

Hasib Bin Golamrabbi

Pro Per Defendant and Appellant

TO: The clerk of the United States Supreme Court


CC: The California Attorney General

Hello

My name is Hasib Bin Golamrabbi, and I am requesting a 30 day extension on my deadline to file a writ of Certiorari. My deadline is on September 16th 2025. It is just a day after this letter is being sent out, and I apologize for the last minute notice, but there are some highly unusual circumstances which have caused this untimeliness which I hope the court will understand.

To illustrate these unusual circumstances, I must point out the disparities between the facility where I am housed, and other correctional facilities.

1. In most correctional institutions, law library access is available to inmates up to ten times a week. On Mondays through Fridays law libraries in California prisons are open during morning program hours (8:30 am - 1:00 pm) and also during afternoon program hours (2:30 pm - 4:00 pm). Here at Valley State Prison however, law library access is available only up to three times a week. This is because



unlike most institutions where each yard has their own law library, Valley State Prison has only one library located in a more restricted section of the facility where inmates from each yard are only allowed access based on a rotational schedule. That means that rather than having law library access twice a day on all five weekdays, we at Valley State only have access once every two days, giving us a maximum opportunity of three times a week.

2. Valley State has mandatory training for officers on Thursdays and Fridays after 12:00 pm, and inmates are locked down during those hours. This means that on both days, the afternoon program hours (2:30 pm - 4:00 pm) are cancelled, and so whoever could have had law library access on one of those two afternoons are barred, cutting their law library access from three times a week to only twice a week.
3. Despite being a low security level prison, there are many unexpected lock downs, resulting in the loss of law library access for whoever's yard was scheduled for access during that day.
4. Valley State's Education Principal has created an unusual policy which mandates all access to law library for legal use must be approved first, and the approval could take

days to weeks before being granted. Even more odd is the fact that this approval is required ONLY for LEGAL related use of the law library, while recreational use requires no permission. How or why the education principal has been given authority over law library access procedures, is a question I'm still trying to figure out.

5. Law library access is never approved for time slots in which an inmate has a class, self-help group, or vocation taking place.

These obstacles for law library access created by the facility have contributed to the untimely completion of my creating and mailing of my writ of certiorari. To establish my honesty however, I must also disclose my own incompetence which has contributed partially to my untimely filing.

1. Despite all my efforts to research methods of proving my innocence, I must admit that I have failed to discover that a writ of certiorari is an available option until September 8th, 2025; just eight days before the deadline to make my filing. I found out that I have the option of Certiorari from my former appellate attorney (who no longer represents me); when she sent me a letter I had received on the 8th.


2. On September 11th, my former attorney and I had our final conference call, during which she mistakenly informed me that during my filing for certiorari I need only to submit my original appeal brief that was used as the direct appeal's opening. Upon hearing this I immediately sent a request for copying services in the law library so that I'd be able to create a seperate set of briefs for the United States Supreme Court and for the California Attorney General. Approval for my request to make copies is still pending.

3. Through further research during the days that followed my conference call with my former attorney, I learned (via personal law books borrowed from other inmates), that a writ for Certiorari may not exceed 40 pages. My original direct appeal opening brief which my former attorney had drafted contains 119 pages, which means I must condense the brief. This task may take me several more days, and if my approval to make copies isn't granted soon, then I may need to hand write two copies of my writ, along with any forms I may need to include.

For these circumstances described, some caused by my own incompetence, I humbly request a 30 day extention be

granted towards my deadline to file my writ of Certiorari. It should also be noted that I'm an indigent defendant and am requesting a waiver of the filing fee. I apologize for the last minute request for this extension and ask that if possible, the court please grant my extension request.

Respectfully Submitted



Hasib Bin Golamrabbani

Bk 3592

Valley State Prison

D3-18-4U

P.O. Box 92

Chowchilla, CA 93610

SUPREME COURT
FILED

Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District - No. H048223

JUN 18 2025

S290697

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy

En Banc

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

HASIB BIN GOLAMRABBI, Defendant and Appellant.

Defendant's application to file the unredacted petition for review under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.47.) The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted petition for review under seal.

The petition for review is denied.

Received

JUN 22 2025

Sixth District
Appellate Program

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

RECEIVED

OCT 22 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

