

Jane Doe
P. O. Box 354
Old Lyme, CT 06371

Supreme Court of the United States

1 First Street NE

Washington, D.C. 20543

November 10, 2025

Dear Justices of the Supreme Court


I am requesting a 60- day extension to file a petition for a writ of certiorari from my current November 26, 2025 due date. November 26, 2025 is 90 days (August 28, 2025) from the denial of my petition for a panel rehearing for a petition for a writ of mandamus for re: Jane Doe #25-792 at the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. This application is timely because it is filed more than 10 days before the petition for certiorari must be filed.

I am a non-attorney *pro se* litigant. I am a full-time physician in a solo private practice in a federally designated underserved part of Connecticut. I, myself, perform the clinical and administrative duties of my practice. I accept insurance (both public and commercial) which is time- consuming in itself.

Also, I alone run my own home and am a single parent to two young adult children, 21 and 25 who live in California. One of them is the subject of this IDEA case.


The end of the year busyness with the changes in patients' insurance compounds not having enough time to write an effective petition.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jane Doe

P.O. Box 354

Old Lyme, CT 06371



D. Conn.
11-cv-291
Williams, J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 24th day of July, two thousand twenty-five.

Present:

Eunice C. Lee,
Beth Robinson,
Myrna Pérez,
Circuit Judges.

In Re: Jane Doe,

25-792

Petitioner.

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, petitions for a writ of mandamus. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the mandamus petition is DENIED because Petitioner has not demonstrated that “she lacks an adequate alternative means” of obtaining relief and that her right to the writ is “clear and indisputable.” *In re Steinhardt Partners, L.P.*, 9 F.3d 230, 233 (2d Cir. 1993); *see also Linde v. Arab Bank, PLC*, 706 F.3d 92, 118 (2d Cir. 2013) (concluding that mandamus “is not to be used as a substitute for appeal” (internal quotation marks omitted)).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

The seal of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit is circular. It features a blue outer ring with the words "UNITED STATES" at the top and "COURT OF APPEALS" at the bottom. Inside the ring, the words "SECOND CIRCUIT" are written in blue. The seal is positioned over the signature of Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
for the
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 13th day of August, two thousand twenty-five.

Present: Eunice C. Lee,
Beth Robinson,
Myrna Pérez,
Circuit Judges.

In Re: Jane Doe,

ORDER

Docket No. 25-792

Petitioner.

Jane Doe, John Doe, by and through his parent Jane Doe,

Petitioners,

v.

East Lyme Board Of Education,

Respondent,

Kotin, Crabtree & Strong, LLP,

Intervenor.

Petitioner Jane Doe, filed a motion for reconsideration and the panel that determined the motion has considered the request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the motion is denied.

For The Court:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court




Supreme Court of the United States

Re: Jane Doe, John Doe, by and through his parent Jane Doe

Petition for a Writ of Mandamus

Kotin Crabtree & Strong, LLP

Intervenor

Proof of Service

I, Jane Doe, do swear or that declare that on this date November 10, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have enclosed the application for extension of time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari, and the Second Circuit summary order on the below named parties or that party's counsel in the case of Kotin, Crabtree & Strong, LLP. I served them electronically as I have done in the past. The names, company, address, telephone number and e-mail address are as

Attorney Eileen M. Hagerty, Kotin, Crabtree & Strong, LLP

3 Newton Executive Park

2223 Washington Street, Suite 101

Newton, MA 02462

Ehagerty@kcslegal.com 617-227-7031

Attorney Rowena Moffett, Brenner, Saltzman & Wallman, LLP

271 Whitney Avenue New Haven, CT 06511

rmoffett@bswlaw.com 203-772-2600

Attorney Sheldon D. Myers, Kainen, Escalera and McHale, P.C.

21 Oak Street, Suite 601 Hartford, CT 06108

smyers@kemlaw.com 860-493-0879

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sincerely, *Jane Doe*

/s/ Jane Doe

P.O. Box 354

Old Lyme, CT 06371