

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN CIRCUIT COURT

COUNTY OF PENNINGTON

) SS

SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CLAYTON WALKER,
Plaintiff,

51CIV23-001329

vs.

**Notice of Entry of ORDER
GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS**

**THOMAS AUTO, INC.,
FRANK THOMAS TRUST,
TERRI GUCCIONE,
MAYOR JASON SALAMUN,
MICHELLE SCHUELKE,
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HER
OFFICIAL CAPACITY, AND
CITY OF RAPID CITY,**
Defendant.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Rule 11 Sanctions in file 51CIV23-001329 was entered in the above-entitled matter on the 7TH day 2025 by the Honorable Robert Gusinsky and filed on the 7th day of May 2025 by the Pennington County Clerk of Courts at Rapid City, South Dakota. Certified copies of the Order are attached hereto and served upon you.

Dated this 7th day of May 2025.



/s/ Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By: /s/ Heather Shaw, Deputy Clerk I

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

MAY - 8 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By Heather Shaw Deputy

List of Parties

Attorneys for the Respondents with their respective stock ticker symbols rule 14.1 B

Parties/ Defendant/ Respondents	Attorney for Parties
Frank Thomas Trust, Terri Guccione, Thomas Auto, No Stock Ticker Number	David R. Hansen, Tel no 605-721-3958 1830 W. Fulton St. Suite 102 Rapid City SD 57702
Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke, City of Rapid City, No Stock Ticker Number	-Cash Anderson, Tel No 605-224-8803 PO Box 160 Pierre SD 57501
Clayton G. Walker, No Stock Ticker Number/symbol,	Pro Se, 1515 E saint Patrick Street. # 356 Rapid City, South Dakota, 57703 605-431-4086

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition, but was dismissed in the lower courts is as Follows: Jeffery and Jodi Bent, 605 Storage and Extra Storage, they have been dismissed Defendants, Rule 12.6 dictates that everyone in lower court case is involved Under new rules adopted February 17, 2026 Rule 14, for review Corporate disclosures are not publicly held companies or owning more than 10% they are not listed on the stock exchange and have no stock ticker for the parties listed.

IN THE SUPREME COURT

OF THE

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

AUG 22 2025

Shirley A. Johnson Legal
Clerk

* * * *

CLAYTON WALKER,	)	
Plaintiff and Appellant,	)	ORDER DENYING APPELLEES'
vs.	)	MOTIONS TO DISMISS APPEAL
	)	
THOMAS AUTO, INC., FRANK	)	#31111
THOMAS TRUST, TERRI	)	
GUCCIONE, MAYOR JASON	)	
SALAMUN, MICHELLE SCHUELKE	)	
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HER	)	
OFFICIAL CAPACITY, and CITY OF	)	
RAPID CITY,	)	
Defendants and Appellees.	)	
	)	

Appellees, Terri Guccione, Frank G. Thomas Trust, and Thomas Auto Inc., and Appellees, Mayor Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke and City of Rapid City served and filed motions to dismiss the appeal taken in the above-entitled matter with prejudice. Appellant served and filed a reply. The Court considered the motions and reply, and it is

ORDERED that appellees' motion to dismiss are denied.

DATED at Pierre, South Dakota this 22nd day of August, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Clerk of the Supreme Court
(SEAL)

[Signature]
Steven R. Jensen, Chief Justice

PARTICIPATING: Chief Justice Steven R. Jensen and Justices Janine M. Kern, Mark E. Salter, Patricia J. DeVaney and Scott P. Myren.

State of South Dakota

)
) :SS
)

In the Circuit Court

Seventh Judicial Circuit

Clayton Walker, Plaintiff, v. Thomas Auto Inc., Frank Thomas Trust, Terri Guccione Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke, City of Rapid City, ect all, Defendants	51 CIV 23 01329 Notice Motion and Motion to Set Aside the Judgment under SDCL 15-6-60(b)(3)
--	---

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

NOW COMES, CLAYTON G. WALKER, Please Take Notice, The Plaintiff Clayton G. Walker herein referred to as Plaintiff, the plaintiff seeks a Motion to Set Aside the Judgment from Judge Robert Gusinsky on an Order from May 7th 2025. This is not an appeal, as the plaintiff will be filing a Writ of Certiorari with the Supreme Court of the United States but must exhaust for a review of national Importance and Questions. The Plaintiff Request the court to set Aside Judgment, pursuant to SDCL 15-6-60(b)(3) and would respectfully show this Honorable Court the following issues as seen below: The SDCL 15-6-60 (b)(3) allows for an independent action or motion to be filed no more than one year after the Judgment for Fraud Upon the Court by Defense Counsel to mislead the court. Terri Guccione who lied under oath when she had the title transferred in her name stating the Plaintiffs SUV was Abandoned when in fact the plaintiff was fighting in court for the return of the SUV, when the Attorney David Hansen stated that Thomas Auto only had Two employees, collaborating with Cash Anderson and a Court Reporter during Discovery, Defendants mislead the court, Concealment of Material information, actively hiding critical, discoverable materials like other cars transferred into Terri Guccione and the

business name. The attorney submitted evidence known to be false, for example the number of employee (2 employees) Thomas auto has. When an attorney departs from the standard in the conduct of a case he perpetrates a fraud upon the court. See Kupferman v. Consolidated Research and Manufacturing Corp. the concealment of Material Information was actively hiding critical, Discoverable information or Documents that the plaintiff party requested. Cash Anderson also withheld discoverable witness and did a document drop. Both parties used Rambo-lawyering tactics using discovery tactics that has resulted in a tainted Judgment. The plaintiff has found attorneys engaging in litigation tactics, the plaintiff is on the receiving end of this abuse and is a victim and has a standing to seek redress from the court. The courts must set aside Judgments in cases where the victim was not adequately represented by counsel when the fraud Occurred. This highlights a growing problem of Pro Se litigants not getting the fair end of the Rules as seen in this case. The Defendants attorney violate the Right to Religion in the Plaintiff being a Indigent litigant and the parties Committing "thou shalt not steal" is the eighth of the ten Commandment forbidding the unauthorized taking of property without notice. When the attorneys sense this weakens, they will seize the opportunity. When the litigant can not afford an attorney or can not find representation, pro se litigants like the plaintiff will have aggressive attorney's like when the plaintiff was assaulted at the deposition with witness and affidavit. The plaintiff did encounter opposing attorney's who refused to play by the rules because the attorney seizing the opportunity of the chances of being caught, sanctioned, or challenged are relatively small. That's not Justice, that's deceptive. The Defendants attorneys using tactics that the plaintiff is not as qualified, thus engaging in improper or unsound litigation. When the attorneys are hiding evidence and witness that will help the plaintiff win his case, is unconstitutional.

The plaintiff has a constitutional right to call witnesses of attorney misconduct in other courts, the court reporter fraud, and discovery fraud upon the court and asks that the court let the plaintiff have his day in court when it comes to Fraud Upon the Court and protecting judgments obtained by misconduct. The constitutional right to call witness is the fundamental due process right under the 9th and 14th Amendment. The record shows attorney misconduct and the plaintiff wants to show the court with a hearing to set this judgment aside. Convincing evidence can be shown with subpoenas and testimonial in a court of law with a jury to decide the disputable facts. The Fraud-on-the-court rule that the United States Supreme Court articulated in Hazel-atlas should be flexible on evaluating Fraud, the action of the attorneys caused the court to not preform in the usual justice and its manner to its impartial task of adjudging cases. The court must do whatever is necessary to deter abuse of the Judicial process when it comes to withholding discovery evidence and witnesses. The attorneys have deceived the court by stating all discovery was given but in fact Thomas auto has more than two employees as seen in his motion, briefs and video evidence.

The plaintiff seeks relief allowing the plaintiff to call witnesses when David Hansen represented people in Federal Court he allegedly deceived them by denying the right to a speedy public trial within 180 days, growing the amount of money he would receive from the Fed. Govt. of Fraud, something that David Hansen still has not defended. The Federal Governments "Department of Government efficiency"(doge) in targeting wasteful spending, fraud and abuse. The attorneys' actions do fall under the Doges broader audit scope in Federal actions. If the Attorney's are to use cases filed in Federal Court by the plaintiff, than those attorneys better be prepared to defend their actions/cases filed by them in the Federal District Court.

In Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944) addressing Fraud on the Court even after time has passed , due to the need to protect the integrity of the judicial process. The court concluded that equitable powers allow for the overturning of judgements tainted by such deception, regardless of time elapsed. It solidified that judgments obtained through fraudulent means can be overturned, protecting the integrity of the Judicial system. The plaintiff wants due process and demands a jury to determine disputable facts of fraud upon the court, if both parties paid for the court reporter than both parties are responsible. The court reporter wanted cash from the plaintiff to take his depositions, but took payment from cash Anderson and David Hansen, please see the SDCL 22-3-5 Accessory to a crime. The plaintiff has a right to present his side of the argument with evidence, discovery and a Jury. Cash Anderson hid witnesses employees of city, other tows and stolen cars. withholding discoverable matters, of other tows when judge Pfeifle stated the names must be preserved David Hansen and Cash Anderson didn't think those needed to be disclosed and committed an action on the plaintiffs Motion to Compel. Please see SDCL 19-7-14 Suppression or destruction of documentary evidence, when misleading investigations, using fraud, or deceit to prevent a person from producing records to litigate and of the spoliation of evidence and maliciously suppress is a misdemeanor. The plaintiff's tape recording of Sergeant Christopher Hunt that evidence shows that because I didn't come out of the hospital fast enough on or around Oct. 6th 2023, a police report was not going to be filed with Sergeant Hunt in regards to the attorneys actions in fraud upon the court. The plaintiff complained to his supervisor but, nothing was going to be done and a police report was not going to be written. Withholding crimes done by other people because I was still getting bandaged up at urgent care hospital and Sergeant Hunt not willing to wait

caused him to state he's not going to file the report is unconstitutional and a violation of my rights.

With the rules of civil procedure providing an opposing party with evidence that might harm their clients case, David chose not to disclose who the employees were that witness the assault at the tow yard that sent the plaintiff to the hospital and chose not to disclose other tows even when the plaintiff found out other assaults on Yelp . which have now been removed, making another fraud on the court by hiding evidence and only subpoenas would show how the comment got removed of other parties being assaulted by the tow yard at Thomas Auto. Terri Guccione allegedly committed Perjury when she transferred the title Land Rover into her name during court litigation saying the Land Rover was abandoned was deceptive transfer of title and fraud, The plaintiff filed a theft by deception motion, asking a judge to review a claim of perjury as obstruction of justice in a civil case is not frivolous, because its supported by evidence and address a material issue of theft by deception. A good faith effort is a goal to bring grievances significant fraud on a court to the judges attention, the court may refer the matter to prosecutor, allowing these action on fraud upon the court would discourages Pro Se litigants from taking action to protect their interest. This is not an appeal but a way for the defendant to prove his Fraud upon the court with witness , subpoenas and evidence that will be collected when a hearing is given under the 9th Amendment.

To prove clear and convincing evidence, the plaintiff would need a hearing to call witnesses, and issue subpoenas for a fair court hearing, under due process of the 9th and 14th Amendment I ask to the court to grant those rights. The Plaintiff has a right to protect his freedom of Religion and has fought rigorously to protect those rights, “ if you not fighting for your rights they are being violated.” Fraud on the court justifies judicial intervention to prevent injustice. The plaintiff has meritorious actions backed by evidence that addresses the core facts of this case, rather than relying on the technicalities emphasized the merits rather than procedural defaults. Courts are split, the Tenth Circuit held that fraud must impair the judicial movement and other courts held that an action in fraud on the court only when the plaintiff can show an unconscionable plan or a scheme to properly influence the courts decision, if the attorney presents misleading or false evidence to the court and influenced by the conduct the judgment must be set aside as a fraud on the court. Attorneys are officers of the court, their conduct if they are dishonest, would constitute fraud on the court.

Wherefor the plaintiff requests a hearing so he may present evidence needed to show fraud upon the court.

Dated this 1st. day of April 2026

Copy

Clayton G Walker

Certificate of Service and Affidavit

I Clayton G. Walker the Plaintiff states that all is true to the best of my knowledge.

That I the Plaintiff sent a copy of the following: **Notice Motion and Motion to Set Aside the Judgment under SDCL 15-6-60(b)(3)**

I certify that the original was mailed to the clerks office at 315 saint Joseph St. Rapid City SD 57701

Certified Mail receipt # 9589 0710 5270 1583 8377 69

I certify that a copy was served to Attorneys for the Defendants with Robert J. Galbraith at 326 Founders Park Drive Rapid City SD 57709-8030 by U S Mail

I certify that a copy was served to David R. Hansen at 1830 W. Fulton St. Suite 102 Rapid City SD 57702. Sent by US Mail

I certify that a copy was Sent to Cash Anderson at PO Box 160 Pierre SD 57501by US Mail

A copy thereof on the United States mail, postage paid for First Class Mail to the following persons above.

Dated this 1st Day of April. 2026

Copy

Clayton G. Walker

1515 East Saint Patrick Street #356

Rapid City SD 57703

Request respond by U S Mail

State of South Dakota
County of Pennington

§
§
§


In the Circuit Court
Seventh Judicial Circuit

Clayton Walker, Plaintiff, v. Thomas Auto Inc., Frank Thomas Trust, Terri Guccione Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke, City of Rapid City, ect all, Defendants.	Case Number 51CIV23-001329 Motion to Compel
---	--

The Plaintiff respectfully request a Motion to Compel, the Defendants attorney's are hiding information that could be used to find witness to come testify on the plaintiffs behalf. Cash Anderson still has not given the cases that he used as admissions, Cash has not shared evidence of each time the city used Thomas Auto, Cash has not shared the Rapid City Police departments records, they have records that show other assault and batters by Thomas and Auto employees. David Hanson still will not share evidence of other people that had a car towed to their lot by the City. In the Video shared with the court, you can see other employees at the Scene, but the attorney will not share the employees names. They are both in Violation of Discovery Abuse. The plaintiff has submitted evidence to the court about this abuse to Pro Se litigant. See exhibits Demands that the Motion to Compel be granted and that Discovery of any and all evidence be sharded with the Plaintiff.

Dated this 28th day of December 2024

Clayton Walker



1515 E St Patrick St #356 Rapid City SD 57703

Walkerforsenate247@gmail.com 605-519-3290

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN - 3 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts

Walker v. Thomas Auto 5

By  Deputy

State of South Dakota
County of Pennington

§
§
§

In the Circuit Court
Seventh Judicial Circuit



Clayton Walker, Plaintiff, v. Thomas Auto Inc., Frank Thomas Trust, Terri Guccione Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke, City of Rapid City, ect all, Defendants.	Case Number 51CIV23-001329 Motion to have Depositions taken at Courthouse with a Court Reporter
---	--

The Plaintiff Clayton Walker seeks a Motion to have depositions to be taken at the courthouse and to use the Court's court reporter. At the Deposition hearing on January 21 2025, Mr. Walker was attacked verbally and the Attorney's then started acting as the Judge and making the decision of the Depositions and the way it was going to be done Via Zoom. The attorney steamrolled the plaintiff's deposition by attacking him and yelling at him Pushing their way in the door, when the plaintiff was on the phone with his Court reporter, yelling in the background.

The Plaintiff stated to Cash Anderson that he was confrontational and please step out after making his way in. The cost of the court reporter should be split between the parties and having two separate reporters is unconstitutional. I was told I could not sequester his witness, but my witness had to be sequestered. The plaintiff had to give into David Hanson demands, just to move forward. David said to me you need to release the witness Annette Brant, David was so Confrontational I had to finally give in and say ill give you what you want David. The attorney's misconduct needs to be recorded or have a jury decide the disputable facts of the attorneys misconduct. The deposition was unsuccessful because the attorneys used their demeanor to intimidate Mr. Walker stating that they were right and that Mr. Walker was wrong, both Attorney's targeted the plaintiff stating that Deposition could not be Via Zoom and they needed

to be a representative of the state, which Mr. Walker believes the courts should review the objections that Mr. Walker had. They kept stopping the court reporter to target the plaintiff with intimidation that they had a law degrees and they were right. The two attorneys Cash Anderson and David Hansen should not be making Constitutional rulings at the deposition, this is for the court to do. They caused a delay and ruined two court reports Walker had lined up. The plaintiff was scared and asked them to take it down a notch. The proper etiquette is for Mr. Walker to let him do his things by taking deposition, If they have an objection they should bring it to the attention of the court and not threaten and intimidate Mr. Walker deposition. The plaintiff was waiting on his court reporter issue. David Hanson started harassing Mr. Walker about who was the court reporter for him, I told him I would call Jilio-Ryan Court Reporters, as it was to be done Via Zoom, and they would help me find a replacement. David Hansen and Cash Anderson stated harassing Mr. Walker saying this could not be done they have to be from South Dakota. They need to bring this Objection and not stop walkers process. I asked if they have any Objection please state those objections with the court, they just would not stop. The court reporter heard them arguing and yelling at me, while on the phone. The department that was going to represent me via zoom Jiliio-Ryan Court Reporters said they would call me back to help find a replacement. I asked my Friend Jake Black if he could look up Phone Number of court reports in Rapid City. Jake found me a phone numbers for other court reporters online. David stated harassing me again and said who's the court reporter, I said give me a sec. I had called other number's and asked who they had, and this point I'm all shaken up, trying to defend both David and Cash's advances, threats and make calls, David Hansen had asked me again who was the court reporter going to be, that's when I asked who was the Defendants going to have, maybe I'll just use them to take the depositions and David Hanson flips out !!

He said " No I don't think so" stating to me "no she doesn't want to work with you, because you're difficult." When the Court reporter from Harkins Reporting Carolyn M. Harkings showed up I asked if the statement that David made was true, and she said no ill be happy to do the depositions. Please see Jakes Affidavit Exhibit A Carolyn talked with David, then requested a Deposit before she would start. I asked what does she charge she stated that she doesn't want to give out her rates due to competition. I called another court reporters Number 1-605-877-1806 unknown Name who said she was at Blaze Pizza here in Rapid City and she said she would do it COD(cash on deliver of the deposition) I said oh thank you very much. She asked if I could text her what room I was in, at the library, I said yes, I thanked her again, and texted her that I was in the Helen Hoyt Room at the Rapid City Public Library. At every turn the attorneys were trying to disrupt me getting a court reporter. What trickery for the Attorney to act like this. At this point they got the Judge on Phone and we did some motions over the Phone, particularly the motion to Quash David Hansen subpoena and the fact that Cash Anderson never gave notice or sent a certified mail of the deposition he wanted to take at my deposition.

The Judge rules on the Motion to Quash, and states if there is time the Plaintiff can do his deposition at the end. But the attorney left early around 3pm and dismissed my witnessess, the room was scheduled till 5pm, the court reporter sends a text to reschedule and she requires a deposit of \$500 for every 2 hrs. Your honor that's extremely high, the courts must be fair and the court should not discriminate because of walkers religious beliefs and indigent status, the cost must be fair to allowing indigent litigant to have his day in court like getting equal access to justice, protected by the 9th and 14th Amendment.

During the Deposition, David Hansen reached his limit of asking 10 questions as Craig Pfeifle stated in the Rule of Ten at the very beginning for Expedited Civil Actions, ten interrogatories, ten admissions, ten production of documents and ten questions at depositions, with one MSJ. The plaintiff stated that David was at the max of Questions allowed by the Expedited Rules. The attorney than argued that Mr. Walker was wrong, without a formal objection. Cash Andersen then asked Mr. Walkers Question, like what was the Date, what Color was the Shirt he had on, and what color of hat he had on, cash was not asking legitimate question about the case but what was the color of his clothes, a total delay of the case. Cash could have used his question to take a deposition but used the time and question in a frivolous nature. The Plaintiff never got to take Depositions because of the attorneys Misconduct, a Jury needs to settle attorney misconduct because they are disputable facts. The plaintiff strategically wrote out question that he never got a chance to ask or have the witness look at evidence and exhibits he had. Please see exhibit b, to place a car in your name when titling a vehicle you need a driver license or an ID. You can have more than one name on a title, with an " and" or "or" between the names. A copy of the title is in Thomas Auto Inc. name and they have selected Abandoned as they type, but the case is in litigation a civil action, ^{Exhibit C} this was theft by deception, it was not abandoned, please see the plaintiff Motion for Theft by Deception., a SDCL to deceive people out of their property. Please see the Plaintiff's Motion for Judicial Notice, that the attorney has never replied to either. Marked as Exhibit D-D1-D2, please see the plaintiff Motion to Compel the defendants are still withholding evidence, and please see Motion to settle disputable discovery disputes. I was already upset looking for Court reporters outside of SD, caused by our court reporters fraud when charging for ink transcripts when in fact they used digital machines. If Court reporter is license in SD they can be used for deposition and its not the Attorney to make a decision only state objections.

Conclusion :Both Attorney's were confrontational acted as the judge instead of Objecting. Cash Anderson was confrontational and asked frivolous Questions about the color of his outfit, instead of real questions related to the case, the plaintiff was scared for his safety. The Attorneys need to bring Objection's to the court and not Stop the process, The plaintiff respectfully asks that the court be fair when getting access to the courts and its proceedings.

Respectfully Demands the following :

1. Have the Court allow Mr. Walkers deposition to be taken at the court house where objections the Attorneys have could decide on, meto be safe, &as not to cause any delay
2. To have the Court use their court reporters for the deposition, wave the cost, as to be in compliance within the Constitution and equal access to Justice, whether rich or poor.
3. Rule that the Plaintiff and Defendants should be using the Rule of 10 for expedited Civil Actions. Were 10 deposition are to be asked as Judge Pfeifle stated.
4. State to the attorney they can not become the Judge and decide on Constitutional question they are only to object if they don't like the way a pro se litigant does things.
5. If the court is un willing to allow the Courts court reporter allow him to write them down and have the witness fill out the questions and then have them use a Notary.
6. Schedule a Deposition Hearing for Equal Access to Justice under the Constitution.
7. Apply Sanctions to David Hansen and Cash Anderson for their Continued actions to disrupt Walkers Court Reporters and intimidate him.

Dated this 21st day of January 2025

Clayton G. Walker

Clayton G. Walker 1515 East Saint Patrick Street #356 Rapid City SD 57703 605-519-3290

State of South Dakota } Seventh Judicial
County of Pennington } Circuit Court
I hereby certify that the foregoing instrument
is a true and correct copy of the original as
the same appears on record in my office this

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By *AW* Deputy

Walker vrs Thomas Auto 5
Clerk of Courts, Pennington County

By *AW* Deputy

State of South Dakota
County of Pennington

§
§
§

In the Circuit Court
Seventh Judicial Circuit

**PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT**

A

Clayton Walker,
Plaintiff,
v.

Case Number 51CIV23-001329

Thomas Auto Inc., Frank Thomas Trust, Terri
Guccione Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke,
City of Rapid City, ect all,
Defendants.

Jake Blacks Affidavit

All is true to the best of my knowledge. I was present when David Hansen said to Clayton Walker " the court reporter doesn't want to work with you, because you're difficult." Clayton asked Carolyn Harkins, when she arrived if this was true, she stated to Clayton that the statement of David Hansen was not true. And she would do the deposition for his witnesses. I seen David have a discussion with her but I could not see lips on what was being said, only arms going up, as a sign of surrender. David Hansen and Cash Anderson continue to disrupt Clayton Walker Court Reporters threatening him and not allowing Via Zoom or reporter's outside of SD. I think its wrong for the Attorney's to sabotage Mr. Walker on getting a court reporter.

All is true to the best of my knowledge.

Dated this 22nd day of January 2025

Jake Black

Jake Black


FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts

By *(Signature)* Deputy

Notary



K L GALE

My Commission Expires
March 22, 2029

SOUTH DAKOTA CERTIFICATE OF TITLE


VEHICLE

TITLE NO. 240810861	TITLE ISSUE DATE 4/16/2024	PRINTED DATE 04/16/2024	TYPE <u>ABANDONED</u>	PREVIOUS STATE/BRAND CA	TAX CODE/SAMT *42	WEIGHT/CC 4576
YEAR MAKE 2002 LANDROVER		MODEL DISCOVERY II	BODY SD SUV 4 DO	VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER SALTLL15402A736383 SALTLL15402A736383		

MAIL TO: THOMAS AUTO INC D1810
318 OSHKOSH ST
RAPID CITY SD 57701

DISMETER READING	DISMETER DATE 4/16/2024	DISMETER BRAND EXEMPT
---------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------

BRAND



OWNERS: THOMAS AUTO INC D1810

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

OWNER ADDRESS: 318 OSHKOSH ST
RAPID CITY SD 57701

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By Deputy

PRINTED HOLDER

NO. 5000

RELEASED BY

SECOND-LEVEL HOLDER

EXEMPT BY

RELEASED BY

You are required to report the sale of a vehicle within
15 days of sale.
Report of Sale can be filed at mySDCourt.com
at a Kiosk, at mySDCourt.com
or on-line at: <https://mySDCourt.com>



THOMAS021

PENNINGTON COUNTY


search menu

License Information – Pennington County

This page provides important information for residents and businesses looking to title or register vehicles in South Dakota. Whether you're titling a newly purchased vehicle, transferring an out-of-state title, or handling a mobile home transaction, this page will guide you through the required documents and processes.

Vehicle Titling

To title a vehicle in **South Dakota**, each owner must provide a valid **South Dakota driver's license or ID**. If you have an out-of-state ID, you must provide a clear photocopy of your **Social Security number** in addition to your ID. **Businesses** are required to provide their **FEIN (Federal Employer Identification Number)**.



JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By Deputy

Required Documentation for Vehicle Titling

- **Original paper title:** Required for all vehicles.
- **Proof of Identity:** Valid South Dakota driver's license or ID, or out-of-state ID with Social Security number.
- **Business ID:** FEIN for businesses titling a vehicle.



State of South Dakota
County of Pennington

§
§
§

In the Circuit Court
Seventh Judicial Circuit

Clayton Walker,

Plaintiff,

v.

Thomas Auto Inc., Frank Thomas Trust, Terri
Guccione Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke,
City of Rapid City, ect all,
Defendants.

Case Number 51CIV23-001329

Motion for Judicial Notice

Now comes the Plaintiff seeking Judicial Notice. The Plaintiff is using Judicial Notice to save time and effort by allowing this court to declare a fact as true without formal evidence presentation. Please see Exhibit A and B. that the Judicial Notice is that People use Yelp Reviews as a tool for consumer feedback based on other peoples experiences. The Plaintiff's Exhibit A and B are Yelp Reviews at Thomas Auto Service named Defendants above. In the Yelp review submitted as Exhibit B by Andrew C. states the following:

"These people are violent! Do not give them your business! Their employees have history of assault and battery. Call any other place but Thomas Auto Service & Towing."

Claimed under WWW.Yelp.com/biz/thomas-auto-service-rapid-city-2. The plaintiff has presented this as evidence to David Hansen but he has refused to share any evidence with the Plaintiff, Judge Pfeifle stated they must preserve evidence and shar with the parties. As a Plaintiff suing Thomas Auto for Assault, the Plaintiff submits this Digital Evidence to the court, providing more evidence along with assault video, recordings, witness testimony; Already shared with

Defendants Attorney

Dated this 15th day of December 2024

Clayton Walker

1515 E. Patrick St #356 Rapid City SD 57703
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

DEC 16 2024

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts

By HIB Deputy

State of South Dakota } Seventh Judicial
County of Pennington } Circuit Court

I hereby certify that the foregoing instrument is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears on record in my office this

Walker for senate 247@gmail.com

DEC 16 2024

Amber Watkins
Clerk of Courts Pennington County

By HIB Deputy

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By AW Deputy



Auto Repair

Rapid City, SD

Yelp for Business

Write a Review

Start a Project

Log In

Restaurants

Home Services

Auto Services

More

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts

By [Signature] Deputy

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

tabbies

01

Today is a holiday! Business hours may be different today.

Thomas Auto Service



4.6 (12 reviews)

• Auto Repair, Towing, Auto Detailing

Open 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM See hours

Add photo

Share

Save

Reach out to other
businesses

This business has not enabled messaging
on Yelp, but you can still contact other
businesses like them.

Services

Services

thomasautoservice.com



(605) 342-5422



Get Directions


318 Oshkosh St Rapid City, SD 57701



Suggest an edit

Photos & videos

See all 3 photos →



Add

Services Offered Verified by Business

Auto detailing work

Check engine light

Engine oil light diagnosis

Auto general diagnosis

Nancy H.
Black Hawk, SD
10/8 friends
10/2 reviews

10/21/2022

I had a car accident in which the cops had this company tow it. It also had to be in storage for a few days. When I finally got my items out of the car, there were some missing items. They do not take an inventory of what is in the vehicle, and their security cameras go back a few days. This is the only place that vehicle was. It's very unfortunate that this took place and the only solution given me, was to file a report with the cops. Cannot recommend them at all!

CoolAss B.
Rapid City, SD
10/8 friends
10/1 review

10/14/2019

These people were rude to me, unhelpful, and as good as stole my car and all the contents in it!

Chance A.
San Francisco, CA
10/8 friends
10/1 review

10/4/2019

These are the guys that fucked over big shity. Never forget.

Sixth Estate A.
San Francisco, CA
10/8 friends
10/2 reviews

7/14/2019

Kevin the inbred dope fiend is rude, and dangerous. He needs a bath too. What a stench.



Andrew C.
P C BEACH, FL
10/8 friends
10/1 reviews

6/19/2019

These people are violent! Do not give them your business! Their employees have a history of assault and battery. Call any other place but Thomas Auto Service & Towing.

Ana S.
Edwards, CO
10/8 friends
10/2 reviews

6/19/2019

These guys beat people up. I'm not kidding. I was a witness. I am appalled that they are still in business. This shop is run by thugs. DO NOT GIVE THEM ANY BUSINESS.

Ally H.
Rapid City, SD
10/8 friends
10/1 review


9/10/2019



I had a bike that needed to be gone. Called Thomas Auto and they were able to pick it up same day! Employees are super nice and made the towing experience enjoyable and painless! I would go there again if I need anything else!

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By  Deputy





South Dakota Unified Judicial System

Certificate of Service and Affidavit

I Clayton G. Walker the Plaintiff states that all is true to the best of my knowledge.

That I the Plaintiff sent a copy of the following:

Motion to have Depositions taken at Courthouse with a Court Reporter, affidavit and Exhibits.

I certify that the original was hand delivered, to the clerks of the Seventh Judicial Circuit Court at 315 St. Joseph St Rapid City SD 57709- 230 by Hand Delivery

I certify that a copy was served to Attorneys for the Defendants with Robert J. Galbraith at 326 Founders Park Drive Rapid City SD 57709-8030 by CFMS

I certify that a copy was served to David R. Hansen at 1830 W. Fulton St. Suite 102 Rapid City SD 57702. By CFMS

I certify that a copy was Sent to Cash Anderson at PO Box 160 Pierre SD 57501by CFMS

A copy thereof on the United States mail, postage paid for First Class Mail to the following persons above.

Dated this 22nd Day of January, 2025

Clayton G. Walker

1515 East Saint Patrick Street #356

Rapid City SD 57703 605-519-3290

Walkerforsenate247@gmail.com or claytongwalker.com Please US Mail for Communication,

thanks .

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN 22 2025

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts

By  Deputy



State of South Dakota
County of Pennington

§
§
§

In the Circuit Court
Seventh Judicial Circuit

Clayton Walker, Plaintiff, v. Thomas Auto Inc., Frank Thomas Trust, Terri Guccione Jason Salamun, Michelle Schuelke, City of Rapid City, ect all, Defendants.	Case Number 51CIV23-001329 Motion for Theft by Deception
---	---

The Plaintiff brings this Motion for Theft by Deception to the Court. Theft by deception is a criminal offense that occurs when someone uses lies or manipulation to take another's personal property or services. Theft by Deception SDCL 22-30-3 Any person who obtains property of another by deception is guilty of theft. A person deceives if, with intent to defraud, that person:

After recently reviewing of the Discovery from David Hansen, the plaintiff alleges theft by deception and Perjury of Terri Guccione.

On an Application to the Department of Revenue to the State of South Dakota Motor Vehicle Division Terri Guccione states that she acquired the Land Rover on September 25th 2023, please review Exhibit A (Form Number 1026), in statement E of the Form she marks Abandoned(towed) placing a date of 09/25/2023 from 3302 Cambell st. (this is Private Property)

Terri Guccione argues about not having a SUV with Senate License Plates, I had just followed the SUV being towed off the Storage Lot, that was owned by Jeffery and Jodi Bendt dismissed Defendants from this Complaint.

Walker v. Thomas Auto 1

The Plaintiff Clayton Walker and Jake Black sent an Official Notice of Demand Please see Exhibit G in the complaint, on 9/26/2023 Rapid Delivery Served this Notice on Thomas Auto please see Exhibit F in the Complaint.

On 9/27/2023 Terri Declare under penalty of Perjury under the law of South Dakota that the forgoing is true and correct. Please see page 2 of the Form Exhibit A Proper Notice was not given as seen on page 2 of Form 1026 Under Notice type,

At the bottom of page 2 of the Form 1026 it states in Bold letter

 **TITLE APPLICATION MUST NOT BE APPLIED FOR UNTIL THE 30 DAY
REQUIRED WAIT TIME HAS PASSED**

Terri waiting only 2 days to fill out the application meant to deceive the DOR and Clayton Wallker and Jake Black. This SUV was not abandoned, she wouldn't release the SUV, please review the Assault Video from 10/6/23, and phone conversation after the assault Mark went to hide the knife allegedly and could not be found in the police body cameras nor talked with. Please see the Plaintiffs Motion to Compel Discovery and Brady Rule, the plaintiff wants to call other employees to see if they know where the knife was hidden and why Mark Disappeared when the police showed up. The plaintiff made mutable demands to release the SUV.

Important Dates:

09-25-23 SUV Towed

Terri stated Plaintiff would need to contact the city, she would not release it.

09-26-23 Demand letter served by Rapid Delivery

09-27-23 Terri Guccione applied for an Abandoned Vehicle Title

10-6-23 Terri said to come down and get it, the plaintiff was Assaulted and wouldn't release suv

Plaintiff went to Urgent care at 741 Mt. View Rd.

10-13-23 the Lawsuit was filed

The Plaintiff Demands the following

1. Have Terri Guccione arrested or detained by the Court Bailiff,
2. Send this case to the Attorney General's Office for consumer protection, Perjury and Deception, the SUV was under litigation, not abandoned,
3. Ask the State's Attorney office to investigate perjury or police, for theft by deception, and file criminal charges for a Felony if warranted,
4. Any other relief the court thinks is appropriate.

Dated this 29th day of December 2024

Clayton Walker  1515 E St Patrick St #356 Rapid City SD 57703

Walkerforsenate247@gmail.com 605-519-3290

FILED
Pennington County, SD
IN CIRCUIT COURT

JAN - 3 2025

Walker v. Thomas Auto 3

Amber Watkins, Clerk of Courts
By  Deputy



State of South Dakota
Motor Vehicle Division
445 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501
605-773-3541 <http://dor.sd.gov>



Notice of Abandoned, Unpaid Repair Bill, or Auction Agency

A
Notice
Type

Notice was sent for: (*Note: Applicant must be in possession of vehicle to qualify for any process below.)

- ☒ Abandoned (Towed) - Vehicle was towed (notice must be sent within 45 days)
☐ Abandoned (Storage) - Vehicle left abandoned in a storage facility
☐ Unpaid Repair Bill - vehicle has an unpaid repair bill
☐ Auction Agency - Vehicle obtained through an insurance agency requested tow

B
Applicant
Information

Please specify information about your removal agency, repair facility, storage facility or auction agency

Thomas Auto Service 1016-2140-51 6053425422 tgucione@gmail.com
 Name Business/Sales Tax License Phone Number Email Address
 318 Oshkosh Rapid City SD 57701
 Street Address City State Zip

C
Vehicle
Information

2002 Land Rover Discovery Series 11 SD
 Year Make Model
 SALT15402A736383
 License Plate Number Serial/VIN Number

D
Notice
Sent To

Registered Owner	Lien Holder	Insurance Company (for unpaid repair bill)
Clayton Walker		
Name	Name	Name
1515 St Patrick St #356		
Street Address	Street Address	Street Address
Rapid City, SD 57703		
City/State/Zip Code	City/State/Zip Code	City/State/Zip Code

Certified notice tracking number 9589071052700125262403

(Not required for tow)

Certified notice tracking number

(Not required for tow)

E
Statement

☒ Abandoned (Towed) Vehicle was towed on 09/25/2023 from 3302 Cornbelt St that is public property ☒ or private property ☐ and towed to our lot at the above address. As of the date of this notice, the fees due are \$228.33 Towing \$125.00 Storage \$80.00 and for storage at a rate of \$40.00 per day will be assessed until the vehicle is claimed and removed. The owner and lienholder may reclaim the vehicle and shall notify the removal agency and Motor Vehicle Division in writing within 30 days of this notice. If the removal agency does not give notice within ten days from the date of removal, no storage may be charged beyond the period until the notice is mailed.

☐ Abandoned (Storage) Vehicle was left for storage on _____ at _____ of _____ As of the date of this notice, the storage charge is \$_____ The owner and lienholder may reclaim this vehicle within 30 days of this notice.

☐ Unpaid Repair Bill Vehicle was left for repair on _____ at _____ of _____ As of the date of this notice, the total charge of \$_____ is due. The owner and lienholder may reclaim the vehicle and shall notify the repair facility and Motor Vehicle Division in writing within 30 days of this notice.

☐ Auction Agency Vehicle was towed at the request of the insurance company _____ on _____ to our lot at the above address. As of the date of this notice, charges due are \$_____. The owner and lienholder may reclaim the vehicle after notification to reclaim has been sent to the agency in writing within 30 days of when the notice was mailed.

I affirm that this information has been examined by me, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, is in all things true and correct. I hereby attest that this notice was sent to the registered owner and lienholder and insurer (if required) within the required time frame. I understand that it is my responsibility to maintain record for proof of notices sent.

Signed on the 27 day of Sep 2023 at Rapid City (city), SD (state)

Printed Name Terr Guccione

Signature _____

For more information, please visit the www.aaup.org website. For information regarding the application process, please contact the AAUP's Office of Professional Standards at info@aaup.org.

SDCL 32-36-1 through 32-36-11

- Application for Motor Vehicle Title and Registration
- This properly completed Notice that was sent to the owner and any lienholder by certified mail
- Tow Ticket (when removed from public property) or written approval from the landowner (when removed from private property)
- Title fee of \$10

SDCL 44-14-1 through 44-14-6 and 44-2-3 through 44-2-9

- Application for Motor Vehicle Title and Registration
- This properly completed Notice that was sent to the owner and any lienholder by certified mail
- Storage Rental Agreement
- Title fee of \$10

SDCL 32-3-67 through 32-3-69

- Application for Motor Vehicle Title and Registration
- This properly completed Notice that was sent to the owner, lienholder, and insurer by certified mail
- Unpaid repair bill
- Title fee of \$10

Auction Agency:

SDCL 32-2-75

- Application for Motor Vehicle Title and Registration
- This properly completed Notice that was sent to the owner and any lienholder by certified mail
- Title fee of \$10

TITLE APPLICATION MUST NOT BE APPLIED FOR UNTIL THE 30 DAY REQUIRED WAIT TIME HAS PASSED.

RAPID DELIVERY
 29 Main Street • Rapid City, SD 57701
 605-348-7667

DATE 9/26/2023

NO. OF PIECES 1

WEIGHT 15 LBS

COD PAID

DIMENSIONS 12x8x6

CONSIGNEE Jason Schuman

STREET ADDRESS 115 E. City Circle

DESTINATION 300 6th Street

RECEIVED BY Rapid City SD

SHIPPER JAKE Block

STREET ADDRESS

CITY/STATE RC

DELIVERY CHGS.	DELIVERY CHGS.
MILEAGE	MILEAGE
OTHER	OTHER
RESIDENCE	RESIDENCE
C.O.D.	C.O.D.
FUEL CHARGE	FUEL CHARGE
TOTAL	TOTAL

15.00

EXHIBIT
F

☺
 HAVE A NICE DAY!

RAPID DELIVERY
 29 Main Street • Rapid City, SD 57701
 605-348-7667

DATE 9/26/2023

NO. OF PIECES 1

WEIGHT 15 LBS

COD PAID

DIMENSIONS 12x8x6

CONSIGNEE FEAR THUMPS

STREET ADDRESS THOMAS DR

DESTINATION 315 Oakwood St

RECEIVED BY Rapid City SD

SHIPPER JAKE Block

STREET ADDRESS

CITY/STATE Rapid City SD

DELIVERY CHGS.	DELIVERY CHGS.
MILEAGE	MILEAGE
OTHER	OTHER
RESIDENCE	RESIDENCE
C.O.D.	C.O.D.
FUEL CHARGE	FUEL CHARGE
TOTAL	TOTAL

15.00

☺
 HAVE A NICE DAY!

Legal Notice of Demand

Jake Black
Rapid City South Dakota

September 2023

Dear City of Rapid City, Michelle Schuelke individual and official capacity and Frank Thomas of Thomas Auto Services UB961251

This is an Official Notice to make it known that you are in unlawful possession of the 2001 Blue Land Rover Ranger Rover with US Senate license plates.

I Jake Black, Demand that you return the aforementioned property immediately. You do not have the right to keep my Land Rover and you are legally required to return it at your expense, to 3300 Campbell St R.C.S.D. If there is no response to this letter, all legal rights will be explored with the possession of a court injunction. As already mentioned, this Demand letter for personal property serves as An Official Notice to you and may be tendered in court as evidence of your failure to return the property. If legal action is to occur to resolve this matter, it may involve having you pay attorney's fees and other cost.

It is in the best interest of all parties to resolve this matter as soon as possible, to keep litigation cost minimal.
(Money Gram receipt # 160 0506 203 September paid on 8/11/23. \$70.00)

Litigation costs amount to be but not limited to:

Interrogatories 4 hrs review 6 hrs

10 x 300

\$3,000

Mechanical inspection. \$300 per hr. 2 hrs

\$600

Service fee

\$23.14

Sheriff fee

\$ 45.

Filing fee

\$ 500

Depositions 4 x 7 hrs, \$035 hr for stenographer

\$980.00

Paper supplies, stamps deliver charge

\$8.76

Lost hrs from of work for litigation

\$\$%

Typist

\$160

Investigation of property owners 6 hrs

\$900.00

Any Jury awarded damages

Any found damage on Land Rover

Totals \$6,216.90 plus any other accrued liabilities.



12/16/24, 10:52 AM

thomas auto inc - Google Search



Thomas Auto Service

318 Oshkosh St, Rapid City, SD

4.2

96 reviews ⓘ

when it's there possession Definitely Don't Recommend This Place.

👍 Like

Response from the owner a year ago

This person is not a customer, the Police impounded their car. When Police Dispatch stops a DUI driver and they search their car they dump the bags/purses and boxes out. Makes a mess of the car. The driver that's drunk has a very poor ... More



Franklin Redcliff

4 reviews · 3 photos

a year ago

They ripped the bumper off my truck when they hauled it careless work, Management was also rude and not helpful at all. DONT DO BUSINESS WITH THESE GUYS

👍 Like

Response from the owner a year ago

Not a customer, Just a drunk guy. When they wreck their car and the tow truck picks up by hooking to the frame not the bumper, the bumpers can easily fall off. We have liability insurance. Gladly take care of sober people.



Robert Lundberg

1 review

6 years ago

My son's car broke down while working out of town. Mark and the team were able to get it back together in a timely manner and an affordable price. I couldn't be happier. Thank you.

👍 3

Response from the owner 5 years ago

Thank You!



Brandon Johansen

1 review

11/29/24, 11:55 AM

THOMAS AUTO SERVICE - Updated November 2024 - 12 Reviews - 318 Oshkosh St, Rapid City, South Dakota - Auto Repair - Phone Number - Services - Yelp

Auto Repair

Rapid City, SD

Yelp For Business

Write a Review

Start a Project

Log In

Sign Up

Restaurants

Home Services

Auto Services

More

Today is a holiday! Business hours may be different today.

Thomas Auto Service



4.6 (12 reviews)

Claimed • Auto Repair, Towing, Auto Detailing

Open 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM See hours

Write a Review

Add photo

Share


Save

Services

Services

Photos & videos

See all 3 photos →



Add

Reach out to other businesses

This business has not enabled messaging on Yelp, but you can still contact other businesses like them.

thomasautoservice.com

(605) 342-5422

Get Directions

318 Oshkosh St Rapid City, SD 57701

Suggest an edit

Services Offered Verified by Business

Auto detailing work

Check engine light

Engine oil light diagnosis

Auto general diagnosis

Nancy H.
Black Hawk, SD
0 friends
2 reviews

10/21/2022

I had a car accident in which the cops had this company tow it. It also had to be in storage for a few days. When I finally got my items out of the car, there were some missing items. They do not take an inventory of what is in the vehicle, and their security cameras go back a few days. This is the only place that vehicle was. It's very unfortunate that this took place and the only solution given me, was to file a report with the cops. Cannot recommend them at all!

CoolAss B.
Rapid City, SD
0 friends
1 review

10/14/2019

These people were rude to me, unhelpful, and as good as stole my car and all the contents in it!

Chance A.
San Francisco, CA
0 friends
1 review

10/4/2019

These are the guys that fucked over big shity. Never forget.

Sixth Estate A.
San Francisco, CA
0 friends
2 reviews

7/14/2019

Kevin the inbred dope fiend is rude, and dangerous. He needs a bath too. What a stench.



Andrew C.
P C BEACH, FL
0 friends
2 reviews

6/19/2019

These people are violent! Do not give them your business! Their employees have a history of assault and battery. Call any other place but Thomas Auto Service & Towing.

Ana S.
Edwards, CO
0 friends
2 reviews

6/19/2019

These guys beat people up. I'm not kidding. I was a witness. I am appalled that they are still in business. This shop is run by thugs. DO NOT GIVE THEM ANY BUSINESS.

Ally H.
Rapid City, SD
0 friends
1 review

9/10/2019

I had a bike that needed to be gone. Called Thomas Auto and they were able to pick it up same day! Employees are super nice and made the towing experience enjoyable and painless! I would go there again if I need anything else!

← Thomas Auto Service



Info

Reviews

More like this



Add photo



Check in

Recommended reviews



5

Overall rating

4



3

10 reviews

2

1

Q Search

▼ Oldest first

▼ All ratings

See 8 filters ▼

Oldest first

Clear filter



Brian L.

7 5 0



First to review



Search



Projects



Collections



Me

 Thomas Auto Service


Info

Reviews

More like this



Brian L.

 7  5  0


First to review



12/25/2016

these guys know their stuff. took my vehicle right in 2 days before thanksgiving, diagnosed it, and had it done the wednesday before thanksgiving. t...
Read more



Helpful 0



Thanks 0



Love this 0



Oh no 0



Lindsey W.

 313  3  0


7/5/2019

They were prompt and very helpful! I was headed from Montana to Atlanta and I broke down near devils tower the day before the 4th of July. Triple ...
Read more



Search



Projects



Collections



Me



 Thomas Auto Service


Info

Reviews

More like this



Lindsey W.

313 3 0



7/5/2019

They were prompt and very helpful! I was headed from Montana to Atlanta and I broke down near devils tower the day before the 4th of July. Triple ...

[Read more](#)



Helpful 0



Thanks 0



Love this 0



Oh no 0



Autumn M.

6 1 0



6/30/2020

Moving cross country with our family and our desire truck developed some brake issues. We called last minute. They took us in. Stayed late. To...

[Read more](#)



Helpful 1



Thanks 0



Love this 0



Oh no 0



J. M.

3 76 10



8/10/2021



No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Clayton G. Walker,

Petitioner,

Certificate of Compliance


As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1, I certify that the petition for writ of certiorari contains 7,668 words, Times New Roman and 12 point. Excluding exemption by Rule 33.2. Filing in Forma Pauperis, attached is the Affidavit & Declaration in support of motion for leave to proceed in Forma Pauperis, is attached to the main/original copy.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated 16th of June 2026



Clayton G. Walker




Brief Cover Letter

Dear Clerk of SCOTUS,

The Petition is being resubmitted, and I have attached a new Signature with a new Certificate of Service that reflects the date the petition is corrected and mailed under rule 14.1. The last Brief was mailed on 06-16-2026. To add Clayton Walker as a Party. The was submitted within the 60 days of Rule 14.5.

Dated this 16th day of June 2026



Clayton G. Walker

1515 East Saint Patrick Street #356

Rapid City SD 57703

Walkerclayton150@gamil.com

Request respond by U S Mail