

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

John Koe, Applicant,

v.

**University Hospitals Health System, Inc.; University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center,
Respondents.**

**APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

On Application to the Honorable Brett M. Kavanaugh,
Associate Justice and Circuit Justice for the Sixth Circuit

Sixth Circuit No. 24-3263

Judgment Entered: August 1, 2025

Current Certiorari Due Date (Rule 13.1): October 30, 2025

Requested Extension: 60 days (to December 29, 2025)

INTRODUCTION AND JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Rule 13.5, Applicant respectfully requests a 60-day extension, to and including December 29, 2025, to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit entered on August 1, 2025, in No. 24-3263. The petition is presently due October 30, 2025. Jurisdiction will lie under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1). In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 29, Applicant tendered an initial application on October 20, 2025 by United States Postal Service Certified Mail with USPS round-dated acceptance. This corrected application is submitted in response to the Clerk's October 29, 2025 notice regarding corrections needed, and Applicant respectfully requests that it be docketed as of October 20, 2025—the USPS round-dated acceptance date of the initial submission.

NOTE ON CAPTION

Applicant proceeded below under the pseudonym "John Koe." Applicant is legally known as Nicholas Krudy. This filing uses the caption as it appears in the court of appeals' judgment.

BACKGROUND

On August 1, 2025, the Sixth Circuit entered judgment in No. 24-3263. No petition for rehearing was filed. A copy of that judgment is attached.

GOOD CAUSE

Good cause supports the modest extension requested:

1. Coordination with the companion Sixth Circuit judgment.

On August 1, 2025, the Sixth Circuit entered a companion judgment in No. 24-4035. The two judgments present overlapping procedural features: a sua sponte dismissal entered before notice or an opportunity to be heard following the characterization of a procedural rule as jurisdictional; appellate treatment of any such error as harmless; denial of post-judgment relief; application of a form requirement that precluded a merits determination; and departures from the ordinary party-presentation framework (court-initiated resolution of threshold issues). Separate Rule 13.5 applications are being filed, one per judgment. A brief extension will permit aligned, neutral statements and cross-checks to avoid duplication or inconsistent positions and to promote administrative efficiency.

2. Ongoing related litigation with compressed deadlines. In a related state-court matter, two final orders were journalized on October 20, 2025. Applicant faces ongoing time-sensitive obligations and associated appellate steps to preserve rights, which diverted time from completing the petition by October 30 and will continue to require prompt filings and sustained attention during the requested extension to meet jurisdictional and procedural deadlines.

3. Applicant is proceeding pro se and continues to seek representation. A brief extension will facilitate careful, neutral preparation of his petition alongside related filings in the companion matter.

This is Applicant's first request for an extension and is not sought for purposes of delay.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Applicant respectfully requests that the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment in No. 24-3263 be extended 60 days, from October 30, 2025 to December 29, 2025.

Dated: October 30, 2025

Respectfully submitted,



Nicholas Krudy (appearing under the caption "John Koe" as in the court below)

P.O. Box 527
Novelty, OH 44072-0527

Tel: (330) 732-5001
Email: john@johnkoe.org