

1/1

ATTN: CLERK OF COURT

*DATE=9/7/2026
*CASE No. 25-7605

INFINITE BLESSINGS BE UPON
YOU ET YOUR LOVE ONES.

*I AM FILING A SUPPLEMENTARY
BRIEF - FOR SUPRA CASE - WHICH
IS TOTAL OF 15 PAGES - PURSUANT
TO RULES OF COURT - ATTACHED
WITH A PROOF OF SERVICE -
ET (APPENDICES A TO T).

*THIS SUPPLEMENTARY BRIEF - IS
IN TOTAL (76) ENVELOPES -

LABELLED AS PART 1/76 - PART 2/76

ET - SO ON EACH ENVELOPE HAS ON
THE BACK OF ENVELOPE - MY CASE
NO. 25-7605 - THEN PART No. 76 ET SO

ON UNTIL PART 76/76 IS REACH.

*PLEASE FILE ALL TOGETHER WHEN
YOU RECEIVE EACH ENVELOPE - IN ORDER -
ASAP - DUE TO THREAT OF IRREPARABLE
HARMS AT HAND - I AM SUBJECTED TO.

MY APOLOGIES FOR EXTENSIVE AMOUNT OF
ENVELOPES - SUCH IS DUE TO APPENDIX ET
IS ALL I COULD AFFORD RIGHT NOW.

1/1

*CASE NO. 25-7605

IN THE SUPREME COURT
FOR THE UNITED STATES

ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.

VS.

PETITIONER-

SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO, ET AL.

RESPONDANTS-

IN PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT

<SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF>
FOR PETITIONER

*NAME: ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.

*I.D.# 202215626

*ADDRESS: SCF JAIL, 1627 S. HARGRAVE ST.
BANNING, CA 92220

1/1

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- I) DID RESPONDANT VIOLATE JACKSON V. INDIANA BY DEEMING PETITIONER UNABLE TO BE RESTORED TO COMPETENT STATUS ET REFUSING TO RELEASE HIM ET END FURTHER PROSECUTION?
- II) DID RESPONDANT VIOLATE O'CONNOR V. DONAGHDON BY FORCING PETITIONER TO BE EXAMINED - AGAINST HIS OBJECTIONS FOR INCOMPETENT ET DEEMING HIM MENTALLY ILL WITH FALSE MATERIAL FACTS?
- III) IF RESPONDANT VIOLATED JACKSON ET O'CONNOR - WHAT IS PROPER REMEDY AS APPLIED TO PETITIONER DUE PROCESS RIGHTS?
- IV) DID RESPONDANT DENY PETITIONER COMPLETE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL?
- V) MAY RESPONDANT USE FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE AT 2 PRELIMINARY EXAMS - TO SECURE GUILTY OUTCOME ET A CONVICTION?
- VI) MAY RESPONDANT USE FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE TO DENY PETITIONER BAIL RELEASE?
- VII) ARE THE CONDITIONS OF JAIL SET FORTH IN THIS BRIEF - MODERN DAY TORTURE UNDER DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF CONSTITUTION?
- VIII) IF SO - WHAT IS PROPER REMEDY FOR A RETRIAL DETAINEE WHO IS TORTURED WHILE AWAITING TRIAL IN A JAIL BY JAILERS?
- IX) DID JAILERS VIOLATE PETITIONER RIGHTS TO A FAIR ET SPEEDY TRIAL - BY DESTROYING HIS CRIMINAL CASE DEFENSE PROPERTY OVER 20 TIMES IN CUSTODY?

1/1

LIST OF PARTIES

- 1) PETITIONER: ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.
- 2) RESPONDANTS:
 - 1) SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO
 - 2) COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
 - 3) SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY
 - 4) DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY
 - 5) PATTON STATE HOSPITAL

* COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IS PARTY IN MUNICIPAL CAPACITY ET WAS SERVED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WHICH WAS AFTER SUCH WAS FILED— BY PERSONAL SERVICE BY PETITIONER AT JAIL—BY PROVIDING TO WARDEN. PATTON STATE HOSPITAL COUNSEL IS CA A.G. WHO IS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR CALIFORNIA.

4 1/2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL ET STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF CASE	4
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	14
ARGUMENT	14
CONCLUSION	15

* INDEX TO APPENDICES *

- 1) APPENDIX A = 2 COURT ORDERS BY SUPERIOR COURT MAKE PETITIONER COMPLY WITH INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS - ALSO BANNING HIM FROM FILING GRIEVANCES
- 2) APPENDIX B = COPY OF PETITIONER CASE CHARGES - IT PUBLIC ARTICLE ABOUT CASE CHARGES.
- 3) APPENDIX C = COPY OF COVER PAGES FOR PETITION FILED IN A SUPREME COURT DENIED IN RE TO FACTS OF THIS BRIEF.
- 4) APPENDIX D = COPY OF JANUARY TO JUNE 2026 COURT MINUTES OF PETITIONER §1983 SUIT - AGAINST ALL 4 RESPONDANTS.
- 5) APPENDIX E = RESPONDANTS RESPONSES TO PETITIONER (RFA) IN §1983 SUITS.
- 6) APPENDIX F = RESPONDANTS RESPONSES TO PETITIONER (RFI) IN §1983 SUITS.
- 7) APPENDIX G = RESPONDANTS RESPONSES TO PETITIONER (RFD) IN §1983 SUITS.
- 8) APPENDIX H = COPY OF RESPONDANTS RULE 26 DISCLOSURE IN §1983 SUIT.
- 9) APPENDIX I = COPY OF TRANSCRIPTS OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON 5/11/26 - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT - IN PETITIONER §1983 SUIT - WHERE INMATES TESTIFY ABOUT RESPONDANTS SEX ABUSE AND PETITIONER

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

- 29) FIKES V. ALABAMA 352 US 191 (1957) 4 TO 15
- 30) ALBERTA V. TEXAS 355 US 28 (1957) 4 TO 15
- 31) PAYNE V. ARKANSAS 356 US 560 (1958) 6 TO 15
- 32) NAPUE V. ILLINOIS 360 US 264 (1959) 6 TO 15
- 33) CULOMBE V. CONNECTICUT 367 US 568 (1961) 10 TO 12
- 34) RECK V. PATE 367 US 433 (1961) 10 TO 12
- 35) HAMILTON V. ALABAMA 368 US 52 (1961) 10 TO 12
- 36) GALTEGOS V. COLORADO 370 US 49 (1962) 10 TO 12
- 37) GIDEON V. WAINWRIGHT 372 US 335 (1963) 10 TO 12
- 38) PATE V. ROBINSON 383 US 375 (1966) 9 TO 15
- 39) SPECHT V. PATTERSON 386 US 605 (1967) 9 TO 15
- 40) MITTLE V. PATE 87 SCT 785 (1967) 6 TO 15
- 41) COLEMAN V. ALABAMA 399 US 1 (1970) 10 TO 12
- 42) DICKEY V. FLORIDA 398 US 30 (1970) 9 TO 15
- 43) GILLO V. UNITED STATES 405 US 150 (1972) 6 TO 15
- 44) BRADEN V. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT OF KENTUCKY 410 US 484 (1973) 9 TO 15
- 45) FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 US 563 (1975) 9 TO 15
- 46) O'CONNOR V. DONALDSON 422 US 563 (1975) 9 TO 15
- 47) DROPE V. MISSOURI 420 US 162 (1975) 4 TO 15
- 48) ESTELLE V. GAMBLE 429 US 97 (1976) 4 TO 15
- 49) BREWER V. WILLIAMS 430 US 387 (1977) 6 TO 12
- 50) VITEK V. JONES 445 US 480 (1980) 9 TO 15
- 51) STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON 466 US 668 (1984) 10 TO 12
- 52) ZINERMON V. BURCH 494 US 113 (1990) 9 TO 15
- 53) FOUCHA V. LOUISIANA 504 US 71 (1992) 9 TO 15
- 54) FARMER V. BRENNAN 511 US 825 (1994) 9 TO 15

1/15

OPINIONS BELOW

*PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THAT
A WRIT OF CERTIORARI ISSUE TO REVIEW
THE JUDGMENTS INFRA:

FOR CASES FROM STATE COURTS:
1) OPINION OF HIGHEST STATE COURT TO
REVIEW THE MERITS APPEARS AT
APPENDIX (C) TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF
ET IS UNPUBLISHED.

2) THE OPINION OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT APPEARS AT APPENDIX
(A) TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF ET IS -
UNPUBLISHED.

3/15 CONSTITUTIONAL ET STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- I) JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 US 715 (1972)
* RESPONDANTS VIOLATED POLICY SET FORTH
IN JACKSON V. INDIANA.
- II) O'CONNOR V. DONALDSON 422 US 563 (1975)
* RESPONDANTS FORCED PETITIONER INTO STATE
MENTAL HEALTH PROCEEDINGS IN BAD FAITH.
- III) COLEMAN V. ALABAMA 399 US 1 (1970)
* PETITIONER WAS DENIED EFFECTIVE
ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT PRELIMINARY EXAM.
- IV) FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 US 806 (1975)
* RESPONDANT TERMINATED PETITIONER
FARETTA STATUS IN BAD FAITH.
- V) STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON 466 US 668 (1984)
* RESPONDANT DENIED PETITIONER
COMPETENT COUNSEL FOR 7 YEARS.
- VI) GIDEON V. WAINWRIGHT 372 US 335 (1963)
* PETITIONER HAS BEEN ABANDONED AND
OF COUNSEL BY RESPONDANTS ACTIONS.
- VII) IN RE MICHAEL 326 US 224 (1945)
* RESPONDANT UTILIZED FALSE MATERIAL
EVIDENCE AT 2 PRELIMINARY EXAMS - IN
PURSUIT OF A CONVICTION.
- VIII) BROWN V. MISSISSIPPI 297 US 278 (1936)
- IX) ZIANG SUNG WAN V. UNITED STATES 266 US 1 (1924)
- X) EX PARTE MEDLEY 134 US 160 (1890)
- XI) FARMER V. BRENNAN 512 US 825 (1994)
* RESPONDANTS ARE INFLICTING MODERN DAY TORTURE
UPON PETITIONER TO GUARANTEE A QUICK
OUTCOME IN CASE.

5/15

LINE 1-ET SUCH HAS BEEN ORDERED BY CA-TO BE AFFIXED ONTO BIRTH CERTIFICATE.

5) I HAVE BEEN UNDER ILLEGALLY CUSTODY FOR OVER 10 YEARS AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE IN THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE JAIL-CHARGED BY RESPONDANTS-WITH CRIMES OF ATTEMPTED MURDER-IN CASE NO. RIF1603395/RIF2203700-AS SHOWN IN (APPENDIX A TO B).

6) I AM UNDER DAILY DURESS-AS I HAVE BEEN DENIED A SPEEDY ET FAIR TRIAL UPON MY PLETHORA OF DEMANDS-BY RESPONDANT-WHO IS UTILIZING FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE TO ATTEMPT TO CONVICT ME ET SUBJECTING ME DAILY-TO ONGOING TORTUROUS JAIL LIVING CONDITIONS-IN ORDER TO FORCE ET GUARANTEE A GUILTY CONVICTION IN MY CASE CHARGED UPON ME.

7) I SHALL BRIEFLY AVER ET SUMMARIZE THE FACTS OF RESPONDANT VIA D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY USE OF FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE UPON ME-ET SUBJECTION TO TORTURE BY JAILERS-INFRA-WHICH I WAS ILLEGALLY DEEMED INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL ET PUT UNDER

7/15 UP-NO GUNFIRE-ET AS
SHOWN IN (APPENDIX O) I TOLD
INV. BRETT JOHNSON MULTIPLE TIMES
I DID NOT HAVE MY CELLPHONE-
IN MY PERSON DAY OF ALLEGATIONS.
12) TO WIT-AT 2ND PRELIM EXAM HELD
ON < 6/23/2023 > RSO DETECTIVE
(J. CAMPOS) TESTIFIED THAT HE SEE
ME-OPEN UP FIRE WITH RIFLE
AT (CS) LOCATION-MAKING STATEMENTS
OF SUCH-WITH THE VICTIM JOSHUA RETI
WHO TESTIFIED-THAT I OPENED UP
FIRE WITH RIFLE AS WELL-AS
SHOWN IN (APPENDIX M).
13) AS AVERED SUPRA-(CS) VIDEO SHOWS
NO SHOOTING OCCURRING-ET THE
PROSECUTOR DID NOT PRESENT (CS) VIDEO
UNTIL 2ND PRELIM EXAM-AS EVIDENCE
WHICH WAS A EDITED VERSION-
WITH ADDED EFFECTS ET CUT ANGLES.
14) AT BOTH PRELIM EXAMS-THE JUDGES
OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
OUNDED ME OVER FOR TRIAL-BASE ON
THE FALSE TESTIMONY SUPRA BY-
2 RSO DETECTIVES-THE VICTIM ET
AT 2ND PRELIM-DETECTIVE J. CAMPOS
TESTIFIED TO CODEFENDANT DOMINIQUE
ROBERTSON SUBMITTING A CONFESSION
THAT IMPLICATES ME IN SAID CRIMES
AS SHOWN IN APPENDIX (M).

MULTIPLE VERSIONS OF -
9/15 WHAT OCCURRED - ET 2 DIFFERENT
CONFESSIONS WERE MADE -
AS SUCH - PROSECUTOR USED DOMINIQUE
FALSE CONFESSIONS - TO ARREST ET
CONVICT 2 OTHER CO-DEFENDANTS JOHN
ROSS ET CRAIG LEE - AS SHOWN -
IN APPENDICES B/M/C ATTACHED.
20) TO WIT - FOR ADDRESSING THE SUPRA-
USE OF FALSE MATERIAL TESTIMONY
ET EVIDENCE BY RESPONDANT - IN FARETTA
STATUS - IN (2016 TO 2019) - AS PROSECUTION
MISCONDUCT - ON (4/2/2019) - I WAS FORCED
IN STATE INCOMPETENCY HEARINGS - UNDER
FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION - DEEMED
PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL
ET FORCED TO TREATMENT AT PATTON
STATE HOSPITAL - A STATE MENTAL
WARD - WHICH RESPONDANT STILL HAS
ME IN INCOMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL HEAR-
INGS AGAINST MY OBJECTIONS. AS
OF (4/7/2026).
21) FOR TOTAL OF 7 YEARS - RESPONDANT
HAS FORCED ME INTO STATE INCOMPETEN-
CY PROCEEDINGS - ET AS SHOWN IN THE
APPENDICES A ORDERED RSO DEPUTIES
TO USE FORCE ON ME - TO MAKE
ME SPEAK TO A DOCTOR - ET SUBMIT
TO INCOMPETENCY COURT HEARINGS.
22) TO WIT - IN (2022) I WAS DEEMED
PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND

11/26 WITH EXCEPTION OF ATTORNEY
13 ROYAL BOND-THE ATTORNEY I-
HAD ONLY DISCUSS CASE WITH-
LONGER THAN 2 MINUTES-SHE COMMITTE
FRAUD UPON MY MOTHER-ET COERCED HER
INTO PAYING \$20.00-AFTER PROVIDING ME
\$20.00 TO BUY STAMPS TO FILE A
MOTION FOR ONE OF HER CLIENTS-AS
SHE BRIEFLY REPRESENTED ME IN CASE
PRO/BONO UPON AGREEMENT-I WORK AS
A UNLICENSED PARALEGAL FOR HER-
IN (2023 TO 2024).

27) THIS CONTRACT WITH (ROYAL BONDS)-
FAILED-ET SHE REFUSED TO CONDUCT
ANY DEFENSE SERVICES FOR ME-ET
ATTEMPTED TO HAVE MOTHER PAY HER-
MONEY AFTER ACTING AS MY ATTORNEY
FOR CASE IN (2024)-ET REFUSED TO
REMOVE SELF AS MY ATTORNEY OF RECORD.

28) APPENDICES (J TO K) SHOW PRIMA
FACIE OF SUPRA FACTS IN RE TO
ROYAL-ET OTHER CLIENTS SWORN
DECLARATIONS-WHO SUFFERED SIMILAR
ACTS OF FRAUD BY (ROYAL BONDS) AS
I-THAT I OBTAINED.

29) TO WIT- EVERY ATTORNEY-RESPONDANT HAD
REPRESENT ME IN CASE-TOOK ADVERSE
ACTIONS TOWARDS ME-ET DID NOT HAVE
MY GOOD INTEREST AT HAND FOR ME.

30) AS AVERED IN SUPRA FACTS ET ORIGINAL
PETITION-RESPONDANT IN (4/2/2019 TO 4/7/2026)
ENTERED OVER 20 ORAL FARETTA-

INCOMPETENT ONLY HIGHLIGHTS - MENTAL
WITH ET AFTER DEEMED PERMANENTLY
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL WITH
FALSE FACTS SUBMITTED BY MENTAL

13/15

- HEALTH EXPERTS.
- 3) TO WIT - I CONCLUDE WITH THE FOLLOWING FACT
IF TORTUROUS JAIL CONDITIONS I SUFFER DAILY
ET BEEN SUBJECTED TO IN PAST TO WIT - I AM FOR
PAST 10 YEARS AT RPOC - CBDC - SCF - JBDC JAILS - ON
DAILY BASIS - FED 800 TO 1000 CALORIES OF FOOD A
DAY - 3 COLD MEALS - ROTTEN MEAT ET FRUIT ET
MOLDY BREAD IN CONTAMINATED TRAYS - BREAKFAST
AT 2AM ET DINNER AT 2PM.
- 4) I AM ON CELL LOCKDOWN - THE CELL THE SIZE
OF A CHEVY MATIBU CAR - FOR 20 TO 24 HOURS
A DAY - NO ACCESS TO A WINDOW - NO CLOCK - NOR
VIEW OF SUN OR SKY TO TELL TIME.
- 5) I AM SUBJECTED TO HIGHPOWERED BEAMING LED
LIGHTS ON BLAST (16 TO 20) HOURS A DAY ET
HIGHPOWERED BUZZING ET SHAMMING DOORS - EVERY
10 MINUTES WHICH CAUSES ME TO GET ONLY 2 TO 4
HOURS OF SLEEP A DAY - I AM DENIED
ANY RECREATION ET ABILITY TO EXERCISE -
OUTSIDE OF CELL - ET ONLY GIVEN OPPORTUNITY
TO EXERCISE IN A CAGED ROOM - ONCE A WEEK -
FOR 30 MINUTES - BARE FOOT ET IN ONLY
SOCKS VLN 99% NAKED UNDER RSN POLICY
- 6) I AM DENIED ACCESS TO SHOES OR ADEQUATE
FOOT PROTECTION - GIVEN ONLY ETIPPERS
TO WALK IN THAT CAUSES FOOT INJURIES AFTER
A MONTH USE - I AM ONLY GIVEN 1 ITEM OF USE
CLOTHING ET A BED SHEET ET TOWEL A WEEK AS
CLOTHING - SUCH HAS HUMAN WASTE ON IT IS TORN
ET IN FILTHY CONDITION - I AM DAILY DENIED
ON DEMANDS TO DEPUTIES - ACCESS TO BLEACH - GLOVE
AGS - TOWELS - WIPES - PAPER TOWEL - SOAP - SCRUB PADS -
CLEANING CHEMICALS ET OTHER BASIC CLEANING SUPPLIES
I AM DENIED COMPLETE ACCESS TO JUDAISM - I STAM
ET MOORISH - RELIGIOUS SERVICES ET ITEMS GUARANTEE
UNDER RLUIPA DAILY BY JAILERS.
- 7) I AM DENIED DAILY ACCESS TO ANY ADEQUATE -
MENTAL HEALTH STIMULATIONS TO PREVENT DETER
IORATION - SUBJECTED TO RACE BASE SEGREGATION HOUSING
ET OVER 100 TIMES PUT IN FULL BODY CHAINS - ON
WKTE - FEET - HANDS - SPINE ET A BIKE LOCK - FOR 10
TO 20 HOURS STRAIGHT - THAT PREVENTS MOVEMENT ET
CAUSES PAIN - FOR FILING GRIEVANCES ABOUT SUPRA
CONDITIONS - SINCE (2016 TO 2026) DEPUTIES HAVE -
ETALIATED UPON ME - BY DESTROYING MY CRIMINAL
CASE DEFENSE DISCOVERY - WORK PRODUCT ET MATERIAL
OVER 20 TIMES ET ADMITTING TO SUCH - IN JAIL -
GRIEVANCES ET COMPENSATING ME FOR - CAUSING ME
EXTREME DELAYS IN CRIMINAL CASE ET MISS FILING -
HEADLINES ET REMAIN IN CUSTODY OF JAIL.
- 8) TO WIT - JAILERS HAVE PHYSICALLY BEATEN ME UNCONSCIOUS
3 TIMES - SEXUALLY ABUSED ET RAPED ME ON OVER 30
OCCASIONS - IN (2016 TO 2026) - WHICH IN 2023 A DEPUTY HAD
STRIPPED ME NAKED - PENETRATED MY ANUS - CAUSING ME TO

12/15
CRIMINAL CASE DOCUMENTS—WORK PRODUCT—
DISCOVERY—SUPPLIES—HAS SEVERELY DELAYED
PETITIONER'S TRIAL—ET DEFENSE PREPARATION—
POSSIBLY VIOLATING HIS RIGHTS TO A FAIR ET SPEEDY—
TRIAL—IN (2016 TO 2026)—CAUSING THE EFFECTS OF
REJUDICE ON PETITIONER DEFENSE ET LIFE
AS OF (4/7/2026).

RESPONDANTS USE OF INCOMPETENT PROCEEDINGS TO—
SILENCE PETITIONER FOR SPEAKING ABOUT THE
TORTUROUS JAIL CONDITIONS SHOWN IN—
APPENDIX B TO I—ET RAISING CLAIMS OF PROSECUTION
MISCONDUCT—ET USE OF FALSE TESTIMONY AT
HIS PRELIMINARY EXAMS—IS IN VIOLATION OF
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT PRECEDENTS:
SPECTER V. PATTERSON B O'CONNOR V. DONALDSON CYTEK V. JONES
BOUCHA V. LOUISIANA E PATE V. ROBERTSON
JACKSON V. INDIANA ET CAUSED A YEAR
CROSS DELAY OF HIS STATE PROCEEDINGS ET
INFLECTION OF SEVERE BODILY INJURIES.

PURSUANT TO: NAPUE V. ILLINOIS/ALCORTA V. TEXAS/
PYTE V. KANSAS/IN RE MICHAEL/MOONEY V. HOGAN/
NEW YORK EX REL. V. WILSON/GIGLO V. UNITED STATES—
RESPONDANT USE OF FALSE MATERIAL TESTIMONY
ET CONFESSIONS AT 2 OF PETITIONER PRELIMINARY
EXAMS AS SHOWN IN (APPENDIX C TO I)—SERVES
NO PUBLIC INTEREST—IS FRAUD UPON THE JUSTICE
SYSTEM—DENIES PETITIONER RIGHTS TO A FAIR
TRIAL.

RESPONDANT USE OF 2 PEACE OFFICERS FALSE TESTI-
MONY AT PETITIONER PRELIM—TO EXTRACT 2 JUDGES
ORULE IN FAVOR OF ET AGAINST PETITIONER
TO SHOW GUILT OF CHARGES—LEADS DOWN TO THE
MATH OF PROSECUTOR SECURING A CONVICTION.
RESPONDANT—IS FORBIDDEN—FROM KNOWINGLY ET
UNKNOWINGLY USING FALSE MATERIAL CONFESSION
ET TESTIMONY—AT ANY CRITICAL STAGE OF A—
CRIMINAL CASE ET HEARING—INCLUDING A
PRELIMINARY EXAM OR GRAND JURY PROCEEDING
WHICH IS EQUIVALENT TO PRELIM.


TO WIT—THE LAW IS WELL SETTLED ON THIS—
SUBJECT OF USE OF FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE ET
RESPONDANT D.A. USE OF FALSE CONFESSIONS ET
TESTIMONY TWICE UPON PETITIONER SHOW (BAD FAITH
ET KNOWLEDGE OF SUCH CORRUPTED ACT.

PETITIONER—UPON SHOWING OF RESPONDANTS
USE OF FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE TWICE AT
PRELIMINARY—IS ENTITLED TO DISCHARGE FROM
CUSTODY ET DISMISSAL OF CRIMINAL CASE AS A
PROCESS VIOLATION.

* CONCLUSION *

PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY PRAYS FOR A STAY OF STAT E—
CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS ET A EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO ISSUE
MERITS OF THIS BRIEF ET UPON GOOD CAUSE—DISMISSAL
CASE ET DISCHARGE FROM INTEGRATED CUSTODY.
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED ON: SEPTEMBER 11, 2026

SUBMITTED BY: RAHEEM/E.P. X 2026 9 -13)



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

People vs ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS, JR
MIBA2601572

People vs ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS, JR
Defendant Name: ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS, JR
AKA:

DOB: 12/18/1994

Booking No.: 202211026

Arrest No.: BC260520005

Charges: 001) 4600(A) PC (MISD)

Bail: \$5,000.00

Violation Date: 02/21/2026

JAIL MINUTE ORDER

Arraignment

Date: 07/09/2026

Time: 8:30 AM

Department B101

Honorable Sylwia Luttrell, Judge
T. Kretzmeier, Courtroom Assistant
Trisha Berg CSR #13576, Court Reporter

APPEARANCES:

People represented by Deputy District Attorney, Michael Carty Maloney, Jr..
Conflict Defense Lawyer Lori Myers present in court, representing the defendant.
Defendant is not present.

Per deputy in transportation, defendant was not transported for in-custody calendar. Reason: refusal.
Arraignment set for 07/10/2026 at 08:30 AM in Department B101.

Court orders defendant to be present at next hearing date.
PC 977 appearance is not authorized by the court.

-- Custody Status/Information --

Bail to remain as fixed.

Defendant remains remanded to the custody of the Riverside Sheriff.

Minute order printed to Larry D. Smith Correctional Facility.

Court authorizes RSO to use reasonable force to extract and transport defendant (if cleared to be transported) to court on 07/10/2026.

RIVERSIDE SHERIFF'S OFFICE
2026 JUL 9 10:10

Printed On: 07/09/2026

//APPENDIX A

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**