Mail body:

Cover sheet

No.

In the United States of Supreme Court
1 FIRST street North east Washington DC 20543

William Scott
507 W. 157th St.
Gardena, CA 90248
323-533-3641
Appearing in prose
Filing date September 29, 2025
Judge Arianna J Freeman third circuit court of appeals. Case number 25-1057

William Scott, Plaintiff
-VS-
Howard Hanna Company and real estate sales and real estate services
And
Uptown allies LLC
Certificate of writ of certiorari
Request for an extension of time to file

William Scott
(323)533-3641
williamscottlifecoachat@gmail.com

CASE NO. 25-1057

9-29-25

1 of 1

Mail body:

No.

In the United States Supreme Court
1 First St., north east Washington DC 20543

William Scott
507 W. 157th St.
Gardena, CA 90248
323-533-3641
Appearing in pro se
September 29, 2025
Williamscottlifecoach@gmail.com
Judge:: Ariana J Freeman third circuit court of appeals. Case # 25–1057

William Scott plaintiff
-VS-
Howard, Hanna company, Howard, Hannah, real estate sales and real estate services
And uptown allies LLC defendants

Petition for writ of certiorari ::

On application for extension of time
To file a petition for a writ of certiorari
To the United States court of appeals
For the third circuit court of appeals

Application for an extension to file ::

This motion is made in an attempt to express the further need to prepare for The certain changes in rules and procedures that govern the Supreme Court. that are much different than the rules and procedures in the lower court of appeals, there is a need for the appellate to seek legal council That is experience in the supreme court of the United States rules and procedures. this has added to the complexity of the case and the need for additional time to research the evidence Combined with the need to seek new council that is more experience with the rules that govern the Supreme Court and its procedures

The need for a further investigation of the claims of the appellant as presented in the above case. Will require additional time. with the permission of the court to analyze.

Rules of procedure:: Under Supreme Court rule 13.5 and rule 30.2 is what I'm basing my motion on (30 or 60 day extension requested)

This motion is also made,in an attempt to explain good cause for the request.of a 30 or 60 day extension. To file a petition. I am respectfully requesting an order to be entered, extending the time to file a petition.

This request is made in good faith and is necessary for quality filing (under rule 13.5 and rule 30.2)

William Scott 323-533-3641 thank you

William Scott
507 W. 157th S.
Gardena, CA. 90248
(323)533-3641

William Scott (323)533-3641 williamscottlifecoach at Gmail.com

CASE NO. 25-1057

9-29-25

DLD-124

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. **25-1057**

WILLIAM SCOTT, Appellant

VS.

HOWARD HANNA, et al.

(W.D. Pa. Civ. No. 2:24-cv-01307)

Present: RESTREPO, FREEMAN, and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

Submitted are:

(1) By the Clerk for possible dismissal due to a jurisdictional defect;

(2) Appellee's response to jurisdictional issue;

(3) Appellant's Motion of Special Circumstance;

(4) Appellant's New Matter Motion;

(5) Appellant's Motion for a Stay of Proceedings;

(6) Appellant's Motion to Amend Complaint;

(7) Appellant's Motion of Preliminary Objection;

(8) Appellee's response to jurisdictional issue and opposition to stay motion; and

(10) Appellant's Motion for Amendment to Defendants

in the above-captioned case.

(Continued)

WILLIAM SCOTT, Appellant

VS.

HOWARD HANNA, et al.
C.A. No. 25-1057
Page 2

Respectfully,

Clerk

ORDER

This appeal is dismissed for lack of appellate jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed. A notice of appeal in a civil case in which the United States is not a party must be filed within 30 days of the entry of the order or judgment being appealed. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A). This time limit is mandatory and jurisdictional. Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 209–14 (2007). The District Court's order was entered on December 6, 2024. Appellant had until January 6, 2025, to file a notice of appeal. His notice of appeal, received by the District Court on January 7, 2025, was filed after the 30-day period had lapsed. See Han Tak Lee v. Houtzdale SCI, 798 F.3d 159, 163 (3d Cir. 2015). There is no basis in the record for extending the time to appeal. See., e.g., Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) & (a)(6). Appellant's outstanding motions are denied.

By the Court,

s/Arianna J. Freeman
Circuit Judge

Dated: April 28, 2025
Gch/cc: William Scott
All Counsel of Record

A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszuweit, Clerk
Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 25-1057

WILLIAM SCOTT,
Appellant

v.

HOWARD HANNA; UPTOWN ALLIES LLC

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Pennsylvania
(D.C. Civil No. 2:24-cv-01307)

SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING

Present: CHAGARES, *Chief Judge*, HARDIMAN, SHWARTZ, KRAUSE, RESTREPO, BIBAS, PORTER, MATEY, PHIPPS, FREEMAN, MONTGOMERY-REEVES, CHUNG, and NYGAARD,* *Circuit Judges*

The petition for rehearing filed by Appellant William Scott in the above-captioned case having been submitted to the judges who participated in the decision of this Court and to all the other available circuit judges of the circuit in regular active service, and no judge who concurred in the decision having asked for rehearing, and a majority of the judges of the circuit in regular service not having voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing by the panel and the Court en banc is denied.

By the Court,

s/ Arianna J. Freeman
Circuit Judge

Dated: June 27, 2025
PDB/cc: William Scott
All Counsel of Record

---

* Judge Nygaard's vote is limited to panel rehearing.

Mail body:

Certificate of compliance

In the United States supreme
1 first Street north east Washington DC 20543

William Scott
507 W. 157th St.
Gardena, CA 90248
323-533-3641
Williamscottlifecoach@gmail.com
Appearing in prose
Filing date September 29, 2025
Judge:: Arianna J Freeman third circuit court of appeals. Case no. 25-1057

William Scott ,plaintiff
-VS-
Howard Hanna Company, Real estate sales and real estate services
And
Uptown allies LLC defendants
The appellate has presented proof of service to all defendants in case number 25–1057. That are involved in the request for an extension of the above case

Pietragallo , Gordon,Alfano & Raspantillp
JRB@Pietragallo.com
412-263-2000
The law firm is also representing uptown LLC in this case. (same law firm representing both defendants)

one Oxford Centre
38th Floor
Pgh. Pa. 15219
Att: John R. Blumberg
(412) 263-2000

CASE NO. 25-1057
William Scott
(323)533-3641
williamscottlifecoach at gmail. com
9-29-25

Mail body:

Certificate of service

No.

In the United States Supreme Court
1 First St., north east Washington DC 20543

William Scott
507 W. 157th Street
Gardena, CA 90248
323-533-3641
Williamscottlifecoach@gmail.com
Appearing in pro se
Filing date September 29, 2025
Judge:: Arianna J Freeman, third circuit court of appeals. Case no. 25–1057

William Scott, plaintiff
-VS-
Howard Hanna Company, Real estate sales and real estate services
And
uptown Allies LLC ,

Pietragallo, Gordon, Alfano & Raspanti (LLP)
One Oxford Center
38th floor
Pittsburgh PA 15219
412-263-2000
JRB@pietragallo.com—defendants
Also represents uptown allies LLC the office on one Center Street 38th floor represents both defendants in this case.
there will be two documents mailed to the same location, representing each defendant in this case

One Oxford Centre
38th Floor
Pgh. PA. 15219
(412) 263-2000

Case No. 25-1057
William Scott (323) 533-3641
williamscottlifecoach@gmail.com
9-29-25