

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Natasha T. Baskin

Petitioner,

v.

No. 25-6434

Algernon M. Pitre,

Respondent,

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER


Petitioner, proceeding **pro se**, respectfully moves the Court for an extension of time to comply with the Court's Order dated March 02, 2026, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30, and in support states as follows:

I. Procedural Background

1. On November 26, 2025, Petitioner filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") in this matter.
2. On March 02, 2026, the Court entered an order denying Petitioner's IFP application and directing Petitioner to comply with the Court's filing requirements by March 23, 2026.
3. On March 04, 2026, Petitioner timely filed a **Motion for Reconsideration of the denial of IFP status**, which remains **pending before the Court**.
4. The relief sought in the reconsideration motion directly affects Petitioner's ability to comply with the Court's Order, including whether Petitioner must incur third-party printing and filing costs.

II. Grounds for Extension

5. Petitioner respectfully requests an extension of **sixty (60) days** from the current deadline.
6. Granting this extension will allow the Court to resolve the pending Motion for Reconsideration before requiring compliance that may prove unnecessary or unduly burdensome.



7. Petitioner anticipates that compliance may involve substantial third-party printing and filing costs, the necessity and scope of which depend entirely on the Court's ruling on the pending reconsideration motion.
8. A substantially shorter extension would not realistically permit compliance, as Petitioner would be required to secure sufficient funds for such costs within a timeframe that is not feasible given Petitioner's current financial circumstances.
9. Petitioner has acted diligently and in good faith by promptly filing the reconsideration motion and by seeking this extension prior to the existing deadline.
10. This request is not made for purposes of delay, but to ensure orderly compliance with the Court's procedures while preserving judicial economy and fairness.
11. No party will be prejudiced by the requested extension, as the matter remains at a preliminary procedural stage and no merits briefing schedule will be affected.

III. Requested Relief

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court grant an extension of **sixty (60) days** from the current deadline to comply with the Court's Order dated March 02, 2026, or for such other period as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

 Natasha T. Baskin 3/5/26

Natasha T. Baskin
Petitioner, Pro Se
5885 Suitland Rd
Suitland, Md 20746
240-755-4977

Date: March 05, 2026

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Natasha Baskin, certify that on this 5th day of March, 2026, I served a copy of the following documents:

- Motion for Extension of Time to Comply with Court Order

by depositing them in the United States mail, first-class postage prepaid, addressed to:

Algernon M. Pitre DBA Pitre and Associates

Respondent Pro Se

1300 Pennsylvania Ave NW, STE 700

Washington, DC 20004

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. I further certify that all parties required to be served have been served in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 29.

Respectfully submitted,

Natasha T Baskin 3/05/26

Natasha T. Baskin

Petitioner Pro Se

5885 Suitland Rd

Suitland, MD 20746

240-755-4977

