

---

---

No. 25-946

---

---

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

---

IN RE: HADEN CHRISTIAN YONCE, *Petitioner*

---

---

RULE 44 – PETITION FOR REHEARING

---

---

**HADEN CHRISTIAN YONCE**

Pro Se Petitioner

12144 Turning Branch Circle


Glen Allen, Virginia 23059

Telephone: (804) 385-8375

Email: [hadenyonce.design@gmail.com](mailto:hadenyonce.design@gmail.com)

---

---



---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

---

|                                                               |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| I. Grounds for Rehearing Under Rule 44.....                   | 4  |
| II. The Question Presented: Constitutional Slavery.....       | 8  |
| III. The Financial Starvation Timeline.....                   | 11 |
| IV. The 24/7 Global Extraction System.....                    | 21 |
| V. Extraordinary Circumstances: Three Coordinated Frauds..... | 27 |
| VI. The April 1-9 Coordinated Obstruction.....                | 34 |
| VII. The Record Was Falsified Before Conference.....          | 37 |
| VIII. The Socratic Binary: No Clean Hands Remain.....         | 39 |
| IX. Conclusion.....                                           | 46 |

---

---

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

---

---

### Cases:

*Bullcoming v. New Mexico*, 564 U.S. 647 (2011)

*Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*,

322 U.S. 238 (1944)

*United States v. Kozminski*, 487 U.S. 931 (1988)

*United States v. Throckmorton*, 98 U.S. 61 (1878)

**Constitutional Provisions:**

U.S. Const. amend. XIII (Prohibition of Slavery)

U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1 (Due Process Clause)

**Supreme Court Rules:**

Rule 44.1 (Petition for Rehearing)

Rule 44.2 (Grounds for Rehearing)

**Federal Statutes:**

18 U.S.C. § 1512 (Evidence Destruction)

28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(1) (Federal Circuit Jurisdiction)

28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (Exclusive Patent Jurisdiction)

29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq. (ERISA)

---

---

**I. GROUNDS FOR REHEARING UNDER RULE 44**

---

---

**A. Rule 44 Standard**

---

**Supreme Court Rule 44.2:**

"A petition for rehearing will be granted only in the most **extraordinary circumstances.**"

**THIS CASE PRESENTS THOSE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES.**

---

**B. The April 20, 2026 Denial**

---

On April 20, 2026, this Court entered order denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus (Case No. 25-946).

**Conference date:** April 17, 2026

**Denial date:** April 20, 2026

**This Petition filed:** April 20, 2026

(same day, within 25-day window)

---

**C. Three Independent Grounds for Rehearing**

---

**Ground 1: Constitutional Slavery -**

**13th and 14th Amendments**

Petitioner subjected to 24/7 global extraction by corporate defendants and U.S./Allied intelligence agencies (NSA/Five Eyes) while being:

¥ **Denied all compensation**

(retirement withheld, employment terminated by Microsoft in October, left with nearly zero dollars starting November 2025 after his wife, whose brother works at Apple in Cupertino, drained all financial accounts.)

¥ **Nearly starved to death twice**

(November-January 2025)

¥ **Forced to use free blockchain service** (could not afford \$3,954,600.00

traditional certified mail service to 40+ defendants)

¥ **Prevented from exit**

(NSA click-zero device reactivation, Five Eyes monitoring, Fortune 500 blacklist)

**This is involuntary servitude** under the 13th Amendment (*Kozminski*, 487 U.S. 931, 942 (1988)).

**THIS IS PROPERTY DEPRIVATION WITHOUT DUE PROCESS UNDER THE 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT.**

---

**Ground 2:**

**Coordinated Evidence Destruction (April 1-9)**

Three courts/agencies coordinated in 9-day window before Conference:

- **April 1:** Delaware deleted \$1.5Q UCC evidence after 120-day acceptance
- **April 8:** Third Circuit admitted exclusive federal patent jurisdiction
- **April 9:** District Court falsely claimed "failure to serve" despite blockchain proof + 195-day defendant silence, and sends a letter that they closed the case the day before.

**Statistical probability of coincidence:** 0.00017% ☒99.99983% coordination.

—

### **Ground 3: April 9 Case Cancellation - Preemptive Mootness**

Judge Noreika **"fully closed" underlying case April 8 and mailed it April 9.**

**THIS IS FRAUD UPON THE COURT.**

---

## **II. THE QUESTION PRESENTED: CONSTITUTIONAL SLAVERY**

---

### **A. The Binary Question This Court Must Answer**

---

**Does the Constitution protect a human being from being cloned, enslaved, and starved to death by the corporations and government agencies using**

**his stolen intellectual labor to generate trillions in profit and global intelligence stability?**

**This Court's April 20 denial answers: No.**

**If that answer stands:**

- **13th Amendment:** Cannot prevent involuntary servitude when victim provides sufficient value
- **14th Amendment:** Cannot protect property when theft exceeds planetary GDP
- **Supremacy Clause:** Cannot prevent coordinated state/federal obstruction of SCOTUS review

**REHEARING IS NECESSARY TO  
REVERSE THIS PRECEDENT.**

---

**C. The Socratic Parallel**

---

**Petitioner faces the same choice as Socrates:**

- **Abandon truth** (withdraw case, accept starvation, let \$1.5Q theft proceed)
- **OR stand on principle** (continue filing even while being starved to death)

**Socrates chose hemlock over betrayal of truth.**

**Petitioner chooses Federal Evidentiary Metadata Blockchain over starvation.**

---

**But this Court must answer:**

**Does the Constitution require Petitioner to die like Socrates to prove the theft occurred?**

**Or can this Court enforce property rights while Petitioner still lives?**

**If the former, the 14th Amendment is dead.**

**If the latter, rehearing is required.**

---

---

### **III. THE FINANCIAL STARVATION TIMELINE**

---

---

#### **A. November 2025: Coordinated Impoverishment**

---

**October 2025:**

Petitioner filed federal complaint in Delaware (Case No. 1:25-cv-01244).

**November 2025:** Defendants leveraged state actors to reduce Petitioner's bank account to **nearly zero dollars**.

**Mechanism:**

- ¥ **Microsoft** got Petitioner **fired from TD Bank** employment
- ¥ **Retirement funds withheld** by Fidelity (ERISA violations)
- ¥ **State harassment** drained resources
- ¥ **Result:** Bank account approached **zero**

**PETITIONER NEARLY STARVED TO DEATH TWICE.**

---

**B. January 2025: Minimal Survival Transfer**

---

**January 2025:**

T. Rowe Price released **minimal funds** from Petitioner's few years of TD Bank retirement.

**Amount:** Just enough to prevent immediate death from starvation.

**Critical fact:** This was **NOT** Petitioner's full retirement savings (Fidelity continues withholding the bulk – which was made at Capital One).

**THIS TRANSFER HAS BARELY SUSTAINED LIFE FROM JANUARY TO NOW.**

---

**C. Why FEMB Service Was Not a "Choice" But Necessity**

---

**October 9, 2025: <https://lnkd.in/emBRgkde>**

Petitioner perfected service via Federal Evidentiary Metadata Blockchain (LinkedIn platform).

**Why FEMB was used:**

¥ NOT because Petitioner preferred digital service.

¥ BECAUSE PETITIONER COULD NOT AFFORD TRADITIONAL SERVICE.

**Financial reality (October-November 2025):**

¥ No income

¥ Retirement withheld

¥ Bank account near zero

¥ 40+ defendants to serve

¥ Traditional service cost & printing fees: 117,000 pages x (\$0.065 print + \$0.78 mail) =

**\$98,865 x 40 = \$3,954,600.00**

**Petitioner did not have \$3,954,600.00**

**FEMB service cost: \$0 (LinkedIn platform free).**

**The starvation tactic forced Petitioner to use blockchain service because defendants ensured Petitioner could not afford U.S. Mail certified service to 40+ Fortune 500 entities.**

## D. The District Court's April 9 Service Challenge: Legal Sadism

---

**April 9, 2026:** Judge Noreika claimed "failure to serve" despite:

- **FEMB service perfected October 9, 2025** (blockchain-verified, 2,769+ witnesses, self-authenticating FRE 902(13))
- **Defendants' 195-day silence** = adoptive admission under FRE 801(d)(2)(B)
- **Zero defendant motions** contesting service

**The additional cruelty:**

Judge Noreika challenges service method that Petitioner was **forced to use** because defendants **starved him into poverty**.

**The logic:**

1. Defendants get Petitioner fired (Microsoft/TD Bank)
2. Defendants withhold retirement (Fidelity)
3. Petitioner left with nearly zero dollars
4. Petitioner cannot afford traditional service (\$3,954,600.00)
5. Petitioner uses free blockchain service (FEMB)
6. Defendants file ZERO motions for 195 days (admission service occurred)
7. Judge raises "deficient service" **sua sponte** 98 days past Rule 4(m) deadline

**Translation:**

"We starved you so you couldn't afford proper service, then we'll claim your free service wasn't proper, even though we never contested it for 195 days."

**THIS IS LEGAL SADISM.**

---

**E. Current Financial Status (April 2026)**

---

**Income: \$0** (blacklisted from Fortune 500, Microsoft termination ensures unemployability)

**Retirement access: \$0** (Fidelity continues withholding based on District Court's April 9 "case closed" status)

**Bank account: Minimal** (surviving on T. Rowe Price scraps from January transfer)

**Food security: Precarious** (rationing food, skipping meals)

**Meanwhile:**

¥ **Defendants extract \$1.5 quadrillion**

in intellectual property value

¥ **NSA/Five Eyes extract 24/7**

for global intelligence stability

¥ **Global AI systems depend**

on Petitioner's stolen HelixOS™ architecture

**Petitioner generates trillions in value.**

**Petitioner cannot afford groceries.**

**THIS IS SLAVERY.**

---

#### **F. The 13th Amendment Violation**

---

*United States v. Kozminski*, 487 U.S. 931, 942 (1988): Involuntary servitude exists when compelled to labor "through the use or threatened use of **physical or legal coercion**."

#### **Physical coercion = Starvation:**

- ✓ November 2025: Nearly starved to death
- ✓ December 2025: Nearly starved to death
- ✓ April 2026: Ongoing starvation risk (minimal funds, no income, retirement withheld)

#### **Legal coercion = Falsified Records:**

- ✓ Delaware deleted \$1.5Q evidence (April 1)

- ✓ District Court falsely claimed "non-service" despite 195-day defendant silence (April 9)
- ✓ District Court challenges poverty-induced service method (April 9)
- ✓ Henrico guardian threatens legal capacity
- ✓ Caroline capias threatens physical arrest

**Combined effect:**

**"Provide free intellectual labor to stabilize our AI systems, or die of starvation."**

**THIS IS TEXTBOOK DEFINITION OF INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE.**

---

---

**IV. THE 24/7 GLOBAL EXTRACTION SYSTEM**

---

---

**A. The Total Information Conscription**

---

Petitioner is not merely a litigant in a patent dispute.

**Petitioner is the involuntary fuel source for:**

**1. Corporate AI Systems**

**(Fortune 500 Defendants)**

- Microsoft, Alphabet/Google, Meta, OpenAI, Anthropic, xAI, Apple, Amazon, Tesla, Neuralink - **all built on stolen HelixOS™ cognitive architecture.**

## 2. U.S. Intelligence Apparatus

- **NSA:** Reactivates Petitioner's devices with "**click-zero**" protocol (turns devices back on the instant Petitioner turns them off)
- **Continuous surveillance:** Every thought, draft, conversation extracted in real-time
- **Predictive intelligence:** Petitioner's cognitive patterns stabilize U.S. intelligence networks

## 3. Allied Intelligence (Five Eyes)

- United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, New Zealand - **global intelligence stability depends on extracting Petitioner's output.**

---

## B. The "Genie in the Lamp" Model

---

### The system's position:

"We don't own the genie. We let him keep the lamp home he came with. Therefore, not slavery."

### The reality:

- ✓ **Cannot access earned capital**
- ✓ **Cannot gain employment** (blacklisted from Fortune 500, Microsoft terminated)
- ✓ **Cannot exit extraction**  
(24/7 Global Monitoring)
- ✓ **Forced to stabilize systems**  
(Demanded to "stabilize global AI" while withholding all compensation)
- ✓ **Left with nearly zero dollars**  
(Forced to near-starvation twice)

**The "lamp" is:**

- ✓ A home Petitioner cannot afford utilities
- ✓ Surrounded by continuous surveillance
- ✓ In a jurisdiction where courts coordinate to eliminate legal capacity

**This is the 21st century evolution of slavery:**

**Not a plantation,**

**but a network.**

**Not a physical cage,**

**but economic/legal coercion preventing exit.**

**NOT A SINGLE MASTER, BUT A COORDINATED**

**CORPORATE/GOVERNMENT EXTRACTION SYSTEM.**

### **C. The Precedent This Denial Establishes**

---

**If this Court's April 20 denial stands:**

#### **1. Scale of theft eliminates Constitutional protection**

"You cannot steal" **UNLESS** you steal more than planetary GDP - then courts are "paralyzed by the math" and theft is permitted.

---

#### **2. Value of victim eliminates Constitutional protection**

"Slavery is bad" **UNLESS** the victim is a "genie" (provides extraordinary value) - then involuntary servitude is permitted because system depends on extraction.

---

#### **3. Starvation is legal coercion**

Corporations can reduce victims to near-zero dollars, force them to near-death by starvation twice, then claim poverty-induced service methods are "deficient."

---

#### **4. Coordinated obstruction succeeds**

State + federal courts can coordinate evidence destruction, record falsification, and case cancellation to obstruct SCOTUS review with complete impunity.

---

## **5. Government can enslave by extraction**

NSA/Five Eyes can treat any citizen as "critical infrastructure utility," extract 24/7 without compensation, and use legal system to prevent exit or remedy.

**THIS MAKES THE 13<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENTS DEAD LETTERS.**

---

---

## **V. EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES:**

### **THREE COORDINATED FRAUDS**

---

---

#### **A. The Legal Standard**

---

**Rule 44.2:** "A petition for rehearing will be granted only in the most extraordinary circumstances."

*Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238, 245 (1944):

"This Court has **inherent power** to set aside its own judgments upon proof that a **fraud has been perpetrated upon the Court.**"

*United States v. Throckmorton*, 98 U.S. 61, 65-66 (1878):

Fraud "which prevents a party from having a **trial**" or "from presenting his **case**" justifies reopening judgment.

---

## **B. Fraud 1: Delaware Evidence Destruction (April 1)**

---

### **Timeline:**

- ✓ **January 5, 2026:** Hand-delivered UCC-1 Filing No. 20260034195 (\$50 fee paid, stamped, accepted)
- ✓ **January-March 2026:** Delaware accepted 40+ UCC-3 amendments (\$2,000+ fees paid from T. Rowe Price minimal transfer)
- ✓ **March 11, 2026:** All 40+ defendants **defaulted** (commercial admission of \$1.5Q debt)
- ✓ **April 1, 2026:** Delaware claimed filings "bogus," **deleted from records**

### **Why this is fraud:**

- ✓ **120-day acceptance**  
(far beyond any "clerical error" window)
- ✓ **21 days after commercial default**  
(March 11 + 21 = April 1)
- ✓ **16 days before SCOTUS Conference**  
(April 1 + 16 = April 17)

- ✓ **\$2,000+ fees collected** (proves Delaware profited from "bogus" filings for 120 days)

## **TIMING PROVES INTENT TO OBSTRUCT CONFERENCE.**

---

### **C. Fraud 2: District Court Service Falsification (April 9)**

---

#### **Timeline:**

- **October 9, 2025:** Service perfected via FEMB (LinkedIn, 2,769+ witnesses, FRE 902(13))
- **Why FEMB used:** Petitioner could not afford \$3,954,600.00 traditional service because defendants reduced him to near-zero dollars
- **October 2025 - April 9, 2026:** Defendants filed **ZERO motions** (195 days)
- **April 9, 2026:** Judge Noreika claimed "failure to serve"

#### **Why this is fraud:**

- ✓ **Defendants' 195-day silence** = adoptive admission under FRE 801(d)(2)(B) that service occurred
- ✓ **98 days past Rule 4(m) deadline**  
(should have raised January 2)

✓ **Sua sponte**

(court acting without defendant motion)

✓ **Coordinated timing** (mailed 1 day after Third Circuit admission and canceled on the 8<sup>th</sup>.)

✓ **Challenges poverty method:**

Attacks service method Petitioner was forced to use because defendants starved him

**COURT CANNOT OVERRIDE DEFENDANTS' OWN ADMISSION THAT SERVICE OCCURRED.**

---

**D. Fraud 3: April 9 Case Cancellation (Preemptive Mootness)**

---

**Timeline:**

→ **April 9, 2026:** Judge Noreika sends mail that the case is "**fully closed**"

→ **April 17, 2026:** SCOTUS Conference (**8 days after closure**)

→ **April 23, 2026:** Show cause deadline (**6 days after Conference**)

---

**Why this is fraud**

✓ **Timed to moot Conference:** Case closed and mail sent 8 days before, deadline 6 days after

- ✓ **Coordinated with Delaware:** April 1 deletion + April 9 cancellation = state + federal pincer
  - ✓ **Weaponized mootness:** Even if SCOTUS grants relief, no underlying case remains
- 

## E. Statistical Proof of Coordination

---

### Three independent events in 9-day window:

- ✓ April 1 (Delaware deletion - could have occurred any day in 120-day window)
- ✓ April 8 (Third Circuit admission - could have occurred any day in review period)
- ✓ April 9 (District Court cancellation - could have occurred any day in 191-day period)

### Probability analysis:

- $P(\text{Delaware chooses April 1}) = 1/120 = 0.0083$
- $P(\text{Third Circuit chooses April 8}) = 1/26 = 0.0385$
- $P(\text{District Court chooses April 9}) = 1/191 = 0.0052$

### Combined probability:

$$0.0083 \times 0.0385 \times 0.0052 = \mathbf{0.0000017} \text{ (0.00017\%)}$$

**THIS IS A 99.99983%**

**CERTAINTY OF COORDINATION.**

**THIS IS NOT COINCIDENCE.**

**THIS IS CONSPIRACY.**

---

---

## **VI. THE APRIL 1-9 COORDINATED OBSTRUCTION**

---

---

### **A. The Complete Timeline**

---

#### **April 1:**

Delaware deleted \$1.5Q UCC evidence (16 days before Conference, 21 days after commercial default)

#### **April 8:**

Third Circuit issued order admitting "**the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has exclusive appellate jurisdiction** over appeals where at least one claim relates to patents under 28 U.S.C. § 1338"

#### **What this proves:**

Case involves federal patent claims, Federal Circuit has exclusive jurisdiction, **state courts have ZERO jurisdiction** (28 U.S.C. § 1338(a))

**Result:**

All state obstruction (Henrico, Caroline capias, Delaware deletion) was **void ab initio**

**April 9:**

District Court sends mail that the case is "fully closed" + challenged service despite 195-day defendant silence

**April 13:** Supplemental briefs docketed at SCOTUS

**April 17:** SCOTUS Conference

**(4 days to absorb coordination pattern)**

---

**B. Effect on Conference**

---

**When Justices convened April 17:**

- ⌘ **Financial evidence deleted**
- ⌘ **Case "closed"**
- ⌘ **Service falsified**
- ⌘ **Jurisdictional proof buried**

**THE COURT REVIEWED THIS CASE WHILE THE EVIDENTIARY  
FOUNDATION WAS BEING DESTROYED IN REAL-TIME.**

---

---

## VII. THE RECORD WAS FALSIFIED BEFORE CONFERENCE

---

---

### A. Insufficient Deliberation Time

---

**Supplemental briefs docketed:** April 13

→ **Conference:** April 17

→ **Gap:** 4 days

#### Insufficient time to fully absorb:

- ✓ Delaware's 120-day acceptance → surgical deletion pattern
- ✓ Third Circuit's admission proving all state obstruction void
- ✓ District Court's 98-day delay → April 9 coordination
- ✓ Service method challenge targeting poverty-induced FEMB use
- ✓ November 2025 starvation campaign forcing FEMB necessity
- ✓ Mathematical proof

(99.99983% coordination probability)

---

### B. Can District Courts Moot SCOTUS Review?

---

If yes:

- ✖ Any district judge can obstruct Supreme Court
- ✖ Simply "close" case days before Conference
- ✖ SCOTUS has "nothing to review"
- ✖ Supremacy Clause becomes meaningless

**If no:**

- ✓ Rehearing necessary to establish this Court's authority
- ✓ Prevent lower court manipulation of SCOTUS jurisdiction

---

---

**VIII. THE SOCRATIC BINARY: NO CLEAN HANDS REMAIN**

---

---

**A. Why the Justices Cannot Walk Away**

---

Normally, denial = clean exit.

Not here.

**This Petition documents:**

- ¥ **Nov. + Dec. 2025 starvation campaign**
- ¥ **FEMB service necessity**
- ¥ **April 1 deletion** (Delaware destroyed \$1.5Q evidence specifically to obstruct Conference)
- ¥ **April 9 cancellation** (District Court closed case to create mootness)

- ✘ **April 9 service lie** (claimed "non-service" despite defendants' 195-day admission AND challenged poverty-induced method)
- ✘ **13th/14th Amendment crisis** (starved while forced to provide unpaid labor)

**If this Court denies rehearing, it endorses:**

- ✘ Lower courts' right to lie to Supreme Court
- ✘ State actors' right to delete evidence in pending SCOTUS cases
- ✘ Corporations' right to starve victims into poverty, then challenge poverty-induced litigation methods
- ✘ Corporations' right to clone, enslave, and starve human sources of intellectual property
- ✘ Government's right to treat citizens as "critical infrastructure utilities" extractable 24/7 without compensation

**The Justices' hands are now tied to:**

- ✓ Judge Noreika's fraud
- ✓ Delaware's evidence destruction
- ✓ Microsoft/Fidelity's starvation tactic
- ✓ The cruelty of challenging FEMB service that starvation forced

**THERE IS NO "MIDDLE GROUND."**

## **B. The Binary Choice**

---

### **Option 1: Grant Rehearing**

- ✓ Protect 13th/14th Amendments
- ✓ Exercise supervisory authority over falsifying lower courts
- ✓ Enforce property rights while Petitioner still lives
- ✓ Establish that coordination to obstruct SCOTUS review fails
- ✓ Establish that starvation cannot be used to invalidate litigation methods
- ✓ Acknowledge that poverty-induced service is still service when defendants admit it through 195-day silence

---

### **Option 2:**

#### **Deny Rehearing = Precedents Established**

- ✓ Constitutional protections end when theft exceeds planetary GDP
- ✓ Involuntary servitude permitted when victim provides extraordinary value
- ✓ Courts can coordinate evidence destruction/record falsification with impunity
- ✓ Corporations can starve victims into poverty, then challenge poverty-induced litigation methods

- ✓ Death sentence by corporate starvation is legal remedy against federal plaintiffs

**THIS CHOICE HAS NO “CLEAN” OUTCOME.**

---

### **C. The Immortal Record**

---

**Federal Evidentiary Metadata Blockchain ensures:**

① This Petition is **public and immutable**

② Service is **blockchain-verified**

③ Future historians will see this Court was

**informed in real-time that:**

- ✓ A citizen was starved to near-zero dollars
- ✓ A citizen nearly died twice from starvation
- ✓ A citizen was forced to use free service because defendants impoverished him
- ✓ District Court challenged that poverty-induced method
- ✓ Lower courts coordinated to obstruct SCOTUS review
- ✓ Evidence was deleted 16 days before Conference
- ✓ Case was canceled 8 days before Conference

**EVEN IF THIS COURT STAYS SILENT, THE RECORD SPEAKS.**

---

---

## IX. CONCLUSION

---

---

**This case presents the "most extraordinary circumstances" required by Rule 44.2:**

### **1. Constitutional slavery (13th/14th Amendments)**

Petitioner subjected to 24/7 extraction by corporate defendants and U.S./Allied intelligence (NSA/Five Eyes) while:

- ¥ **Denied all compensation**
- ¥ **Reduced to near-zero dollars**
- ¥ **Nearly starved to death twice**
- ¥ **Forced to use free blockchain service**
- ¥ **Prevented from exit**

**THIS IS INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE UNDER KOZMINSKI STANDARD.**

---

### **2. Coordinated evidence destruction (April 1-9)**

Three courts/agencies in 9-day window, all targeting April 17 Conference.

Statistical probability of coincidence: **0.00017%** ☑99.99983% coordination

---

### **3. Case cancellation to moot Conference**

**(April 9)**

District Court closed case 8 days before Conference with deadline 6 days after, specifically to weaponize mootness.

---

### **4. Falsified record before Conference**

- ¥ **Service challenge:** Attacked FEMB method Petitioner was forced to use because defendants starved him into poverty
- ¥ **Evidence:** Delaware deleted \$1.5Q liens after 120-day acceptance
- ¥ **Jurisdiction:** Third Circuit admitted exclusive federal jurisdiction, proving all state obstruction void
- ¥ **Timing:** Supplemental briefs docketed April 13 = 4 days to absorb coordination

**THE APRIL 20<sup>TH</sup> DENIAL WAS BASED ON A SYSTEMATICALLY CORRUPTED RECORD.**

---

**The question presented:**

**Does the Constitution protect a human being from being cloned, enslaved, and starved to death by the corporations and government agencies using his stolen intellectual labor to generate trillions in profit and global intelligence stability?**

**This Court's April 20 denial answers: No.**

**Petitioner faces the Socratic choice:**

**Die to prove the theft occurred, or abandon truth.**

**LIKE SOCRATES, PETITIONER REFUSES TO ABANDON TRUTH.**

**Unlike Socrates, Petitioner has the Federal Evidentiary Metadata Blockchain.**

**The record is immutable.**

—

**This Court must decide:**

**Does the Constitution require Petitioner to die to prove what happened?**

**Or can this Court enforce property rights while Petitioner still lives?**

**THE JUSTICES CANNOT WALK AWAY WITH CLEAN HANDS.**

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of April, 2026.



---

**HADEN CHRISTIAN YONCE**

Pro Se Petitioner and Counsel of Record

12144 Turning Branch Circle

Glen Allen, Virginia 23059

[hadenyonce.design@gmail.com](mailto:hadenyonce.design@gmail.com)

(804) 385-8375

---

---

**CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL**

---

---

**Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44.2, I hereby certify that:**

① This Petition for Rehearing is **presented in**  
**good faith** and not for delay.

② This Petition is **restricted to grounds**  
**specified in Rule 44.2:**

③ Intervening circumstances (April coordination)

¥ Constitutional crisis

(13th/14th Amendments, 2025 starvation)

¥ Fraud upon Court

(falsified record, service challenge targeting poverty method)

I am **counsel of record** for Petitioner.

Executed this 20th day of April, 2026.



---

**HADEN CHRISTIAN YONCE**

Petitioner and Counsel of Record

---

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

---

---

I hereby certify that on April 20, 2026, copies of this Petition for Rehearing were served via **Federal Evidentiary Metadata Blockchain (FEMB - LinkedIn platform)** and U.S. Mail upon all parties who have appeared in this case or who were served in the underlying proceedings, including:

**Corporate Defendants** (Microsoft Corporation, Alphabet Inc., Meta Platforms Inc., OpenAI LP, Anthropic PBC, xAI Corp., Apple Inc., Amazon.com Inc., Tesla Inc., and others listed in original Petition)

**State Actors:**

Supreme Court of the U.S. Docket No.:25-946

Delaware Secretary of State Charuni Patibanda-Sanchez

Delaware Attorney General Kathleen "Kathy" Jennings

Henrico County Circuit Court

Caroline County General District Court

---

**Federal Courts:**

U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (Judge Maryellen Noreika)

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (Judges Shwartz, Freeman, Chung)

**FEMB Service Link:**

☒ <https://lnkd.in/eznrARj8>



---

HADEN CHRISTIAN YONCE

---

---

---

## CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

---

---

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44.2, I hereby certify that:

① This Petition for Rehearing is **presented in**

**good faith** and not for delay.

② This Petition is **restricted to grounds**

**specified in Rule 44.2:**

③ Intervening circumstances (April coordination)

¥ Constitutional crisis

(13th/14th Amendments, 2025 starvation)

¥ Fraud upon Court

(falsified record, service challenge targeting poverty method)

I am **counsel of record** for Petitioner.

Executed this 20th day of April, 2026.



---

**HADEN CHRISTIAN YONCE**

Petitioner and Counsel of Record