

SUGERMAN DAHAB

Via Electronic Filing and U.S. Mail

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street NE
Washington, DC 20543

April 24, 2026

Re: No. 25-960, Oregon et al. v. Paul Maney et al.

Dear Mr. Harris,

I write to request an unopposed sixty-day extension of the time to file a response to the petition for certiorari in this case. The response is currently due on May 4, 2026, and I respectfully request that the deadline be extended to, and include, July 3, 2026. This is the first extension requested for this response. The petitioner consents to this request.

There is good cause for this request. My colleagues and I are heavily engaged with other appellate matters that would make it difficult to prepare a brief absent an extension. These deadlines include: a reply brief due in the Oregon Court of Appeals in *Conry v. The Standard*, No. A186391, on April 20, 2026; a combined reply-cross-answering brief due in the Oregon Court of Appeals in *Lee v. Johnson & Johnson et al.*, No. A186372, on April 27, 2026; an opening brief due in the Oregon Court of Appeals in *Gregg v. Copic et al.*, No. A188339, on April 29, 2026; an opening brief due in the Oregon Court of Appeals in *Susman v. R&T Logging*, No. A188674, on April 30, 2026; and an opening brief due in the Ninth Circuit in *Reiger v. St. Charles et al.*, No. 25-7822, on May 6, 2026.

Additionally, the parties to this petition are currently participating in a mediation.

For these reasons, my colleagues and I will require additional time to prepare an adequate response to the petition. A sixty-day extension will allow us to balance these other responsibilities with our obligations in this case.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Nadia H. Dahab

nadia@sugermadahab.com

cc: Counsel of Record (via e-mail and U.S. Mail)