

25-7640

No. _____

FILED

APR 29 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

In Re IVAN ALEJANDRO ZAMORA BLANCO

(Your Name)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

IVAN ALEJANDRO ZAMORA BLANCO

(Your Name)

CDCR NO. AK-3446 Cell 4-N-61

(Address)

SAN QUENTIN, CALIFORNIA 94974

(City, State, Zip Code)

(415) 454-14-60

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. COMPLAINT FOR DEPRIVATION OF FREEDOM AND MONETARY DAMAGES
2. VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE FREE FROM EXCESS FORCE, ASSAULT AND BATTERY, STABBING, CRUEL AND UNUSAL PUNISHMENT, DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO HIS MEDICAL NEEDS, AND TREATMENT.
3. VIOLATION OF HIS EIGHT AMENDMENT, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND HIS FOURTH AMENDMENT. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.
4. CDCDR OFFICERS HAD A DUTY TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF AND FAILED TO DO SO.
5. PLAINTIFF HAS A RIGHT TO BE COMPENSATED FOR HIS INJURY, AND EMOTIONAL STRESS POST TRAUMATIC STRESS.
6. PLAINTIFF INCARCERATION HAS SUFFERED UNDER THE RACIAL INJUSTICE ACT.
7. PETITIONER/DEFENDANT FILED HIS MARSDEN MOTION VIOLATION UNDER THE RACIAL INJUSTICE ACT. (Court Case Number(s) F085679 and 141874 and Superior Court No. 1418747.) and Included Ineffective Assistance, Violation of the SIXTH AMENDMENT.
8. PETITIONER/DEFENDANT FILED HIS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (State Case No(s) Superior Court 1418747.) (Fifth Appellate District No. F090820) (Supreme Court No. S294558).

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

42 USCS 1983
28 USCS 1331
28 USCS 1343
28 USCS 2201
28 USCS 1391

CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE:
425.12

FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

4TH US Amendment
8th US Amendment
14th US Amendment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR NOT MAKING APPLICATION TO THE DISTRICT COURT.....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	San Joaquin General Hospital Diagnostic Victim of Multiples Stabbing wounds,(Documents from Hospital,CDCR Administrative Segregation Unit,.)
APPENDIX B	Medical 602s' Appeal and form 602s' Appeals for Misleading and Tort as Intentional Punishment caused by the CDCR Prison system.)
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Racial Justice Act (Finley v. Superior Court (2023)) 95 Cal.App.5th 12,21-24 Bounds V. Superior Court (2024) 99 Cal.App.5th 821	
Mosby v. Superior Court (2024) 99 Cal.App.5th 106	
Jackson v. Superior Court (2025) 109 Cal.App.5th 372	
Young v. Superior Court (2022) 79 Cal.App.5th 138	
People v. Duvall (1995) 9.Cal.4th 464	
STATUTES AND RULES	
Penal Code 745 Penal Code 187/664 Penal Code 245(a)(2) Penal Code 12034(d) Penal Code 245(b) Penal Code 12022.53(c) Penal Code 1172.6	
California Rules of Court 4.551(d)(1) 8.385(b)	
Code of Civil Procedure 1013	
OTHER	
In re Dixon (1953) 4 Cal.2d 756,759	

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix the petition and is

☐ reported at _____ ; or, _____ to
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished. _____

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix the petition and is

☐ reported at _____ ; or, _____ to
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished. _____

☐ For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at
• Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at _____ ; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court
appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at _____ ; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

1.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was January 8, 2026
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Eight Amendment to be free from cruel unusual punishment, being stabbed, and being protected by the Officers who sworn Oath to uphold the Constitution and protect the inmates that they have Authority over.

The Plaintiff had a Fourteenth Amendment of Due process of law to be protected and to have a fair hearing with the Officers that were sworn to protect him but failed to do deliberately, that they had other inmates assault the plaintiff.

The Constitutional Rights of the plaintiff were violated when the Officers failed to follow the rule of law, from the Institution, and the Title 15.

The Statutory provisions that were in place to protect the plaintiff were violated when the Officers failed to provide the written legal materials that he had a right to that were the rules of the Institutions in the Title 15

The Plaintiff was denied the right to address his Civil Rights Complaint in time when he was threatened if he continue to file 602 that alot worse would happen to. So under fear for his life the plaintiff had to wait till he got to a different location another prison were he was safe. Till he could start to defend his rights again, with the fear of retaliation, or being stabbed again.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Rule 20 Statement

On 2012 plaintiff was placed in Tracy State Reception Center. While Plaintiff was housing there, he had been suffering from severe depression which caused him to precipitated Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. He had been under the treatment by County Jail Mental Health Staff for this serious mental health condition, which caused him to experience suicide while he was housing in the Stanislaus County Jail. Including experience nightmares, crisis mental episodes marked by flashback to traumatic events, and overwhelming anxiety.

On March 2012, plaintiff was housed in California State Tracy Prison Reception Center Tracy.

On March 2012, plaintiff was housing in the State of California Tracy. While Plaintiff was there he noticed that in this prison was controlled by a lot of violence between inmates. Plaintiff was informed by other inmates that he had to take care from a Correctional Officer who Plaintiff did not know but just then identified as nick name "Night".

Plaintiff had been learning that this Correctional Officer had the tendency to give special privilege to inmates who obeyed him to punch and treat other inmates with the motive to create an environment of "[T]error" and Fear and hostility against other inmates.

During that time, some inmate went toward Plaintiff's cell door with a bad intentional invitation to create terror, treat, abuse, and punch other inmates under the protection of Correctional Officer identified as his nick name above.

Plaintiff refused from that invitation by these inmates. In response these inmates made threats against plaintiff. They were telling him "You have to listen to as you stupid mother's fucker" At that time an inmate continued to tell plaintiff "I could cut-off your fucking head if I want". Plaintiff ignored the threat made by these inmates. Then Plaintiff was placed in another Unit.

While plaintiff was housing at the other unit, Plaintiff observed and could recognize one of the inmates was yelling and telling threats to an inmate who was nearby from plaintiff's cell.

Plaintiff noticed that they were arguing because some water had been spilled under Plaintiff neighbor's cell's door. Eventually, Plaintiff observed that also inmates were coming very furious toward the other inmate cell's door and they started yelling at inmate as using the spilled water as pretext just to intimidate that inmate.

While Plaintiff was listening the verbal treatment and verbal abuse against Plaintiff neighbor by the other inmates. Plaintiff then tried to speak out in defense of his neighbor with the intention to get a peaceful solution. Plaintiff told if there are some disagreement for the water problem you guys can talk to me" "However, the other inmates became very angry against the plaintiff. In responding they told the Plaintiff You do not need to explain anything to as "Fuck-you" I then these inmates continued telling at plaintiff "Check your back! Because we gonna kill you tomorrow morning."

On Friday March 9th, 2012. During the next day later that morning, plaintiff came out from his cell, plaintiff heard that the cell's door that had been opened for time. Plaintiff then observed that these inmates began walking through some cell's door and these inmates were going inside some cells as looked for target toward a person.

Plaintiff perceived that these inmates were planning for a trap against him. Plaintiff started walking toward the breakfast's room. Plaintiff then observed that an inmate began walking back to him. Plaintiff at a glance look that this inmate had a weapon at his right hand similar as an ice pick and then he kept it in this inmate pocket.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
(CONTINUED)

Eventually, this inmate attacked plaintiff with the ice -pick. Plaintiff's reaction was to protect himself and he used his arm to avoid the stabber from the attacker. However, the ice-pick could reached out and cutting plaintiff's neck. Eventual other inmates began to attacked at plaintiff too. They also had used the same weapons as similar as ice pick. Plaintiff could observed that they were more than ten inmates whoswere attacking at plaintiff.

Plaintiff was scared. He then ran as he could. A correctional Offficer observed that plainjtiff was running to save his life. Instead that this Correctional Officer helped plaintiff from the attackers. This Correctional Offficer Observed at Plaintiff and he began laughed loudly, and yelled obscenities, insults, and mocking joke at Plaintiff.

Eventually Correctional Officer used airosal and began to spray at plaintif's face. Plaintiff tried to cover his face from the irritation while the attacker continued stabbing at the plaintiff. The Officer continued spraying at the plaintiff.

REASONS FOR NOT MAKING
APPLICATION TO THE DISTRICT COURT

The plaintiff was and all times under the fear for his life from the Officers that had him hit,stabbed, the Plaintiff has been threaten by the Officer, and by other inmates, that if he went to another institution and started to defend his again that they would have someone from that prison hit him again. This is the reason that he has filed his paper work in the order that it is in.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Plaintiff Prays that this Court take into consideration the following facts

1. The Plaintiff from the beginning of his criminal case has been confronted with medical,health problems,and most of all Inffective Asssistance of Counsel, and no prper interpritor.
2. The Defendant/Petitioner filed for a Marsden Motion to Dismiss Counsel.
3. The Plaintiff was assaulted because he was trying to protect himself. and that he was not going along with what the inmates were telling him On March 9th,2012. The Plaintiff was attacked and set up to be attacked by the inmates,that attacked him.
4. The plaintiff is unlearned in the law,and has a hard time with english and speking it,to be understood, the Plaintiff is from another Country and also Culture,other inmates take atvantage of inmates like this because they have culture differences.
5. The Plaintiff has suffered very much and this was not part of his sentence that he was sentenced to.


CONCLUSION

Civil Rights Action 42 USC 1983 Case Number: 25-cv-08292-SK (PR) should be allowed to go forward, to Amend, and provide discovery, and present reasons for late filing.

The petition for an extraordinary writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Based upon the Violation of Laws that were violated against the Petitioner/Defendant Constitutional Rights 6th Amendment, Racial Injustice. Dannenberg v. Ingle, 831 F. Supp. 767, 768 (N.D., 1993)

Respectfully submitted,


IVAN ALEJANDRO ZAMORA BLANCO

Date: APRIL 18, 2026