

The United States Supreme Court

Thomas Cady

Appellant

1 WA. State Supreme Court

1# 201050750

v.

State of Washington

Respondent

1 Motion to allow more

1 time for Appellant to

1 submit his writ of

1 Certarori

Comes Now Thomas Cady asks the U.S. Supreme Court to grant him a continuance of the deadline to submit a Writ of Certarori to Appeal the Mandate from Washington State Supreme Court.

The reason Mr. Cady wants to have a continuance is detailed in the Declaration he has included to the U.S. Supreme Court with this Motion.

Thomas C. Cady
Thomas Cady

4-27-2025

Declaration of Thomas Cady

My Appeal in Washington State Court was denied by the Washington State Court of Appeals case # 849808. I Appealed the Decision to the Washington Supreme Court via Petition for Discretionary Review which was not accepted case # 201050750.

When I received the Mandate ending my Appeal in State Court in Feb of 2025 I was in the hospital at Washington State Penitentiary because I was the victim of an attack by 2 assailants which I didn't provoke. I was not infracted because the incident was not my fault. At that time I was separated from my copy of the Court Record including the Court Transcripts, discovery, and my drafts of the Appeals and the Responses from the state.

I remained in the hospital for 12 days after I was placed in Administrative Segregation for another 3 weeks still with no access to my records. I was then transferred across the state to Washington Corrections Center (WCC).



I have spent 2 weeks in the Prison population and 3 weeks since in Administrative Segregation where I will remain.

For the entire time since receiving the Mandate I have not had access to my legal records and I have not been allowed access to the law library through my Tablet or through the several requests I have made to use the legal library in Ad Seg.

I must have my court records and Law Library access to write a Writ of Certarori.

I declare the above to be true and correct.

Thomas O. Cadly
Thomas O. Cadly
4-27-2025

THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

v.

THOMAS OSCAR CADY,

Petitioner.

No. 103453-9

ORDER

Court of Appeals

No. 84980-8-I

(consolidated with No. 85608-1-I)

Department II of the Court, composed of Chief Justice Stephens and Justices Madsen, Gordon McCloud, Montoya-Lewis, and Mungia, considered at its February 10, 2025, Motion Calendar whether review should be granted pursuant to RAP 13.4(b) and unanimously agreed that the following order be entered.

IT IS ORDERED:

That the petition for review is denied.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, this 10th day of February, 2025.

For the Court


CHIEF JUSTICE

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
DIVISION I**

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

v.

THOMAS OSCAR CADY,

Appellant.

No. 849808-I

MANDATE

King County

Superior Court No. 20-1-05073-0

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO: The Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for King County.

This is to certify that the opinion of the Court of Appeals of the State of Washington, Division I, filed on July 29, 2024 became the decision terminating review in the above case on March 3, 2025. An order denying a motion for reconsideration was entered on August 29, 2024. An order denying a petition for review was entered in the Supreme Court on February 10, 2025. This case is mandated to the Superior Court from which the appeal was taken for further proceedings in accordance with the attached true copy of the decisions.

c: Christopher Gibson
Jennifer Paige Joseph
Prosecuting Atty King County
Nielsen Koch & Grannis, PLLC
Adrien G Leavitt
Eric J. Nielsen

Hon. Jason C Poydras



IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Seattle.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lea Ennis".

LEA ENNIS

Court Administrator/Clerk of the Court of Appeals,
State of Washington, Division I.