

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**


**Bina Islam same as Bina Islam Bodnar**

**vs.**

**Anne Poling Administrator**

**APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

1. To the Honourable Justice Gorsuch of the 10th Circuit of the Supreme Court of the United States. I Petitioner respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time, until the 7 August, 2026, to file a petition for a Writ of Certiorari. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13.5 provides for up to a 60-day extension for filing a Petition for Writ of Certiorari.
2. Absent an extension, a petition for a writ of certiorari would be due on Sunday 7 June, 2026 U.S.S.Ct.R. 13.1. This application is being filed more than 10 days in advance of that date, Saturday, Thursday 28 May, 2026. I am within Supreme Court 90 days' timeline.
3. The Supreme Court letter dated 23 Jan, 2026, the attached postmarked January 13, 2026 and received January 15, 2026 detailed application is returned for the following reason(s): Your case must first be reviewed by a United States court of appeals or by the highest state court in which a decision could be had. 28 USC 1254 and 1257. Therefore, I sent the same letter to the Supreme Court of the Oklahoma.
4. The requested extension is necessary because the issues to be presented are complex and significant and due to competing obligations. I was not sent this order issued on the 9<sup>th</sup> of March, 2026, so I was unaware of orders. I have not received other proceedings and court orders, and this happened in this order as well, which has been issued in the 9 March, 2026. I have repeatedly informed the Oklahoma court, that OSCN does not open in London due to site security. Prior, I received an envelope contained previous orders. My address is the same where I do receive communication from the Supreme Court of the United States by post.
5. I request for my witness protection, I was offered when I did not listen, I was harassed chased for life. I believe in love, humanity and doing right things, and fear God. I can face God and Robert on the day of judgement.



6. I request the Supreme Court of the United States to take actions against such relatives who sold the death of Robert and took benefits trading the matter against the country national security, and these witnesses. Court repeatedly ignored Robert's last messages 'Hell with Family and Hate Chuck, and John, and same family and others got away from legal system.
7. Robert message to Bina. 7 Sept, 2021, "You Are My Special Wife And Strength In Everything I Do."- Robert Poem for Bina, " *Love You now And Love You The Day I Die, Love You In This World And The Next* ", Robert text to Bina,, *You Won't Leave Me? Ok Don't Forget Me – Bina Never. Here And After.* Bina Did Not Leave Robert, Fighting for Justice.
8. **Federal Law and National Security** Interference of hidden powerful hands and concealing the matter. Parties and their attorneys are not letting me highlight the matter involved National interest and Robert's tragic death. They are tangling it into marriage and probate, it is not the probate case; it is Robert's tragic death and against national security etc. I am the witness; I informed the matter to the police, FBI. I have been chased, threat of life, and no protection was provided to me by police and courts, despite of several request. I want to be heard as the matter is totally different and diverted. The legal system is very difficult, especially if a person is a woman, coloured, religion, origin, and a foreigner.
9. These attorneys and parties are continuously allowed to present these cases, given control; they hide facts, documents against national security and tragic death, repeatedly produced forged documents, covered up witnesses, forged witness testimonies, repeated insurance fraud, theft from their custody, accessing my safes lockers, looted my valuables documents
10. Irrespective of spousal status, which probate law allows to loot a foreign woman, make her homeless; to appoint she struggle for her medical issues. I filed stays and courts allowed before the decisions were finalized.
11. My spousal case factual win after four days trial on the 15th June, 2023. On the 18, Dec 2023 Oklahoma Supreme Court decision in linked cases, " Nevertheless, the spouse of a decedent has a right of election to take against the will if the election is timely. 84 O.S. 2021 §44(B)(3). Anne Poling executor, and case filed by Patrick Bodnar.
12. I filed Petition in Error 122444 on the 19 Aug, 2024, Anne Poling filed my petition to be dismissed and it was ruled not be dismissed on 12 Nov, 2024. COA decision on the 29Oct, 2025 and the Rehearing denied on the 10 Dec, 2025 without addressing the facts and actual entire matter, no forensic, no investigation, despite of my submission of evidence and repeatedly subpoenas squashed. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1)
13. Attorney's fees invoices reflect, joint strategy by legal firms presenting Patrick and Anne Polling also a practicing attorney. I kept saving my home I was successor in interest and

other evidence and attorneys and parties keep damaging them. I filed stays but decisions were in their favour before the case final outcome and damages are made and I am looted. My previous firm Ball Morse Lowe, Patrick and Anne firm worked together, systematically files and documents were removed and proofs jeopardized. I have informed the matter to the authorities, Police and courts but nothing reflecting in decisions. Proofs their actions their own emails and confession in their depositions.

14. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 59 New Trial**; Altering or Amending a judgment governs the granting of a rehearing, 28 days after the entry of judgment, so I was within time for my appeal of 122444. **Filing a pleading one day late** in a U.S. court does not automatically result in dismissal or rejection, but it puts the case at risk. "Excusable Neglect": Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(B), a court can extend a deadline after it has expired if the failure to act was a result of "excusable neglect". Courts consider several factors for this determination: The danger of prejudice to the non-moving party. The length of delay and its impact on judicial proceedings. Reason for the delay (whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, movant acted in good faith I have done the best in my capability to submit the pleadings. *I am ill, chased and threat of life, OSCN doesn't open in London, and I have no USA postal address.* I informed the court about but was informed it has to be USA address, which I do not have, as court is fully aware as these forged documents made me made homeless, looted as my own valuables, jewellery have been taken by the parties and along counsel repeatedly accessed my safe and lockers in Edmond – Oklahoma USA. I requested for efilings and receiving orders electronical so I do not miss them. Attached exhibit Robert's divorced wife Mary provided codes to my and Robert's safe lockers, and Patrick trespassed my home when Robert's blood was not even cleaned. Anne Poling and her attorney Jeff Crain, access my and Robert's safe lockers, according to their own emails everything in their control, so how come repeated theft from their custody? With whose permission they accessed it, and looted me, jeopardized Robert's death and hide evidence against national security. And then perjured on police report repeatedly, no legal actions taken against them, instead they were allowed access to more.
15. The Supreme Court looks for cases "involving unsettled questions of federal constitutional or statutory law of general interest." (1) cases raising a federal question to which different courts (usually federal circuit courts) have given conflicting answers on an important federal question, (2) cases clearly raising an important federal question, (3) cases which an appellate court decided in conflict with governing Supreme Court precedent. (SCR 10.) Which has happened in my case.

16. Court of Appeal reversed my four days factual win trial decision, COA used the testimony of witness linked to Robert's death, concealing matters against national security, forged Will testimony and other documents, wrong interpretation of my faith and twisting the testimony of Imam. Without giving me an opportunity of retrial evidentiary hearing. The failure to acknowledge evidence and its impact on the prejudice analysis conflict with the United States Supreme Court's decisions. When Robert and Bina called each other husband and wife and did I do ceremony so what is anyone say matter. Trial Evidence overwhelmingly established: Shared financial and domestic life; Intent to remain permanently married. The filing describes a July 2021 Islamic nikah ceremony conducted near Washington, D.C., where both parties exchanged vows, rings, and declarations of marriage before witnesses and an officiating Imam. Oklahoma recognizes common-law marriages and that an ecclesiastical or religious marriage can satisfy the intent and consent elements necessary for a valid common-law marriage. The extensive references to evidence presented during trial, including: Text messages in which Robert Bodnar repeatedly referred to Bina as his "wife"; Wedding photographs and ceremony materials; Joint bank accounts; Shared property and financial arrangements; Business communications identifying Bina as Robert's wife; Witness testimony from friends, relatives, and business associates; Robert's own handwritten notes identifying Bina as an emergency contact and spouse; Community recognition of the relationship.

Parties perjured they were a part of wedding; wedding photos and videos, texts etc.

Anne Poling -6 Q - Do you have any documentation that shows that Bina intended it to *not be a legal marriage*? A - I have *no documentation* that it is or is not.

Patrick's own words, "[t]here can be little doubt that Robert and Bina agreed to enter into a marriage recognized under Islamic rules. The trial court gave decision on the Common law marriage. Angela Bodnar after the wedding ceremony completed and after leaving the mosque, circulated a message in a family relatives text group wedding photos stating, 'THE WEDDING'. Months after the wedding, Angela and Anne Poling published Robert's father's obituary listing Bina as Robert's spouse,

So, all of these people and Robert are liars? Over 10 testimonies, several witness, affidavits, from all walks of life from USA and related to Robert USA testified that Robert introduced to them Bina as his wife. Including Anne Poling and Robert's blood brother Col Tom Bodnar whom USA military trust testified Bina Robert married, marital home. Robert's business attorney Joby Hughes testified married and several other know and Robert informed them. Marital home HOA Tom Fisher, neighbours Phillip Larue, Priest Imams Enchase Oklahoma, Priest Imam Mobaidin IVY business Bright Mutiz, Business Heeke. landscape company owner Jim DeLong Ex78, Edmond Integrated Massage centre Jami Parker, European wax centre notes husband, marriage certificate. Bina is from the UK, Robert took her around in the USA, and introduced as his wife.

Honourable Judge, Heard live testimony my over four days; evaluated witness credibility firsthand, reviewed documentary evidence, properly concluded that a valid marriage existed. The COA instead: Reinterpreted evidence independently; Reweighed testimony; Relied on incorrect factual assumptions; Failed to defer to the trial court's findings.

Legislative amendments 43 O.S., § 5 in 1999 did not abolish common law marriage in Oklahoma. Legislature to abolish it must be explicit. *Love's Estate*, 1914 OK 332, ¶ 0, 142 P.305, 305 (Syllabus Court No. 3. *Equal Protection Clause* and Supreme Court precedent protecting religious liberty and marriage rights. The Oklahoma COA violated longstanding legal principles governing appellate review. Legal argument appellate court improperly overturned factual findings made after a bench trial. According to the filing, *Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(a)(6)* and related constitutional principles require appellate courts to defer to factual findings unless they are "clearly erroneous." The case implicates broader constitutional principles, including: *The Due Process Clause*; *The Equal Protection Clause*; *First Amendment's* protections for religion and expression; *The Seventh Amendment's* protection of factual findings by trial courts; *Federal civil rights protections under 42 U.S.C. § 1983*. *Anderson v. City of Bessemer City*; *Icicle Seafoods, Inc. v. Worthington*; *Pullman-Standard v. Swint*; *Meister v. Moore*; *Loving v. Virginia*; *In re Love's Estate*; *Mueggenborg v. Walling*. Marriage is a fundamental right protected by the *Fourteenth Amendment*; States cannot apply different standards to marriages based on religion, race, or cultural background. Religious Racial Discrimination. Marriage" Ploy U.S. Supreme Court recognized, ever-increasing mental and psychological toll, rulings a victim can bring these domestic fraud abuse claims into the federal system. While the U.S. offers legal equality, foreign women can suffer cultural/religious beliefs, difficult situations with stepchildren and family members inheritance. A lack of awareness of legal rights, and heavy legal fees. Romance scams FBI tracked over 17,000 victims nationally. *5th and 14 Amendments* Supreme Court maintained regardless of citizenship, residency or immigration status can "have" *Civil Rights Act of 1964*, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, colour, religion, sex or national.

17. Constitutional due process equal protection Validity and Decisions of The Case. How is this entire case PB2022-380, 122444, 121505, 121713, Case No. CJ-2022-426, CV- 2023-1297, appeals and opinions driving from it, or any other pleadings filed with refence to this case. Any statues, case references, arguments used by these counsels and parties are legal?
  - When the Foundation is the parties and their counsels on record under oath, hide matter against national security, and Robert's tragic death?
  - Where in the law it is allowed Not to follow deceased funeral wishes, and cremate a deceased against his faith. Life care taker and emergency contact not allowed to see deceased? I stood by Robert when he was ill and used to hold his hand even during his CT scan and exposed to rays. Where were these relatives all these years when I supported Robert and financially? Weather, powers should be given to estranged blood relatives who did not stood by or met the deceased for years, first in the que for money.
  - Under Oath they filed a fraud fake case, to divert the case, produced forged Will, when no one questioned they filed fabricated aftermath company and other documents and etc?

- Parties and their attorneys' actions have jeopardized Robert's tragic death and matters against National security. They diverted the entire matter, perjury in the court in depositions, in trial and appeal, lied on police report, provided forged Will, forged life insurance and other insurances claims. Lied about Robert's relationship with them and the business parties, lied about Robert's illness, evidence in Robert's own words.
- Witness Creditability Councils and parties, provided cover and forged testimonies from people linked to Robert's death, clarification provide during and after trial yet COA used in decision. They concealed matter on co-conspiracy embezzlement, tampering of facts proofs and did not disclose the court link to Robert's death and against National interest.
- Is this a fair, appeal and opinion? Bina's factual Win opinion reversed perjury, Witness Creditability fraud testimonies. attorney conflicts, domestic violence, racial profiling, discrimination, and hate, and Bad Faith litigants.
- Therefore, the entire case PB 2022-380 and appeals, legal fees, apple password access, funeral and other matters are not valid.
- Where in the probate law it is allowed to loot a foreign woman and keep her ancestral valuables and jewellery, chattels and belonging more than worth of this estate, take away hide her business shares and property documents? Make her homeless and take all the money where she cannot afford her illnesses and develop new illness, and threat of life.
- Right after witnessing Robert tragic death, I was sent to the hospital. I was looted; like a wracked ship or battle field, looted my ancestral jewellery valuables more than worth this estate, my property and business documents, car, chattels, investor not giving my shares and consulting fees, families, attorneys accessed my safe lockers, divorced wife looting assets. Attorneys saying who will take me to the ranch, other case court declared Legal malpractice but firm and insurance not giving my assets. Is this how the probate works?
- Weather the hate of Muslims should be given so much weightage, that an information coming from a Muslim woman on tragic death, National security, fabricated and forged documents and testimony should be dismissed?
- The question of whether court should stick with its decisions even with the use of fraudulent documents in a lower court case "fraud upon the court" that impacted the judicial machinery itself, or raised a significant legal question of national importance.
- The courts failed to investigate and forensic: A forged will; Forged testimony and fabricated records; Concealment of evidence linked to Robert Bodnar's death and National against security matter; Religious and racial discrimination against the petitioner as a Muslim woman; Violations of constitutional due process and equal protection rights.

Strategically, estranged relatives Patrick along aunt Anne Poling a practicing insurance attorney filed a bogus probate case a mechanism to distract the matter. A diversion to spousal matter, so Bina a whistle blower, a witness survivor does not open her mouth, light, no gun shot, bug, balcony door open more, matter with Chuck, Bill others, co-conspirator, embezzlement, international, tragic death and national security.

**Unique Case Deceased Own Messages, Handwriting, Given No Weight. Did Robert Lied to Bina? Bina's Statements Matches with Robert.**

- No protection provided to me. And COA of appeal used these witness statement, while a co-conspiracy and embezzlement legal case was filed against them. Linked to death.
- 10 March 2021 Robert's message to Bina, before his tragic death, "*Tell my family to go to hell*". "*Hate Chuck and John Chisholm*". If Bina was not a wife then why Robert text to Bina before his tragic death? Made Bina his emergency contact. Robert to Bina "Love You, Just Really Tired. And I Can Never Leave You."
- 10 March, 2022 – Bina, group text Anne and Angela, Robert "*If my husband die I will not spare anyone*" *Just before tragedy, stressed Bina will utter truth*. Clearly establish Bina Robert married, Cohabitation. And there was something serious going on.

U.S. Supreme Court and state courts allow cases to be reopened, on fraud, hiding a death or forging a will. Dying Declaration (Fed Rule 804(b)(2) In homicide or civil cases, if the text was sent while the person believed their death was imminent and it concerns the cause of death. Rule 901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence. *Opposing Party Statement (Rule 801(d)(2))*: If the deceased's estate is the party-opponent in the lawsuit, their prior texts may be admitted against. 14 Amendment Violation Suppression Of Witnesses. Witness Security Program (WITSEC), under the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970 Title V. U.S. protects individuals endangered by testifying, new identities, and resettlement *18 U.S.C. § 3521 et seq.* Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Dodd-Frank Act, protect retaliation. Obstruction of Justice (*18 U.S.C. §1512-1513*) *6<sup>th</sup> Amendment* does not distinguish between capital and non-capital cases, safeguard human rights of life and liberty, provides lost, justice will not 'still be done.'". States legislatures statutes civil case Civil Gideon. Pinkerton Liability: a co-conspirator if it was a foreseeable part of agreement. (18 U.S.C. § 371), RICO conspiracy (18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)) agreement to participate in the affairs is enough. *Salinas v. United States* (1997) SCOTUS, unanimous ruling that a conspirator does not need to commit or agree to commit two predicate acts to be convicted of violating the (RICO), can be guilty. Enron Scandal: conspiracy to commit securities and mail fraud. Operation Varsity Blues: 2019 conspired to use bribes and fraudulent test scores to secure admissions. Supp. 767, 1965) Perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1623): (two inconsistent statements, which is false isn't always needed). (18 U.S.C. § 1001) Bill of Rights Forged & Bogus cases false statements, writings & deceptive documents & police report. Even if not under oath, conceal. Possession of false forged or altered documents. (18 U.S.C. § 1002)

**WILL – Unconstitutional** No Forensic, No investigation of forged Will. **When the Will is Fraud Then How the Cases, appeals and Decision Valid?** I provided this testimony to my legal firm, they did not provide it to the court, so I filed it myself. *Was Robert a liar, right before his tragic death he texted Bina, Hell with my family and Hate Chuck and Will came from them.* Parties saying these were Robert's friend, actually they are linked to Robert's tragic death and against National Security. Trade-off; cover up for forged Will.

**Deceased Robert Bodnar Will – Case No. PB-2022-380**

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 16 February 2024 18:16

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: william shephard

In regards to the will made by William Shephard

1. Bill was running wet frac jobs in the end of 2018 (gathering field tickets with signature for proof of state)
2. Bill did not meet Robert Bodnar until we both went to the first dry add location in big springs Texas for [REDACTED] oil company in Jan. 2019
3. We all worked and lived very close to each other for a years time in midland Texas
4. the date and time that the will was said to be signed in Seminole Oklahoma was false (picture proof) we were all in midland Texas, checking on dry add operations
5. missing page 4 of will
6. wrong state for notary signature
7. dates were wrong and crossed through
8. time of supposed will signing Tom Coombs and Bill Martin were not in the basin or at apartment
9. [REDACTED]/Hand full of employees can verify that this statement is accurate

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 29 January 2024 19:33

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: [REDACTED] regional manager

>> Good afternoon,

>> I was regional operations manager for [REDACTED] and over dry add operations. I first met Robert Bodnar in Jan 2019. We were always in either midland tx or Seminole ok working on the systems. I personally drove bill Shepard, Robert Bodnar and Phillip Simmons from location to location servicing dry add operations on a daily basis. In March of 2020 we had all 4 units out working for customers and were in midland staying close to units for service and upkeep. I know first hand that we had all units up and operating and was not effected until end of March due to covid. Tom combs and bill Martin where not at our base of operations which was the apartment in midland tx and I did not see them in the basin on March 7/8 of 2020 onwards. We knew about his long time fiancé Bina from London. We were also aware of Bodnar taking off for wedding with Bina to Annapolis from Phillip Simmons July of 2021 and then brought bina back with him to Oklahoma.

>> [REDACTED]

Will signing date 8 March. Bill, Martin, Tom, were not with Robert at the date. Robert had disputes, fired them, legal cases, harm to Robert, Tom medical records. *The Wills Act of 1837*, requiring all testamentary instruments to comply with the rules: No will shall be valid unless it shall be in writing, and executed in manner; , and such signature shall be made or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of two or more witnesses present at the same time, and such witnesses shall attest and shall subscribe the will in the presence of the testator. *18 U.S.C. § 1621 (Perjury)* false statements made under oath a civil action might be dismissed, no reason to believe that a document fabricator to be credible or acting in good faith in any proceedings to proceed.

Will Testimony And Deposition Facts never used in the court. Even when Bina submitted them separately for Patrick, Anne Poling and William Bill F Shepherd. On the 27 Dec, 2025 PB 2022-380, 14 Jan, 2025 SC 122444 and referenced them in SC 12505.

Patrick filed a case against Judge Reisen before the forged Will hearing. Anne Poling is a practicing attorney in trial admitted, she would have Not accepted this Will, but it is Ok in this case, Muslim probate. COA Opinion Will year 2019 and Bina objected the Will. Patrick filed year 2020, stated Bina did not objected, and 22 April, 2024Oklahoma SC 121713 decision stated widow elective rights. ‘16 March, 2022 Patrick filed Robert died intestate and no will. After 30 days unregistered Will came from Chuck, Robert message before his death ‘HATE’ Chuck. No one including Robert’s business attorneys, divorce attorney, divorce wife, tax accountant, PR Anne herself was aware or had this Will. Neither third PR on the Will Robert brother Col Tom who testified Bina as Robert’s wife was aware of this Will. Conflict of interest Patrick firm filed no Will Patrick executor then also filed Anne PR executor. Bina’s was unaware that her counsel Sarah and Anne counsels Jeff Crain worked together. Different Fonts, missing page till date? Will doesn’t have OK, home address, drafted in Houston Texas, William Shepherd said he made the Will in Oklahoma, and notarized, no records and Colorado law to be applied. Why would Robert make Anne a Will PR for his adult married children, not on terms with Anne since 2011, neither invited in their wedding”. 2022 my records from OSCN were deleted until I raised voice, I called the head clerk of Oklahoma Supreme Court as well. Four times under oath in deposition Patrick and Anne counsels, said personal representative 2 Angela Tyler in the will is a typo error, false, perjury PR 2 exist from the

business. Will doesn't mention specific assets and business, and their counsels took information from Bina, as Bina a wife. Will have three years, 2018, 2019, 2020 crossed. Interesting Will witnesses available on same date each year? 2022 I personally went to honourable Judge Kirby and informed him about Robert's tragic death, Will, business fight, and police said take interim injunction, matter and information, and repeatedly highlighting it in court documents. I was unaware that mine and parties' attorneys worked together, also reflected from their own invoices. Honourable Judge Reisen questioned about the forged Will and before hearing a case was filed against him and he was removed. In writing Bina was given advise that she cannot challenge the fraud Will. No PR bond for Anne till date, Oklahoma statues for lost, stolen, soiled Will not followed. Will unpaginated addendum added for life insurance for Robert's divorce wife, insurance company declined the claim, Will/Any tool 36 OK Stat § 365 (2023). OK Stat § 364 (2023). Patrick confessed in his deposition unsure about Robert's signature on the Will, Aggravated Identity Theft" charges (18 U.S.C. § 1028A). 2022 Mediation was agreed; Bina spouse on the death certificate. Bina will take homestead debt, and her car. Patrick frequently wanted five bank accounts Bank Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1344) life insurance for mother ex-wife Mary. Bina requested her own valuables; they walked out. No recovery via Courts. Robert's clear intentions for unifying the property; gifting Bina with the Haq Mehr customarily provided for a bride during a Muslim wedding ceremony. Robert's messages home, joint plots, Bina home successor in interest, also in bank records.

*Odatalla v. Odatalla*, 355 N.J. Super. 305; 810 A.2d 93 (Ch. Div. 2002) a landmark case court, even though it was a part of a religious ceremony, Muslim marriage contract Haq mahr/dowry provision a mahr agreement is enforceable based on neutral principles of law, court also stated that the mahr was a contract between two consenting adults and not against public policy. Jones v. Wolf, 443 U.S. 595, 99 S.Ct. 3020, 61 L.Ed.2d 775(1979).

**COA did not follow its own statues, of Lost Wills:** Oklahoma courts often refuse to recognize a will unless the original physical document is produced, even if there is strong testimony that it existed but was lost or destroyed, leading to potentially "unintended consequences" for heirs. In this case the document was lost, signature issues, so many technical flaws, and fraud life insurance but no action taken place.

*Marshall v. Marshall* (2006) SCOTUS unanimously ruled that federal courts have jurisdiction over tortious interference with related to estate, inheritance fraud, even if a state probate court is simultaneously handling the will. A step son suppressed or destroyed trust documents and presented falsified, backdated documents to his dying father to prevent him from providing for his wife thereby interfering with her expected inheritance. The Court held claims of fraud or "outrageous conduct" that prevent a valid will from being made, probate fraud (hiding assets or using forged documents) to seek damages in federal court, a "probate exception," *Rossiter's Estate* Oklahoma Supreme Court stated: [T]he care of the widow and/ children during the administration matter of public concern void as against public policy; a prospective wife cannot waive her right to a widow's allowance section 1226, supra, by a provision in an antenuptial contract. Section 1613 personal property 1607 and 1608, become the property of the surviving spouse, if there are no minor children. *In re Stackman's Estate*, a 1963 opinion from the Oklahoma Supreme Court, the family allowance cannot be waived by marital agreement. *Wilson's Rev. & Ann. St. 1903*. A surviving spouse may continue to occupy homestead for the rest of life. *Patel V. Omh Medical Centre Inc., Falline, And Hazel Atlas Courts* articulated the equitable and flexible nature of the rule or evaluating fraud on the court is flawed and an ability to meet new situations. *In Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944) SCOTUS set aside a judgment. A party and its attorneys engaged in "a deliberately planned and carefully executed scheme, misrepresentation. Ground for relief from a final judgement. *under the rules of civil procedure or common law, no time limit. Estate of Adams v. Fallini*, 132 Nev. Adv. Op. 81, 386 P.3d 621 (2016), Supreme Court decision court's inherent power to set aside a final judgment due to "fraud upon the court," outside the typical one-year.

Another forged document, Bina was cross-examined in trial document After Math company contract dated 11 June 2022, Anne found from Bina safe lockers, Bina valuables documents in same lockers not given to Bina. Similar to the Will format where a year was crossed and changed, in this document only month was changed, document altered. Bina clarified it is not her handwriting, she does not use American date format. Aftermath company confirmed they never visited on that date. Police and Bina's police report 2022 filed by her attorney for missing valuables confirmed Bina was in London. Yet, attorneys misinformed in court appeal.

*Brady v. United States*, 877 F. Supp. 444 (C.D. Ill. 1994) (dismissal plaintiff fabricated and destroyed evidence); *Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59*: motions for a new trial a challenging a judgment, requiring the evidence to have been undiscoverable. *McDonough v. Smith*, 588 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2019), two different constitutional claims: one for fabrication of evidence, and one for malicious prosecution malicious prosecution, which are violations of the 4th, 5th, 6th, 14 Amendments using fabricated evidence to initiate a criminal prosecution. Statute of limitations 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim does not begin until the tainted legal proceedings ends in the defendant's favor, an acquittal, rather than when the evidence is first discovered. *Justice Sonia Sotomayor*, The Supreme Court opinion noted that the common law rule for malicious prosecution had a pragmatic purpose as well, to ensure that criminal and civil cases over the same subject matter would not overlap or result in conflicting determinations. *Berry v. Stevens*

(31 P.2d 950, 168 Okla. 124, 1934 OK 167). Syllabus. Fraud in the procurement of any written instrument vitiates it in the hands of one seeking to benefit thereby.

Repeated Insurance Fraud Pattern parties and counsels claiming guns, forged life insurances, insurance on Bina's valuables Bina's 2022 police report looted Bina's valuables Not a part of probate plots, banks' accounts, shares. Robert never invited parties to visited Bina Robert's home, so they made up insurance for home assets Robert caught Mary was with another man and also claiming medical, and accessing other matters, then Mary gave codes to safe lockers and approached financial institution and claimed forged life insurance. Never police or court get suspicious things get theft repeatedly from their own custody 04/06/2024 counsel legal invoice theft of all firearms, details not on probate court. They took police to access home Once after several trespassing, and confessed accessing safe lockers and things in their access.

*John H. Wigmore, Evidence In Trials At Common Law 278, At 133 (Chadbourn Rev. ed. 1979)* "It has always been understood that a party's falsehood or other fraud in the preparation and presentation of his cause, his fabrication or suppression of evidence by bribery or spoliation is receivable against him as an indication of his consciousness that his case is a weak or unfounded one." *Warner Barnes & Co. v. Kokosai Kisen Kabushiki Kaisha*, 102 F.2d 450, 453 (2d Cir. 1939), modified, 103 F.2d 430 (2d Cir. 1939) ("A party found fabricating, or suppressing, documents, conclusio has something to conceal, conscious of guilt."). U.S.C. § 1341 & § 1343 18 U.S.C. § 1033. divorced partner falsifies records to claim insurance, "tortious interference with an inheritance" concealment in state probate courts. 18 U.S.C. § 1347 (Health Care Fraud). *Money Laundering* (18 U.S.C. § 1956). *Kousisis v. United States*: SCOTUS The government does not have to prove the insurance company lost money, dishonest methods or forgeries to get the payout is enough for a conviction. *Sveen v. Melin* (2018) revocation-on-divorce" laws. *Eppes v. Snowden*, 656 F. Supp. 1267 (E.D. Ky. 1986) insurance (horse breeder mysterious death of thoroughbred horse drafted and backdated ten letters to inflate value. *Thompson v. United States*, 604 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2025), a unanimous decision delivered by Chief Justice Roberts, the Court ruled that the federal statute 18 U.S.C. 18 U.S.C. § 4 to conceal the crime. Conspiracy (18 U.S.C. § 371). (e.g., a family member, ex-spouse) collaborate to commit insurance fraud. Conspiracy to Defraud (18 U.S.C. § 371): any agreement to impair or obstruct lawful government functions through deceit.

**Due Process Witness Creditability & Forged Documents Violates 5th and 14th Amendment Due Process Rights** In the U.S law, counsels have Constitutional Obligation to Correct False Testimony. This case is witnesses interest in outcome, suggest a motive to testify untruthful in consist self-contradiction statements, undisclosed information undermine witnesses' credibility. Here witness links to tragic death, against national interest and money.

“*Kyles v. Whitley*, 514 U.S. 419 (1995), *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) and *Giglio v. United States* (1972) Mooney-Pyle doctrine (*Mooney v. Holohan*, 1935) *States v. Mele*, 462 F.2d 918 (2d Cir. 1972) (untruthful testimony, deliberate excisions from reports, false reports preparation, misrepresentations required a new trial).” *Glossip v. Oklahoma*, 604 U.S. (2025). *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97 (1976) *D'Addario v. D'Addario* RICO fraudulent estate executor. *RJR Nabisco, Inc. v. European Community*, 579 U.S. 325, 346 (2016), RICO plaintiffs must plead a “domestic injury”. *Yegiazaryan v. Smagin* (2023) 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, foreign plaintiffs can sue under RICO for “domestic injuries”, fraud, hide assets, tactic in inheritance disputes. “*Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn* (2025) SCOTUS RICO scope broaden forged will, personal dispute, complex financial fraud, asset concealment, lost wages “business or property” clause. Immaterial the case has got ended or not, action lies for submitting *false documents averments* before court, a *contempt of court* stands bogus in of law. *Bosse v. Oklahoma*, 580 U.S. (2016), (SCOTUS) unanimously vacated a decision by the Oklahoma (OCCA), testimony matter. Three family members testified, which violated the *8th Amendment* prohibition against such testimony, ruling reaffirmed vengeance rather than a legal determination based on the crime's facts. In this case, Patrick, Anne and Angela are three family members, Bill C and Karen linked Robert’s death, co-conspirator cover up.

**Federal law, Chapter 73 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code.** “*Fraud in the procurement of any written instrument vitiates it in the hands of one seeking to benefit thereby.*” ¶10 See 12 R. C. L. 231; 2 R. C. L. Supp. 1406; 20 R. C. L. 550; *Okla. Stat. tit. 5A, app 3-A R. 1.7. T. 5, Ch. 1, App. 3-A, Rule 1.9. Rule 3. Fairness to Opposing Party.* In *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), the Supreme Court **Supreme Court Handles Fraud or Forgery** (like forged evidence, The Supreme Court may step in if there’s a constitutional or major legal issue about how that fraud was handled. SCOTUS emphasized protecting the integrity of the judicial system. **Bad faith litigant Federal Rule 11 analogues to levy sanctions against both a party and an attorney.** It set aside a judgment after more than one year because it found a party and its attorneys engaged in “a deliberately planned and carefully executed scheme. **Fischer V. United States Certiorari Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002** criminal liability corruptly “alters, destroys, mutilates, or conceals a record, document, other object, attempts to do so, with the intent to impair the object’s integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding.”

**Granting a Rehearing** After a decision, parties can ask for a **petition for rehearing**.

**Lawrence v. Chater** - The Court granted rehearing, vacated its earlier judgment, and reconsidered the case. Cases Revisited Through Later Appeals (New Proceedings) *Brown v. Board of Education and Brown II* Overturned rulings from multiple state courts (based on segregation laws upheld locally). After the original decision, the Court took up the case again to decide how to implement desegregation. This is more like **continued litigation**, not reopening the same judgment. **Rule 60(b) & Lower Court Vacatur** Most “reopening” happens before reaching the Supreme Court again: Under Federal Rule 60(b), lower courts can reopen cases for: Fraud, newly discovered evidence, Mistakes. If appealed again, the Supreme Court may review the new ruling. *Roe v. Wade* → overturned by *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*. *Plessy v. Ferguson* → overturned by *Brown v. Board of Education*. *Major Bush v. Gore* Overturned the Florida Supreme Court decision ordering a recount. District of Columbia v. Heller (related line of cases) cases like: *McDonald v. City of Chicago* Overturned state/local court rulings by applying the *Second Amendment* to states. *Miranda v. Arizona*

Reversed a decision of the Arizona Supreme Court. Established the requirement for “Miranda rights” before police interrogation.

**Cases which an appellate court decided in conflict with governing Supreme Court precedent. (SCR 10)** Critiques of Oklahoma’s highest courts often centre on the handling of evidence and the refusal to conduct deeper investigations when new facts emerge. This is most prominent in high-stakes criminal and probate matters where the court has been accused of "clinging" to old decisions despite credible claims of error. Oklahoma has a well-documented and heavily scrutinized history regarding wrongful convictions involving **faulty or fraudulent forensic science and coerced, false, or recanted witness testimonies**. [www.readfrontier.org](http://www.readfrontier.org) +1

**Failure to Investigate Misconduct:** In disciplinary cases, such as those listed by the Oklahoma Bar Association. State appellate court and state supreme court bending procedure rules to favor certain ideological or political positions violate the Equal Protection. Clause of the *Fourteenth Amendment* by applying "judge-made rules" that imposed heightened evidentiary burdens on or refuse to recognize or equate. Whether a state court violates the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment by refusing to address and impose sanctions or dismiss a case upon clear and convincing evidence of "fraud upon the court by coordinated misconduct of parties and their counsels.

**Overtured by U.S. Supreme Court: *Glossip v. Oklahoma (2025)* False Witness Testimony, Independent Investigation and Forensic** Oklahoma courts completely lacking forensic confirmation, built instead on corrupted witness statements. [www.fedbar.org](http://www.fedbar.org) **No Physical Evidence: The "Star" Witness:** The state's case relied almost entirely on the testimony of Justin Sneed, the actual killer, who claimed Glossip masterminded the plot. **The Forgery & Cover-Up: Missing Evidence:** Prosecutors suppressed evidence regarding their star witness's. “Time after time, Oklahoma prosecutors have violated these precedents, despite repeated notice that their actions were not in compliance with the U.S. Constitution,” the brief reads. <https://www.aclu.org/press-releases/aclu-responds-to-supreme-court-decision-in-glossip-v-oklahoma>. **Refusal to Test DNA Evidence: Phillip Hancock** Before his execution in November 2023, Oklahoma state courts repeatedly denied these requests for forensic testing, even though the biological evidence in state custody could have corroborated his account. **Coerced Witness Cases** Tulsa County ranks among the highest regions per capita in the United States for overturned convictions, multiple of which stem from systemic police misconduct regarding witnesses: [www.readfrontier.org](http://www.readfrontier.org). **Malcolm Scott and De'Marcho Carpenter:** Convicted of a 1994 drive-by shooting primarily based on coached witness statements. Both men were exonerated after the actual shooter confessed and multiple witnesses admitted they were intimidated into giving false testimonies. **Henry Jamerson:** Served nearly 24 years in prison for a 1991 rape. Lawsuits filed on his behalf outline that Tulsa Police Department detectives allegedly hid exculpatory DNA evidence inside a gun locker and coerced the victim into choosing Jamerson out of a photo lineup. **Historically Tainted Forensics: Fraud Scandal High-Profile Joyce Gilchrist** Her actions resulted in the wrongful conviction of hundreds of individuals. former police chemist continues to shadow the Oklahoma judiciary. **Ongoing Review:** 2020-2024, the state has been reviewing dozens of convictions involving her debunked "junk science," with critics noting that the courts were often too slow to acknowledge these forensic failures until forced by external investigations. **Jeffrey Pierce** (wrongly imprisoned 15 years for rape) and **Curtis Edward McCarty**, who spent nearly 20 years on death row before it was discovered Gilchrist completely fabricated hair matching analysis. [innocenceproject.org](http://innocenceproject.org) +3. **Forensics Blocked via Procedural Bars (*State v. Bramlett, 2025*)** Oklahoma judges frequently deny access to modern DNA or

advanced scientific testing if they deem it "non-compelling" relative to older, potentially flawed evidence.

**Forensic Issues in Probate:** Oklahoma Supreme Court has been criticized and accused of "wrong decisions" by strictly adhering to the "Four Corners" rule: **Ignoring Context:** The court often refuses to consider "extrinsic evidence" (outside facts or forensic context) to explain a testator's true intent or a document's forensic authenticity if the document appears clear on its face, even when fraud is alleged. **Finality over Truth:** even if new DNA evidence or forensic proof of a forged signature is discovered later. Oklahoma's judicial culture prioritises the "finality" of a sentence over new evidence of innocence or misconduct, a stance that has led to multiple reversals by federal courts. **Probate and Forensic Investigation Issues** In civil and probate cases, the **Oklahoma Supreme Court** has faced criticism for prioritising the finality of old court orders over modern forensic evidence: **Reopening Decades-Old Cases:** In cases like *In Re Estate of Georges* (2023), the court has struggled with how to handle **DNA evidence** or forensic proof of fraud discovered years after a probate is closed. Critics argue that the court's strict deadlines (statutes of repose) prevent true justice for heirs who were victims of original investigative failures. **Reliance on Incomplete Records:** Historically, the court has been accused of making rulings based on "lost wills" or incomplete forensic analysis of handwritten (holographic) documents. The court places too much weight on the "presumption of regularity" rather than requiring modern forensic verification of signatures or mental capacity in suspicious circumstances. In this case the Will is lost, technical flaws but Oklahoma di not follow its own statutes.

**New Cold Case Laws:** As of May 2026, a new Oklahoma bill is awaiting the governor's signature that would **require new forensic testing** in cold cases, potentially creating a legal path to force courts to accept new DNA.

I humbly request for legal assistance; legal firms want big retainers and I cannot pay that. This is a complex case, involving a tragic death and matters against national security, and my life threat as witness survivor, request to hide my contacts. The matter is repeatedly diverted so I cannot bring the real matter in the courts. **SCOTUS does provide an attorney in** complex civil and criminal aspects, Gideon Law. **Appointing counsel** If someone) brings a case to the Court and: They cannot afford a lawyer. The case raises serious legal issues. The Court can appoint an attorney. **Appointing an "amicus curiae" (friend of the court)** Sometimes no lawyer is defending a position the Court wants considered. So, SCOTUS appoints a lawyer to argue that side. *United States v. Windsor* the Court appointed an attorney to defend a law when the government declined. **Appointing a "Special Master"** (often between states), the Court appoints a neutral legal expert to gather facts. *Texas v. New Mexico*. SCOTUS can appoint attorney's specific cases already before the Court. And usually for legal representation in that case.

### Good Cause Reasons

I humbly request a 60-day extension to file a writ of certiorari, as between today and 7 August 2026. I am traumatised; it takes me to a dark place, and I require time to file the proceedings. I have other medical issues, and developed life time conditions and type with swollen hands. I have other court filing deadlines approaching as well, and I have no legal representation to prepare the filings on several other matters.

The requested extension is necessary the issues to be presented are complex and significant. I have no attorney and request the counsel's complex civil and criminal aspects, Gideon Law. The legal document printers require time in advance for processing them.

I am a witness survivor, chased, threat of my life; repeatedly requested security and protection. And request to conceal my identity and contacts.

I have witnessed a tragedy; I have been made homeless; my valuables are looted, taking my ability to support myself and get medical and legal assistance.

I have no USA postal address. From the UK, mail/post takes an urgent, very expensive 6-7 working days, which is almost 9-10, or weeks sometimes. I take favours if anyone can post from the USA, and sometimes no one helps. Therefore, I have requested e-filing as a pro se and am receiving orders electronically to maintain timelines. Neither OSCN opens from abroad due to cybersecurity, so I had no orders from the courts. I request investigations, forensics, and my protection.

In the interest of justice, I humbly request a 60-day extension. It is a constitutional matter, also matter of national security; Robert's tragic death, with no forensics and investigation, forged testimonies and witnesses. The questions of precedents linked to this case are absent from opinions and decisions.

After God, we believe our judges and regulators will save and protect us from injustice.

Wherefore, I pray and respectfully request that an order be entered extending the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to 7 August 2026. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13.5, it provides for up to a 60-day extension for filing a petition for a writ of certiorari. (The previous January 15, 2026 application with some evidence attached).

  
Respectfully Submitted

Bina Islam same as Bina Islam Bodnar (Names as per the attached Court Orders)

Dated: 20 May, 2026

34 Sussex Square, London W2 2SP

Contact - 405 757 1554 Prose Litigant



Original

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE )  
 OF ROBERT C. BODNAR, Deceased. )  
 )  
 ANNE POLING, )  
 )  
 Appellee, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 BINA ISLAM BODNAR, )  
 )  
 Appellant. )

No. 122,444

FILED  
SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

MAR - 9 2026

SELDEN JONES  
CLERK

Rec'd (date)	3/9/26
Posted	JP
Mailed	JP
Distrib.	JP
Publish	yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no

ORDER

Appellant Bina Bodnar's February 4, 2026 filing, "Supreme Court of United States Require Orders – Extension Time to File Writ of Cert," is treated as a motion to recall the mandate. *Mahorney v. Moore*, 2002 OK 39, ¶ 6, 50 P.3d 1128, 1130. Appellant's request for relief may only be considered upon recall of the mandate. *Simmons v. Harris*, 1924 OK 1137, 235 P. 508, 510; see Okla. Supreme Court Rule 1.16, 12 O.S.2021, ch. 15, app. 1, pt. I. Appellant does not establish that the Court issued the mandate through fraud, mistake, or unavoidable casualty. *Simmons*, 1924 OK 1137, 235 P. at 510. Appellant's request for relief is denied, and the Court will not recall the mandate.

DONE BY ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT IN CONFERENCE THIS 9<sup>th</sup>  
 DAY OF MARCH, 2026.

  
 CHIEF JUSTICE

ALL JUSTICES CONCUR.

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This shall certify that on 25 May, 2026, the above and foregoing document was sent electronically to the following:

Jeffrey I. Crain  
Leanne Farha  
Crain & Associates, P.L.L.C.  
6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 260  
Oklahoma City, OK 73118  
*Attorneys for Personal Representative,  
Anne Poling*

Bina Islam same as Bina Islam Bodnar  
beenahaq@hotmail.com  
405 757 1554  
34 Sussex Square  
London UK  
W2 2SP