


25A240
No. 25-5042



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DeShawn Demarcus House— PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Colorado;
Jeff Long; vs.

Phil Weiser; Jared Polis— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For the Tenth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)


PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DeShawn D. House
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 6000
(Address)

Sterling, CO 80751
(City, State, Zip Code)

Request for Bail or Release Pending Disposition



In good faith, the attached order complies with Rule 23.3 of this court. I have filed numerous preliminary injunction and bail requests that were simply denied.

The attachment is the most recent.

Request.

Also the attached preliminary injunction is a similar motion filed with the Tenth Circuit.

Please consider these circumstances, and respectfully I ask this court to grant bail or minimum wages in retrospect to my employment while incarcerated pending disposition of this writ of Certiorari.

8/4/2025

DeShawn House

Certiorari Certificate of Service

I, DeShawn Demarcus House certify that a true and accurate copy of this request for bail or release pending disposition was mailed on August 4, 2025 to:

U.S. Supreme Court

1 First Street NE

Washington, DC 20543

DeShawn House

25-1119

Deshawn Demarcus House
#193610
Sterling Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 6000
Sterling, CO 80751

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT**

**FILED
United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit**

July 11, 2025

**Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court**

DESHAWN DEMARCUS HOUSE,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

JEFF LONG, et al.,

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 25-1119
(D.C. No. 1:23-CV-02072-SKC)
(D. Colo.)

ORDER

Before **MATHESON, PHILLIPS, and McHUGH**, Circuit Judges.

This matter is before the court on the appellant's motion to stay execution of the mandate. The motion is denied.

Entered for the Court



CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 25-1119

DeShawn Demarcus House
Plaintiff,

v.

Jeff Long,
Phil Weiser
Defendant.

Stay-F.R.A.P 41

and/or Set Aside Judgment
Rule 60(b)(3)

I want you to know that I am humbled. It is obvious that this court also doesn't respect prisoners as people. It echoed each court's errors as correct all while highlighting each structural errors, constitutional violations, and ignoring the professional misconducts and frauds under fundamental fairness violations.

I know for a fact that my liberties are unlawfully ~~re~~ restrained in violation of my due process.

Just Briefly.

You have gave your opinions under oath, just repeating what each lower court previously stated. These due process violation are deliberate and is causing irreparable harms.

Let me give you an example:

- A. I never argued that trial court violated my Sixth Amendment right to May 14, 2021 invoked self-representation. But each party insist, including the courts, that this was an clear and unequivocal assertion, right? ...

Trial court denied such request without holding a Faretta hearing. See Cassano v. Shoop 1 F. 4th 458 overview "The Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit held: Overlooked inadvertently

1. Because the Ohio Supreme Court "inadvertently overlooked" waiver of counsel when ruling on the Faretta claims..., AEDPA deference did not apply; ...

3. Because the trial court denied the Waiver of Counsel without holding a Faretta hearing, the inmate's conviction could not stand and he was entitled to habeas relief under

28 U.S.C. § 2254."

Another example:

B. You recognized on August 6, 2021 that I informed the courts that "if substitute counsel could not prepare in time of September 14 trial I wished to proceed pro se" and the facts that I objected to the denial of self-representation and the continuance that the "unconstitutionally force" counsel caused; and the fact that trial court found that I was "persistent in my desire to represent myself."

But to the contrary of Faretta v. California 422 U.S. 806, the higher courts allowed trial court to "unconstitutionally force" counsel upon me and usurp my objective of defense. The denial was complete.

See McKaskle v. Wiggins (Since the right of self-representation under the Sixth Amendment is a right that when exercised usually increases the likelihood of a trial outcome unfavorable to the defendant, its denial is not amenable to harmless error analysis. The right is either respected or denied; its deprivation cannot be harmless. McKaskle, 465 U.S. 168, 171 n.8.

It is obvious that CCA harmless error

analysis warrants reversal.

Conclusion.

AEDPA should not have applied. In addition this court inadvertently overlooked the truth. Honestly, I rather the U.S. Supreme Court review these errors because they are impartial.

But if you are going to unlawfully hold me hostage, all I ask is while incarcerated require the respondents to give me a job on the work release program and allow me the resources to work on, that is, type up my Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court. Those are my liberty interests.
Speaking Respectfully.

Request.

1. Respectfully I request a Stay of Mandate
2. I need prison resources to type my Certiorari because SCF law library position is once a week where there is a staff shortage. Respondents can assign a GED laptop and printing authority of Corrections ~~author~~ staff.
3. IF you dont want to give me such leeway when I gave structural errors, grant release or transfer?

6/29/2025

Shawn Howle

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 25-1119

DeShawn Demarcus House
Plaintiff,

v.

Jeff Long
Phil Weiser
Defendant.

Certificate of Service

I, DeShawn Demarcus House, certify that this motion was mailed on 6/29/2025 to:

U.S. Court of Appeals 10th Circuit
1823 Stout Street
Denver, CO 80257

DeShawn House

Colorado Department of Corrections

Name DeShawn House

Register Number 193610

Unit 3b

Box Number P.O. Box 6000


City, State, Zip Sterling, CO 80751

Att: U.S. Court of Appeals 10th Circuit
1823 Stout Street
Denver, CO 80257

Scanned by
US Marshal



80257-



Restricted Inspection Mail Stamp

SCF	6-29-25	DATE RECD
FACILITY	Macklem	32886 AM
DOC#	193610	EMPLOYEE LAST NAME
	HUGHES	NR
		OFFENDER LAST NAME
		INT