

E.D.N.Y. – C. Islip
23-cv-6507
Bulsara, J.
Shields, M.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 21st day of November, two thousand twenty-five.

Present:

Amalya L. Kearse,
Reena Raggi,
Raymond J. Lohier, Jr.,
Circuit Judges.


In Re: Lidia M. Orrego,

25-1810

Petitioner.

Petitioner, pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus and moves for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED for the purpose of filing the mandamus petition. It is further ORDERED that the mandamus petition is DENIED because Petitioner has not demonstrated that she lacks an adequate, alternative means of obtaining relief, that her right to the writ is clear and indisputable, and that granting the writ is appropriate under the circumstances. *See Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380–81 (2004).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

The block contains a handwritten signature, "Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe", written in cursive. The signature is positioned over a circular official seal of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The seal features the words "UNITED STATES", "SECOND CIRCUIT", and "COURT OF APPEALS" around its perimeter.

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT**

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 2nd day of February, two thousand twenty-six.

Before: Amalya L. Kearse,
Reena Raggi,
Raymond J. Lohier, Jr.,
Circuit Judges.

In Re: Lidia M. Orrego,

Petitioner.

ORDER

Lidia M. Orrego,

Docket Nos. 25-1802 (L), 25-2399 (Con)

Petitioner,

v.

Kevin Knipfing, et al.,

Respondents.

In Re: Lidia M. Orrego,

Petitioner.

Docket No. 25-1808

Lidia M. Orrego,

Petitioner,

v.

Steve Savitsky, Old Westbury LLC, John Doe, Jane Doe,

Respondents.

In Re: Lidia M. Orrego,

Petitioner.

----- Docket No. 25-1810

Lidia M. Orrego,

Petitioner,

v.

Pasternack Tilker Ziegler Walsh Stanton & Romano LLP, et al.,


Respondents.

In each of the above-captioned cases, Petitioner moves for a stay of the district court proceedings and to stay enforcement of district court's orders pending the Court's decision on her motion for reconsideration or reconsideration en banc. Petitioner also moves for permission to attach exhibits to her motion for reconsideration or reconsideration en banc.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motions are DENIED.

For the Court:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

The seal of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals is circular. It features the words "UNITED STATES" at the top, "SECOND CIRCUIT" in the center, and "COURT OF APPEALS" at the bottom, separated by small stars.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**