

No. **25-7606**

ORIGINAL

FILED

APR 24 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re **ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.** PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.
(Your Name)

SCF JAIL, 1627 SOUTH HARVARD STREET
(Address)

BANKING, CA 92222
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A-926-1150
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- I) WHAT IS PROPER REMEDY WHEN STATE DEEMS A CRIMINALLY DEFENDANT ILLEGALLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL FOR 7 YEARS—PURSUANT TO RIGGINS ET SEITZ?
- II) DOES THE ~~VI~~ AMENDMENT RIGHT—TO ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL EXTEND TO INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO MCCOY?
- III) WHAT IS PROPER REMEDY FOR A CRIMINALLY DEFENDANT—WHO IS DENIED A SPEEDY TRIAL ET HAS NO WAY TO DEMAND A SPEEDY TRIAL OR CHALLENGE VIOLATION?
- IV) DOES MCETRATH V. GEORGIA—EXTEND TO CRIMINALLY CASES—DISMISSED DUE TO VERDICT OF A (PERMANENT INCOMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL) RULING ET THEN SAME CASE REFILED?
- V) SHOULD YOUNGER ABSTENTION APPLY—TO A CRIMINALLY CASE—FOR FARETTA VIOLATION—WHEREFORE DEFENDANT WAS DENIED OVER 30 FARETTA DEMANDS IN 7 YEARS?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

✓ [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RESPONDANT

1) SHERIFF CHND
BIANCO

SEE ATTACHED RELATED CASE PAGE 1/2

RELATED CASES

*PETITIONER HUMBLTY PRAYS FOR
YOUR HONORS TO TAKE JUDICIAL
NOTICE OF FACTS SET FORTH INFRA:

1) PETITIONER HAS FILED OVER 40 —
STATE COURT — PETITIONS FOR WRIT —
OF HABEAS CORPUS — IN EVERY STATE LEVEL
COURT SINCE (2018 TO 2025) ET ALY PETITIONS
DENIED — WHICH ARE RELEVANT TO THIS
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS —
ET DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO EVERY —
CASE NO. — DUE TO HIS ILLEGAL
CUSTODY OF X YEARS AS A
PRETRIAL DETAINEE.

1/2 RELATED CASES

II) PETITIONER HAS FILED 3 FILINGS WITHIN U.S. COURT OF APPEALS — FOR NINTH CIRCUIT — IN 2023 TO 2025 — ALL DENIED — PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CASE NO. 25-2251 PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS CASE NO. 23-70135 ET APPEAL OF A TRO/P.T. MOTION DENIED CASE NO. 23-2010

III) PETITIONER FILED 10 §1983 — ACTIONS IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT (CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA — IN 2019 TO 2025) — NONE DISMISSED BY COURT — 5 CONSOLIDATED INTO 1 — ET 1 VOLUNTARY DISMISSED.

IV) PETITIONER FILED 4 §2241 ET §2254 — IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA — 2 VOLUNTARY DISMISSED — 1 ACTIVE ET PENDING AMENDMENT OF PETITION — ET ONE DISMISSED DUE TO DEPUTIES WITHHOLDING MAIL SENT FROM U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN RE TO PETITION — IN (2023) — WHICH IS SUBJECT OF §1983 SUIT CASE NO. 5:25CV266-VBF-MAA

2/2

RELATED CASES

*DUE TO LARGE VOLUME OF CASES
FILED ET DENIED ET ACTIVE—
IN STATE ET FEDERAL COURTS—
PETITIONER HUMBLY SUBMITS SUPRA—
FACTS ET INFORMATION—ET HUMBLY
REQUESTS YOUR HIGH HONORS TO
TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ALL
CASES ON DOCKET—OF CA SUPERIOR
COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—CA COURT
OF APPEALS 4TH DISTRICT/DIVISION 2—
CA SUPREME COURT—ET U.S.
COURT OF APPEALS FOR NINTH CIRCUIT
ET U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA—UNDER
PETITIONER NAME:

(ERIC, JEROME, PHILLIPS JR.)

AS HE DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO
ALL RECORDS OR ABILITY TO MAKE
COPIES—DUE TO ILLEGAL CUSTODY
OF (10) YEARS AS A PRETRIAL
DETAINEE—ET ALL SUPRA
CASES ARE RELATED ET RELEVANT
TO THIS PETITION.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

I	MCCRATH V. GEORGIA 601 U.S. 87 (2024)	22
II	MCCOY V. LOUISIANA 138 S. CT. 1500 (2018)	26
III	SELT V. UNITED STATES 539 U.S. 166 (2003)	25
IV	RIGGINS V. NEVADA 504 U.S. 127 (1992)	25
V	DOGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 U.S. 647 (1992)	25
VI	DITTINGHAM V. UNITED STATES 423 U.S. 64 (1975)	25
VII	DICKEY V. FLORIDA 398 U.S. 30 (1970)	25
VIII	KLOPPER V. NORTH CAROLINA 386 U.S. 213 (1966)	25
IX	POTLARD V. UNITED STATES 352 U.S. 354 (1957)	25

STATUTES AND RULES

I	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION V AMENDMENT	22
II	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION II AMENDMENT	26
III	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION XIV AMENDMENT	25
IV	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 18 § 3161 to § 3162	25
V	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 28 § 1654	26

OTHER

I	FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 U.S. 806 (1974)	26
II	JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715 (1972)	25
III	FLOUCHA V. LOUISIANA 504 U.S. 71 (1992)	25
IV	STRUNK V. UNITED STATES 412 U.S. 434 (1973)	25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	20
CONCLUSION.....	27

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

*NO APPENDIX AVAILABLE—
PETITIONER USED ALL
APPENDIX—IN A PETITION
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
FILED IN THIS HIGH
COURT ON 4/24/2026—
PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY—
REQUESTS YOUR HONORS TO
TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE
OF (APPENDIX A TO G) IN CERTIORARI
PETITION FILED—AS HE INCORPORATES INTO THIS
PETITION.

3/27

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ~~N/A~~ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR court appears at Appendix ~~N/A~~ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

2/27

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 12/10/2025.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix N/A.

***DO NOT HAVE COPY* CASE No. S292043**
☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

3/27

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- I) MCETRATH V. GEORGIA 601 U.S. 87 (2024)
- II) MCCOY V. LOUISIANA 138 S. CT. 1500 (2018)
- III) SEELY V. UNITED STATES 539 U.S. 166 (2003)
- IV) RIGGINS V. NEVADA 504 U.S. 127 (1992)
- V) DOGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 U.S. 647 (1992)
- VI) STRUNK V. UNITED STATES 412 U.S. 434 (1973)
- VII) JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715 (1972)

*TO WIT—PETITION CONCERNS
RESPONDANT VIOLATING PETITIONER
DOUBT JEOPARDY RIGHTS—SPEEDY
TRIAL RIGHTS—RIGHT TO ASSISTANCE
OF COUNSEL—DUE TO ILLEGAL
USE OF PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT—HE HAS
BEEN FORCED TO ENDURE FOR 7 YEARS.

4/27

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

PLEASE—

SEE ATTACHED PAGES 5 TO 18

STATEMENT OF CASE ET SWORN—

DECLARATION—FOR COMPLETE
FACTS OF STATEMENT OF CASE.

~~~~~~~~~

\*RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT\*

CUMS NOW—PETITIONER HAS—

EXHAUSTED ALL STATE COURT—

REMEDIES OF A VARIETY—SINCE (2018)

TO (2025)—FILING OVER 40—

PETITIONS ET MOTIONS—WITHIN

EVERY STATE LEVEL COURT—ALL

PETITIONS WERE DENIED.\*TO WIT—

PETITIONER HAS FILED 4 §2241/§2254

IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT/CENTRAL DISTRICT

OF CA—3 DISMISSED—1 FILED IN 2024—

NOT RULED ON.\*PETITIONER HAS NO—

SPEEDY ET ADEQUATE REMEDY AVAILABLE—TO

TERMINATE HIS DOUBT JEOPARDY THREAT—

FORCED PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT THREAT—ET

END VIOLATION VIOLATIONS AVERED IN  
THIS PETITION—ET PETITIONER'S HIS COURT OF LAST RESORT.

# 5/27 STATEMENT OF CASE ET SWORN DECLARATION OF FACTS:

\* PURSUANT TO U.S.C. TITLE 28 § 1746  
I, ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR. HEREBY AVER  
FOLLOWING FACTS SET FORTH INFRA—  
IN SUPPORT OF HIS PETITION FOR WRIT  
OF HABEAS CORPUS:

1) I AM OVER AGE 21 YEARS OLD—  
I AM UNDER ILLEGAL CUSTODY—  
BY SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO—IN RIVERSIDE  
COUNTY—JAIL / GAOUS—FOR 10 YEARS—  
WHICH I HAVE AT ALL TIMES BEEN—  
UNDER DURESS.

2) I AM A PRETRIAL DETAINEE—  
WHO IS CURRENTLY CONFINED AT—SAID  
SOF JAIL—LOCATED AT 1627 SOUTH  
HARGRAVE STREET BANNING, CA 92220—  
UNDER JURISDICTION OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY.

3) I AM—CHARGED WITH 2 COUNTS OF  
ATTEMPTED MURDER—1 COUNT OF—  
ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON—  
ET 1 COUNT OF ATTEMPTED ROBBERY—  
BY D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY.

4) THIS CASE IS FROM 2016—MY CASE  
NUMBER IS RIF1603395—WHICH WAS  
DISMISSED IN (2022) ET REFILED  
AS CASE NO. RIF2203700.

4) I AM OF SOUND MIND—ET I SHALL  
COMPETENTLY ET TRUTHFULLY TESTIFY  
TO ALL FACTS OF THIS PETITION

6/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

WITHIN ANY COURT OF LAW.

5) I HAVE NOT HAD A TRIAL—YET ET I HAVE DEMANDED A FAIR ET SPEEDY TRIAL—OVER 20 OCCASIONS—FROM 2016 TO 2020—VERBALLY ET THROUGH WRITTEN PETITIONS—MOTIONS ET LETTERS—TO D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—JUDGES OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—ET COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEYS—I WAS FORCED TO ACCEPT—ALL DURING CRIMINAL COURT PROCEEDINGS—ET OR ON RECORD—WHICH DEMANDS FOR SPEEDY TRIAL DENIED.

6) I HAVE BEEN UNDER PHYSICAL CUSTODY/RESTRICTION SINCE 7/13/2016—UNTIL CURRENT DAY ET ONGOING—NOT ONCE HAVE I BEEN RELEASED FROM ANYONE CONTROL OR PHYSICAL DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY—ET RESPONDANT—IS MY CURRENT WARDEN—CUSTODIAN—(SHERIFF CHAD BRANCO).

7) I HAVE FILED OVER 60 MOTIONS—PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS—MANDAMUS—PROHIBITION—WITHIN CA SUPERIOR COURT/RIVERSIDE COUNTY—CA COURT OF APPEAL 4TH DISTRICT DIVISION 2—CA SUPREME COURT—

7/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

RAISING ALL CLAIMS ET VIOLATIONS  
THAT IS ADDRESSED IN THIS  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS—BEING FILED WITHIN  
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT—  
WHICH ALL PETITIONS WERE DENIED  
IN (2018 TO 2025).

8) I AM FULLY INNOCENT ET  
ACTUALLY INNOCENT—OF ALLEGED  
CHARGES I AM BEING CHARGED  
WITH BY RESPONDANT—I HAD NO  
PART IN A ATTEMPTED MURDER  
CONNECTED WITH CASE NO. RIF160334/S  
ET RIF2203700.

9) I AM BEING CHARGED WITH  
FALSE MATERIAL CONFESIONS—  
ET FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE—  
THAT CONCEALING OF FALSE—  
CONFESION FROM CO-DEFENDANT—  
(DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) WHO HAS PROVIDED  
3 DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF WHAT—  
OCCURRED IN THIS CASE—ET 3  
DIFFERENT CONFESIONS TO D.A.  
ET RESPONDANT—ET FALSE MATERIAL  
EVIDENCE—OF A DETECTIVE EMPLOYED  
WITH (RSO) WHO PROVIDED FALSE SWORN  
DECLARATION—TO SECURE A SEARCH ET  
ARREST WARRANT OF ME ET

8/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

THIS SAME FALSE TESTIMONY FROM  
DECLARATION-DETECTIVE USED AT  
2 OF MY PRELIMINARY HEARINGS  
TO BOUND ME OVER FOR TRIAL  
ON ALL CHARGES.

10) BOTH OF MY PRELIMINARY-  
HEARINGS WERE HELD IN 2016  
ET IN 2022.

11) THERE ARE 3 CODEFENDANTS  
WITHIN MY CASE - (JOHN ROSS) -  
(CRAIG LEE) ET (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON)  
WHICH (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) WAS  
IN THE (NFL) AT TIME OF  
ALLEGATIONS - PLAYED FOR THE  
(TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS) - WHICH  
HE IS THE CODEFENDANT WHO -  
PROVIDED 3 DIFFERENT FALSE -  
CONFESSIONS ET TESTIMONY.

12) (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) FALSE  
TESTIMONY - CONFESSIONS - WAS USED  
TO CONVICT ET ARREST - (CRAIG  
LEE) ET (JOHN ROSS) IN 2019 -  
2020 - WHICH BOTH JOHN ET CRAIG -  
PLEAD GUILTY TO ATTEMPTED MURDER  
BASED SOLELY ON (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON)  
FALSE CONFESSION - WHICH D.A. OF  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY - USED AS BARGAIN

9/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

ET SETTLEMENT LEVERAGED TO SECURE  
(10 ET 15) YEAR PLEA DEALS FROM  
CRATO ET JOHN.

13) SUCH FALSE MATERIAL CONFESSION  
WAS USED IN ARREST ET SEARCH-  
WARRANT PROBABLE CAUSES FOR BOTH  
(JOHN, ROSS) ET (CRATO, LEE) - BY  
MULTIPLE (RSO) DETECTIVES IN  
ORDER TO SECURE WARRANTS.

14) BOTH (JOHN, ROSS) ET (CRATO, LEE)  
GUILTY PLEA OF 10 YEARS - WAS  
STRICKEN/OVERRULED BY JUDGES  
OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY - AGAINST THE  
D.A. IN 2019 TO 2020 - DUE TO  
THE LACK OF EVIDENCE ET  
USE OF FALSE CONFESSIONS ET  
BOTH (CODEFENDANTS) WERE SENTENCE  
TO PROBATION.

15) WITH 3 CODEFENDANTS (JOHN, ROSS)  
(CRATO, LEE) - (DOMINQUE, ROBERTSON)  
HAVE ALL BEEN RELEASED FROM  
THE RESPONDANT CUSTODY ET ANY  
FORM OF JAIL/PRISON - CAPTIVITY  
ET DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY  
SINCE (2019 ET 2020) - OVER 7 YEARS  
AGO - I AM THE ONLY SUSPECT - WHO  
REMAINS UNDER CUSTODY FOR SAID  
CRIMES.

10/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

16) THERE IS ABSOLUTE - NO TYPE OF DIRECT OR REAL EVIDENCE - THAT RESPONDANT IS USING TO CHARGE AGAINST - ET ALL EVIDENCE CHARGED UPON ME IS CIRCUMSTANTIAL.

17) WITHIN THIS CASE - I AM CHARGED AS BEING A GUNMAN - WITH A MACHINE/ASSAULT GUN - WHO RAN INTO A DISPENSARY - IN CITY OF JURPA VALLEY - ET OPENED FIRE OVER 20 SHOTS - WITHOUT SAYING A SINGLE WORD - UPON 3 VICTIMS.

18) TO WIT - 1 VICTIM - WAS LATER CHARGED A 3RD CO DEFENDANT - JOHN ROSS.

19) UPON BEING DISCLOSED (CS) VIDEO AFTER 3 YEARS OF ME FILING - BRADY MOTIONS ET DISCOVERY COMPLETE MOTIONS - WHILE I ACTED IN FAF - ET TX STATUS - 2016 TO 2019 - (CS) VIDEO SHOWS SUSPECT - THAT RESPONDANT - CHARGES TO BE ME - WITH NO GUN - NOR SHOOTING ANY BULLETS - NOR GUNFIRE COMING FROM A GUN - ET SUSPECT THAT IS (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) WITH A HANDGUN - OPENING FIRE ET GUNSMOKE/FLASH ET FIRE - (CS) MS

11/27

STATEMENT OF CASE  
FROM HIS HANDGUN IN VIDEO WHICH  
HITS VICTIM (JOSHUA, RETA) WITHIN  
SHOULDER—HE IS ONLY VICTIM—  
HIT IN THIS CASE VIA INJURED  
PARTY/PERSON.

20) UPON BEING DISCLOSED FURTHER  
(RSO) DISCOVERY REPORTS—IN 2018  
ET 2019—AFTER I FILED DISCOVERY  
SANCTION MOTIONS—WHILE IN FARETTA  
STATUS ALL REPORTS SHOW ET STATE  
SUSPECT ALLEGED TO BE ME—WITH  
A MACHINE GUN—DID NOT OPENED—  
GUNFIRE—WHICH RESPONDANT ET—  
D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—PROSECUTOR  
ASSIGNED TO MY CASE—(TIMOTHY, MOTHER)  
STATED MULTIPLE TIMES ET PROVIDED  
FALSE EVIDENCE—TESTIMONY ET  
MADE A SHOWING THIS SUSPECT OPENED  
UP OVER 20 SHOTS—AT (CS)—AT MY  
PRELIMINARY HEARING (9/28/2016)—  
ET AGAIN ON (6/23/2023)—WHICH  
AT 2ND PRELIMINARY HEARING—THE  
RESPONDANT PROVIDED A (NEW) EDITED  
VIDEO—OF (CS)—WHICH SHOWED THE  
SUSPECT CHARGED AS ME—WAITING A  
OBJECT ET SMOKE—THAT COMES FROM  
(DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) GUN—HOVERING  
OVER ME.

12/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

21) THERE IS ABSOLUTE—NO MACHINE GUN BULLETS—OR ROUNDS FOUND AT (CS) BY RESPONDANT—THAT CONFIRMS—ANY MACHINE GUN WAS FIRED—ONLY ONE BULLET—FROM (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) HANDGUN—ET MULTIPLE BULLETS—FROM (2ND VICTIM) GUN—WHO OPENED FIRE UPON (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON) WHICH HE WAS BODYGUARD/SECURITY AT DISPENSARY.

22) A DETECTIVE PUT IN HIS POLICE REPORT—HE IS ATTEMPTING TO CUT—ET DIG INTO FLOOR ET DIRT—OF (CS)—TO RECOVER A DAMAGED FRAGMENT OF A BULLET—THAT MIGHT OF CAME FROM A RIFLE—STATING SUCH—WITHIN HIS REPORT—AS IF TO—MANUFACTURED—INPUT ET PLANT THIS PIECE OF POSSIBLE EVIDENCE—AS AVERED SUPRA—NO MACHINE GUN OR A ABUNDANCE OF GUNSHOTS WAS ISSUED AT (CS) BY SUSPECT CHARGED AS ME—NOR (DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON)—AS (DOMINIQUE) ONLY SHOT ONCE—FROM HIS GUN—WHICH IS WHAT (CS) VIDEO SHOWS—ET HIS 9MM BULLET FOUND AT (CS).

13/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

23) I ATTEMPTED TO RAISE THE SUPRA FACTS AVERED IN PAGES 8 TO 12 ABOUT FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE - ET EVIDENCE PLANTED AT OS - IN (2018 TO 2019) IN MULTIPLE MOTIONS - FILED WITHIN RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT - RIVERSIDE HALL OF JUSTICE - ET ON (4/2/2019) FOR MOVING FOR A (EXPERT WITNESS) PROSECUTION ET POLICE MISCONDUCT EXPERT - TO EXAMINE SUPRA FACTS - MY (FARSETTA STATUS) WAS TERMINATED ET I WAS FORCED INTO A PENAL CODE §1368 TO §1370 HEARINGS VIA INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS ET DEEMED PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL ET FORCED UNDER PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET TREATMENT FOR 7 YEARS ET ONGOING TILL THIS DATE (4/24/2026).

24) TO WIT - MY CRIMINAL COURT - IS (RIVERSIDE HALL OF JUSTICE) AT RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT - WHICH SUPRA FACTS ARE A BRIEF SUMMARY OF FACTS CONTROLLING CASE - I HEREBY - NOW SUBMIT - A BRIEF SET OF FACTS - THAT

14/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

DETAILS HOW MY FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED ET WHAT CLAIMS I AM SEEKING RELIEF FROM—UNDER THIS HIGH ET HONORABLE COURT.

25) I AM SUBMITTING THIS PETITION TO BEST OF MY ABILITIES—AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE—WHO HAS BEEN UNDER 20 TO 24 HOUR LOCKDOWN IN A 8 BY 8 CELL—EVERYDAY FOR OVER 14—PAST 10 YEARS—WITH ONLY ACCESS TO A PENCIL THAT IS 2 INCHES—ET ONLY PERMITTED TO SEE SUNLIGHT—OUTSIDE 20 TO 30 MINUTES—ONCE A WEEK.

26) I DO NOT HAVE FUNDS—OR ABILITY TO SECURE—ANY THE DOCUMENTS WARRANTED—TO SUBMIT IN—  
(APPENDIX)—NOR ABILITY TO MAKE ANY COPIES OF ANY DOCUMENT—AS RESPONDANT—DENIES ALL INMATES ACCESS TO A COPY MACHINE—DUE TO SUCH—I WAS UNABLE TO SERVE—RESPONDANT A COPY OF THIS PETITION—NOR PAY FILING FEE—AS I AM SEVERELY INDIGENT ET ISOLATED.

27) I HUMBLY PRAY YOUR HIGH HONORS—TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE—OF

15/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS  
I FILED ON (4/24/2026) AS IT  
IS RELATED TO THIS MATTER ET  
CONTAINS (APPENDIX A TO G) THAT  
IS ALSO IN SUPPORT OF THIS  
PETITION—SUCH IS IN MY NAME.

28) TO WIT—I AM ALLEGING ET  
DECLARING THAT RESPONDANT—  
HAS VIOLATED ET CONTINUES TO  
VIOLATE—MY V AMENDMENT RIGHT  
TO BE FREE FROM (DOUBLE JEOPARDY)  
VI AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A SPEEDY  
ET FAIR TRIAL—VI AMENDMENT  
TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF  
COUNSEL ET TO REPRESENT SELF—  
XIV AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER—  
PROCEDURAL ET SUBSTANTIVE—  
DUE PROCESS CLAUSE—TO BE  
FREE FROM FORCED PSYCHOTIC  
TREATMENT ET MEDICATION—  
ET DEEMED WRONGFULLY INCOMPETENT  
TO STAND TRIAL.

29) TO WIT—FROM 2016 TO (4/2/2019)  
PETITIONER CONDUCTED HIS CRIMINAL  
CASE DEFENSE OVERALL IN  
(FARRETTA STATUS)—CASE NO. RIF1603395  
WHICH—I HAD FILED OVER 80—  
NONFRIVOLOUS MOTIONS ET PETITIONS

26/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

ET HAD DEMANDED A FAIR ET SPEEDY TRIAL, OVER 7 OCCASIONS WHICH RESPONDANT DID NOT HONOR. 30) TO WIT - FROM (2016 TO 2019) -

I HAD FILED EXACTLY < 85 > - PETITIONS ET MOTIONS CONCERNING:  
A) BRADY VIOLATIONS B) DISCOVERY DEMANDS  
C) DISCOVERY SANCTION MOTIONS D) DISMISSAL MOTIONS  
E) BAIL REDUCTION MOTIONS  
F) PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT MOTIONS  
G) D.A. ET JUDGE RECUSAL MOTIONS  
H) JAILERS DESTROYING CRIMINAL CASE PROPERTY ET OTHER MOTIONS IN RE TO JAIL CONDITIONS EFFECTING ABILITY TO CONDUCT CRIMINAL CASE DEFENSE. 31) TO WIT - ON < 4/2/2019 > - PETITIONER FARETTA STATUS WAS TERMINATED FOR FILING MOTIONS IN RE TO JAIL CONDITIONS INTERFERING WITH CRIMINAL CASE DEFENSE - PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT ET MOVING FOR A EXPERT WITNESS OF PROSECUTOR/POLICE MISCONDUCT. 32) TO WIT - FROM < 4/2/2019 > TO < 4/24/2020 > ET CONTINUING - PETITIONER WAS - FORCED TO BE EXAMINED BY TOTAL - OF OVER 28 MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS - THAT CONSIST OF < PSYCHOLOGIST > < THERAPIST > < PSYCHIATRIST > - < CONSERVATORSHIP EXPERTS > -

17/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

FORCED TO PATTON STATE HOSPITAL—  
TWICE ET A COMPETENCY JAIL PROGRAM  
CALLED LIBERTY HEALTH—PLACED  
UNDER FORCED PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION—  
FOR OVER A YEAR—ET DEEMED—  
PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND  
TRIAL.

33) TO WIT—I WAS DEEMED INCOMPETENT TO  
STAND TRIAL PERMANENTLY—ET MY—  
MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS SUMMARIZED  
IN EXAMINATION REPORTS—I AM MENTALLY  
ILL ET INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL  
ET DANGEROUS DUE TO MENTAL ILLNESS ET  
IN NEED OF CONSERVATORSHIP ET FORCED  
PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION—DUE TO:

- A) WANTING TO REPRESENT SELF IN CRIMINAL CASE
- B) RAISING CLAIMS OF SEX ABUSE—PHYSICAL  
ABUSE—DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY OF CRIMINAL  
CASE DEFENSE ET OTHER JAIL CONDITIONS  
IN COURT PROCEEDING ET TO MENTAL EXPERTS
- C) DETAILING HIS CULTURAL ET RELIGIOUS  
BELIEFS IN MYLAH-YAHWEH-NUMBE ET  
OSIRIS—IN COURT ET TO MENTAL EXPERTS
- D) RAISING CLAIMS OF PROSECUTION ET  
POLICE MISCONDUCT ET BRADY VIOLATIONS
- E) DENYING I HAVE A MENTAL HEALTH ILLNESS
- F) REFUSING TO SUBMIT TO INCOMPETENCY EXAMS
- G) FILING ET PROSECUTING FEDERAL \$1983 SUITS

18/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

34) TO WIT - FROM (4/2/2019 TO 4/26/2026) -  
AT EVERY COURT DATE - I WAS PHYSICALLY  
PRESENT - OVER 30 - I EXECUTED FARETTA  
DEMANDS - ORALLY OR BY MOTIONS - ET  
I OBJECTED TO APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL  
ET INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS  
WHICH RESPONDANT IGNORED ET DENIED.

35) TO WIT - FROM (2019 TO 4/26/2026) I HAVE  
SUCCESSFULLY PROSECUTED 10 §1983 -  
IN (PROPRIA - SE) (PROPRIA - PERSONA)  
OF MINES - ET PROCEEDING TO TRIAL  
AGAINST RESPONDANT - IN RE TO JAIL  
CONDITIONS ET MATTERS OF THIS PETITION  
CONCERNING USE OF INCOMPETENCY PROCEED-  
INGS BY (COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE) FOR RAISING  
MATTERS OF JAIL CONDITIONS IN  
COURT - CASE NO. 5:25CV266-VBF-MAD.

36) TO WIT - FROM (2017 TO 4/26/2026) - IVE  
FILED AS A JAILHOUSE LAWYER -  
OVER 180 MOTIONS & OR PETITIONS & OR  
§1983 SUITS - ET SUCCESSFULLY WON  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN MULTIPLE  
§1983 SUITS - ET WON PETITIONS FOR  
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - FOR OVER 60  
PRETRIAL DETAINEES OR CONVICTED  
PRISONERS - ET FILED ON (4/7/2026)  
APPROXIMATE - PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS ET CERTIORARI - WITHIN

29/27

# STATEMENT OF CASE

THIS COURT-ON BEHALF OF PRISONER NOEL  
A PRISONER OF 43 YEARS-WHO JACKSON  
CAN'T READ OR WRITE-WHICH A \$2254-  
WAS GRANTED BY 4 FEDERAL JUDGES  
IN (2024) TO RELEASE (NOEL JACKSON)-  
RESPONDANT REFUSES TO RELEASE.  
37) TO WIT-FROM (4/2/2019 TO 4/24/2026)-  
ALL 5 COURT APPOINTED ATTORNIES THAT  
I WAS FORCED TO ACCEPT REPRESENTATION-  
FOR IN CRIMINAL CASE-BY RESPONDANT-HAD  
PROCEEDED-VOTED FOR ME-COERCED OR FORCED-  
ME TO BE EXAM FOR INCOMPETENT TO STAND  
TRIAL-CONSERVATORSHIP ET FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICA  
TIONS-AGAINST MY 30 PLUS OBJECTIONS-FOR  
BENEFIT OF MY DEFENSE.

38) TO WIT-IN (7/19/2022) CRIMINAL CASE NO.  
RIF1603395-DUE TO BEING DEEMED PERMANENTLY  
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL-RESPONDANT DISMISS CASE  
ET REFILED NEW CASE NO. RIF2203700-SAME  
CHARGES AS RIF1603395-IN ORDER TO RESTART CASE  
FOR 2ND TIME-LABEL ME A NEW MAN/MIND-  
ET BYPASS JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715 1972  
FEDERAL PRECEDANT-UNDER CA LAW THIS IS-  
PERMITTED-TO CONTINUE PROSECUTION ET KEEP-  
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL DEFENDANT INDEFINITELY  
IN CUSTODY-WHICH RESPONDANT-CURRENTLY FOR PAST  
2 YEARS IS FORCING ME IN INCOMPETENCY ET FORCE PSYCHOTIC  
MEDICATION PROCEEDING S. \*UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY\*  
\*EXECUTED ON ET BY: 4/24/26/E.P. SUPRA FACTS TO BE ABSOLUTE TRUTH.

20/27

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

\* PETITIONER REQUESTS YOUR HONORS TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ATTACHED MEMORANDUM OF LAW-AS GROUNDS/REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.

\* ATTACHED PAGES 21 TO 26 \*

\* PURSUANT TO U.S.C. TITLE 28 § 1746

PETITIONER (ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.) PROCEEDING IN PRO/SE—HEREBY VERIFY ALL FACTS TO BE TRUE ET CORRECT SUBMITTED IN MEMORANDUM OF LAW ET REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION PAGES 21 TO 26—ET UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, UNDER LAWS OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DO I SOLEMNLY MAKE THIS OATH ET SHALL TESTIFY TO IN ANY SOUND COURT OF LAW, SO HELP ME YAHWEH. \* EXECUTED ON: \* EXECUTED BY: ERIC PHILLIPS AKA RAHEEM PETITIONER IN PRO/SE APRIL 24<sup>TH</sup> 2026

22/27

# REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION ET MEMORANDUM OF LAW

\*TO WIT—PETITIONER SUBMITS THEE SWIFT SET OF FACTS ET AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF HIS REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ET DECLARES THAT IF—YOUR HIGH HONORS ELECT TO GRANT THIS GRAND HOLY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS—SUCH IS NOT IN THE INTEREST OF HIMSELF—SUCH IS IN INTERESTS OF ALL UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CITIZENS ET SUBJECTS ET ULTIMATELY THEE WORLD TO BENEFIT FROM.

\*WHEREFORE NOW—YOUR HONORS SAID PETITIONER EXHAUSTED ALL STATE COURT REMEDIES A VAST—MORE THAN 40 TIMES—ET MOST RECENT (12/10/2025) DENIAL ORDER BY CA SUPREME COURT OF PETITIONER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS RAISES ALL FACTS WITHIN THIS PETITION ET PETITIONER HAS NO URGENT FEDERAL COURT REMEDY TO TERMINATE ET CHALLENGE HIS THREAT OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY ET USE OF PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS BY RESPONDANT UPON HIM—HE HUMBLYP PRAYS FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO BE GRANTED.

22/27

# MEMORANDUM OF LAW

\*UNDER FOLLOWING GROUNDS/REASONS\*  
SET FORTH INFRA—PETITIONER  
ET ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF  
AMERICA—DECLARE—WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS ISSUED—SHALL FURTHER ENDS  
OF JUSTITIA FOR MANKIND ET  
SHALL BE BENEFICIAL TO THIS—  
SOLE SUPREME COURT JURISDICTION:

## GROUND I: DOUBLE JEOPARDY FORBIDDEN:

\*TO WIT—PURSUANT TO AMENDMENT  
OF U.S. CONSTITUTION—ET THEE CASE  
OF (MCETRATH V. GEORGIA 601 US 87 2024)

RESPONDANTS ACTIONS AVERRED IN  
THIS PETITION—OF DISMISSING PETITIONER  
CRIMINAL CASE RIF1603395—DUE  
TO (UNABLE TO BE RESTORED TO COMPETENCY)  
VIA (PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND)  
TRIAL

RULING BY 20 PLUS MENTAL HEALTH—  
EXPERTS ET AFTER REVIEW BY—  
BENCH/JUDGE—A VERDICT OF SUCH—  
(PERMANENT INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL)  
WAS DECLARED ET JUDGE MOVED—  
TO DISMISS CRIMINAL CASE—DUE TO  
INSUFFICIENT (MENS REA OR MENS)—A  
STATE OF MIND—BEING ABLE TO BE

23/27

# MEMORANDUM OF LAW

DEVELOPE BY PROSECUTOR OF PETITIONER  
THEN REFILING SAME (CRIMINAL)  
CHARGES UNDER COLOR OF A NEW  
CASE NO. RIF 2203700 - TO LABEL  
HIM A NEW (COMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL)  
CRIMINAL DEFENDANT - A NEW MAN -  
CLEARLY IS (DOUBLE JEOPARDY).  
\*JUST AS IN - MCETRATH, SUPRA -  
A INSANITY DEFENDANT - NO MENS REA  
SHALL EVER BE ESTABLISHED BY D.A.  
A PERMANENT INCOMPETENT TO STAND  
TRIAL DEFENDANT - SHALL NEVER  
BE TRIED - FURTHER PROSECUTED OR  
INVESTIGATED AT ANY CRIMINAL  
CASE PROCEEDING STAGE - DUE TO  
NO (MENS REA OR IN TOTALITY) A -  
MENTAL STATE - SHALL EVER BE -  
ESTABLISHED BY M. D.A. & BY  
INSANE ET PERMANENT INCOMPETENT  
VERDICTS - BY EITHER JUDGE/BENCH  
OR JURY - TRIALS OR BEING -  
THE FACT FINDER - HAVE RULED  
(INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE) EXISTS.  
\*WHEREFORE RESPONDANT - ONCE DECLARED  
PETITIONER PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND  
TRIAL & DISMISSED CASE DUE TO - THEY ARE  
FORBIDDEN AT A 2ND/DOUBLE SHOT - TO RETRY  
REPROSECUTE CASE & SAME CHARGES - AS SUCH

24/27

# MEMORANDUM OF LAW

IS (DOUBLE JEOPARDY) ET EXACTLY SAME AS (MCETRATH, SUPRA) CASE—JUST NOT A JURY FACTFINDER—SUCH WAS A BENCH/JUDGE FACTFINDER.

## B → GROUND II : SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION:

\*TO WIT—IF RESPONDANT ATTEMPTS TO ARGUE—PETITIONER WAS FOUND PERMANENT INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL, IN (GOOD) FAITH ET FORCED UNDER PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET STILL SUBJECTED TO FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS—THEN INDEED PURSUANT TO (MCETRATH, SUPRA) PETITIONER DOUBLE JEOPARDY PROTECTIONS WAS VIOLATED.

\*TO WIT—IF RESPONDANT CONTENDS—THAT PETITIONER WAS NOT FOUND PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL, IN (GOOD) FAITH—THEN THEE 7 YEAR DELAY ET ABUSE OF POWER ACTIONS—RESPONDANT HAS EXECUTED UPON PETITIONER—OF FORCING HIM INTO INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL ET FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION IN PAST ET STILL CURRENTLY AS OF (4/24/2026) THEN PETITIONER (SPEEDY TRIAL) PROTECTION WAS WITHOUT A SHADOW OF DOUBT VIOLATED—ET PURSUANT TO CASES OF:

25/27

# MEMORANDUM OF LAW

- A) POLLARD V. UNITED STATES 352 U.S. 354 1957
- B) KLOPPER V. NORTH CAROLINA 386 U.S. 213 1966
- C) DICKEY V. FLORIDA 398 U.S. 30 1970
- D) JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715 1972
- E) STRUNK V. UNITED STATES 412 U.S. 434 1973
- F) DILLINGHAM V. UNITED STATES 423 U.S. 64 1975
- G) DOUGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 U.S. 647 1992

\* PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY PRAYS \*  
TO SUBMIT BRIEFING IN RE TO HIS  
CASE OF SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION  
TO THEE ALREADY SET ET STONED  
CASES SUPRA THIS HIGH COURT HAS  
RULED ON ALREADY—PETITIONER INCORPORATES  
IN SUPPORT OF HIS SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION THAT  
RESPONDANT CAUSED ET CONTINUE TO MANIFEST.

## C) GROUND III: INCOMPETENT ET FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION

PROCEEDINGS SERVED N  
LEGITIMATE STATE PURPOSE:  
\* TO WIT—PURSUANT TO CASE:

- A) SELL V. UNITED STATES 539 U.S. 166 2003
- B) BRIGGINS V. NEVADA 504 U.S. 127 1992
- C) FOUCHA V. LOUISIANA 504 U.S. 71 1992

BASE UPON THE MERITS OF PETITIONER  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
HE FILED ON (4/24/2026) WITHIN  
THIS HIGH COURT ET FACTS OF THE  
STATEMENT OF CASE OF THIS—

26/27

# MEMORANDUM OF LAW

PETITION-RESPONDANT HAS ET  
CONTINUE TO MALICIOUSLY DEPRIVE—  
PETITIONER OF HIS LIFE ET LIBERTY  
GUARANTEED BY XI DUE PROCESS CLAUSE.  
\*TO WIT-RESPONDANT-FURTHER, SERVES  
NO LEGITIMATE GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE—  
ET VIOLATE—BOTH SUBSTANTIVE ET PROCEDURAL  
PROTECTIONS OF DUE PROCESS CLAUSE—BY  
ENFORCING (7 YEAR) > VERACITY-INCOMPETENT  
TO STAND TRIAL HOLD-PROCEEDINGS ET  
FORCED PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT—ET LABELING  
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PERMANENTLY—  
UPON PETITIONER ET HIS NAME—WHERE  
HE IS CLEARLY COMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL—  
ET RESPONDANTS EXECUTED SUCH FOR REPRISAL  
PURPOSES—AS AVERED IN PETITIONS—WHICH THE  
PETITIONER PRAYS FOR SUBMISSION OF BRIEF ON.

## > GROUND N: VI AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL DENIED:

\*TO WIT—UNDER FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 U.S. 806 1974  
ET MCCOY V. LOUISIANA 138 S.Ct. 1500 2018  
ET UNDER THE MERITS IN THIS PETITION ET  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI—PETITIONER FILED  
4/24/2026—RESPONDANT WITHOUT ANY CAST OF A  
SHADOW OF DOUBT—VIOLATED PETITIONER (FARETTA)  
ENTITLEMENT—TO A DEGREE NEVER SEEN BEFORE IN  
ANY COURT—THE ONLY QUESTION LEFT IS DID RESPONDANT—  
VIOLATE PETITIONER RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF  
COUNSEL—AS APPLIES TO (MCCOY, SUPRA) FOR FORCING A INCOMPETENCY DEFENSE ON HIM.

27/27 \* IN CONCLUSION - PETITIONER  
OVERS THAT HE HAS A  
CURRENT ACTIVE - \$2241 PETITION  
WITHIN U.S. DISTRICT COURT - CENTRAL  
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WHICH  
ATTORNEY (TONY, FARMANI) WAS ASSIGNED  
UNDER (CJA) - CASE NO. 9:24CV208-VBF-MAA  
TO SUBMIT A AMENDMENT PETITION I  
HAVE DISCUSSED WITH (TONY, FARMANI) FACTS  
ET VIOLATIONS OF THIS PETITION ET THE  
CERTIORARI PETITION I FILED MYSELF -  
IN THIS HIGH COURT - ET AMENDING SUCH  
INTO (SAP) - AS I DESIRE ET DEMAND SUCH - THE  
ATTORNEY (TONY, FARMANI) REFUSES TO RAISE ALL  
CLAIMS - EXPECT SPEEDY TRIAL - DUE TO BEING -  
UNAWARE IF FEDERAL CONSTITUTION APPLIES ET

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

IF CURRENT U.S. SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT APPLIES -  
TO OTHER CLAIMS - IN INTERESTS OF JUSTITIA - I  
HUMBLY PRAY FOR A RULING ON THIS MATTER - ET TO  
ASSIST NOT ONLY TONY - OTHER  
ATTORNEYS ET COURTS - IN  
UNDERSTANDING - HOW RESPONDANT  
VIOLATED PETITIONER RIGHTS  
ASSERTED IN PETITIONS -  
I BROUGHT FORTH  
TO YOUR HIGH HONORS  
IN GOOD FAITH.

Respectfully submitted,

  
B.M.A.A.

Date: 4/24/2026

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY  
PRAYS FOR A WRIT OF  
HABEAS CORPUS TO ISSUE

TO TERMINATE HIS INCOMPETENT  
TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS ET DISMISS  
HIS CRIMINAL CASE WITH PREJUDICE  
FOR VIOLATIONS SET FORTH IN PETITION.