

ARTHUR TORLUCCI K-33910-D-8-21

DATE: 10/21/15

SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON

P.O. Box 1020 / 3/6/25 Hwy 101

Salinas, CA

93960-1020

US ^{TO} ^{BY} ^{supplement} ^{BY} ^{COURT}

To: clerk of U.S. Supreme Ct.

9TH CIR. #

I AM

25-1284.

seeking AN EXTENSION

OF TIME TO FILE MY CERTIFICATION IN MY

SB1437 DENIAL CASE. DUE TO SUSPENSIONS

ON A CONTINUOUS LOCKDOWN VIA RIOTS.

OF DEATH (MOST RECENTLY BUT NOT LIMITED TO THIS

MONTH— VIRTUALLY SINCE THE HOLIDAYS LAST YEAR

OF NO PLY ACCESS DESPITE WHAT PRISON SAYS,

AND ONLY OPEN WEDNESDAYS AND THURSDAYS, AND CUSTODY NOT


ALLOWING ANY MOVEMENT FOR PLY'S PRIVILEGED TOP

USERS (IE THOSE WITH COURT OR STATUTORY DEADLINES).

BECAUSE #24-6599 JUST GOT DENIED/STAY LIFTED &

THE 9TH CIR. IS PERMITTING IT A RETERATION OF 25-1284

I will probably file both at once.



ON July 29, 2025 THE 9TH CIR
DENIED COA IN 25-1284
DOCKET ENTRY #9. SO MY DEADLINE
IS ON OR ABOUT 10/29/25.

ON OCT. 9, 2025 THE 9TH CIR
DENIED CASE # 24-6599 -

AS A AUTHORIZATION TO FILE A 2ND/SUCCESSIVE
PETITION. (CERTAINLY) & DEMS IT MOST
BECAUSE 25-1284'S ISSUES RAISED
THESE PROCEEDINGS & RESOLVED IT/THEM
ACCORDING TO THE 9TH CIR. WHICH I
OPPOSE & DENY TRUE.
Sincerely 

PS. CAN YOU SEND ME THE APPROPRIATE
NUMBER OF CERTIORARI FORMS TO FILE
BOTH CASES SIMULTANEOUSLY. 

25-1284
QTA CR #

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

BY PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

I, ANTHONY TORLUCCI (Fed. R. Civ. P. 5; 28 U.S.C. § 1746), declare:

I am over 18 years of age and a party to this action. I am a resident of SUSP.
SALVAT VALLEY S.P. Prison,
in the county of MONTAGNA

State of California. My prison address is: PO Box 1020

31625 Hwy 101, Soledad, CA 93960-1020 FAC. D. 8/1/88
On OCT. 24, 2024 (DATE) - call # 111

I served the attached: MOTION TO DISMISS WITH EXHIBIT & CERTIFICATE
& 27 PAGES OF EVIDENCE IN WRIT!
(DESCRIBE DOCUMENT)

on the parties herein by placing true and correct copies thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully paid, in the United States Mail in a deposit box so provided at the above-named correctional institution in which I am presently confined. The envelope was addressed as follows:

U.S. SUPREME COURT.

WASH, D.C. 20543

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 10/24/24 (DATE) ANTHONY TORLUCCI (DECLARANT'S SIGNATURE)

99#393

[Handwritten signature]

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 29 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARTHUR TORLUCCI,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent – Appellee.

No. 25-1284

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-09490-RGK-MAR
Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: CALLAHAN and FORREST, Circuit Judges.

Appellant’s filings (Docket Entry Nos. 3 & 8) are construed as a request for a certificate of appealability. So construed, the request for a certificate of appealability is denied because the underlying 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition fails to state a federal constitutional claim debatable among jurists of reason. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2)-(3); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (“When ... the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the petitioner seeking a COA must show both ‘that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.’”) (quoting *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

OCT 23 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARTHUR TORLUCCI,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent – Appellee.

No. 25-1284

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-09490-RGK-MAR
Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: S.R. THOMAS and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's filing (Docket Entry No. 10) is construed as a motion for reconsideration and is denied. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10.

To the extent appellant intends to seek authorization to file a second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition, this clerk will serve this order and Form 12, the standard application for leave to file a second or successive motion, on appellant.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.