IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Michael Broomer
Petitioner
v.
Warden Emig
Respondent

Case No. 1-23-CV-570-GBW

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

I respectfully ask this honorable court to grant an extension of time for me to file my writ of certiorari. An extension of time is needed in order for me to research law and case law etc., to type the writ, my access to the law library at my correctional center is limited, the issues/grounds that I intend to raise are complex.

I'm respectfully asking for an additional 60 days to file my writ of certiorari.

Michael Broomer 696466
1181 Paddock Road
Smyrna, De 19977
6/30/2025

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 24-2887

MICHAEL BROOMER,
Appellant

v.

WARDEN JAMES T VAUGHN CORRECTIONAL CENTER; ATTORNEY GENERAL DELAWARE

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Delaware
(D.C. Civil No. 1: 23-cv-00570)

SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING

Present: CHAGARES, *Chief Judge*, HARDIMAN, SHWARTZ, KRAUSE, RESTREPO, BIBAS, PORTER, MATEY, PHIPPS, FREEMAN, MONTGOMERY-REEVES, CHUNG, and NYGAARD,* *Circuit Judges*

The petition for rehearing filed by Appellant Michael Broomer in the above-captioned case having been submitted to the judges who participated in the decision of this Court and to all the other available circuit judges of the circuit in regular active service, and no judge who concurred in the decision having asked for rehearing, and a majority of the judges of the circuit in regular service not having voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing by the panel and the Court en banc is denied.

By the Court,

s/ Arianna J. Freeman
Circuit Judge

---

* Judge Nygaard's vote is limited to panel rehearing.

Dated: May 22, 2025
JK/cc: Michael Broomer
All Counsel of Record

***AMENDED DLD-078**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. **24-2887**

MICHAEL BROOMER, Appellant

VS.

WARDEN JAMES T. VAUGHN CORRECTIONAL CENTER; ET AL.
(D. Del. Civ. No. 1:23-cv-00570)

Present: RESTREPO, FREEMAN, and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

Submitted are:

(1) Appellant's motion for a certificate of appealability;

(2) Appellant's motion for appointment of counsel; and

(3) Appellant's "Additional Memorandum", filed February 21, 2025

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

ORDER

Appellant's motion for a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). Jurists of reason would agree, without debate, that Appellant's habeas petition was properly dismissed by the District Court as untimely, for essentially the reasons set forth in the District Court's opinion. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); cf. Holland v. Florida, 560 U.S. 631, 649 (2010). Appellant's motion for appointment of counsel is also denied.

By the Court,

s/Arianna J. Freeman
Circuit Judge

Dated: March 20, 2025
JK/cc: Michael Broomer
All Counsel of Record

A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszuweit, Clerk
Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate