

No. 25-7605 ORIGINAL

FILED
DEC 24 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS PETITIONER
(Your Name) JR.

vs.

SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO RESPONDENT(S)
ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.
(Your Name)

SOF JAIL, 1627 S. HARGRAVE
(Address) STREET

BANNING, CA 92220
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A-956-1111
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I) SHALL A STATE DEEM A
COMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL CRIMINAL
DEFENDANT—INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL AGAINST HIS WILL FOR 7 YEARS
WITHOUT VIOLATING RIGGINS V.
NEVADA ET SETT V. UNITED STATES?

II) SHALL A STATE DISMISS A
CRIMINAL CASE BASED ON A
VERDICT OF PERMANENT INCOMPETENT
TO STAND TRIAL—REFUSE TO REPROSECUTE
SAME CHARGES UPON PERMANENT INCOMPETENT
CRIMINAL DEFENDANT—WITHOUT
VIOLATING DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE
PURSUANT TO MCETRAH V. GEORGIA?

III) SHALL A ATTORNEY FORCE A
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL
DEFENSE UPON A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT
WITHOUT VIOLATING MCCOY V. LOUISIANA?

IV) DID RESPONDANT VIOLATE AMENDMENT
SPEEDY TRIAL CLAUSE BY FORCING
PETITIONER INTO INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL PROCEEDINGS FOR 7 YEARS?

V) MAY A STATE DENY FARETTA STATUS
DUE TO CRIMINAL DEFENDANT RELIGIOUS
BELIEFS ET RAISING CLAIMS OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

✓ [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

* RESPONDANTS *

- 1) SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO
- 2) CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL
- 3) DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY
- 4) SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY

RELATED CASES

* PLEASE SEE ATTACHED RELATED CASES PAGES (1 TO 3) — ET TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF FOLLOWING FACTS: I) PETITIONER FILED — OVER 40 PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ET MANDAMUS — WITHIN CA SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY — CA COURT OF APPEAL — CA SUPREME COURT ALL DENIED — IN (2018 TO 2025) — ALL RELATED TO THIS PETITION — ATTACHED (APPENDIX A) IS COPY OF DENIAL ORDERS FOR 10 PETITIONS.

1/2 RELATED CASES

II) PETITIONER HAS FILED 3-
FILING-A PETITION FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS-MANDAMUS
ET APPEAL- IN U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOR NINTH CIRCUIT- IN 2023 TO 2025-
EACH DENIED ET IS ATTACHED
IN APPENDIX A PAGE 20 to 21 -
WITHIN 9TH CIRCUIT COURT MINUTES.

III) PETITIONER HAS FILED 10 §1983
ACTIONS WITHIN U.S. DISTRICT
COURT-CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA- WHICH ARE ALL
RELEVANT TO THIS MATTER-
5 §1983 DISMISSED ET CONSOLIDATED
INTO 1 CASE AGAINST RESPONDANT-
2 CASES ACTIVE ET PENDING-
RULING OF MOTION TO DISMISS-
ONE CASE PENDING RULING OF A
AMENDMENT COMPLAINT-FROM
(2019 TO 2025) HAS CASES BEEN
PROSECUTED - 1 §1983 VOLUNTARY DISMISS.

IV) PETITIONER HAS FILED 4 §2241-
§2254-PETITIONS FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

2/3 FILED IN U.S. DISTRICT
COURT-CENTRAL DISTRICT
OF CALIFORNIA-SINCE
(2020 TO 2024)-2 VOLUNTARY DISMISSED
ONE DISMISSED IN (2023) DUE TO
RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF DEPUTIES
WITHHOLDING MAIL SENT TO PETITIONER
FROM U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN RE TO (OSC)
ET PAYMENT OF FILING-DEPUTIES
HAVE ADMITTED TO WITHHOLDING
MAIL THAT CAUSED DISMISSAL IN-
GRIEVANCES ET WITHIN (R.F.A.)-IN
§ 1983-BEING PROSECUTED CURRENTLY
IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT-ONE § 2241-
IS ACTIVE ET COUNSEL APPOINTED-
TO ASSIST IN PREPARATION OF A
(2ND AMENDMENT PETITION)-SEE ATTACHED
APPENDIX (B ET F) FOR FURTHER DETAILS.

* DUE TO EXTREME LARGE VOLUME
OF CASES FILED-DENIED ET ACTIVE
PETITIONER HUMBLY SUBMITS SUPRA
FACTS ET REQUESTS YOUR HIGH HONORS
TO REVIEW (APPENDIX A ETC) ET
U.S. DISTRICT COURT-CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CA ET U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR NINTH
CIRCUIT-DOCKET UNDER PETITIONER
NAME (ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR.) AS-
WELTHAS-CA SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE
COUNTY-CA COURT OF APPEALS 4TH DISTRICT/DIVISION 2
ET CA SUPREME COURT-FOR FULL

3/3 LIST OF RELATED CASES.

*CASE NOS. RIF1603395 ET
RIF2203700 ARE ORIGINALLY—
CRIMINAL CASE NOS.—THAT CAUSED
PETITIONER'S ARREST IN 2016—
ET IS SUBJECT OF THIS CERTIORARI
PETITION.—BOTH CASES ARE—
WITHIN RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR
COURT—RIVERSIDE HALL OF JUSTICE
DOCKET.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	40

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A :	COPIES OF CASE NO. S292043—
FROM CA SUPREME COURT—	PETITION FOR WRIT
OF HABEAS CORPUS DENIED—	CERTIORARI IS SOUGHT
APPENDIX B :	COPIES OF PETITIONER—
ET COPIES OF PAST	PETITIONS DENIED.
APPENDIX C :	FEDERAL CIVIL RECORDS.
COPIES OF DENIAL ORDERS OF OTHER	INMATES—
APPENDIX D :	PETITIONER AIDED IN FILING
COPY OF LONDON PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	CORPUS GRANTED IN CA SUPREME COURT—
APPENDIX E :	PETITIONER
FILED—	ET CA A.G. RESPONSE—
APPENDIX F :	DENIAL ORDERS OF PAST PETITIONS.
COPY OF GATISON—	\$1983 RECORDS—
PETITIONER AIDED	PRISONER IN SUCCESSFULLY PROSECUTING.
COPY OF APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	IN PETITIONER ACTIVE §2241—
ET §1983—	IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT.
APPENDIX G :	COPIES OF PETITIONER—
DRAWINGS HE SKETCHED	ET PROOF OF—
ATTENDING PARATEGAT COLLEGE.	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

I	MCEL RATH V. GEORGIA 601 U.S. 87 (2024)	(27)
II	MCCOY V. LOUISIANA 138 S. CT. 1500 (2018)	(38)
III	SETH V. UNITED STATES 539 U.S. 166 (2003)	(31)
IV	RIGGINS V. NEVADA 504 U.S. 127 (1992)	(31)
V	MOORE V. ARIZONA 414 U.S. 25 (1973)	(39)
VI	STRUNK V. UNITED STATES 412 U.S. 434 (1973)	(39)
VII	POGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 U.S. 647 (1992)	(39)
VIII	DILLINGHAM V. UNITED STATES 423 U.S. 64 (1975)	(39)
IX	BRADEN V. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT OF KENTUCKY 420 U.S. 484 (1973)	(39)

STATUTES AND RULES

I	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION I AMENDMENT	(36)
II	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION V AMENDMENT	(27)
III	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION VI AMENDMENT	(36)
IV	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION XIV AMENDMENT	(31)
V	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 18 § 3161 ET § 3162	(39)
VI	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 18 § 4241	(31)
VII	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 18 § 4246	(31)
VIII	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 18 § 4247	(31)
IX	UNITED STATE CODE TITLE 28 § 1654	(36)

OTHER

I	FARIETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 U.S. 806 (1974)	(36)
II	ROCK V. ARKANSAS 483 U.S. 44 (1987)	(36)
III	GODINEZ V. MORAN 509 U.S. 389 (1993)	(36)
IV	FOUCHA V. LOUISIANA 504 U.S. 71 (1992)	(31)
V	JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715 (1972)	(31)

1/40

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the REVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

2/4/0

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 12/10/2025.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CASE No. S292043

3/40

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- I > MCETRATH V. GEORGIA 601 U.S. 87 (2024)
- II > MCCOY V. LOUISIANA 138 S. CT. 1500 (2018)
- III > SETTY V. UNITED STATES 539 U.S. 166 (2003)
- IV > RIGGINS V. NEVADA 504 U.S. 127 (1992)
- V > MOORE V. ARIZONA 414 U.S. 25 (1973)
- VI > STRUNK V. UNITED STATES 412 U.S. 434 (1973)
- VII > DOGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 U.S. 647 (1992)

*TO WIT— PETITION FOR—
WRIT OF CERTIORARI CONCERNS
REVIEW OF CA SUPREME COURT—
CASE NO. S292043 A PETITION FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS— PETITIONER
FILED ET WAS DENIED ON 12/10/2025
CONCERNING RESPONDANT VIOLATING
PETITIONER— SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS—
ET RIGHTS TO BE FREE FROM FORCE—
PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET MCETRATH V. GEORGIA RIGHTS.

4/40

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

* PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS YOUR HONORS — SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT OF CASE ET SWORN DECLARATION OF FACTS PAGES (5 TO 24) IN SUPPORT OF PETITION ET AS STATEMENT OF CASE.

* TO WIT — PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI — CONCERNS RESPONDANT SUBJECTING PETITIONER TO DOUBLE JEOPARDY — FORCED PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT ET VIOLATIONS OF HIS RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL — RIGHT TO ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL — RIGHT TO ASSIST ONESELF AS COUNSEL, WHICH ALL IS DUE TO STATE ABUSE OF POWER ET ABUSE OF INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS.

5/40 STATEMENT OF CASE
ET SWORN DECLARATION OF
FACTS PURSUANT TO U.S.C. 28 § 1746

I, ERIC JEROME PHILLIPS JR. AKA AL'RAHEEM
SUBMIT FOLLOWING FACTS IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS:

1) I AM THE PETITIONER IN PROPRIA SE
PROCEEDING IN THIS MATTER—I AM OVER
AGE OF 21 YEARS OLD—I AM A MAN
OF YAHWEH—WHO WAS BORN IN UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA—OF AFRICAN NATIONAL ORIGIN.

2) I AM UNDER DURESS—UNDER ILLEGAL
CUSTODY FOR 10 YEARS AS A PRETRIAL
DETAINEE WITHIN RIVERSIDE COUNTY JAIL.

3) I SHALL COMPETENTLY ET TRUTHFULLY
TESTIFY TO ALL FACTS OF THIS—
PETITION—WHICH I VERIFY AS TRUE
ET CORRECT—FROM THE JAIL I AM
HOUSED AT—SCF JAIL—IN 1627 SHARADNE
STREET BANNING, CA 92220.

4) ON (7/13/2016) I WAS ARRESTED—BY THE
RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF—CHARGED BY
D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—WITH 2 COUNTS OF
ATTEMPTED MURDER—1 COUNT OF ASSAULT—
1 COUNT OF ATTEMPTED ROBBERY—AT
RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT—RIVERSIDE
HALL OF JUSTICE—CASE NOS. RIF1003395
ET RIF2203700.

6/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

5) TO WIT-I HAVE NOT BEEN BROUGHT TO TRIAL IN 10 YEARS-ET MY 20-PLUS SPEEDY TRIAL DEMANDS HAVE BEEN DENIED BY RESPONDANT-WHICH THIS PETITION CONCERNS-RESPONDANT VIOLATING THE (UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION) VI AMENDMENT RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL-VI AMENDMENT SPEEDY TRIAL CLAUSE-V AMENDMENT DOUBLE JEOPARDY PROTECTION ET SUBSTANTIVE ET PROCEDURAL PROTECTIONS OF XIV AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE.

6) FACTS SUMMARIZED OF RESPONDANT ACTIONS IS AS FOLLOWS ET HAS BEEN STATED IN DETAIL TO (CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT) IN (CASE No. S292043) PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS-WHICH WAS DENIED (12/10/2025) ET NO RELIEF GRANTED.

7) TO WIT-IN JULY 2016-PETITIONER WAS ARRESTED FOR THE SHOOTING OF (KING PALACE DISPENSARY)-A ILLEGAL MARIJUANA OPERATION TRAILOR-IN JURPA VALLEY, CA.

8) PETITIONER-WAS 1 OF 4 ARRESTED-AS SUSPECTS IN THIS CASE-DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON WAS ARRESTED 3 MONTHS AFTER PETITIONER-CRAIG LEE ET JOHN ROSS) ARRESTED IN LATE 2019-WHICH

7/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

ALL 3 SUSPECTS ET CODEFENDANTS — HAVE PLEADED GUILTY TO ATTEMPTED MURDER, ET WAS SENTENCED TO PROBATION ET RELEASED IN 2019/2020 PETITIONER IS ONLY DEFENDANT UNDER CONFINEMENT.

9) PETITIONER HAS NO PAST CRIMINAL RECORD OR ARREST — RESPONDANTS ARE CHARGING HIM WITH ATTEMPTED MURDER WITH USE OF FALSE MATERIAL CONFESSION FROM 1 CODEFENDANT ET FALSE — MATERIAL TESTIMONY EVIDENCE FROM 2 DETECTIVES ON CASE — RESPONDANT ALLEGES PETITIONER TO BE A MASKED SUSPECT WITH A MACHINE GUN — WHO OPENED FIRE AT 2 VICTIMS — ONLY ONE VICTIM HIT IN SHOULDER — AFTER PETITIONER FIRED A PLETHORA OF SHOTS.

10) TO WIT — 2ND SUSPECT — DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON CAME IN WITH A 3RD SUSPECT — CRAIG TEE ET OPENED FIRE WITH A HANDGUN — AT 2 VICTIMS — ET 1 VICTIM — WHO WAS BODY/SECURITY GUARD — OPENED FIRE WITH HIS HANDGUN — AT 3 SUSPECTS — HITTING DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON.

11) TO WIT — DOMINIQUE ROBERTSON — IS ONLY SUSPECT WHO HAD A GUN AT (CS) — ET WHO IS PERSON WHO SHOT (VICTIM JOSHUA BETA) IN SHOULDER — AS DISCOVERY IN THIS CASE — SHOWS PETITIONER

8/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

NEVER HAD A GUN—OR OPENED FIRE—
(DOMINIQUE, ROBERTSON) IS SUSPECT WHO
PROVIDED 3 DIFFERENT CONFESSIONS TO—
RESPONDANTS—WHICH D.A. USED TO ARREST
ET CONVICT (CRAIG, LEE) ET (JOHN, ROSS)
IN (2019 TO 2020) WHICH D.A. IS ATTEMPT-
ING TO CONVICT PETITIONER WITH SUCH
CONFESSIONS THAT D.A. KNOWS IS FALSE—
(DOMINIQUE, ROBERTSON) WAS IN (N.F.L.) AT
TIME OF CRIME—PLAYED FOR TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS.
12) TO WIT—AS PETITIONER HAD NO PART
OF CRIMES—ET IS FACTUALLY INNOCENT—HE
IMMEDIATELY MOVED FOR A SPEEDY
TRIAL—AT HIS 3RD COURT DATE ON—
(9/16/2016) ET DEMANDED TO PROCEED
IN (FARRETTA STATUS) WHICH WAS GRANTED.
13) PETITIONER CONDUCTED HIS DEFENSE
OVERALL IN (FARRETTA STATUS) FROM—
(9/16/2016 TO 4/2/2019)—HE EXECUTED HIS
1ST PRELIMINARY HEARING ET FILED OVER
(80) MOTIONS ET PETITIONS IN THIS TIMEFRAME—
IN RE TO: A) RIGHT TO A FAIR ET SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATED
B) PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT C) BRADY VIOLATIONS D) BAIL
E) INHUMANE JAIL CONDITIONS EFFECTING CASE DEFENSE
14) TO WIT—ON (4/2/2019) FOR PETITIONER—
RAISING CLAIMS ON RECORD OF PROSECUTOR USE OF
FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE ET TESTIMONY TO—
CONVICT HIM ET POLICE PLANTING EVIDENCE—

9/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

AT C.S. - PROSECUTOR WITHHOLDING MATERIAL EVIDENCE - THAT DEVAQUES PROSECUTOR CHARGES ET JAILERS DESTROYING THE PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE - WORK PRODUCT ET DISCOVER EVIDENCE DOCUMENTS WITHIN CUSTODY OF JAIL.

15) PETITIONER FARETTA STATUS WAS TERMINATED - ET HE WAS ORDERED ET FORCED INTO STATE OF CALIFORNIA - CA PENAL CODE § 1368 TO § 1370 - INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS.

16) ON < 4/2/2019 > PETITIONER MOVED FOR A EXPERT WITNESS MOTION FOR A PROSECUTOR ET POLICE MISCONDUCT EXPERT - TO REVIEW HIS CASE FOR ANY TYPE OF (BAD FAITH) MISCONDUCT IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS HE FILED - AROUND SAME TIME - THAT CONCERNED PROSECUTOR WITHHOLDING EVIDENCE - USING FALSE MATERIAL TESTIMONY ET SHERIFF DEPUTIES - PLANTING EVIDENCE AT C.S.

17) PETITIONER OBJECTED TO § 1368 PROCEEDINGS - ET FARETTA STATUS TERMINATION IMMEDIATELY - AS SPEEDY

10/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

ET FAIR TRIAL VIOLATIONS - OBJECTIONS WERE
OVERRULED BY COURT ET CRIMINAL HEARINGS STAYED.

18) TO WIT - PETITIONER FROM -
<4/2/2019> TO <1/24/2023> - WAS HELD
AGAINST HIS WILL ET OBJECTIONS
WITHIN INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL
PROCEEDINGS - WHICH HIS BAIL FUTURE
WAS TERMINATED - ET PLAINTIFF
WAS FORCED TO ACCEPT A ATTORNEY
TO REPRESENT HIM ET CASE TO BE STAYED.

19) TO WIT - DURING <4/2/2019> TO
<1/24/2023> - PETITIONER WAS
FORCED EXAMINED BY TOTALY -
OF <28> MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS
P WHICH MAJORITY - DEEMED
PETITIONER INCOMPETENT TO -
STAND TRIAL ET SEVERELY MENTALLY
ILL ET DANGEROUS DUE TO MENTAL
HEALTH DISEASE.

20) TO WIT - WITHIN SUPRA TIMEFRAME
PETITIONER WAS SHIPPED - TO CALIFORNIA
STATE MENTAL WARD - PATTON STATE
HOSPITAL - FOR APPROXIMATE 14 MONTHS
WHICH PETITIONER WAS DEEMED -
PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO
STAND TRIAL VIA UNABLE TO
BE RESTORED TO COMPETENT STATUS
BY PATTON STATE HOSPITAL.

11/40

STATEMENT OF CASE.

21) TO WIT-IN <4/2/2019> TO
<7/19/2022> - DUE TO RESPONDANT
DEEMING PETITIONER PERMANENTLY
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL -
RESPONDANT ATTEMPTED TO PUT HIM
UNDER FORCED (MURPHY CONSERVATORSHIP)
PETITIONER DID NOT QUALIFY FOR;
22) ET PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE
WAS DISMISSED - PURSUANT TO
CA LAW (JACKSON V. SUPERIOR COURT 9 CAL. 5TH 96 2017)
PETITIONER CASE WAS REFILED
SAME CHARGES - UNDER A NEW CASE
NUMBER - IN ORDER TO DEEM HIM
A NEW PERSON - NEW MIND - ET TO
RESTART CRIMINAL CASE PROSECUTION.
23) CASE NO. RIF 1603398 WAS DISMISSED
ET REFILED AS (RIF 2203700)
BY RESPONDANT - PETITIONER WAS
NEVER RELEASED - ET AS CASE
PROSECUTION BEGAN A NEW - RESPONDANT
IMMEDIATELY FORCED PETITIONER
INTO §1368 INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL PROCEEDINGS. NADIN -
ET ORDERED A JURY TRIAL - TO
DETERMINE IF PETITIONER WAS
STILL INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL -
RESPONDANT FORCED PETITIONER
TO BE EXAMINED BY A NOTHER

12/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

3 MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS.

24) TO WIT - JURY TRIAL WAS CANCELLED ON <1/24/2023> - ET RESPONDANT ET PETITIONER FORCED ATTORNEY OF RECORD AT TIME - <RENATO CERVANTES> - MOVED TO CANCEL SUCH - DUE TO PETITIONER - IN 3 JAIL PHONE CALLS IN 2022 - WITH HIS 2 BROTHERS - STATING: "I LL BE HOME SOON SUPREME - IT'S GOING ACCORDING TO GOD'S PLAN - IT'S GOING AS PLAN."

25) TO WIT - WHILE PETITIONER WAS HOUSED AT PATTON STATE HOSPITAL - HE WAS UNDER A FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ORDER - WHICH HE WAS FORCED TO TAKE 4 DIFFERENT PSYCHOTIC MEDICATIONS.

26) TO WIT - FROM <1/24/2023> TO <9/10/2024> - PETITIONER 2ND PRELIMINARY HEARING WAS CONDUCTED - PETITIONER - MADE OVER 20 - <UNEQUIVOCAL> FARETTA DEMANDS IN COURT - WHICH ALL WAS DENIED - ET ABSOLUTELY - NO MOTIONS - NO INVESTIGATIONS WERE MADE BY HIS ATTORNEY - A - RENATO CERVANTES - WHO NEVER VISITED ME AT JAIL - TALKED TO ME ABOUT DEFENSE - ET ATTEMPTED TO FORCE ME TO PLEA GUILTY TO 30 YEARS.

13/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

27) TO WIT—PETITIONER TERMINATED COUNSEL <RENANTO CERVANTES> ON— <2/5/2024> ET NEW COUNSEL— <ROYAL BONDS> ACTED AS PETITIONER ATTORNEY OF RECORD.

28) TO WIT—<ROYAL BONDS> WAS TO FILE A FARETTA MOTION—SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION MOTION ET A BAIL O.R. RELEASE MOTION— FOR PETITIONER—AS HE HAD DEMANDED SHE FILE—ET THIS IS WHAT <ROYAL BONDS> AGREED— TO DO—AS SHE ACCEPTED THE PETITIONER CASE PRO/BONO.

29) TO WIT—<ROYAL BONDS> REFUSED TO PERFORM SUCH—FROM <2/5/2024> TO <9/10/2024>—ET DEMANDED THAT PETITIONER ET PETITIONER MOTHER PAY HER MONEY—\$5,000— BEFORE SHE PERFORMED ANY— LEGAL SERVICE—EVEN THOUGH <ROYAL BONDS> ACTED AS PRO/BONO COUNSEL.

30) TO WIT—PETITIONER ON <9/10/2024> STATED ON RECORD—DURING HIS CRIMINAL CASE PROCEEDINGS— <ROYAL BONDS> IS VIOLATING HIS 6TH—

14/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

AMENDMENT RIGHT—ET AGGRESSIVELY SUMMARIZED ON RECORD HOW RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED—PETITIONER WAS ORDERED TO BE THROWN OUT OF COURT.

31) TO WFT—(ROYAL BONDS)—PROSECUTOR ET COURT ON—
<9/10/2024> AGREED TO—SEND PETITIONER BACK TO \$1368—PROCEEDINGS—ET FORCE HIM TO BE EXAMINE FOR INCOMPETENCY—(ROYAL BONDS) REMOVED SELF—AS COUNSEL OF RECORD THIS DAY ET RIVERSIDE COUNTY—PUBLIC DEFENDER ET CONFLICT PANEL, WAS ASSIGNED TO REPRESENT PETITIONER.

32) TO WFT—PETITIONER SINCE
<9/10/2024> UNTIL <4/24/2026>
ET ONGOING—HAS BEEN FORCED AGAIN INTO INCOMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS ET RESPONDANTS ARE SEEKING TO DEEM PETITIONER INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL ET PLACE HIM UNDER FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION AGAIN ET A PERMANENT CONSERVATORSHIP STATUS—WHICH I'VE OBJECTED TO OVER 10 TIMES.

15/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

33) BOTH <ROYAL BONDS> ET
<RENANTO CERVANTES> PETITIONER
FILED MULTIPLE COMPLAINTS—
TO CA STATE BAR—ABOUT THEIR
INCOMPETENT ET INADEQUATE—
REPRESENTATION—NOT HAVING BEST
INTEREST AT HAND—DURING THE
COURSE OF ACTING AS PETITIONER
ATTORNEY OF RECORD.

34) TO WIT—<ROYAL BONDS> ET
<RENANTO CERVANTES> BOTH REFUSED
TO REMOVE SELVES—AS PETITIONER
ATTORNEY OF RECORD—PETITIONER
HAD EXECUTED MULTIPLE MARSDEN
HEARING MOTIONS—WHEN <RENANTO
CERVANTES> WAS COUNSEL—WHICH
WAS DENIED.

35) TO WIT—PETITIONER COUNSEL
<RENANTO CERVANTES>—CAUSED PETITIONER
TO BE BOUNDED OVER FOR TRIAL
AT HIS QND PRELIMINARY HEARING
ON <6/23/2023>—WHICH AT BEGINNING
OF PRELIMINARY HEARING PETITIONER
MADE 2 FARETTA DEMANDS—ET 2 TO
4 MONTHS BEFORE QND PRELIMINARY
HEARING—6 FARETTA DEMANDS—
ALL DENIED—BY RIVERSIDE COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES.

16/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

36) I O WIT-PETITIONER WAS DEEMED INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL ET PLACED UNDER FORCED PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION - FROM (4/19/2019) TO (1/24/2023) FOR FOLLOWING REASONS:

A) STATING FACTS/DETAILS OF - BEING SEXUALLY ET PHYSICALLY ABUSED BY JAILERS INCUSTODY OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY JAIL ET OTHER INHUMANE JAIL LIVING CONDITIONS.

B) STATING FACTS/DETAILS OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT ET POLICE FABRICATING EVIDENCE WITHIN CASE.

C) STATING FACTS/DETAILS OF - YAHWEH - ATUMBE - ALLAH ET PETITIONER CULTURAL/RELIGIOUS - BELIEFS.

D) STATING FACTS/DETAILS OF JAILERS CONFISCATING ET DESTROYING - PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE -

DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS ET MATERIALS.

37) TO WIT - EVERY MENTAL HEALTH EXPERT - PETITIONER WAS FORCED TO BE EXAMINED BY - IN 2019 TO 2023 - INCLUDING PATTON STATE HOSPITAL EXPERTS - DETAILS ET SUMMARIZES - WITHIN OPINION/REPORTS -

17/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

THE SUPRA FOLLOWING INFORMATION
IN DECLARATION 36—WHEN EACH
MENTAL HEALTH EXPERT FORCED
EXAMINED PETITIONER.

38) TO WIT—DUE TO SUPRA DETAILS
PETITIONER PROVIDED TO MENTAL
HEALTH EXPERTS—SUCH CAUSED HIM—
TO BE (Labeled) PERMANENTLY
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL—
VIA UNABLE TO BE RESTORED
TO COMPETENT STATUS—WHICH
PETITIONER REFUSED TO SUBMIT
TO EACH EXAM—FORCE PSYCHOTIC
MEDICATION ET TREATMENT—
WHICH MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS
DOCUMENTED AT PATTON STATE HOSPITAL.

39) TO WIT—PETITIONER MADE—
DEMANDS TO ATTORNEY (RENATO
CERVANTES)—ET ANOTHER ATTORNEY
(ALEJANDRO BARAZZA)—WHO ACTED AS THE
PETITIONER ATTORNEY OF RECORD—
IN (4/2/2019) TO (1/23/2023)—T.O.
END: INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS—
AS HE IS NOT INCOMPETENT ET
HAS NEVER HAD A MENTAL HEALTH
ILLNESS—BOTH ATTORNEYS IGNORED
PETITIONER DEMANDS.

18/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

18) TO WIT - BOTH ATTORNEYS DENIED
OVER 20 DEMANDS - PETITIONER MADE
TO BOTH ATTORNEYS - ET SUMMARIZED
TO PETITIONER - "IT'S FOR HIS BENEFIT
ET BEST INTEREST" TO PROCEED -
IN INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS - AS
IT SHOULD "HELP DEFENSE OF CASE!"

19) TO WIT - (RENANTO CERVANTES) - HAS STATED
TO PETITIONER: A) "THESE MENTALLY
HEALTH PROCEEDINGS WILL HELP
YOU GET OUT." B) "JUST COMPLY WITH
THE PSYCHOLOGIST - IT'S GONNA HELP
YOUR DEFENSE." C) "I THINK SENDING
YOU TO A MENTAL HOSPITAL BEATS
PRISON TIME ANYDAY." D) "WE USING
THESE INCOMPETENT HEARINGS AS
A DEFENSE FOR YOUR CASE." - THIS
WAS IN (2021 TO 2023) WITHIN COURTROOM.

20) TO WIT - (RENANTO CERVANTES) MADE
DEATH THREATS - HARASSING STATEMENTS
TO PETITIONER - ON 10 OCCASIONS IN
COURTROOM - FOR MAKING OBJECTIONS
TO INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL -
PROCEEDINGS - ET RAISING FACTS -
OF SEX ASSAULTS BY JAILERS - TO
JUDGES - DURING CASE PROCEEDINGS -
ON RECORD - FROM (2020 TO 2023).

19/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

21) TO WIT - FROM (2019 TO 2025) - THE PETITIONER FILED - OVER 30 - MOTIONS PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MANDAMUS - PROHIBITION - WITHIN RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT - IN RIVERSIDE HALL OF JUSTICE IN HIS COURTROOM - FOR CA COURT OF APPEAL 4TH DISTRICT DIVISION 2 ET CA SUPREME COURT - MAKING OBJECTIONS TO FORCED PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET TREATMENT - ET DEMANDING TO PROCEED IN (FARETTA STATUS) IN CRIMINAL CASE ET FAIR TRIAL ET SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS - VIOLATED - WITH PETITIONS ET MOTIONS DENIED.

22) TO WIT - PETITIONER HAS MADE ORAL OBJECTIONS - AT EVERY COURT DATE PRESENT IN (2020 TO 2024) OF USE OF FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION - INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS - ET HAS DEMANDED TO PROCEED IN FARETTA STATUS - ET RAISED FACTS ET CLAIMS OF FAIR OR SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS - VIOLATED - THIS IS OVER 20 COURT HEARINGS - JUDGES OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT - HAVE DENIED ET IGNORED SUCH OBJECTIONS.

20/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

23) TO WIT-PETITIONER MADE CLAIMS OF D.A. - ATTORNEY (REXANTO CERVANTES) ET COURT JUDGES OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY - FORCING PETITIONER INTO - INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL ET FORCE PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT - FOR RAISING CLAIMS OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT ET JAILERS SEXUALLY ET PHYSICALLY ABUSING HIM - IN ORDER TO PROTECT PROSECUTOR ET JAIL DEPUTIES ACTS ET DEVALUE PETITIONER CREDIBILITY ET STATEMENTS - OVER 14 TIMES IN COURT HEARINGS - SUCH HAS BEEN - DENIED ET IGNORED.

24) TO WIT - PETITIONER FILED 3 FEDERAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - §2242 - CHALLENGING USE OF FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION - INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS BY - RESPONDANT - SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION - DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAIMS - ET FARETTA ET INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL VIOLATION CLAIMS - PETITIONER VOLUNTARY DISMISS - CASE NO. 5:22CV2278-VARMAA - AS MOOT - ET CASE NO. 5:22CV513-VARMAA WAS DISMISSED DUE TO - FAILURE TO PAY FILING FEE - WHICH PETITIONER WAS -

2/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

NEVER AWARE OF—DUE TO JAILERS
WITHHOLDING HIS MAIL PERTAIN-
ING TO CASE PETITION—WHICH IS
SUBJECT OF A ACTIVE \$1983-
PETITIONER IS PROSECUTING—
CURRENTLY—ET CASE NO. 5:24CV208-YBF-MAA
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL WAS—
ISSUED—TO ASSIST PETITIONER IN
PREPARING A 2ND AMENDMENT—
PETITION—WHICH IS CURRENTLY ACTIVE.

25) TO WIT—ALL 3 FEDERAL
PETITIONS WERE FILED IN—
U.S. DISTRICT COURT—CENTRAL DISTRICT
OF CALIFORNIA ET PRESIDED OVER
HONORABLE JUDGES MARIA A. ANDERSON
VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS ET VALERIE
BAKER FAIRBANK.

26) TO WIT—PETITIONER SUBMITS
ATTACHED (APPENDIX A/B/F) IN SUPPORT
ET AS PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE—FOR
THIS CERTIORARI PETITION ET SUPRA
FACTS.

27) TO WIT—(APPENDIX A PAGE 1) IS COPY
OF DENIAL ORDER—FROM CA SUPREME
COURT—A PETITION FOR WRIT OF—
HABEAS CORPUS—RAISING NEW FACTS—
OF THIS CERTIORARI PETITION—WHICH
IS ORDER PETITIONER SEEKS REVIEW OF

22/4/0

STATEMENT OF CASE

BY THIS HIGH HONORABLE COURT.

28) IN RE TO PETITIONER DOUBLED-
JEOPARDY VIOLATION—HE RAISES—
WITHIN THIS CERTIORARI PETITION—
FACTS ARE BEST SUMMARIZED—
AS AVERED INFRA:

29) TO WIT—PETITIONER WAS FORCED
INTO §1368—CALIFORNIA INCOMPETENCY
TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS—ET DEEMED
PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL VIA UNABLE TO BE RESTORED
TO COMPETENT STATUS—FOR REASONS
AVERED IN SUPRA STATEMENT OF
CASE FACTS—BY RESPONDANT.

30) TO WIT—PURSUANT TO CA LAW:
(JACKSON V. SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY 4 CAT. 5TH 96 2017)
RESPONDANT—ATTEMPTED TO FORCE—
PETITIONER UNDER CONSERVATORSHIP—
ET FAILED TO—RESPONDANT THEN
DISMISSED CRIMINAL CASE CHARGES—
ET CASE RIF1603395—ET REFILED
SAME CHARGES FROM RIF1603395—
AS CASE NO. RIF2203700.

31) TO WIT—RESPONDANT EXECUTED
SUOA—PURSUANT TO (JACKSON V. SUPERIOR COURT OF
RIVERSIDE COUNTY 4 CAT. 5TH 96)—IN ORDER TO DECLARE
PETITIONER A NEW MAN/PERSON ET
MIND—ET RESTART CASE PROSECUTION

23/40

STATEMENT OF CASE

OVER—WHICH REMOVES ET HIDES ALL
PAST INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL
FINDINGS—VERDICTS ET RECORDS—
AS A—NEW CASE NUMBER AUTHORIZES
SAME CRIMINAL DEFENDANT—TO BE
LABELED A 2ND PERSON—OR NEW PERSON/
DEFENDANT—UNDER NEW CASE NUMBER—
THUS NEVER INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL.

32) TO WIT—PETITIONER AVERS THIS
IS IN VIOLATION OF CASE—
PRECEDENT (JACKSON V. INDIANA 403 US 715 1972)
FOR REASONS SET FORTH SUPRA ET
IN ATTACHED MEMORANDUM OF LAW.

33) TO WIT—SINCE <9/10/2024>—
PETITIONER 4 COURT DATES IN—
<10/7/2024 TO 1/14/2025> PETITIONER WAS NOT
ALLOWED TO BE PRESENT INTO—
COURTROOM—TO MAKE ANY OBJECTIONS
INTO FORCED INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL PROCEEDINGS—RESPONDANT
IS FORCING HIM INTO—ET ATTEMPTS
TO PLACE HIM UNDER FORCE PSYCHOTIC
MEDICATION AGAIN.

34) TO WIT—FROM <3/4/2025> TO
<4/24/2026>—PETITIONER HAS—
REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE ET CONDUCT
ANY BUSINESS—WITH RIVERSIDE COUNTY—
SUPERIOR COURT—ET REFUSED TO—

24/40 SWORN DECLARATION
OF FACTS ET
STATEMENT OF CASE
GO TO COURT HEARINGS.

35) TO WIT - FROM < 9/10/2024 > TO < 4/24/2026 >
PETITIONER REFUSED TO SPEAK TO ANY
MENTAL HEALTH EXPERT TO EXAMINE HIM FOR FORCE
PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS
AS DONE IN PAST - ET ON APPROX. < 11/23/2024 >
5 DEPUTIES WITH MENTAL HEALTH EXPERT
THREATEN TO TASER PETITIONER IF HE
DID NOT SUBMIT TO §1368 EXAM AT SCF
JAIL - IN HOUSING UNIT 17 - CAUGHT ON CAMERA.

36) TO WIT - PETITIONER HAS NOT SPOKEN TO -
SEEN OR RECEIVED LETTER - FROM ANY
RIVERSIDE COUNTY ATTORNEY THAT IS REPRESENTING
HIM SINCE < 9/10/2024 > FOR §1368
PROCEEDINGS.

37) TO WIT - IN 2019 TO CURRENT DATE - THREE
PETITIONER HAS SUCCESSFULLY PROSECUTED -
19 §1983 IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT - IN PRO/SE
AGAINST RESPONDANT - FOR HIMSELF ET
5 OTHER PRETRIAL DETAINEES - ET 3 STATE
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS IN CA -
SUPREME COURT - FOR 3 PRISONERS - ET ATTENDS LAW
SCHOOL - TAKING CLASSES FOR PARALEGAL CERTIFICATE.

38) PETITIONER HAS NEVER BEEN INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL OR MENTALLY ILL - RESPONDANTS ARE USING §1368
PROCEEDINGS IN REPRISAL FOR ACTIONS STATED SUPRA IN
FEDERAL ET STATE COURTS - ET PRAYS FOR IMMEDIATE RELIEF.

* UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, UNDER LAWS OF UNITED STATES, I
AFFIRM ALL FACTS TRUE ET CORRECT. * DATE: 4/24/2026 * BY: E.P. (SW-JJ)

25/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

* PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY
PRAYS FOR YOUR HIGH HONORS
TO SEE ATTACHED MEMORANDUM
OF LAW (PAGES 26 TO 40) AS
SUPPORTING REASONS FOR GRANTING
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
ET TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE
OF THEE FOLLOWING FACTS:
I) PETITIONER IS UNDER THREAT
OF DOUBLE JEOPARDY ET
HAS NO ADEQUATE REMEDY TO —
IMMEDIATELY ADDRESS SUCH IN—
ANY STATE OR FEDERAL COURT.
II) PETITIONER IS UNDER THREAT—
OF FORCED PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT—
FOR LIFE ET HAS NO REMEDY TO ABATE.
III) PETITIONER HAS BEEN DENIED HIS
SPEEDY TRIAL DEMANDS ET ANY TRIAL
IN 10 YEARS.
IV) PETITIONER HAS BEEN DENIED COMPLETE AID OF COUNSEL.

26/40 MEMORANDUM OF LAW
ET REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION:

* PURSUANT TO U.S.C. TITLE 28 § 1746
PETITIONER SUBMITS-SUPPORTING
FACTS-AUTHORITIES ET ARGUMENTS
SET FORTH INFRA-IN SUPPORT OF HIS
REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION-
UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, UNDER
LAWS OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
I DO SO SOLEMNLY SWEAR ET AFFIRM
ALL FACTS INFRA ET WITHIN.
THIS PETITION IS TRUE ET CORRECT.

*EXECUTED ON: APRIL 24TH, 2020
*EXECUTED BY: PETITIONER IN PRO/SE
ERIC PHILLIPS AKA MT'BAHEEM

PROPER STATE B.M.R.A. ((w.w. P. in 12)) - UNDER DURESS -

*TO WIT- IN GOOD FAITH-
IN INTERESTS OF YAHWEH-
ET SECURING UNIVERSAL JUSTICE
FOR THE PUBLIC ET ENSURE NO
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CITIZEN
OR SUBJECT-SUFFERS THE THREAT OF
ANY SIMILAR OR SAME-FEDERAL CONSTITUTION
VIOLATIONS CLAIMED IN THIS CERTIORARI PETITION
BY RESPONDENTS-PETITIONER SUBMITS A MEMORANDUM
IN SUPPORT OF GRANTING CERTIORARI-AS AVER:

27/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

I) GROUND 1: DOUBLE JEOPARDY VIOLATION

* PURSUANT TO - MCETRATH V. GEORGIA 601 U.S. 87 2024 ET AL AMENDMENT DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE OF UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION RESPONDANT HAS ENFORCED DOUBLE JEOPARDY UPON PETITIONER - UNDER CALIF. LAW - THAT OF SAID AUTHORITY < JACKSON V. SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY 4 CAL. 5TH 96 2017 >

* TO WIT - PETITIONER WAS DEEMED - PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL - AFTER 3 YEARS OF FORCED PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT ET INCOMPENCY EXAMS - BY OVER 20 MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS - IN < 2019 TO 2022 >.

* TO WIT - RESPONDANT THEN EJECTED TO PLACE PETITIONER ON A FORCE CONSERVATORSHIP HOLD ET UNDER PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION - FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD - PETITIONER DID NOT QUALIFY ET THE RESPONDANT FAILED.

* TO WIT - UNDER CAL. PENAL CODE §1385 - RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE < JOHN D. MOLLOY > MOVED TO DISMISS THE PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE - AS HE WAS DEEM INCOMPENT TO STAND TRIAL PERMANENTLY - ET UNDER FEDERAL

28/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

LAW—(JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715 1972)

KEEPING PETITIONER DETAINED—AS
A INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL DEFEN-
DANT—IS HIGHLY ILLEGAL ET FORBIDDEN.

*TO WIT—ONLY A JUDGE/BENCH SHALL
BE PERMITTED TO DISMISS—ET MOVE
FOR A DISMISSAL OF A CRIMINAL
DEFENDANT CASE—UNDER §1385.

*TO WIT—ONLY A JURY OR JUDGE—
SHALL BE ABLE TO DETERMINE IF
A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT IS INSANE
OR INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL—
BY TRYING—RULING—WHICH FINAL
VERDICT—IS DECIDED UPON MENTAL
HEALTH EXPERTS EVALUATION OF
DEFENDANT—UNDER LAWS—WHICH
IS WHAT HAPPENED TO PETITIONER.

*TO WIT—UNDER ALL LAWS IN EACH
STATE IN U.S. ET U.S. ITSELF ET
MOST COUNTRIES—NO INSANE—NO IDIOT—
NO INCOMPETENT—ETC.—CRIMINAL
DEFENDANT SHALL BE PROSECUTED
IN A CRIMINAL CASE.

*CUMS NOW—AS DECLARED BY THIS
HIGH COURT—IN (MCETRATH V. GEORGIA)
CASE—A PROSECUTOR—SHALL NOT BE
ABLE TO ESTABLISH A MENS REA—
A CRIMINAL INTENT UPON A INSANITY

29/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

CRIMINAL DEFENDANT—WHICH A JURY
RULLED AS SUCH. INSANE.

*IN THIS MATTER—PETITIONER RULLED—
BY A (JUDGE) VIA (BENCH) TO BE UNABLE
TO BE RESTORED TO COMPETENT STATUS—
AFTER 3 YEARS OF FORCED EXAMS—
ET INCOMPENCY PROCEEDINGS BY SAME
JUDGE—CAUSES PROSECUTOR TO BE—
FORBIDDEN IN PROSECUTING CHARGES—
UPON PETITIONER—WHICH MEANS NO—
GATHERING EVIDENCE—CONDUCTING ANY
INVESTIGATION—OR ATTEMPTING TO
TRY CASE ET ESTABLISH ANY—
ELEMENT FOR CHARGES CONNECTED WITH
CASE.

*TO WIT—A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT DEEMED
PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND
TRIAL—MEANS NO MENTAL STATE EXISTED
EITHER BEFORE ARREST—DURING COURSE
OF PROSECUTION OR AFTER A TRIAL—
IN RARE CIRCUMSTANCES—EITHER OF
SUCH—A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT—HAS NO MENTAL
STATE TO COMMIT A CRIME—ANSWER CHARGES
GATHER EVIDENCE OR BUILD A DEFENSE
THUS—IS <INSUFFICIENT> TO PROCEED—
ET A PROSECUTOR EVIDENCE IS—
<INSUFFICIENT> AS STANDS—NOR SHALL PROSECUTOR
EVER SECURE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE

30/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

UPON A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT—AS
(PERMANENT INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL)
DEFENDANT—MENS REA SHALL—
NEVER BE ESTABLISHED—AS NO
TRIAL SHALL EVER OCCURRED.

* TO WIT—RESPONDANTS ARE FULLY
AWARE OF SUPRA FACTS—ET THIS
IS WHY—RESPONDANTS DISMISSED THE
PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE (RIF 1603395)
ET REFILED SAME CHARGES UNDER
NEW CASE NO. (RIF 2203700)—IN ORDER
TO HIDE PERMANENT INCOMPETENT
TO STAND TRIAL VERDICT—ONCE
RULLED—BUT TREAT PETITIONER
NEW (CASE NO. RIF 2203700)—AS IF
HE IS 2ND PERSON—A BRAND NEW
CRIMINAL DEFENDANT—ET A NEW
CASE—IN NEW CHARGES BEING PROSECUTED.

* WHEREFORE NOW—RESPONDANTS HAVE
ATTEMPTED TO BYPASS SAID—
(JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 U.S. 715, 738 (1972))
ET ARE SUBJECTING PETITIONER
TO DOUBLE JEOPARDY PURSUANT
TO (MCGILGRATH V. GEORGIA 601 U.S. 87
(2024))

PETITIONER PRAYS FOR IMMEDIATE
CERTIORARI—TO DO AWAY WITH SUCH—
INJUSTICE UPON THE PUBLIC.

31/40

II

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

GROUND 2: ABUSIVE USE OF FORCED PSYCHOTIC TREATMENT:

*TO WIT-PURSUANT TO WELL KNOWN
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AUTHORITY
<JACKSON V. INDIANA 406 US 715 (1972)>
<FOUCHA V. LOUISIANA 504 US 71 (1992)>
<SELTZ V. UNITED STATES 539 US 166 (2003)>
<RIGGINS V. NEVADA 504 US 127 (1992)>
RESPONDANTS—ARE CONTINUING TO SUBJECT
ME TO THE DEPRIVATION OF MY LIFE
ET LIBERTY—FOR NO LEGITIMATE—
STATE INTEREST—ET FOR (BAD FAITH)
PURPOSES.

*PETITIONER—HAS NO HISTORY OF—
ANY MENTAL HEALTH (DIAGNOSES)
BEFORE FORCED INTO INCOMPETENT—
TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS.

*PETITIONER WAS ALREADY ACTING
IN FARETTA STATUS—FOR APPROX. 3
YEARS—FILED OVER 80 MOTIONS—
LITIGATED ORAL MOTIONS MULTIPLE
TIMES—ARGUED MOTIONS—LEADING
UP TO <4/2/2019> WHEN FORCED INTO
INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS.

32/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

*TO WIT-PETITIONER WAS NOT FORCED INTO INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS ET PLACED UNDER FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATIONS-UNTIL RAISING GROUNDS IN SUPERIOR COURT OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT-ET ILLEGAL JAIL CONDITIONS- IN <2018 TO 2019>-WHICH PETITIONER CASE FILES SHALTY REFLECT.

*TO WIT-PETITIONER HAS REFUSED TO SUBMIT TO FORCE EXAMS BY- MENTNG HEALTH EXPERTS IN- <2019 TO 2020>-YET RESPONDANTS CHOOSE TO ENFORCE PETITIONER INTO INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS ET DEEMED HIM PERMANENTLY INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL-FOR REASONS-HE SHOULD OF NEVER BEEN DEEM INCOMPETENT FOR.

*TO WIT-PETITIONER HAS CONDUCTED OVER <3.5> FEDERAL STATUS CONFERENCES IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT/CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA-FILED OVER 80- MOTIONS-CONDUCTED EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS EXAMINED ET CROSS EXAMINED WITNESSES AT EVIDENCE HEARINGS-CONDUCTED DISCOVERY ET PREPARING FOR TRIAL-

33/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

ET SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES IN
A \$1983—HE IS PROCEEDING PRO/SE
VIA PROPRIA PERSONA IN—CASE NO.
<5:25CV266-VBF-MAA>—SINCE 2019 TO
CURRENT DATE <4/24/2026> ET
ONGOING—AGAINST 90 DEFENDANTS
ET RESPONDANT—THIS IS TIME
RESPONDANT CLAIMS PETITIONER
TO BE GRAVELY MENTALLY ILL
ET DEEMED HIM PERMANENTLY
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL—
UNDER FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION.
*TO WIT—PETITIONER FILED
§ 2241 ET § 2254—FOR HIMSELF
ET 2 OTHER PRISONERS IN—
PRO/SE—IN <2019 TO 2026>—ET
IS CURRENTLY SINCE 2024—
LITIGATING HIS § 2241—CASE NO.
<5:24CV208-VBF-MAA>—AGAINST RESPONDANT.
*TO WIT—PETITIONER SUCCESSFULLY—
PROSECUTED 3 PRISONERS—PETITION FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS—IN CA
SUPREME COURT—HIMSELF—ACTING
AS A JAILHOUSE LAWYER—3
INFORMAL RESPONSES ISSUED—ET
1 PRISONER (OSC) ISSUED—THAT CAUSED

34/40 REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

HIS LIFE SENTENCED TO BE
VACATED IN FULLY-ET RESENTEN
CE TO TIME SERVE ET RELEASED
THIS (PRISONER) LONDON RYAN JOHNSON-
HAS BEEN FREE SINCE (2025).

*TO WIT- PETITIONER ASSISTED-
OTHER PRISONERS/INMATES IN-
SUCCESSFULLY PROSECUTING \$1983
SUITS ET WINNING SUMMARY JUDGMENT
AGAINST RESPONDANT. IN 2023-
TO 2024-WHEN ALLEGED TO BE-
MENTALLY UNSOUND BY RESPONDANT.

*TO WIT- PETITIONER ATTACHES
APPENDIX A TO G > IN SUPPORT OF
SUPRA FACTS-ET AS CLEAR
ET CONVINCING EVIDENCE-HE
IS NOWHERE MENTALLY INCOMPETENT
OR EVER WAS MENTALLY INCOMPE-
TENT TO STAND TRIAL.

*TO WIT- PETITIONER HAS WORKED
WITH FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS
ET FEDERAL (COA) ATTORNEY-
(TONY FARMANI ESQ.)-WITHIN
HIS MULTIPLE \$2241/\$2254 CASES-
SINCE (2020 TO 2026)-ET EVEN
(CORRICK LLP) LAW ORGANIZATION
ASSIGNED TO ASSIST HIM WITHIN
HIS- CIVIL \$1983 SUIT AGAINST

35/40 REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION
RESPONDANT—THIS IS VERIFIED
IN APPENDIX F ATTACHED.
*TO WIT—PETITIONER SINCE
2019 TO 2024—HAS ATTEMPTED TO
SECURE HIS PARALEGAL DEGREE—
ET DID NOT HAVE FUNDS FOR—
AT (BLACK STONE CAREER INSTITUTE)
ET IN (2025) HAS SECURED SUCH—
ET IS CURRENTLY ON PATH—
TO SECURE PARALEGAL CERTIFICATE
AS SHOWN IN APPENDIX G PAGE 1.

*TO WIT—PETITIONER IS HIGHLY
VALUABLE TO SOCIETY—NOT MENTALLY
UN SOUND OR INCOMPETENT—AS THE
RESPONDANT CLAIMS—PETITIONER HAS
ABILITY TO FOCUS—CONCENTRATE
ET PAY ATTENTION TO DETAIL—
ET SUBMITS

APPENDIX G PAGES 2 TO 5
(5) DRAWINGS—THAT PETITIONER
SKETCHED FROM HAND—DEDICATED
TO THIS HIGH HONORABLE
COURT ET JUSTICE SYSTEM—
AS MORE PROOF—THAT HE IS
NOWHERE NEAR INCOMPETENT TO
STAND TRIAL—OR EVER WAS SUCH.

38/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

*WHEREFORE NOW—RESPONDANTS HAVE CLEARLY ABUSED THEIR AUTHORITY—TO ENFORCE PETITIONER INTO—FORCED INCOMPETENCY PROCEEDINGS—FOR (7 YEARS) ET UNDER FORCED PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION FOR OVER A YEAR—ET ATTEMPTING TO PUT HIM BACK UNDER FORCED PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION—ET TREATMENT—PETITIONER PRAYS FOR CERTIORARI REVIEW—TO ABATE SUCH PRACTICES UPON—NOT JUST HIM—BUT CITIZENS OF AMERICA—IN CALIFORNIA JURISDICTION.

III) GROUND 3: FARETTA VIOLATION
PURSUANT TO: <ROCK V. ARKANSAS 483 US 44 1987>
<GODINEZ V. MORAN 509 US 389 1993>
<FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 US 806 1974>

RESPONDANT HAVE VIOLATED—PETITIONER FARETTA RIGHTS GUARANTEED BY II AMENDMENT—NOT ONCE—NOT 3 TIMES—MORE THAN (20) TIMES—AT EVERY CRITICAL STAGE OF HIS CRIMINAL CASE RIF 1603395/RIF 2203700—PROCEEDINGS.

*TO WIT—FROM (4/2/2019) TO SAID (9/4/2024)—PETITIONER HAS MADE OVER 20 ORAL FARETTA DEMANDS—

37/40 REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

IN OPEN COURT—ON THE RECORD—WHICH WAS DENIED BY JUDGES OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—FOR VARIOUS REASONS.

*TO WIT—PETITIONER HAS FILED OVER 20 EX PARTE FARETTA MOTIONS PETITIONS—IN COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE SUPERIOR COURT—CA COURT OF APPEAL ET CA SUPREME COURT—~~ALL~~ DENIED IN <2020 TO 2025>.

*TO WIT—ON <3/1/2023>—THE PETITIONER WAS DENIED FARETTA STATUS—FOR HIS CULTURAL ET RELIGIOUS BELIEFS IN YAHWEH XTIAH ET ATUM-RE—WHICH JUDGE <SEAN CRANDELL> STATED ON RECORD—DUE TO "EXTREME RELIGIOUS BELIEFS"—AS CAUSE TO DENY FARETTA DEMAND—AFTER PETITIONER EXPLAIN WHY HE WANTS TO REPRESENT SELF—THEN SEALED COURT TRANSCRIPTS OF SUCH FARETTA HEARING.

*TO WIT—PATTON STATE HOSPITAL MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS WHO EXAMINE PETITIONER SUMMARIZE PETITIONER IS MENTALLY ILL FOR WANTING TO REPRESENT SELF IN CASE—IN MAJORITY OF 2020 TO 2022 REPORTS.

*ON <4-2-2019> RESPONDANT TERMINATED PETITIONER FARETTA STATUS FOR FILING MOTIONS ABOUT PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT—ILLEGAL JAIL CONDITIONS.

38/40

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION

IV) GROUND 4: MCCOY VIOLATION

*CUMS NOW — INDEED PETITIONER HAS A CERTIFIED VII AMENDMENT FARETTA VIOLATION — PURSUANT TO <MCCOY V. LOUISIANA 138 S. CT. 1500 2018> PETITIONER AVERS HIS RIGHTS TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL WAS VIOLATED — ATTORNEYS <ALEJANDRO BARRAZA> ET <RENANTO CERVANTES> — ET ATTORNEYS <TAVIE NGUYEN> ET <HELIOS J. HERNANDEZ III> — ALL EMPLOYED WITH RIVERSIDE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER / CONFLICT PANEL — OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS — SINCE <4-2-2019 TO 4-24-2026> ET ONGOING HAVE VOTED FOR PETITIONER TO BE PUT INTO §1368 PROCEEDINGS ET UNDER CONSERVATORSHIP PROCEEDINGS ET UNDER FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION FOR HIS BEST INTEREST. *TO WIT <RENANT CERVANTES> DURING <2020 TO 2023> REPRESENTATION — MADE STATEMENTS IN COURT PROCEEDINGS TO DENY FARETTA STATUS OF PETITIONER — DUE TO INCOMPETENCY ET TOOK POSITION TO PUT UP A INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL DEFENSE — AS STATED IN SWORN STATEMENT OF FACTS — WHICH JUST LIKE IN (MCCOY) CASE — NO ATTORNEY SHOULD FORCE A MENTAL/HEALTH DEFENSE UPON A —

39/40

CRIMINAL DEFENDANT-OF ANY TYPE
OF NATURE OR DEGREE-WHEN THE
DEFENDANT IS IN OBJECTION TO-
SUCH AS PETITIONER-IN THIS MATTER.

V) CERTIFIED
GROUND 5: SPEEDY TRIAL
VIOLATION

*TO WIT-PURSUANT


- TO: 1) STRUNK V. UNITED STATES 412 US 434 (1973)
2) DILLINGHAM V. UNITED STATES 423 US 64 (1975)
3) BRADEN V. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT OF KENTUCKY 410 US 484 (1973)
4) MOORE V. ARIZONA 414 US 25 (1973) 5) DOGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 US 647 (1992)

*AS RESPONDANTS BAD FAITH CAUSED 10 YEARS ACCUMULATING-
PETITIONER HAS A CERTIFIED-
SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION-AS RESPONDANT
HAS FORCED HIM AGAINST HIS WILL
ET OBJECTIONS-INTO INCOMPETENT TO
STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS-CONSERVATOR-
SHIP ET FORCE PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION-
FOR OVER 7 YEARS-AGAINST THE
PLAINTIFF NONSTOP-200 PAGES-
OBJECTIONS-AS THE RECORD OF STATE
SHOULD REFLECT.

*RESPONDANT HAVE DENIED PETITIONER
SPEEDY TRIAL DEMANDS-OVER 10 MADE
IN WRITING ET ORALLY-SINCE 2016 TO 2026.
*RESPONDANT HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE THE
PETITIONER FULL CASE DISCOVERY-ET
CRITICAL EVIDENCE IN HIS FAVOR ET COPIES
OF SEARCH WARRANTS-AFTER HIS 20 PLUS DEMANDS
IN (2016 TO 2019)-ET (2023 TO 2024)-CAUSING HIM
GROSS DELAYS IN CASE ET TO CONTINUE OUT CASE-
PROCEEDINGS-IN ORDER TO ATTEMPT TO SECURE CASE
DISCOVERY. *RESPONDANT JAILERS HAVE DESTROYED PETITIONER
CRIMINAL CASE DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS IN CGL SEARCHES-OVER 20 TIMES-SINCE (2018 TO 2025).

40/40

*WHEREFORE THE



PETITIONER SEEKS—
RELIEF FROM HIS COURT
OF LAST RESORT—ET HE HAS NO STATE
REMEDY OF AVALIL—

* PETITIONER IN INTERESTS OF
JUSTITIA FOR ALLY OF SOCIETY
HUMBLY PRAYS FOR OPPORTUNITY TO
SUBMIT BRIEFING ON SPEEDY TRIAL
VIOLATION CLAIMS—ET (MCCOY) ET (MCEGRATH)
VIAMENDMENT ET DOUBLE JEOPARDY—
VIOLATIONS ET TO ADDRESS HIS FORCED
PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION ET INCOMPETENCY CLAIMS—

CONCLUSION


AS HIS LIBERTY ET LIFE IS UNDER
THREAT OF FURTHER IRREPARABLE HARM
ET PETITIONER IS UNDER DURESS—
WITH NO WAY
TO TERMINATE.

Respectfully submitted,

~~PRAYER~~
~~DATE~~ (11/11/11)
~~B.M.R.D.~~

Date:

APRIL 24TH, 2026



PRAYER FOR RELIEF



PETITIONER—IN INTERESTS OF JUSTITIA

HUMBLY PRAYS FOR CERTIORARI TO
ISSUE ET A ORDER TO STAY CRIMINAL CASE
IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT—TO
ISSUE—UNTIL FINAL RULING OF CERTIORARI
WHICH PETITIONER PRAYS FOR CRIMINAL
CASE TO BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE—
FOR VIOLATIONS SET FORTH IN THIS PETITION.