

MAY 11 2026

25A1266

Case No.: _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Washington, DC

Kiasha Samuels

V.

Rashard Weaver

- Civil Case No.: C-16-FM-26-000055.
 - Supreme Court of Maryland Case No.: SCM-MISC-0047-2025
-

Imminent & Irreparable Harm of Postpartum Mother & Children.
Child Abduction Risk.

ON EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR A STAY:
Pending Writ of Mandamus Review.

Under All Writs Act (28 U.S.C. § 1651). Supreme Court Rule 23.

In Re,

Kiasha Samuels
5306 Zeppelin Court
Clinton, Maryland
20735
KiashaSamuels@Icloud.com
Pro Se,

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

“STAY APPLICATION.”

I.)	Summary of Case.....	3
II.)	Introduction & Immediate Relief Requested.....	4
III.)	Exhaustion of Lower Court Remedies.....	6
IV.)	Statement of Facts: Imminent Peril and Abduction.....	6
V.)	IV.) Argument	7
VI.)	V. Conclusion.....	8

“TABLE OF AUTHORTIES.”

I.)	Supreme Court Standards for Emergency Relief.....	8
II.)	III. Statutory Authorities (Child Abduction & Prevention).....	9
III.)	III. Statutory Authorities (Child Abduction & Prevention).....	9

“APPENDIX”

I.)	Supreme Court of Maryland—Mandamus Denial.....	A
II.)	Lower Court’s denial of <i>Petitioner’s</i> –Motion to Vacate-.....	B
III.)	<i>Lower Court’s</i> denial of <i>Petitioner’s</i> -Motion for Recusal-.....	C
IV.)	<i>Lower Court’s</i> January 05, 2026 –Complaint for Custody.....	D
V.)	<i>Lower Court’s</i> January 06, 2026 – <i>Ex Parte</i> Custody Award.....	E
VI.)	<i>Lower Court’s</i> March 03, 2026—DNA Test Order No. 1.....	F
VII.)	<i>Lower Court’s</i> April 28, 2026—DNA Test Order No. 2.....	G
VIII.)	<i>Lower Court’s</i> Issuance of “Bodily Attachment” for DNA of child.....	H

SUMMARY of THE CASE.


“The Court-Facilitated Kidnapping & Complete Jurisdictional Lack.”

Applicant Request an immediate—“**Emergency Stay of Proceedings**” pending a “**Writ of Mandamus**” review by this *Honorable Court*. The *Lower Court* has committed a “**Legal Fiction**” when it gave court signed paper to a “**Non-Legal**” party without “**Notice.**” The *Plaintiff* admitted on the record “**Defendant has no notice**” and to never “**Meeting the Child**” (**nearly age 3**) or awareness of the child’s birth-- but simultaneously declaring the need for an uncorroborated emergency “**Ex Parte Relief**” which the *Trial Court* awarded **24 – Hours** post ‘**Case Inception,**’ rending the order “**Void Ab Initio.**” Lower court is on a “**Myopic Pursuit of Post Hoc Jurisdictional Searches**” placing the *Applicant* and *Child (Age 2)* in a severe and ongoing state of **fear and danger** due to the undeniable risk of -- **Imminent and Irreversible harm.**

The likelihood of a **successful outcome on the merits** is –exceedingly HIGH. The merits are “**Clear**” and near “**Undebatable**” from the *Respondents*.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kiasha Samuels



05-07-2016

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington, DC.

Kiasha Samuels

Clinton, Md.

Petitioner,

V.

Rashard Weaver

Hyattsville, MD.

Respondent.

■ Civil Case No.: C-16-FM-26-000055.

■ Supreme Court of Maryland Case No.: SCM-MISC-0047-2025

ON EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR A STAY:

Pending Writ of Mandamus Review.

To the *Honorable Justice* of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for Fourth (4th) Circuit, Chief Justice John G. Roberts Jr.

I.) INTRODUCTION & IMMEDIATE RELIEF REQUESTED:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23 and the “All Writs Act (28 U.S.C. § 1651),” this *Applicant*, **Kiasha Samuels**, *Pro Se*, respectfully requests an “**Emergency Stay of Proceedings**,” in the *Lower Court’s* ‘**Myopic Pursuit**; of DNA and “**Bodily Attachments**,” “**Seized Statement’s**” for ‘*Post Hoc*’ jurisdictional searches, after the **court-facilitated kidnapping** of this *Applicant’s* two-year old female toddler. The *Lower Court’s* “**Ex Parte Custody Award**” dated “**January 06, 2026**.” Custody was awarded to a (1) non-legal party, who has (2) **no Parental Rights**, nor a (3) “**Prima Facie Case**” nor “**De Facto Case**.” Admitted

by the *Plaintiff* on the record. These claims can be corroborated by this *Honorable Court's* pending review of the accompanying **“Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus.”**

“Applicant and Minor Child in This Matter at Risk for Imminent Harm”

Applicant further requests an **“Immediate Administrative Stay”** to prevent the imminent peril of ‘**Child Abduction**’ by non-custodians or/and state officials. This is no longer theoretical, but imminent, as it has been **clearly materialized** facts of the *Lower Court's* own records—the likelihood for (1) **Irreparable Harm**, (2) **Serious Bodily Injury** or (3) ‘**Child Abduction**,’ exist outcome the is HIGH. The *Trial Court* lacks all **“Subject Matter Jurisdiction.”** Jurisdiction over the Petitioner and Child was terminated at the inception of the case, there was a **“No Notice Judgement”** in 24-hours and the *Plaintiff* had/has **“No Legal Standing”** –and failed every **“Burden of Proof”** per expired **“Ex Parte Award”** and the Lower Courts’s **“DNA Testing Order”** materializing the fact, *Lower Court did not—and cannot verify the relationship of the party (Plaintiff)* they awarded **“Ex Parte Child Custody”** to.

The *Lower Court* has DENIED all *Petitioners* **“Motions to Vacate,”** DENIED on the record **“Right to Counsel,”** and asked the *Petitioner* to be silent during proceedings, revoking the *Petitioners* **“Right to Be Heard.”** Only the *Plaintiff* was allowed to speak. These allegations are supported in the attached exhibits; (1) **Lower Court’s Order’s**, (2) **Transcripts of Proceeding’s**, (3) **Public Docket** and (4) **State-Issued Records** the *Plaintiff* had/has no **“Parental Right’s”** to give *Lower Court* jurisdiction over the *Applicant* and *Child (Female, Age 2)*. The entire case and orders in *Trial Court* exist as a complete **“Legal Fiction.”**

II.) EXHAUSTION of LOWER COURT REMEDIES:

Applicant has sought a “**Emergency Stay of Proceedings**” from *Trial Court* March 04, 2026, and The Supreme Court of Maryland on—March 03, 2026. Both of which ‘**DENIED**’ relief. The *Trial Court* ‘**DENIED**’ applicants –“**Motion for Recusal**” and “**Motion to Vacate**’ filed --March 04, 2026. Denials were issued during the time of Marh 11th, 2026 through April 14th, 2026. The Supreme Court of Maryland ‘**DENIED**’ *Applicants* “**Emergency Mandamus**” which requested a “**Stay**” in the “**Relief Requested**” section on March 20th, 2026. Copies of these orders are appended as **Appendix A** though **C**.

No other adequate means exist to prevent the **irreparable seizure of the child** and all harm which would follow. This is a matter of ‘**Public Safety**’ and would demonstrate the need for this *Honorable Court’s* ‘**Discretionary Power**’ as it is indeed, an ‘**Exceptional Circumstance**’ with no other ‘**Adequate Remedy at Law**’ available.

IV.)STATEMENT of FACTS: Imminent Peril and Abduction.

“The Materialized and Imminent Irreparable Harm.”

- 1.) **Credible Risk:** State officials intend to remove the child from their sole caregiver without “**Jurisdiction**”, a “**Burden of Proof**” or showing of “**Exigency**,” constituting a “**Flagrant Unconstitutional Seizure**” under the **Fourth (4TH) Amendment**.
- 2.) **Excessive Use of Force:** The court has authorized unconstitutional “**Bodily Attachments**” to compel interrogations regarding (1) **Lack of Plaintiffs Legal Standing**, placing the (2) “**Burden of Proof**” on a *Defendant* and *Child* (3) bypassing ‘**Traditional Procedural and Evidentiary Requirements.**’

V.) CONCLUSION:

For the reasons stated, this *Petitioner*, respectfully requests that this *Honorable Court* **GRANT** an “**Emergency Stay of Proceedings**,” while this *Court* reviews *Petitioners* application for an “**Emergency Writ of Mandamus**,” to prevent the unlawful seizure and abduction of the young and **vulnerable, defenseless *Child* (Female, Age 2.)** under **Supreme Court Rule 23.**


VI.) RELIEF REQUESTED:

- 1.) **ISSUE** an “**Emergency Stay of Proceedings**” pending **Mandamus** review.
 - 2.) **ORDER** the lower court to provide the *Petitioner* an official “**VACATUR**” order to ‘**Vacate**’ the *Respondents* -- January 06, 2026, “**Ex Parte Custody Award**” --of *Petitioners Child (Age 2)*. *Petitioner* and *Child* has no “**Legal Shield**” to prevent “**Child Abduction**,” and *Respondent* has **court-issued and signed custody** of the *Child*.
 - 3.) **ORDER** any other relief this *Honorable Court* deems fit, reasonable and necessary to preserve the **well-being** and **life** of *Petitioner* and *Child* in this matter.
-
-

SWORN AFFIDAVIT.

I, Kiasha Samuels, solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury, that the ‘Contents’ of the foregoing paper are ‘True’ to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kiasha Samuels
KiashaSamuels@Icloud.com

May 04, 2026

V.) ARGUMENT:

“Child Abduction Risk—Irreversible Harm”

- 1.) **Likelihood of Success** (Fourth Amendment "**Seizure**"): The removal of a child from a parent is a "**Seizure**" that requires a warrant or "**Objectively Reasonable Basis**" for believing a child is in "Immediate Danger" of serious injury. The state's failure to prove such peril makes the seizure **unconstitutional**. The docket and case file will show a "**Total and Complete Evidentiary Void**" for over **one-hundred twenty (120) days** and at the time of the "**No Notice**" judgment entered 24-hours post-case inception. Jurisdictional was lost then. The "**Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth (14th) Amendment**" declares any judgement entered without "**Notice**" (Due Process) is "**Void Ab Initio**" and as a matter of "**Absolute Law**" must be "**Vacated**" as the court executed a "**Legal Fiction**" making the case a "**Legal Nullity.**"
- 2.) **Improper Burden of Proof**: The *Lower Court* has shifted the traditional "**Burden of Proof**" evidenced by the non-consensual **DNA Testing Orders**, multiple '**DENIED**' motions on *Applicants* movements, and threats of "**Arrest**" and issued "**Bodily Attachments**" to "**Seize**" statements to satisfy the "**Evidentiary Void**" of the *Plaintiff*. Applicant is convinced these acts are '**Myopic Pot Hoc Jurisdictional Searches.**'

Essentially, *Trial Court* has made the *Applicant* (**the Defendant**) to '**Prove Their Fitness,**' and the --*Child's DNA*-- to narrow down the *Plaintiffs* unverified identity, rather than requiring the state to prove immediate harm.

- 3.) **Irreparable Harm**: The physical unconstitutional removal of a child from their primary support system into 'State Custody' or a '**Non-legal Party,**'-neither whom the child is familiar with, constitutes an '**Traumatic**' and "**Intolerable Situation**" and irreversible harm that **cannot be undone by later legal victory.**

I. Supreme Court Standards for Emergency Relief.

AUTHORITY.	LEGAL PRINCIPLE & RELEVANCE.
Supreme Court Rule 23	Governs the application for a “Stay.”; Requires a showing of why relief is not available in any other court.
Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418 (2009)	Establishes the “ Four (4)-Factor Test ” for a “Stay.”: Likelihood of (1) Success , (2) Irreparable Harm , (3) Balance of Equities , and (4) Public Interest.
Hollingsworth v. Perry, 558 U.S. 183 (2010)	Sets the standard for “Stays.”: (1) Reasonable Probability of Certiorari , (2) Fair Prospect of Reversal , and (3) a Likelihood of Irreparable Harm.
28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act)	Grants the Court power to issue <i>all</i> “ Writs Necessary ” to (1) Preserve its Jurisdiction or Prevent Lower Court Overreach.

II. Constitutional Authority (Child Removal as "Seizure") .

AUTHORITY	LEGAL PRINCIPLE & RELEVANCE.
U.S. Const. Amend. IV.	Protects against " Unreasonable Searches and Seizures. " Child removal from a home <i>is a seizure</i> requiring a warrant or exigency.
Payton v. New York, 445 U.S. 573 (1980).	Establishes the home as the --" Very Core " --of Fourth (4 TH) Amendment protection; warrantless entry <i>is</i> " Presumptively Unconstitutional ".
Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982)	Holds that parental rights are fundamental ; the state <i>must</i> prove unfitness by " Clear and Convincing Evidence " <i>before</i> removal.
Brokaw v. Mercer County, 235 F.3d 1000 (7th Cir. 2000).	Affirms that “ Child Removals ” <i>are</i> “ Seizures ”; officials <i>cannot</i> seize a child without a warrant or reasonable belief of " Imminent Danger ".
Kirkpatrick v. City. of Alameda, 843 F.3d 784 (9th Cir. 2016).	States that government officials are ordinarily required to obtain prior judicial authorization before removing a child.

III. Statutory Authorities (Child Abduction & Prevention).

AUTHORITY	LEGAL PRINCIPLE & RELEVANCE.
<p>Uniform Child Abduction Prevention Act. (UCAPA)</p>	<p>Provides courts with a list of "Abduction Risk Factors" to justify prevention orders and emergency warrants for custody.</p>
<p>28 U.S.C. § 1738A (PKPA).</p>	<p>The Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act; requires states to enforce valid custody determinations and "Deters Interstate Abductions."</p>
<p>International Child Abduction Prevention and Return Act (ICAPRA).</p>	<p>22 U.S.C. § 9101; establishes federal protocols to prevent wrongful removal or retention of children.</p>
<p>Maryland Child Abduction Prevention Act.</p>	<p>(Ex: Md. Code, Fam. Law § 9.7) Allows for an "Abduction Prevention Order" if there is a "Credible Risk" of wrongful removal or retention.</p>
<p>18 U.S.C § 1204.</p>	<p>Criminalizes the removal or retention of a child with intent to obstruct the lawful exercise of parental rights.</p>

KIASHA SAMUELS

v.

RASHARD WEAVER

* IN THE
* SUPREME COURT
* OF MARYLAND
* Misc. No. 47
* September Term, 2025

O R D E R

Upon consideration of Kiasha Samuels's petition for writ of mandamus, it is this
20th day of March 2026, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that the petition is denied.



/s/ Matthew J. Fader
Chief Justice

[EMERGENCY—Imminent Risk of Harm to Child]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND
Annapolis, MD

Kiasha Samuels
Petitioner

V.

Rashard Weaver
Respondent

Civil Case No. C-16-FM-26-000055

RECEIVED

MAR 3 2026

GREGORY HILTON, CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND

On Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus

[CHILD WELFARE—COURT FACILITATED KIDNAPPING OF TODDLER.]

Respectfully Submitted,

Kiasha Samuels
5306 Zeppelin Court
Clinton, Maryland
20735

KiashaSamuels@Yahoo.com
443-497-4101

Pro Se,

[EMERGENCY—Imminent Risk of Harm to Child]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND
Annapolis, MD

Kiasha Samuels
Petitioner

V.

Rashard Weaver
Respondent

Civil Case No. C-16-FM-26-000055

On Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus

[CHILD WELFARE—COURT FACILITATED KIDNAPPING OF TODDLER.]

Respectfully Submitted,

Kiasha Samuels
5306 Zeppelin Court
Clinton, Maryland
20735

KiashaSamuels@Yahoo.com
443-497-4101

Pro Se,

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND

■Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Motion to Vacate Void Orders:

■COMPLAINANT/PETITIONER: Kiasha Samuels

■RESPONDENT: Judge, Donnell W. Turner, Prince George's County Circuit Court.

■CASE NO: C-16-FM-26-000055

I. BASIS FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF:

The *Petitioner*, KIASHA SAMUELS, requests an “**Emergency Writ of Mandamus**” and an “**Immediate Stay of Execution**” of all orders issued by the Respondent Judge, *Donnell W. Turner* of Prince George's County Circuit Court. These orders were issued in “**Malicious Execution**” of the court's power, resulting in a *extreme* “**State-Created Danger**” and the facilitated kidnapping of a minor child.

II. ARGUMENT: VOID AB INITIO & JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT:

1. **Standing as a Jurisdictional Prerequisite:** The lower court awarded custody to a legal stranger with no established paternity or biological link to the child. Under Maryland law, a court lacks “**Subject Matter Jurisdiction**” to grant custody to a non-parent over a sole legal parent without a finding of unfitness.
2. **Voidness of Orders:** Because the initial order was entered without jurisdiction and without procedural due process (Notice and Opportunity to be Heard), it is **Void Ab Initio**. A void order is a legal nullity and cannot be enforced.
3. **Fruit of the Poisonous Tree:** All subsequent actions, including mandatory DNA testing and the April 1st *ex parte* hearing, are “**Fruits**” of the initial illegal

[EMERGENCY—Imminent Risk of Harm to Child]

seizure. The court cannot use a void order as a "hook" to manufacture new evidence or standing for the Plaintiff.

III. MALICIOUS EXECUTION AND STATE-CREATED DANGER:

The Respondent *Judge, Donnell W. Turner* has demonstrated "Extreme Hostility " and *bias*, using the "Power of the Bench" to facilitate the removal of a child from their only legal protector (*court facilitated KIDNAPPING*). This "Abuse of Power" constitutes a "State-Created Danger," as the court's affirmative acts placed a vulnerable two-year-old child in an unsafe, unvetted environment.

IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF:

Petitioner moves this *honorable Court* to:

1. **Issue an Emergency Stay** of all lower court proceedings.
 2. **Vacate all Orders** issued by the Respondent Judge as void for lack of jurisdiction
 3. **Order the Dismissal** of the underlying custody case for lack of standing.
 4. **Refer the Respondent Judge** to the Commission on Judicial Disabilities for official misconduct.
-

I. SIGNED SWORN STATEMENT:

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing paper are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Kiasha Samuels
5306 Zeppelin Court
Clinton, Maryland
20735
March 03, 2026

~~DENIED.~~

Donnell W. Turner



Handwritten signature: Halk

True Copy Test
Malaysia El Amin, Clerk

EMERGENCY COURT ACTION REQUIRED-IMMINENT HARM TO CHILD

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY,
MARYLAND

Rashard Weaver
Plaintiff

v.

Kiasha Samuels
Defendant

Entered: Clerk, Circuit Court for
Prince George's County, MD
April 13, 2026

CASE NO.: C-16-FM-26-000055

**EMERGENCY MOTION FOR RECUSAL, DISQUALIFICATION, AND TO VACATE
VOID ORDERS**

PURSUANT TO MD. RULE 18-102.11 AND THE MD. DECLARATION OF RIGHTS

Petitioner, KIASHA SAMUELS, appearing specially, moves this Court to "Recuse and Disqualify" the presiding Judge, DONNELL W. TURNER, from any further proceedings in this matter and to "Vacate all Orders" issued to date. In support, *Petitioner* asserts:

I. MANDATORY DISQUALIFICATION FOR BIAS AND CONFLICT:

1. **Severe Conflict of Interest:** The record reflects that the presiding Judge has abandoned the role of a neutral magistrate. Under Maryland Rule 18-102.11, a judge shall disqualify themselves when their "impartiality might reasonably be questioned," including instances of personal bias or prejudice.
2. **Extreme Hostility:** The presiding Judge has demonstrated documented "Extreme Hostility" toward the *Petitioner*, the sole legal parent, while simultaneously granting uncorroborated requests to a legal stranger. This bias has resulted in a *alarming* "State-Created Danger" to the minor child.
3. **Pending Disciplinary Actions:** *Petitioner* has filed formal complaints for Official Misconduct and Malicious Execution of the Court with the Maryland Commission on Judicial Disabilities and the Supreme Court of Maryland as of March 02, 2026. The existence of these investigations creates an irreconcilable conflict of interest; the Judge

MOOT

Harold H. Yarn
Judge 03/13/2026

#1110



~~EMERGENCY COURT ACTION REQUIRED-IMPENDING HARM TO CHILD~~

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY,
MARYLAND

Rashard Weaver
Plaintiff

v.
Kiasha Samuels
Defendant

CASE NO.: C-16-FM-26-000055

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

PURSUANT TO MD. RULES 2-403 AND 2-632:

Now comes the *Petitioner*, KIASHA SAMUELS, appearing specially to challenge the **jurisdiction** of this Court, and moves for an "Emergency Protective Order" and an **Immediate Stay** of the Order for the unlawful —Mandated Paternity Testing dated March 3, 2026—. In support, *Petitioner* states:

- I. **BASIS FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF: "VOID AB INITIO."**
 1. On **January 6, 2026**, this Court issued an *ex parte* order granting custody to a **legal stranger** who possessed **NO** standing, **NO** biological proof of parentage, and **NO** legal rights to the minor child.
 2. Under Maryland law, this Court lacked "**Subject Matter Jurisdiction**" to remove a child from a **sole legal parent** (as verified by the **Birth Certificate** admitted into evidence **February 27, 2026—Court Room D00015**) and award custody to a **non-parent** without a prior finding of unfitness.
 3. **Consequently**, the January 6th Order is "**Void Ab Initio**". Because the foundation of this case is a **legal nullity**, all subsequent orders—including the mandate for DNA testing—are "**Fruit of the Poisonous Tree**."
- II. **THE DNA TEST AS A MALICIOUS "COVER-UP":**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY,
MARYLAND

Rashard Weaver
Plaintiff

v.
Kiasha Samuels
Defendant

CASE NO.: C-16-FM-26-000055

CLERK OF THE
COURT
2026 APR 27 PM 1:50
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY MDTG

■ **EMERGENCY MOTION TO QUASH SERVICE and STAY PROCEEDINGS.**

I, **KIASHA SAMUELS**, *Pro Se*, appearing specially and solely for the purpose of **contesting personal jurisdiction** and the sufficiency of **service of process**, respectfully moves this Court to *quash* the “**Order to Show Cause**” and “**Stay All Proceedings & Orders,**” including the hearing and order scheduled for “**April 28th, 2028**” on the following grounds:

I.) Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and Insufficient Service (Rule 2-322(a):

This *Court* lacks **personal jurisdiction** over the *Movant*, and *Child* in this matter, because she has *never* been properly served with the “**Underlying Petition**” or the “**Order to Show Cause.**” Pursuant to **Md. Rule 2-322(a)**, the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficiency of “**Service of Process**” are **mandatory** and are hereby raised before any other responsive pleading.



**CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGE'S
COUNTY, MARYLAND**
14735 Main Street
Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772

Circuit Court Clerks: 301-952-3318
Calendar Management: 301-952-3850

Case Number:
Other Reference Number(s):

C-16-FM-26-000055
SCM-MISC-0047-2025

RASHARD WEAVER VS. KIASHA SAMUELS

ORDER OF COURT

Upon review of the file, the Court finds that Defendant was served, but Defendant has not filed a response. It is, by the Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland,

ORDERED that Defendant shall file a response to Plaintiff's complaint within fifteen (15) days of entry of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that if Defendant fails to file a response within fifteen (15) days of this order and Plaintiff wishes to proceed with this case, Plaintiff shall file a Request for Order of Default and Non-Military Affidavit within twenty (20) days of entry of this Order.

Entered: Clerk, Circuit Court for
Prince George's County, MD
April 28, 2026

04/28/2026

Date

ShaRon M. Kelsey, Judge
Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland

True Copy Test
Mahasin El Amin
#8

Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, DC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner.

Kiasha Samuels
5306 Zeppelin Court
Clinton, Maryland
20735

As required by **Supreme Court Rule 29.5**, I hereby certify that the documents titled 'EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR STAY' were MAILED to the respondent on May 11th, 2026. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Respondent,

Rashard Weaver
411 Warfield Dr
Apartment #4018
Hyattsville, Maryland
20785

Date: May 11th, 2026

Signature: *R. Sang*

La prima parte del documento è dedicata alla descrizione delle attività svolte durante il periodo di osservazione. In particolare, si è concentrato sulle attività di base e sulle attività di sviluppo.

La seconda parte del documento è dedicata alla descrizione delle attività svolte durante il periodo di osservazione. In particolare, si è concentrato sulle attività di base e sulle attività di sviluppo.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**

