

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR.,

Petitioner(s),

USCA for the 11<sup>Th</sup> Circuit: 25-10451

Southern District Court Case No: 25-20175

v.

Richard e. Gerstein Justice Building, Et al.,  
Respondent(s),

---

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION/APPENDIX FOR WRIT  
OF CERTARORI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES

---

COMES NOW SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR., (Petitioner pro se), moves this Honorable Court in the above captioned cause, with a possible 60-day extension for good cause. The undersigned filed a timely application for extension which was returned due to Respondent(s) case name misconception. Petitioner resubmits the Motion for extension of time to the Supreme Court of the United States.

1. Petitioner Petition's this SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES and CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR., for an extension of time in filing the Petition for Writ of Certiorari/Appendix for Certiorari in which is due January 13th, 2026. This is the first request for an extension of time.
2. The undersigned respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time to file the initial brief such that it will be due on or before March 14th, 2026.
3. The initial Petition/Appendix for Writ of Certiorari was due January 13th, 2026. Pro se Petitioner is seeking legal counsel and has not obtained counsel, other unforeseen circumstances prevented the undersigned to perfect the initial briefs on merits.
4. Additionally, it has taken the undersigned a little bit longer than projected to file the initial Petition for Writ of Certiorari, as the undersigned is a pro se litigant.

5. The undersign would like to cognizance the Court, Petitioner has over 25 cases in the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals and Supreme Court of Florida. Petitioner requests the Court to be reasonable and provide the extension. Petitioner assures the Court that a Petition will be filed immediately upon completion to provide a timely filing.
6. Opposing counsel has not advised Petitioner that they object to the motion being granted.
7. There is no prejudice to the Respondents having no objection to the extension of time requested.

The undersigned is aware of the Court's disfavoring multiple extensions. However, the undersign intends to prosecute this Petition, requires a final less than a 60-day extension to perfect and file the Petition for Writ of Certiorari/ Appendix for Writ of Certiorari.

8. So, the Court is advised that the Petitioner intends to prosecute this case to the extent. The undersigned will not require any further extensions of time.
9. Petitioner affirms a Proof of Service is attached to the Motion for extension of time to file Petition/ Appendix for Writ of Certiorari.
10. This motion is being made with GREAT FAITH and not for the purpose of delay.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the Petitioner with an extension of time to file the Petition/Appendix for Writ of Certiorari such that it will be due on or before March 14, 2026, and for such other further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR.,  
SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR., ®  
Petitioner Pro se  
16614 SW 99 Court  
Miami, Florida 33157  
Email gymsam7@gmail.com

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 25-10451-D

---

SAMUEL LEE SMITH, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

RICHARD E. GERSTEIN JUSTICE BUILDING,  
CLERK OF COURTS (TRAFFIC),

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Florida

---

ORDER: Pursuant to the 11th Cir. R. 42-1(b), this appeal is DISMISSED for want of prosecution because the appellant Samuel Lee Smith, Jr. has failed to pay the filing and docketing fees to the district court within the time fixed by the rules.

Effective October 15, 2025.

DAVID J. SMITH  
Clerk of Court of the United States Court  
of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

FOR THE COURT - BY DIRECTION