

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C.
24-cv-8253
Swain, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 15th day of January, two thousand twenty-six.

Present:

Susan L. Carney,
Michael H. Park,
Beth Robinson,
Circuit Judges.

Joshua Kellier,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

25-1893

Warden Brian McAuliffe,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appellant, pro se, moves for a certificate of appealability (“COA”), in forma pauperis status, state-court transcripts, an evidentiary hearing, and an injunction and temporary restraining order. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the COA motion is DENIED, and the appeal is DISMISSED because Appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, and . . . whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 478 (2000); *Daye v. Attorney Gen.*, 696 F.2d 186, 190 n.3 (2d Cir. 1982) (en banc). It is further ORDERED that Appellant’s remaining motions are DENIED as moot.

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court


Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

MANDATE

*Received
February 24*

S.D.N.Y. - N.Y.C.
24-cv-8253
Swain, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 15th day of January, two thousand twenty-six.

Present:

Susan L. Carney,
Michael H. Park,
Beth Robinson,
Circuit Judges.

Joshua Kellier,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

25-1893

Warden Brian McAuliffe,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appellant, pro se, moves for a certificate of appealability ("COA"), in forma pauperis status, state-court transcripts, an evidentiary hearing, and an injunction and temporary restraining order. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the COA motion is DENIED, and the appeal is DISMISSED because Appellant has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, and . . . whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 478 (2000); *Daye v. Attorney Gen.*, 696 F.2d 186, 190 n.3 (2d Cir. 1982) (en banc). It is further ORDERED that Appellant's remaining motions are DENIED as moot.

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

A True Copy

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe



Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

MANDATE ISSUED ON 02/19/2026

