, Clerk,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Leonie M. Brinkema, District Judge. (1:25-cv-00554-LMB-WBP)

Submitted: November 20, 2025

Decided: November 25, 2025

Before THACKER, HARRIS, and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Thomas Moyler, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Thomas Moyler, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition as an unauthorized, successive § 2254 petition.* The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Moyler has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny as moot Moyler's motion for bond, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

* Parties in a civil case must file a notice of appeal "within 30 days after entry of the judgment or order appealed from." Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A). However, the district court did not enter "a separate document" after dismissing Moyler's § 2254 petition. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(a) ("Every judgment . . . must be set out in a separate document."). Accordingly, Moyler's appeal, which was filed more than 30 days but less than two months after the district court entered the appealed-from order, is timely. *See Quinn v. Haynes*, 234 F.3d 837, 843 (4th Cir. 2000); *see also Ueckert v. Guerra*, 38 F.4th 446, 453 (5th Cir. 2022) ("[P]arties have a 180-day window to file a notice of appeal if the district court [did not] enter . . . a separate document.").