Case: 24-2338, 03/20/2025, DktEntry: 23.1, Page 1 of 1

S.D.N.Y.- N.Y.C. 07-cr-0003 17-cv-8567 Preska, J.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 20th day of March, two thousand twenty-five.

D	***	~~	4.
М	res	en	u

Guido Calabresi, Susan L. Carney, Maria Araújo Kahn, Circuit Judges.

Hisan Lee,

Petitioner-Appellant,

٧.

22-1117 (L), 24-2338 (Con)

United States of America,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appellant, pro se, moves for a certificate of appealability. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is DENIED, and the appeals are DISMISSED because Appellant has not "made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); see also Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 330 (2003) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

SECOND Paul Offe

Case: 24-2338, 06/13/2025, DktEntry: 28.1, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Courthurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 13 th day of June, two thousand twenty-five.	t of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the
Hisan Lee,	
Petitioner - Appellant,	
v.	ORDER
United States of America,	Docket Nos: 22-1117 (Lead) 24-2338 (Con)
Respondent - Appellee.	

Appellant, Hisan Lee, filed a motion for panel reconsideration, or, in the alternative, for reconsideration *en banc*. The panel that determined the appeal has considered the request for reconsideration, and the active members of the Court have considered the request for reconsideration *en banc*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

Catherine Second Carcella Catherine Catherine Catherine Carcella Catherine C