

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 2025

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Respondent*

v.

LARRY HOUSEHOLDER, *Petitioner*

**APPLICATION OF PETITIONER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF
APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

STEVEN L. BRADLEY (Ohio #(0046622))
Counsel of Record
Marein & Bradley. LLC
1300 East 9th Street, Suite 1000
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 781-0722
FAX: (216) 781-6010
steve@mareinandbradley.com

Counsel for Applicant-Petitioner

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 2025

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Respondent*

v.

LARRY HOUSEHOLDER, *Petitioner*

**APPLICATION OF PETITIONER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF
APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

To the Honorable Brett Kavanaugh, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court and Circuit Justice for the Sixth Circuit:

1. Pursuant to Rule 13.5 of the Court’s Rules, Applicant-Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court extend by sixty days, until December 22, 2025, the time by which a petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit may be filed in the instant case.

2. The case below is Sixth Circuit Case Number 23-3565. The opinion was reported at 137 F.4th 454 (6th Cir. 2025) and is attached hereto as Appendix A. That opinion consolidated Mr. Householder’s appeal with that of Matthew Borges, 6th Circuit Case No. 3566. Mr. Household timely filed a petition for reconsideration by the panel and for rehearing en banc. The petition for rehearing en banc was denied and judgment was entered on July 25, 2025; the July 25, 2025, order is attached hereto as Appendix B. Pursuant to Rule 13, the 90-day time limit by

which a petition for certiorari must normally be filed is October 23, 2025. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(a).

3. The within application for extension of time is being filed on October 6, 2025, more than ten days prior to the due date for filing the petition for certiorari. See Rule 30.2.

4. There is good cause for the requested extension. The instant case raises significant constitutional issues, including, but not limited to, questions regarding distinctions between Constitutionally-protected political contributions and public-official bribery. By allowing counsel this extension of time, the Court will enable Mr. Householder to fully present to the Court the reasons why the instant case is worthy of certiorari. Since July 25, 2025, undersigned counsel of record has been engaged in significant litigation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio (to include a criminal tax prosecution which was resolved just prior to an August trial date) and the courts of the State of Ohio (to include an aggravated murder trial in September). Counsel continues to have litigation in these courts, including trials scheduled to begin in October, November and December, respectively.

5. WHEREFORE, Mr. Householder prays that an order be entered extending the time to December 22, 2025.

Dated: October 6, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven L. Bradley

STEVEN L. BRADLEY (Ohio # 0046622)
Counsel of Record
Marein & Bradley, LLC
1300 East 9th Street, Suite 1000
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 781-0722, FAX: (216) 781-6010
steve@mareinandbradley.com
Counsel for Applicant

APPENDIX
Table of Contents

Appendix A.....	Opinion Below
Appendix B.....	Order Denying Rehearing