

Legal Mail.

2:23 - CR - 00600 - Phx - JJT
2:24 - CR - 00890 - Phx - JJT

04/28/26

United States Supreme Court.

Eric Scionti
v.
United States

25-1415
25-1416

Time Extension for
Writs of Certiorari and
Appointment of Counsel.

Now before the Court comes Mr Scionti,
Convicted of violating 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) and
18 U.S.C. § 48. Both of which violate
the 1st and 2nd Amendments of The
United States of America. Mr Scionti is NOT
represented by Counsel and requests that a
time extension be granted to file Writs of
Certiorari in both cases and/or that Counsel
be appointed to do so.

(In Summary, § 922(g)(1) violates the 2nd Amendment
and is unconstitutional under the framework laid out
by this Court in New York Rifle and Pistol Assoc. v.
Bruen; and § 48 was found facially unconstitutional
in U.S. v. Stevens, and violates the 1st Amendment.
Both Convictions should be vacated.)

RECEIVED
MAY 15 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Signature
Eric Scionti

04/28/26

04/28/26

MOTION
Postscript.

Please note:

With 18 USC 922(g)(1) - Some Appeals circuits have found the law unconstitutional - while others have found the opposite. The law should not be unevenly enforced depending upon what circuit a person resides in! Until this Court addresses this, the uneven application of "justice" will continue in America.

Signed,



Eric Scionti

Defendant

2:23-CR-00600-JT

(A §922(g)(1) Case.)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 26 2026

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ERIC THOMAS SCIONTI,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-1415

D.C. No.

2:23-cr-00600-JJT-1

District of Arizona,

Phoenix

ORDER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ERIC THOMAS SCIONTI,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 25-1416

D.C. No.

2:24-cr-00890-JJT-1

District of Arizona,

Phoenix

Before: SILVERMAN, M. SMITH, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

The motion (Docket Entry No. 31) to dismiss these appeals in light of the valid appeal waivers is granted. *See United States v. Harris*, 628 F.3d 1203, 1205 (9th Cir. 2011) (knowing and voluntary appeal waiver whose language encompasses the right to appeal on the grounds raised is enforceable). Appellant's argument that the appeal waivers are unenforceable because he is raising constitutional challenges to his convictions is unavailing. *See United States v.*

Goodall, 21 F.4th 555, 562-64 (9th Cir. 2021). Moreover, even if this court were to recognize a miscarriage of justice exception to the enforcement of an appeal waiver, appellant has not shown it would apply here.

DISMISSED.