

Appendix (App. 1a)

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 25-1888

HADAROU SARE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CHRISTINA NIKIFORIDOU, in her official capacity at the University of Maryland College Park and under her individual capacity; CHENCHEN HANDLER, in her official capacity at the University of Maryland College Park and under her individual capacity; MARUKO KOGURE, in her official capacity at the University of Maryland College Park and under her individual capacity; DAN SCHORR, in his official capacity at the University of Maryland College Park and under his individual capacity; HOSOM FATHY, in her official capacity at the University of Maryland College Park and under her individual capacity; UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND AT COLLEGE PARK,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.
Julie R. Rubin, District Judge. (1:23-cv-02675-JRR)

Submitted: February 19, 2026

Decided: February 23, 2026

Before WYNN and HARRIS, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Hadarou Sare, Appellant Pro Se. Kathryn Joyce Bradley, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland; Megan Marie Jenkins, ECCLESTON & WOLF, PC, Hanover, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Hadarou Sare appeals numerous district court's orders entered in the underlying action, including the court's order (1) dismissing several Defendants based on Sare's failure to effect service of process; and (2) granting the two remaining Defendants' motion to dismiss Sare's amended complaint.* On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). We have reviewed the record in conjunction with the issues raised in Sare's informal brief and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's final order dismissing Sare's amended complaint without prejudice. *Sare v. Nikiforidou*, No. 1:23-cv-02675-JRR (D. Md. July 29, 2025). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Sare has filed motions seeking relief against most of the individual Defendants, which we deny.

Appendix (APP. 1b)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

HADAROU SARE,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No.: 1:23-cv-02675-JRR

HOSOM FATHY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

Pending now before the court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (ECF No. 98; the "Motion.") The court has reviewed all papers; no hearing is necessary. Local Rule 105.6 (D. Md. 2025). For the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is this 29th day of July 2025:

ORDERED that the Motion (ECF No. 98) shall be, and is hereby, **GRANTED**; and further it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Consolidated Amended Complaint (ECF No. 39) shall be, and is hereby, **DISMISSED without prejudice**; and further it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff's "Motion to Compel NASA GSFC to Remove Unlawful Identification Flag and Restore Access to Federal Facility" (ECF No. 101) shall be, and is hereby, **DENIED as moot**; and further it is

ORDERED that Madam Clerk shall transmit a copy of this order to Plaintiff and **CLOSE** this case.

/S/

Julie R. Rubin
United States District Judge